# 3

# Matrices

We [Halmos and Kaplansky] share a philosophy about linear algebra: we think basis-free, we write basis-free, but when the chips are down we close the office door and compute with matrices like fury. —Irving Kaplansky In Paul Halmos: Celebrating 50 Years of Mathematics J. H. Ewing and F. W. Gehring, eds. Springer-Verlag, 1991, p. 88

# 3.0 Introduction: Matrices in Action

In this chapter, we will study matrices in their own right. We have already used matrices—in the form of augmented matrices—to record information about and to help streamline calculations involving systems of linear equations. Now you will see that matrices have algebraic properties of their own, which enable us to calculate with them, subject to the rules of matrix algebra. Furthermore, you will observe that matrices are not static objects, recording information and data; rather, they represent certain types of functions that "act" on vectors, transforming them into other vectors. These "matrix transformations" will begin to play a key role in our study of linear algebra and will shed new light on what you have already learned about vectors and systems of linear equations. Furthermore, matrices arise in many forms other than augmented matrices; we will explore some of the many applications of matrices at the end of this chapter.

In this section, we will consider a few simple examples to illustrate how matrices can transform vectors. In the process, you will get your first glimpse of "matrix arithmetic."

Consider the equations

$$y_1 = x_1 + 2x_2 y_2 = 3x_2$$
(1)

We can view these equations as describing a transformation of the vector  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ into the vector  $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . If we denote the matrix of coefficients of the right-hand side by *F*, then  $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , and we can rewrite the transformation as

$y_1$	1	2	$x_1$	
$y_2$	 0	3	$\lfloor x_2 \rfloor$	

or, more succinctly,  $\mathbf{y} = F\mathbf{x}$ . [Think of this expression as analogous to the functional notation y = f(x) you are used to:  $\mathbf{x}$  is the independent "variable" here,  $\mathbf{y}$  is the dependent "variable," and *F* is the name of the "function."]

Thus, if 
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, then the Equations (1) give

 $y_1 = -2 + 2 \cdot 1 = 0$  $y_2 = 3 \cdot 1 = 3 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

We can write this expression as  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Problem 1** Compute Fx for the following vectors x:

(a) 
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (b)  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  (c)  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  (d)  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Problem 2** The heads of the four vectors **x** in Problem 1 locate the four corners of a square in the  $x_1x_2$  plane. Draw this square and label its corners A, B, C, and D, corresponding to parts (a), (b), (c), and (d) of Problem 1.

On separate coordinate axes (labeled  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ ), draw the four points determined by  $F\mathbf{x}$  in Problem 1. Label these points A', B', C', and D'. Let's make the (reasonable) assumption that the line segment  $\overline{AB}$  is transformed into the line segment  $\overline{A'B'}$ , and likewise for the other three sides of the square *ABCD*. What geometric figure is represented by A'B'C'D'?

**Problem 3** The center of square *ABCD* is the origin  $\mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . What is the center of *A'B'C'D'*? What algebraic calculation confirms this?

Now consider the equations

$$z_1 = y_1 - y_2 z_2 = -2y_1$$
(2)

that transform a vector  $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$  into the vector  $\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . We can abbreviate this transformation as  $\mathbf{z} = G\mathbf{y}$ , where

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Problem 4** We are going to find out how G transforms the figure A'B'C'D'. Compute Gy for each of the four vectors y that you computed in Problem 1. [That is, compute z = G(Fx). You may recognize this expression as being analogous to the composition of functions with which you are familiar.] Call the corresponding points A'', B'', C'', and D'', and sketch the figure A''B''C''D'' on  $z_1z_2$  coordinate axes.

**Problem 5** By substituting Equations (1) into Equations (2), obtain equations for  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in terms of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . If we denote the matrix of these equations by H, then we have  $\mathbf{z} = H\mathbf{x}$ . Since we also have  $\mathbf{z} = GF\mathbf{x}$ , it is reasonable to write

### H = GF

Can you see how the entries of *H* are related to the entries of *F* and *G*?

**Problem 6** Let's do the above process the other way around: First transform the square *ABCD*, using *G*, to obtain figure  $A^*B^*C^*D^*$ . Then transform the resulting figure, using *F*, to obtain  $A^{**}B^{**}C^{**}D^{**}$ . [*Note:* Don't worry about the "variables" **x**,

**y**, and **z** here. Simply substitute the coordinates of *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* into Equations (2) and then substitute the results into Equations (1).] Are  $A^{**}B^{**}C^{**}D^{**}$  and A''B''C''D'' the same? What does this tell you about the order in which we perform the transformations *F* and *G*?

**Problem 7** Repeat Problem 5 with general matrices

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

That is, if Equations (1) and Equations (2) have coefficients as specified by *F* and *G*, find the entries of *H* in terms of the entries of *F* and *G*. The result will be a formula for the "product" H = GF.

**Problem 8** Repeat Problems 1–6 with the following matrices. (Your formula from Problem 7 may help to speed up the algebraic calculations.) Note any similarities or differences that you think are significant.

(a) $F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, G = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ (b	) $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, G = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	
(c) $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, G = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ (d	) $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, G =$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

3.1

# **Matrix Operations**

Although we have already encountered matrices, we begin by stating a formal definition and recording some facts for future reference.

**Definition** A *matrix* is a rectangular array of numbers called the *entries*, or *elements*, of the matrix.

The following are all examples of matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{5} & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & \pi & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}, [1 & 1 & 1 & 1], \begin{bmatrix} 5.1 & 1.2 & -1 \\ 6.9 & 0 & 4.4 \\ -7.3 & 9 & 8.5 \end{bmatrix}, [7]$$

The *size* of a matrix is a description of the numbers of rows and columns it has. A matrix is called  $m \times n$  (pronounced "*m* by *n*") if it has *m* rows and *n* columns. Thus, the examples above are matrices of sizes  $2 \times 2$ ,  $2 \times 3$ ,  $3 \times 1$ ,  $1 \times 4$ ,  $3 \times 3$ , and  $1 \times 1$ , respectively. A  $1 \times m$  matrix is called a *row matrix* (or *row vector*), and an  $n \times 1$  matrix is called a *column matrix* (or *column vector*).

We use *double-subscript* notation to refer to the entries of a matrix A. The entry of A in row i and column j is denoted by  $a_{ij}$ . Thus, if

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 9 & -1 \\ 0 & 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

then  $a_{13} = -1$  and  $a_{22} = 5$ . (The notation  $A_{ij}$  is sometimes used interchangeably with  $a_{ij}$ .) We can therefore compactly denote a matrix A by  $[a_{ij}]$  (or  $[a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$  if it is important to specify the size of A, although the size will usually be clear from the context).

Although numbers will usually be chosen from the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers, they may also be taken from the set  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers or from  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , where *p* is prime.

Technically, there is a distinction between row/column matrices and vectors, but we will not belabor this distinction. We *will*, however, distinguish between *row* matrices/vectors and *column* matrices/vectors. This distinction is important—at the very least for algebraic computations, as we will demonstrate. With this notation, a general  $m \times n$  matrix A has the form

	<i>a</i> <sub>11</sub>	$a_{12}$	•••	$a_{1n}$
A -	$a_{21}$	<i>a</i> <sub>22</sub>		$a_{2n}$
A –				
	$a_{m1}$	$a_{m2}$	• • •	a <sub>mn</sub> _

If the columns of A are the vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n$ , then we may represent A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

If the rows of A are  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m$ , then we may represent A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

The *diagonal entries* of *A* are  $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, \ldots$ , and if m = n (that is, if *A* has the same number of rows as columns), then *A* is called a *square matrix*. A square matrix whose nondiagonal entries are all zero is called a *diagonal matrix*. A diagonal matrix all of whose diagonal entries are the same is called a *scalar matrix*. If the scalar on the diagonal is 1, the scalar matrix is called an *identity matrix*.

For example, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The diagonal entries of *A* are 2 and 4, but *A* is not square; *B* is a square matrix of size  $2 \times 2$  with diagonal entries 3 and 5; *C* is a diagonal matrix; *D* is a  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix. The  $n \times n$  identity matrix is denoted by  $I_n$  (or simply *I* if its size is understood).

Since we can view matrices as generalizations of vectors (and, indeed, matrices can and should be thought of as being made up of both row and column vectors), many of the conventions and operations for vectors carry through (in an obvious way) to matrices.

Two matrices are *equal* if they have the same size and if their corresponding entries are equal. Thus, if  $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]_{r \times s}$ , then A = B if and only if m = r and n = s and  $a_{ij} = b_{ij}$  for all *i* and *j*.

Example 3.1	Consider the matrices $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},  B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & x \\ 5 & 3 & y \end{bmatrix}$
	Neither <i>A</i> nor <i>B</i> can be equal to <i>C</i> (no matter what the values of <i>x</i> and <i>y</i> ), since <i>A</i> and <i>B</i> are $2 \times 2$ matrices and <i>C</i> is $2 \times 3$ . However, $A = B$ if and only if $a = 2, b = 0, c = 5$ , and $d = 3$ .
Example 3.2	Consider the matrices

Despite the fact that *R* and *C* have the same entries in the same order,  $R \neq C$  since *R* is  $1 \times 3$  and *C* is  $3 \times 1$ . (If we read *R* and *C* aloud, they both sound the same: "one, four, three.") Thus, our distinction between row matrices/vectors and column matrices/vectors is an important one.

# **Matrix Addition and Scalar Multiplication**

Generalizing from vector addition, we define matrix addition *componentwise*. If  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$  are  $m \times n$  matrices, their **sum** A + B is the  $m \times n$  matrix obtained by adding the corresponding entries. Thus,

$$A + B = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}]$$

[We could equally well have defined A + B in terms of vector addition by specifying that each column (or row) of A + B is the sum of the corresponding columns (or rows) of A and B.] If A and B are not the same size, then A + B is not defined.

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 0 \\ -2 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Example 3.3

Then

Let

 $A + B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ 

but neither A + C nor B + C is defined.

The componentwise definition of scalar multiplication will come as no surprise. If *A* is an  $m \times n$  matrix and *c* is a scalar, then the *scalar multiple cA* is the  $m \times n$  matrix obtained by multiplying each entry of *A* by *c*. More formally, we have

$$cA = c [a_{ii}] = [ca_{ii}]$$

[In terms of vectors, we could equivalently stipulate that each column (or row) of cA is c times the corresponding column (or row) of A.]

**Example 3.4** 

For matrix *A* in Example 3.3,

$$2A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 & 0 \\ -4 & 12 & 10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{2}A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } (-1)A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & -6 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix (-1)A is written as -A and called the *negative* of A. As with vectors, we can use this fact to define the *difference* of two matrices: If A and B are the same size, then

$$A - B = A + (-B)$$

# **Example 3.5**

For matrices A and B in Example 3.3,

$$A - B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 0 \\ -2 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 1 \\ -5 & 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

A matrix all of whose entries are zero is called a *zero matrix* and denoted by O (or  $O_{m \times n}$  if it is important to specify its size). It should be clear that if A is any matrix and O is the zero matrix of the same size, then

A + O = A = O + A

A - A = O = -A + A

and

Mathematicians are sometimes like Lewis Carroll's Humpty Dumpty: "When *I* use a word," Humpty Dumpty said, "it means just what I choose it to mean—neither more nor less" (from *Through the Looking Glass*).

**Matrix Multiplication** 

# The Introduction in Section 3.0 suggested that there is a "product" of matrices that is analogous to the composition of functions. We now make this notion more precise. The definition we are about to give generalizes what you should have discovered in Problems 5 and 7 in Section 3.0. Unlike the definitions of matrix addition and scalar multiplication, the definition of the product of two matrices is *not* a componentwise definition. Of course, there is nothing to stop us from defining a product of matrices in a componentwise fashion; unfortunately such a definition has few applications and is not as "natural" as the one we now give.

**Definition** If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix and B is an  $n \times r$  matrix, then the **product** C = AB is an  $m \times r$  matrix. The (i, j) entry of the product is computed as follows:

$$c_{ij} = a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + \cdots + a_{in}b_{nj}$$

#### Remarks

• Notice that *A* and *B* need not be the same size. However, the number of *columns* of *A* must be the same as the number of *rows* of *B*. If we write the sizes of *A*, *B*, and *AB* in order, we can see at a glance whether this requirement is satisfied. Moreover, we can predict the size of the product before doing any calculations, since the number of *rows* of *AB* is the same as the number of rows of *A*, while the number of *columns* of *AB* is the same as the number of *columns* of *B*, as shown below:

$$A \qquad B = AB$$
$$m \times n \quad n \times r \quad m \times r$$
$$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \\ Same$$
Size of AB

• The formula for the entries of the product looks like a dot product, and indeed it is. It says that the (i, j) entry of the matrix AB is the dot product of the *i*th row of A and the *j*th column of B:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1j} & \cdots & b_{1r} \\ b_{21} & \cdots & b_{2j} & \cdots & b_{2r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \cdots & b_{nj} & \cdots & b_{nr} \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that, in the expression  $c_{ij} = a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + \cdots + a_{in}b_{nj}$ , the "outer subscripts" on each *ab* term in the sum are always *i* and *j* whereas the "inner subscripts" always agree and increase from 1 to *n*. We see this pattern clearly if we write  $c_{ij}$  using summation notation:

 $c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$ 

**Example 3.6** 

Compute AB if

-

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 5 & -2 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Solution** Since *A* is  $2 \times 3$  and *B* is  $3 \times 4$ , the product *AB* is defined and will be a  $2 \times 4$  matrix. The first row of the product C = AB is computed by taking the dot product of the first row of *A* with each of the columns of *B* in turn. Thus,

$c_{11}$	=	1(-4)	+	3(5)	+	(-1)(-1)	=	12
$c_{12}$	=	1(0)	÷	3(-2)	+	(-1)(2)	=	-8
<i>c</i> <sub>13</sub>	-	1(3)	+	3(-1)	+	(-1)(0)	=	0
$c_{14}$	=	1(-1)	+	3(1)	+	(-1)(6)	=	-4

The second row of *C* is computed by taking the dot product of the second row of *A* with each of the columns of *B* in turn:

$$c_{21} = (-2)(-4) + (-1)(5) + (1)(-1) = 2$$
  

$$c_{22} = (-2)(0) + (-1)(-2) + (1)(2) = 4$$
  

$$c_{23} = (-2)(3) + (-1)(-1) + (1)(0) = -5$$
  

$$c_{24} = (-2)(-1) + (-1)(1) + (1)(6) = 7$$

Thus, the product matrix is given by

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -8 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 4 & -5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

(With a little practice, you should be able to do these calculations mentally without writing out all of the details as we have done here. For more complicated examples, a calculator with matrix capabilities or a computer algebra system is preferable.)

Before we go further, we will consider two examples that justify our chosen definition of matrix multiplication.

**Example 3.7** 

Ann and Bert are planning to go shopping for fruit for the next week. They each want to buy some apples, oranges, and grapefruit, but in differing amounts. Table 3.1 lists what they intend to buy. There are two fruit markets nearby—Sam's and Theo's—and their prices are given in Table 3.2. How much will it cost Ann and Bert to do their shopping at each of the two markets?

Table	3.1			Table 3.2		
	Apples	Grapefruit	Oranges		Sam's	Theo's
Ann	6	3	10	Apple	\$0.10	\$0.15
Bert	4	8	5	Grapefruit	\$0.40	\$0.30
				Orange	\$0.10	\$0.20

**Solution** If Ann shops at Sam's, she will spend

$$6(0.10) + 3(0.40) + 10(0.10) =$$

If she shops at Theo's, she will spend

6(0.15) + 3(0.30) + 10(0.20) = \$3.80

Bert will spend

4(0.10) + 8(0.40) + 5(0.10) = \$4.10

at Sam's and

$$4(0.15) + 8(0.30) + 5(0.20) = $4.00$$

at Theo's. (Presumably, Ann will shop at Sam's while Bert goes to Theo's.)

The "dot product form" of these calculations suggests that matrix multiplication is at work here. If we organize the given information into a demand matrix D and a price matrix P, we have

	Γ	2	10]		0.10	0.15	
D =	0	3	10	and $P =$	0.40	0.30	
	[4	8	5]		0.10	0.20	

The calculations above are equivalent to computing the product

	Γ.		10]	0.10	0.15		Fa 00	2 00]	
DP =	6	3	10	0.40	0.30		2.80	3.80	
DI =	4	8	5	0.40	0.50	14.2	4.10	4.00	
	-		·	0.10	0.20	5			

Thus, the product matrix *DP* tells us how much each person's purchases will cost at each store (Table 3.3).

	Sam's	Theo's
Ann	\$2.80	\$3.80
Bert	\$4.10	\$4.00

**Example 3.8** 

Consider the linear system

 $x_{1} - 2x_{2} + 3x_{3} = 5$   $-x_{1} + 3x_{2} + x_{3} = 1$  $2x_{1} - x_{2} + 4x_{3} = 14$ (1)

Observe that the left-hand side arises from the matrix product

	1	-2	3	$x_1$	-0
-	-1	3	1	$x_2$	
L	2	-1	4	$\lfloor x_3 \rfloor$	

so the system (1) can be written as

1	-2	3]	$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix}$		5	
-1	3	1	$x_2$	=	1	
2	-1	4	$\lfloor x_3 \rfloor$		_14_	

or  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where A is the coefficient matrix,  $\mathbf{x}$  is the (column) vector of variables, and  $\mathbf{b}$  is the (column) vector of constant terms.

You should have no difficulty seeing that *every* linear system can be written in the form  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ . In fact, the notation  $[A \mid \mathbf{b}]$  for the augmented matrix of a linear system is just shorthand for the matrix equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ . This form will prove to be a tremendously useful way of expressing a system of linear equations, and we will exploit it often from here on.

Combining this insight with Theorem 2.4, we see that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution if and only if **b** is a linear combination of the columns of *A*.

There is another fact about matrix operations that will also prove to be quite useful: Multiplication of a matrix by a standard unit vector can be used to "pick out" or "reproduce" a column or row of a matrix. Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  and consider the products  $A\mathbf{e}_3$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2A$ , with the unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_3$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$  chosen so that the products make sense. Thus,

$$A\mathbf{e}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_{2}A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that  $A\mathbf{e}_3$  gives us the third column of A and  $\mathbf{e}_2 A$  gives us the second row of A. We record the general result as a theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix,  $\mathbf{e}_i a 1 \times m$  standard unit vector, and  $\mathbf{e}_j an n \times 1$  standard unit vector. Then

a.  $\mathbf{e}_i A$  is the *i*th row of A and b.  $A\mathbf{e}_i$  is the *j*th column of A. **Proof** We prove (b) and leave proving (a) as Exercise 41. If  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n$  are the columns of *A*, then the product  $A\mathbf{e}_i$  can be written

$$A\mathbf{e}_i = 0\mathbf{a}_1 + 0\mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + 1\mathbf{a}_i + \cdots + 0\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{a}_i$$

We could also prove (b) by direct calculation:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1j} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2j} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mj} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1j} \\ a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mj} \end{bmatrix}$$

since the 1 in  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the *j*th entry.

# **Partitioned Matrices**

It will often be convenient to regard a matrix as being composed of a number of smaller *submatrices*. By introducing vertical and horizontal lines into a matrix, we can *partition* it into *blocks*. There is a natural way to partition many matrices, particularly those arising in certain applications. For example, consider the matrix

	1	0	0	2	-1	
	0	1	0	1	3	
A =	0	0	1	4	0	
	0	0	0	1	7	
	0	0	0	7	2	

It seems natural to partition A as

1	0	0	2	-1	
0	1	0	1	3	Γτρ]
0	0	1	4	0	$= \begin{bmatrix} I & D \\ O & C \end{bmatrix}$
0	0	0	1	7	
0	0	0	7	2	

where *I* is the 3  $\times$  3 identity matrix, *B* is 3  $\times$  2, *O* is the 2  $\times$  3 zero matrix, and *C* is 2  $\times$  2. In this way, we can view *A* as a 2  $\times$  2 matrix whose entries are themselves matrices.

When matrices are being multiplied, there is often an advantage to be gained by viewing them as partitioned matrices. Not only does this frequently reveal underlying structures, but it often speeds up computation, especially when the matrices are large and have many blocks of zeros. It turns out that the multiplication of partitioned matrices is just like ordinary matrix multiplication.

We begin by considering some special cases of partitioned matrices. Each gives rise to a different way of viewing the product of two matrices.

Suppose *A* is  $m \times n$  and *B* is  $n \times r$ , so the product *AB* exists. If we partition *B* in terms of its column vectors, as  $B = [\mathbf{b}_1 | \mathbf{b}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{b}_r]$ , then

$$AB = A [\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{b}_r] = [A\mathbf{b}_1 \ A\mathbf{b}_2 \ \cdots \ A\mathbf{b}_r]$$

This result is an immediate consequence of the definition of matrix multiplication. The form on the right is called the *matrix-column representation* of the product.

**Example 3.9** 

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

then

If

$$A\mathbf{b}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A\mathbf{b}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $AB = [A\mathbf{b}_1 \ A\mathbf{b}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 5\\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ . (Check by ordinary matrix multiplication.)

Remark Observe that the matrix-column representation of AB allows us to write each column of AB as a linear combination of the columns of A with entries from *B* as the coefficients. For example,

**-** -

$$\begin{bmatrix} 13\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1&3&2\\0&-1&1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4\\1\\3 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-1 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(See Exercises 23 and 26.)

Suppose *A* is  $m \times n$  and *B* is  $n \times r$ , so the product *AB* exists. If we partition *A* in terms of its row vectors, as

Once again, this result is a direct consequence of the definition of matrix multiplication. The form on the right is called the *row-matrix representation* of the product.

**Example 3.10** 

Using the row-matrix representation, compute AB for the matrices in Example 3.9.

then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 13\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1&3&2\\0&-1&1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4\\1\\3 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix} B = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix}$ 

		<b>ΓA</b>
AI	R =	A
111	,	



**Solution** We compute

$$\mathbf{A}_{1}B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_{2}B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, 
$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 B \\ \mathbf{A}_2 B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 5 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, as before.

The definition of the matrix product *AB* uses the natural partition of *A* into rows and *B* into columns; this form might well be called the *row-column representation* of the product. We can also partition *A* into columns and *B* into rows; this form is called the *column-row representation* of the product.

In this case, we have

$$A = [\mathbf{a}_1 \vdots \mathbf{a}_2 \vdots \cdots \vdots \mathbf{a}_n] \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{B}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{B}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AB = \left[\mathbf{a}_{1} : \mathbf{a}_{2} : \cdots : \mathbf{a}_{n}\right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{1} \\ \mathbf{B}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{B}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{n}\mathbf{B}_{n}$$
(2)

Notice that the sum resembles a dot product expansion; the difference is that the individual terms are matrices, not scalars. Let's make sure that this makes sense. Each term  $\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{B}_i$  is the product of an  $m \times 1$  and a  $1 \times r$  matrix. Thus, each  $\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{B}_i$  is an  $m \times r$  matrix—the same size as *AB*. The products  $\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{B}_i$  are called *outer products*, and (2) is called the *outer product expansion* of *AB*.

**Example 3.11** 

Compute the outer product expansion of *AB* for the matrices in Example 3.9.

Solution We have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2\\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1\\ \mathbf{B}_2\\ \mathbf{B}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The outer products are

$$\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1\\0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6\\-1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{B}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0\\3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

(Observe that computing each outer product is exactly like filling in a multiplication table.) Therefore, the outer product expansion of *AB* is

$$\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{a}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2} + \mathbf{a}_{3}\mathbf{B}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 5 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = AB$$

We will make use of the outer product expansion in Chapters 5 and 7 when we discuss the Spectral Theorem and the singular value decomposition, respectively.

Each of the foregoing partitions is a special case of partitioning in general. A matrix A is said to be partitioned if horizontal and vertical lines have been introduced, subdividing A into submatrices called blocks. Partitioning allows A to be written as a matrix whose entries are its blocks.

For example,

	1	0	0	2	-1		4	3	1	2	1	
	0	1	0	1	3		-1	2	2	1	1	
<i>A</i> =	0	0	1	4	0	and $B =$	1	-5	3	3	1	
	0	0	0	1	7		1	0	0	0	2	
	0	0	0	7	2		0	1	0	0	3	

are partitioned matrices. They have the block structures

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

If two matrices are the same size and have been partitioned in the same way, it is clear that they can be added and multiplied by scalars block by block. Less obvious is the fact that, with suitable partitioning, matrices can be multiplied blockwise as well. The next example illustrates this process.

Example 3.12

Consider the matrices A and B above. If we ignore for the moment the fact that their entries are matrices, then A appears to be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and B a  $2 \times 3$  matrix. Their product should thus be a  $2 \times 3$  matrix given by

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} & A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} & A_{11}B_{13} + A_{12}B_{23} \\ A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} & A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22} & A_{21}B_{13} + A_{22}B_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

But all of the products in this calculation are actually *matrix* products, so we need to make sure that they are all defined. A quick check reveals that this is indeed the case, since the numbers of *columns* in the blocks of *A* (3 and 2) match the numbers of *rows* in the blocks of *B*. The matrices *A* and *B* are said to be *partitioned conformably for block multiplication*.

Carrying out the calculations indicated gives us the product AB in partitioned form:

$$A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I_3B_{11} + A_{12}I_2 = B_{11} + A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 \\ 5 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

148

(When some of the blocks are zero matrices or identity matrices, as is the case here, these calculations can be done quite quickly.) The calculations for the other five blocks of AB are similar. Check that the result is

6	2	1	2	2	۰.,
0	5	2	1	12	
5	-5	3	3	9	
1	7	0	0	23	
7	2	0	0	20	

(Observe that the block in the upper-left corner is the result of our calculations above.) Check that you obtain the same answer by multiplying *A* by *B* in the usual way.

## **Matrix Powers**

When *A* and *B* are two  $n \times n$  matrices, their product *AB* will also be an  $n \times n$  matrix. A special case occurs when A = B. It makes sense to define  $A^2 = AA$  and, in general, to define  $A^k$  as

$$A^k = \underbrace{AA \cdots A}_{k \text{ factors}}$$

if k is a positive integer. Thus,  $A^1 = A$ , and it is convenient to define  $A^0 = I_n$ .

Before making too many assumptions, we should ask ourselves to what extent matrix powers behave like powers of real numbers. The following properties follow immediately from the definitions we have just given and are the matrix analogues of the corresponding properties for powers of real numbers.

If *A* is a square matrix and *r* and *s* are nonnegative integers, then

- 1.  $A^r A^s = A^{r+s}$
- 2.  $(A^r)^s = A^{rs}$

In Section 3.3, we will extend the definition and properties to include negative integer powers.

# **Example 3.13**

(a) If 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, then  
 $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A^3 = A^2 A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

and, in general,

$$A^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{n-1} & 2^{n-1} \\ 2^{n-1} & 2^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } n \ge 1$$

The above statement can be proved by mathematical induction, since it is an *infinite* collection of statements, one for each natural number n. (Appendix B gives a

150

brief review of mathematical induction.) The basis step is to prove that the formula holds for n = 1. In this case,

$$A^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{1-1} & 2^{1-1} \\ 2^{1-1} & 2^{1-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{0} & 2^{0} \\ 2^{0} & 2^{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = A$$

as required.

The induction hypothesis is to assume that

$$A^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} \\ 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some integer  $k \ge 1$ . The induction step is to prove that the formula holds for n = k + 1. Using the definition of matrix powers and the induction hypothesis, we compute

$$A^{k+1} = A^{k}A = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} \\ 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} \\ 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k} & 2^{k} \\ 2^{k} & 2^{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2^{(k+1)-1} & 2^{(k+1)-1} \\ 2^{(k+1)-1} & 2^{(k+1)-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the formula holds for all  $n \ge 1$  by the principle of mathematical induction.

(b) If  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Continuing, we find

$$B^{3} = B^{2}B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B^{4} = B^{3}B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,  $B^5 = B$ , and the sequence of powers of *B* repeats in a cycle of four:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \cdot$$

# The Transpose of a Matrix

Thus far, all of the matrix operations we have defined are analogous to operations on real numbers, although they may not always behave in the same way. The next operation has no such analogue.

**Definition** The *transpose* of an  $m \times n$  matrix A is the  $n \times m$  matrix  $A^T$  obtained by interchanging the rows and columns of A. That is, the *i*th column of  $A^T$  is the *i*th row of A for all *i*.

Example 3.14

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then their transposes are

$$A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The transpose is sometimes used to give an alternative definition of the dot product of two vectors in terms of matrix multiplication. If

	$u_1$		$v_1$
u =	<i>u</i> <sub>2</sub>	and $\mathbf{v} =$	$\begin{array}{c} \nu_2 \\ \vdots \end{array}$
	_ <i>u</i> <sub>n</sub> _		$v_n$

then

Let

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \dots + u_n v_n$$
$$= [u_1 \quad u_2 \quad \dots \quad u_n] \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v}$$

A useful alternative definition of the transpose is given componentwise:

 $(A^{T})_{ij} = A_{ji}$  for all *i* and *j* 

In words, the entry in row *i* and column *j* of  $A^T$  is the same as the entry in row *j* and column *i* of *A*.

The transpose is also used to define a very important type of square matrix: a symmetric matrix.

**Definition** A square matrix A is *symmetric* if  $A^T = A$ —that is, if A is equal to its own transpose.

	10 0 000 0000 000		I	-
			Beet BREE -	I of
SSI25591 44				1.61
		And the second sec	100 and	

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



Figure 3.1 A symmetric matrix A symmetric matrix has the property that it is its own "mirror image" across its main diagonal. Figure 3.1 illustrates this property for a  $3 \times 3$  matrix. The corresponding shapes represent equal entries; the diagonal entries (those on the dashed line) are arbitrary.

A componentwise definition of a symmetric matrix is also useful. It is simply the algebraic description of the "reflection" property.

A square matrix A is symmetric if and only if  $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$  for all *i* and *j*.



Let

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix},  B =$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,	<i>C</i> =	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$	,
$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$	E = [4	2],	$F = \left[ \right]$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$	

*In Exercises* 1–16, *compute the indicated matrices (if possible).* 

<b>2.</b> $3D - 2A$
<b>4.</b> $C - B^T$
<b>6.</b> BD
<b>8.</b> $BB^T$
1 <b>0.</b> <i>F</i> ( <i>DF</i> )
12. EF
<b>14.</b> <i>DA</i> – <i>AD</i>
<b>16.</b> $(I_2 - D)^2$

- 17. Give an example of a nonzero  $2 \times 2$  matrix A such that  $A^2 = O$ .
- **18.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find 2 × 2 matrices *B* and *C* such that AB = AC but  $B \neq C$ .

**19.** A factory manufactures three products (doohickies, gizmos, and widgets) and ships them to two ware-houses for storage. The number of units of each product shipped to each warehouse is given by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 200 & 75\\ 150 & 100\\ 100 & 125 \end{bmatrix}$$

(where  $a_{ij}$  is the number of units of product *i* sent to warehouse *j* and the products are taken in alphabetical order). The cost of shipping one unit of each product by truck is \$1.50 per doohickey, \$1.00 per gizmo, and \$2.00 per widget. The corresponding unit costs to ship by train are \$1.75, \$1.50, and \$1.00. Organize these costs into a matrix *B* and then use matrix multiplication to show how the factory can compare the cost of shipping its products to each of the two warehouses by truck and by train.

**20.** Referring to Exercise 19, suppose that the unit cost of distributing the products to stores is the same for each product but varies by warehouse because of the distances involved. It costs \$0.75 to distribute one unit from warehouse 1 and \$1.00 to distribute one unit from warehouse 2. Organize these costs into a matrix *C* and then use matrix multiplication to compute the total cost of distributing each product.

In Exercises 21–22, write the given system of linear equations as a matrix equation of the form  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

21. 
$$x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$$
  
 $2x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 = 4$   
22.  $-x_1 + 2x_3 = 1$   
 $x_1 - x_2 = -2$   
 $x_2 + x_3 = -1$ 

In Exercises 23–28, let

and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **23.** Use the matrix-column representation of the product to write each column of *AB* as a linear combination of the columns of *A*.
- **24.** Use the row-matrix representation of the product to write each row of *AB* as a linear combination of the rows of *B*.
- 25. Compute the outer product expansion of AB.
- **26.** Use the matrix-column representation of the product to write each column of *BA* as a linear combination of the columns of *B*.
- **27.** Use the row-matrix representation of the product to write each row of *BA* as a linear combination of the rows of *A*.
- 28. Compute the outer product expansion of BA.

*In Exercises 29 and 30, assume that the product AB makes sense.* 

- **29.** Prove that if the columns of *B* are linearly dependent, then so are the columns of *AB*.
- **30.** Prove that if the rows of *A* are linearly dependent, then so are the rows of *AB*.

In Exercises 31–34, compute AB by block multiplication, using the indicated partitioning.

**31.** 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

32. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & | & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 \\ -2 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
33.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
34.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
35. Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
(a) Compute  $A^2, A^3, \dots, A^7$ .  
(b) What is  $A^{2015}$ ? Why?

**36.** Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
. Find, with justification,  $B^{2015}$ .

**37.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find a formula for  $A^n$   $(n \ge 1)$  and verify your formula using mathematical induction.

**38.** Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$
.

(a) Show that 
$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{bmatrix}$$
.

(b) Prove, by mathematical induction, that

$$A^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos n\theta & -\sin n\theta \\ \sin n\theta & \cos n\theta \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } n \ge 1$$

**39.** In each of the following, find the  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  that satisfies the given condition:

(a) 
$$a_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j}$$
 (b)  $a_{ij} = j - i$   
(c)  $a_{ij} = (i-1)^j$  (d)  $a_{ij} = \sin\left(\frac{(i+j-1)\pi}{4}\right)$ 

**40.** In each of the following, find the  $6 \times 6$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  that satisfies the given condition:

(a) 
$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} i+j & \text{if } i \le j \\ 0 & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$
 (b)  $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |i-j| \le 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |i-j| > 1 \end{cases}$   
(c)  $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 6 \le i+j \le 8 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

**41.** Prove Theorem 3.1(a).

# **Matrix Algebra**

In some ways, the arithmetic of matrices generalizes that of vectors. We do not expect any surprises with respect to addition and scalar multiplication, and indeed there are none. This will allow us to extend to matrices several concepts that we are already familiar with from our work with vectors. In particular, linear combinations, spanning sets, and linear independence carry over to matrices with no difficulty.

However, matrices have other operations, such as matrix multiplication, that vectors do not possess. We should not expect matrix multiplication to behave like multiplication of real numbers unless we can prove that it does; in fact, it does not. In this section, we summarize and prove some of the main properties of matrix operations and begin to develop an algebra of matrices.

# **Properties of Addition and Scalar Multiplication**

All of the algebraic properties of addition and scalar multiplication for vectors (Theorem 1.1) carry over to matrices. For completeness, we summarize these properties in the next theorem.

# **Theorem 3.2** Algebraic Properties of Matrix Addition and Scalar Multiplication

Let A, B, and C be matrices of the same size and let c and d be scalars. Then

a. A + B = B + ACommutativityb. (A + B) + C = A + (B + C)Associativityc. A + O = AAssociativityd. A + (-A) = ODistributivitye. c(A + B) = cA + cBDistributivityf. (c + d)A = cA + dADistributivityg. c(dA) = (cd)ADistributivityh. 1A = ADistributivity

The proofs of these properties are direct analogues of the corresponding proofs of the vector properties and are left as exercises. Likewise, the comments following Theorem 1.1 are equally valid here, and you should have no difficulty using these properties to perform algebraic manipulations with matrices. (Review Example 1.5 and see Exercises 17 and 18 at the end of this section.)

The associativity property allows us to unambiguously combine scalar multiplication and addition without parentheses. If *A*, *B*, and *C* are matrices of the same size, then

$$(2A + 3B) - C = 2A + (3B - C)$$

and so we can simply write 2A + 3B - C. Generally, then, if  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  are matrices of the same size and  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k$  are scalars, we may form the *linear combination* 

$$c_1A_1 + c_2A_2 + \cdots + c_kA_k$$

We will refer to  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k$  as the *coefficients* of the linear combination. We can now ask and answer questions about linear combinations of matrices.

# Example 3.16

Let 
$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
(a) Is  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  a linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ ?  
(b) Is  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  a linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ ?

#### Solution

(a) We want to find scalars  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c_3$  such that  $c_1A_1 + c_2A_2 + c_3A_3 = B$ . Thus,

$$c_1\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{bmatrix}+c_2\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{bmatrix}+c_3\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\1&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1&4\\2&1\end{bmatrix}$$

The left-hand side of this equation can be rewritten as

- c <sub>2</sub>	$+ c_{3}$	$c_1 + $	<i>c</i> <sub>3</sub>
$-c_{1}$	$+ c_{3}$	$c_{2} +$	$c_3$

Comparing entries and using the definition of matrix equality, we have four linear equations:

 $c_{2} + c_{3} = 1$   $c_{1} + c_{3} = 4$   $-c_{1} + c_{3} = 2$   $c_{2} + c_{3} = 1$ 

Gauss-Jordan elimination easily gives

0 1	1	1	1	0	0	17	
1 0	1	4	0	1	0	-2	
-1 0	1	2	0	0	1	3	
0 1	1	1	0	0	0	0	

(check this!), so  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = -2$ , and  $c_3 = 3$ . Thus,  $A_1 - 2A_2 + 3A_3 = B$ , which can be easily checked.

(b) This time we want to solve

 $c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Proceeding as in part (a), we obtain the linear system

 $c_{2} + c_{3} = 1$   $c_{1} + c_{3} = 2$   $-c_{1} + c_{3} = 3$   $c_{2} + c_{3} = 4$ 

Row reduction gives

Г	0	1	1	1		Γ 0	1	1	1	
	1	0	1	2	$R_4 - R_1$	1	0	1	2	
	-1	0	1	3		-1	0	1	3	
	0	1	1	4_		0	0	0	3_	

We need go no further: The last row implies that there is no solution. Therefore, in this case, C is not a linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ .

**Remark** Observe that the columns of the augmented matrix contain the entries of the matrices we are given. If we read the entries of each matrix from left to right and top to bottom, we get the order in which the entries appear in the columns of the augmented matrix. For example, we read  $A_1$  as "0, 1, -1, 0," which corresponds to the first column of the augmented matrix. It is as if we simply "straightened out" the given matrices into column vectors. Thus, we would have ended up with exactly the same system of linear equations as in part (a) if we had asked

	[1]		0		1	1		[1]	
Is	4	1:	1		0			1	2
	2	a linear combination of	-1	,	0	,	and	1	15
	1		0		1			1	

We will encounter such parallels repeatedly from now on. In Chapter 6, we will explore them in more detail.

We can define the *span* of a set of matrices to be the set of all linear combinations of the matrices.

Example 3.17

Describe the span of the matrices  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  in Example 3.16.

**Solution** One way to do this is simply to write out a general linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ . Thus,

$$c_{1}A_{1} + c_{2}A_{2} + c_{3}A_{3} = c_{1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_{3}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} c_{2} + c_{3} & c_{1} + c_{3} \\ -c_{1} + c_{3} & c_{2} + c_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

(which is analogous to the parametric representation of a plane). But suppose we want to know when the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}$  is in span $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$ . From the representation above, we know that it is when

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_2 + c_3 & c_1 + c_3 \\ -c_1 + c_3 & c_2 + c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}$$

for some choice of scalars  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ . This gives rise to a system of linear equations whose left-hand side is exactly the same as in Example 3.16 but whose right-hand side

157

is general. The augmented matrix of this system is

ſ	0	1	1	w	
	1	0	1	x	
	-1	0	1	y	
	0	1	1	z	

and row reduction produces

Γ	0	1	1	w	[1]	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}y$
	1	0	1	x	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}y + w$
	-1	0	1	y	 0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y$
	0	1	1	$z_{\perp}$	0	0	0	w-z

(Check this carefully.) The only restriction comes from the last row, where clearly we must have w - z = 0 in order to have a solution. Thus, the span of  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  consists of all matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}$  for which w = z. That is, span  $(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & w \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

Note If we had known this *before* attempting Example 3.16, we would have seen immediately that  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ , since it has the necessary form (take w = 1, x = 4, and y = 2), but  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  cannot be a linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ , since it does not have the proper form ( $1 \neq 4$ ).

Linear independence also makes sense for matrices. We say that matrices  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  of the same size are *linearly independent* if the only solution of the equation

$$c_1 A_1 + c_2 A_2 + \dots + c_k A_k = O$$
(1)

is the trivial one:  $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_k = 0$ . If there are nontrivial coefficients that satisfy (1), then  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  are called *linearly dependent*.

**Example 3.18** 

Determine whether the matrices  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  in Example 3.16 are linearly independent.

**Solution** We want to solve the equation  $c_1A_1 + c_2A_2 + c_3A_3 = O$ . Writing out the matrices, we have

$$c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This time we get a *homogeneous* linear system whose left-hand side is the same as in Examples 3.16 and 3.17. (Are you starting to spot a pattern yet?) The augmented matrix row reduces to give

0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	
1	0	1	0	 0	1	0	0	
-1	0	1	0	 0	0	1	0	
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	

Thus,  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ , and we conclude that the matrices  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  are linearly independent.

# **Properties of Matrix Multiplication**

Whenever we encounter a new operation, such as matrix multiplication, we must be careful not to assume too much about it. It would be nice if matrix multiplication behaved like multiplication of real numbers. Although in many respects it does, there are some significant differences.

Example 3.19

Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplying gives

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } BA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,  $AB \neq BA$ . So, in contrast to multiplication of real numbers, matrix multiplication is *not commutative*—the order of the factors in a product matters!

It is easy to check that  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (do so!). So, for matrices, the equation  $A^2 = O$  does not imply that A = O (unlike the situation for real numbers, where the equation  $x^2 = 0$  has only x = 0 as a solution).

However gloomy things might appear after the last example, the situation is not really bad at all—you just need to get used to working with matrices and to constantly remind yourself that they are not numbers. The next theorem summarizes the main properties of matrix multiplication.

# **Theorem 3.3** Properties of Matrix Multiplication

Let *A*, *B*, and *C* be matrices (whose sizes are such that the indicated operations can be performed) and let *k* be a scalar. Then

a. $A(BC) = (AB)C$	Associativity
b. $A(B+C) = AB + AC$	Left distributivity
c. $(A + B)C = AC + BC$	Right distributivity
d. $k(AB) = (kA)B = A(kB)$	
e. $I_m A = A = A I_n$ if A is $m \times n$	Multiplicative identity

**Proof** We prove (b) and half of (e). We defer the proof of property (a) until Section 3.6. The remaining properties are considered in the exercises.

(b) To prove A(B + C) = AB + AC, we let the rows of A be denoted by  $\mathbf{A}_i$  and the columns of B and C by  $\mathbf{b}_j$  and  $\mathbf{c}_j$ . Then the *j*th column of B + C is  $\mathbf{b}_j + \mathbf{c}_j$  (since addition is defined componentwise), and thus

$$[A(B + C)]_{ij} = \mathbf{A}_i \cdot (\mathbf{b}_j + \mathbf{c}_j)$$
  
=  $\mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_j + \mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_j$   
=  $(AB)_{ij} + (AC)_{ij}$   
=  $(AB + AC)_{ii}$ 

Since this is true for all *i* and *j*, we must have A(B + C) = AB + AC. (e) To prove  $AI_n = A$ , we note that the identity matrix  $I_n$  can be column-partitioned as

 $I_n = [\mathbf{e}_1 : \mathbf{e}_2 : \cdots : \mathbf{e}_n]$ 

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is a standard unit vector. Therefore,

$$AI_n = [A\mathbf{e}_1 : A\mathbf{e}_2 : \cdots : A\mathbf{e}_n]$$
  
=  $[\mathbf{a}_1 : \mathbf{a}_2 : \cdots : \mathbf{a}_n]$   
=  $A$ 

by Theorem 3.1(b).

We can use these properties to further explore how closely matrix multiplication resembles multiplication of real numbers.

Example 3.20	If A and B are square matr	rices of the same size, is $(A + B)^2 = A^2 + 2AB + B^2$ ?
	Solution Using propertie	es of matrix multiplication, we compute
	$(A + B)^2 = (A$	(A + B)(A + B)
	= (A	(A + B)A + (A + B)B by left distributivity
	$= A^2$	$B^2 + BA + AB + B^2$ by right distributivity
***	Therefore, $(A + B)^2 = A^2$ 2AB + B <sup>2</sup> . Subtracting A <sup>2</sup> AB from both sides gives <i>I</i> and <i>B</i> commute. (Can you two matrices that do not s	$A^{2} + 2AB + B^{2}$ if and only if $A^{2} + BA + AB + B^{2} = A^{2} + AB + B^{2}$ from both sides gives $BA + AB = 2AB$ . Subtracting $BA = AB$ . Thus, $(A + B)^{2} = A^{2} + 2AB + B^{2}$ if and only if A u give an example of such a pair of matrices? Can you find satisfy this property?)
	Properties of the Tra	anspose
Theorem 3.4	Properties of the Trans	spose
	Let $A$ and $B$ be matrices (v performed) and let $k$ be a	whose sizes are such that the indicated operations can be scalar. Then
	$(A^T)^T = A$	b. $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$

a. 
$$(A^T)^T = A$$
  
b.  $(A + B)^T = A^T + A^T$   
c.  $(kA)^T = k(A^T)$   
d.  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$   
e.  $(A^r)^T = (A^T)^r$  for all nonnegative integers  $r$ 

**Proof** Properties (a) –(c) are intuitively clear and straightforward to prove (see Exercise 30). Proving property (e) is a good exercise in mathematical induction (see Exercise 31). We will prove (d), since it is not what you might have expected. [Would you have suspected that  $(AB)^T = A^T B^T$  might be true?]

First, if *A* is  $m \times n$  and *B* is  $n \times r$ , then  $B^T$  is  $r \times n$  and  $A^T$  is  $n \times m$ . Thus, the product  $B^T A^T$  is defined and is  $r \times m$ . Since *AB* is  $m \times r$ ,  $(AB)^T$  is  $r \times m$ , and so  $(AB)^T$  and  $B^T A^T$  have the same size. We must now prove that their corresponding entries are equal.

We denote the *i*th row of a matrix X by  $row_i(X)$  and its *j*th column by  $col_i(X)$ . Using these conventions, we see that

> $[(AB)^{T}]_{ij} = (AB)_{ji}$ = row<sub>j</sub>(A) · col<sub>i</sub>(B) = col<sub>j</sub>(A<sup>T</sup>) · row<sub>i</sub>(B<sup>T</sup>) = row<sub>i</sub>(B<sup>T</sup>) · col<sub>i</sub>(A<sup>T</sup>) = [B<sup>T</sup>A<sup>T</sup>]\_{ii}

(Note that we have used the definition of matrix multiplication, the definition of the transpose, and the fact that the dot product is commutative.) Since *i* and *j* are arbitrary, this result implies that  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ .

**Remark** Properties (b) and (d) of Theorem 3.4 can be generalized to sums and products of finitely many matrices:

$$(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_k)^T = A_1^T + A_2^T + \dots + A_k^T$$
 and  $(A_1 A_2 \dots A_k)^T$   
=  $A_k^T \dots A_2^T A_1^T$ 

assuming that the sizes of the matrices are such that all of the operations can be performed. You are asked to prove these facts by mathematical induction in Exercises 32 and 33.

Example 3.21

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Then  $A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $A + A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ , a symmetric matrix.  
We have

so

$$BB^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 5 \\ 5 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B^{T}B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 10 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

and

Thus, both  $BB^T$  and  $B^TB$  are symmetric, even though B is not even square! (Check that  $AA^T$  and  $A^TA$  are also symmetric.)

The next theorem says that the results of Example 3.21 are true in general.

# **Theorem 3.5**

a. If A is a square matrix, then  $A + A^T$  is a symmetric matrix. b. For any matrix A,  $AA^T$  and  $A^TA$  are symmetric matrices.

**Proof** We prove (a) and leave proving (b) as Exercise 34. We simply check that

$$(A + A^{T})^{T} = A^{T} + (A^{T})^{T} = A^{T} + A = A + A^{T}$$

(using properties of the transpose and the commutativity of matrix addition). Thus,  $A + A^{T}$  is equal to its own transpose and so, by definition, is symmetric.

# **Exercises 3.2**

In Exercises $1-4$ , solve the equation for X, give	en that
$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} and B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$	
<b>1.</b> $X - 2A + 3B = O$	
<b>2.</b> $2X = A - B$	
<b>3.</b> $2(A + 2B) = 3X$	
A = 2(A - B + X) = 3(X - A)	

*In Exercises* 5–8, *write B as a linear combination of the other matrices, if possible.* 

,

5. 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
6.  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
7.  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  
 $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
8.  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  
 $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

$$A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

*In Exercises* 9–12, *find the general form of the span of the indicated matrices, as in Example 3.17.* 

**9.** span $(A_1, A_2)$  in Exercise 5

**10.** span $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  in Exercise 6

**11.** span $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  in Exercise 7

**12.** span $(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$  in Exercise 8

*In Exercises* 13–16, *determine whether the given matrices are linearly independent.* 

$13. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
$14. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
$15. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3 \\ 1 & 9 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$	
$16. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$	
$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$	

17. Prove Theorem 3.2(a) - (d).

**18.** Prove Theorem 3.2(e) –(h).

- **19.** Prove Theorem 3.3(c).
- **20.** Prove Theorem 3.3(d).
- **21.** Prove the half of Theorem 3.3(e) that was not proved in the text.
- **22.** Prove that, for square matrices A and B, AB = BA if and only if  $(A B)(A + B) = A^2 B^2$ .

In Exercises 23–25, if  $B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ , find conditions on a, b, c, and d such that AB = BA.

**23.** 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 **24.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  **25.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- **26.** Find conditions on *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* such that  $B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  commutes with both  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- 27. Find conditions on *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* such that  $B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  commutes with every 2 × 2 matrix.
- **28.** Prove that if *AB* and *BA* are both defined, then *AB* and *BA* are both square matrices.

A square matrix is called **upper triangular** if all of the entries below the main diagonal are zero. Thus, the form of an upper triangular matrix is

*	*		*	*	-
0	*	•••	*	*	
0	0		, È s	:	
			*	*	
0	0	•••	0	*	

where the entries marked \* are arbitrary. A more formal definition of such a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is that  $a_{ij} = 0$  if i > j.

- **29.** Prove that the product of two upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrices is upper triangular.
- **30.** Prove Theorem 3.4(a) (c).
- **31.** Prove Theorem 3.4(e).
- **32.** Using induction, prove that for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n)^T = A_1^T + A_2^T + \dots + A_n^T$ .
- **33.** Using induction, prove that for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n)^T = A_n^T \cdots A_2^T A_1^T$ .
- **34.** Prove Theorem 3.5(b).

- **35. (a)** Prove that if A and B are symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, then so is A + B.
  - (b) Prove that if A is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, then so is kA for any scalar k.
- **36. (a)** Give an example to show that if *A* and *B* are symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, then *AB* need not be symmetric.
  - (b) Prove that if A and B are symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, then AB is symmetric if and only if AB = BA.

A square matrix is called **skew-symmetric** if  $A^T = -A$ .

(a) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
(c)  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -1 \\ -3 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (d)  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- **38.** Give a componentwise definition of a skew-symmetric matrix.
- **39.** Prove that the main diagonal of a skew-symmetric matrix must consist entirely of zeros.
- **40.** Prove that if *A* and *B* are skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices, then so is A + B.
- **41.** If *A* and *B* are skew-symmetric 2 × 2 matrices, under what conditions is *AB* skew-symmetric?
- **42.** Prove that if A is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then  $A A^T$  is skew-symmetric.
- **43. (a)** Prove that any square matrix *A* can be written as the sum of a symmetric matrix and a skew-symmetric matrix. [*Hint:* Consider Theorem 3.5 and Exercise 42.]
  - **(b)** Illustrate part (a) for the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ .

The **trace** of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is the sum of the entries on its main diagonal and is denoted by tr(A). That is,

$$tr(A) = a_{11} + a_{22} + \cdots + a_{nn}$$

- **44.** If *A* and *B* are  $n \times n$  matrices, prove the following properties of the trace:
  - (a) tr(A + B) = tr(A) + tr(B)
  - (b) tr(kA) = ktr(A), where k is a scalar
- **45.** Prove that if *A* and *B* are  $n \times n$  matrices, then  $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$ .
- **46.** If A is any matrix, to what is  $tr(AA^T)$  equal?
- **47.** Show that there are no  $2 \times 2$  matrices *A* and *B* such that  $AB BA = I_2$ .

163



# The Inverse of a Matrix

In this section, we return to the matrix description  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  of a system of linear equations and look for ways to use matrix algebra to solve the system. By way of analogy, consider the equation ax = b, where a, b, and x represent real numbers and we want to solve for x. We can quickly figure out that we want x = b/a as the solution, but we must remind ourselves that this is true only if  $a \neq 0$ . Proceeding more slowly, assuming that  $a \neq 0$ , we will reach the solution by the following sequence of steps:

$$ax = b \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a}(ax) = \frac{1}{a}(b) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{a}(a)\right)x = \frac{b}{a} \Rightarrow 1 \cdot x = \frac{b}{a} \Rightarrow x = \frac{b}{a}$$

(This example shows how much we do in our head and how many properties of arithmetic and algebra we take for granted!)

To imitate this procedure for the matrix equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , what do we need? We need to find a matrix A' (analogous to 1/a) such that A'A = I, an identity matrix (analogous to 1). If such a matrix exists (analogous to the requirement that  $a \neq 0$ ), then we can do the following sequence of calculations:

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow A'(A\mathbf{x}) = A'\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow (A'A)\mathbf{x} = A'\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow I\mathbf{x} = A'\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = A'\mathbf{b}$$

(Why would each of these steps be justified?)

Our goal in this section is to determine precisely when we can find such a matrix A'. In fact, we are going to insist on a bit more: We want not only A'A = I but also AA' = I. This requirement forces A and A' to be square matrices. (Why?)

**Definition** If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix, an *inverse* of A is an  $n \times n$  matrix A' with the property that

$$AA' = I$$
 and  $A'A = I$ 

where  $I = I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. If such an A' exists, then A is called *invertible*.

**Example 3.22** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $A' = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  is an inverse of A, since  $AA' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A'A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Example 3.23** 

Show that the following matrices are not invertible:

(a)	0 -	0	0	(b)	B =	1	2	
(a) O =	0 -	0	0	(0)	D	2	4	

#### Solution

(a) It is easy to see that the zero matrix O does not have an inverse. If it did, then there would be a matrix O' such that OO' = I = O'O. But the product of the zero matrix with any other matrix is the zero matrix, and so OO' could never equal the identity

164

matrix *I*. (Notice that this proof makes no reference to the size of the matrices and so is true for  $n \times n$  matrices in general.)

(b) Suppose *B* has an inverse 
$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}$$
. The equation  $BB' = I$  gives
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

from which we get the equations

w + 2y = 1 x + 2z = 0 2w + 4y = 02x + 4z = 1

Subtracting twice the first equation from the third yields 0 = -2, which is clearly absurd. Thus, there is no solution. (Row reduction gives the same result but is not really needed here.) We deduce that no such matrix B' exists; that is, B is not invertible. (In fact, it does not even have an inverse that works on one side, let alone two!)

### Remarks

• Even though we have seen that matrix multiplication is not, in general, commutative, A' (if it exists) must satisfy A'A = AA'.

• The examples above raise two questions, which we will answer in this section:

(1) How can we know when a matrix has an inverse?

(2) If a matrix does have an inverse, how can we find it?

• We have not ruled out the possibility that a matrix A might have more than one inverse. The next theorem assures us that this cannot happen.

# **Theorem 3.6**

If *A* is an invertible matrix, then its inverse is unique.

**Proof** In mathematics, a standard way to show that there is just one of something is to show that there cannot be more than one. So, suppose that A has two inverses—say, A' and A''. Then

$$AA' = I = A'A$$
 and  $AA'' = I = A''A$   
 $A' = A'I = A'(AA'') = (A'A)A'' = IA'' = A''$ 

Thus,

Hence, A' = A'', and the inverse is unique.

Thanks to this theorem, we can now refer to *the* inverse of an invertible matrix. From now on, when A is invertible, we will denote its (unique) inverse by  $A^{-1}$  (pronounced "A inverse").

**Warning** Do not be tempted to write  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{A}!$  There is no such operation as "division by a matrix." Even if there were, how on earth could we divide the *scalar* 1 by

the *matrix A*? If you ever feel tempted to "divide" by a matrix, what you really want to do is multiply by its inverse.

We can now complete the analogy that we set up at the beginning of this section.

Theorem 3.7

If *A* is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix, then the system of linear equations given by  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has the unique solution  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  for any  $\mathbf{b}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof** Theorem 3.7 essentially formalizes the observation we made at the beginning of this section. We will go through it again, a little more carefully this time. We are asked to prove two things: that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution and that it has *only one* solution. (In mathematics, such a proof is called an "existence and uniqueness" proof.)

To show that a solution exists, we need only verify that  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  works. We check that

$$A(A^{-1}\mathbf{b}) = (AA^{-1})\mathbf{b} = I\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$$

So  $A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  satisfies the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , and hence there is at least this solution.

To show that this solution is unique, suppose **y** is another solution. Then A**y** = **b**, and multiplying both sides of the equation by  $A^{-1}$  on the left, we obtain the chain of implications

$$A^{-1}(A\mathbf{y}) = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow (A^{-1}A)\mathbf{y} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow I\mathbf{y} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

Thus, y is the same solution as before, and therefore the solution is unique.

So, returning to the questions we raised in the Remarks before Theorem 3.6, how can we tell if a matrix is invertible and how can we find its inverse when it is invertible? We will give a general procedure shortly, but the situation for  $2 \times 2$  matrices is sufficiently simple to warrant being singled out.

**Theorem 3.8** 

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ , then A is invertible if  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , in which case

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

If ad - bc = 0, then A is not invertible.

The expression ad - bc is called the *determinant* of *A*, denoted det *A*. The formula for the inverse of  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  (when it exists) is thus  $\frac{1}{\det A}$  times the matrix obtained by interchanging the entries on the main diagonal and changing the signs on the other two entries. In addition to giving this formula, Theorem 3.8 says that a 2 × 2 matrix *A* is invertible if and only if det  $A \neq 0$ . We will see in Chapter 4 that the determinant can be defined for all square matrices and that this result remains true, although there is no simple formula for the inverse of larger square matrices.

**Proof** Suppose that det  $A = ad - bc \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ad - bc & -ab + ba \\ cd - dc & -cb + da \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ad - bc & 0 \\ 0 & ad - bc \end{bmatrix} = \det A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \det A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since det  $A \neq 0$ , we can multiply both sides of each equation by  $1/\det A$  to obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\left( \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

[Note that we have used property (d) of Theorem 3.3.] Thus, the matrix

$$\frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies the definition of an inverse, so *A* is invertible. Since the inverse of *A* is unique, by Theorem 3.6, we must have

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Conversely, assume that ad - bc = 0. We will consider separately the cases where  $a \neq 0$  and where a = 0. If  $a \neq 0$ , then d = bc/a, so the matrix can be written as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ac/a & bc/a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ka & kb \end{bmatrix}$$

where k = c/a. In other words, the second row of *A* is a multiple of the first. Referring

to Example 3.23(b), we see that if *A* has an inverse  $\begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ka & kb \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the corresponding system of linear equations

$$aw + by = 1$$
  

$$ax + bz = 0$$
  

$$kaw + kby = 0$$
  

$$kax + kbz = 1$$

has no solution. (Why?)

If a = 0, then ad - bc = 0 implies that bc = 0, and therefore either b or c is 0. Thus, A is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

In the first case,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Similarly,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$  cannot have an inverse. (Verify this.)

Consequently, if ad - bc = 0, then A is not invertible.

166

**Example 3.24**  
Find the inverses of 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -15 \\ 4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ , if they exist.  
**Solution** We have det  $A = 1(4) - 2(3) = -2 \neq 0$ , so  $A$  is invertible, with  
 $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{-2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$   
(Check this.)  
On the other hand, det  $B = 12(-5) - (-15)(4) = 0$ , so  $B$  is not invertible

**Example 3.25** 

Use the inverse of the coefficient matrix to solve the linear system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x+2y=&3\\ 3x+4y=&-2 \end{array}$$

**Solution** The coefficient matrix is the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , whose inverse we computed in Example 3.24. By Theorem 3.7,  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has the unique solution  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ . Here we have  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ ; thus, the solution to the given system is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1\\ \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -8\\ \frac{11}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Remark** Solving a linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  via  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  would appear to be a good method. Unfortunately, except for  $2 \times 2$  coefficient matrices and matrices with certain special forms, it is almost always faster to use Gaussian or Gauss-Jordan elimination to find the solution directly. (See Exercise 13.) Furthermore, the technique of Example 3.25 works only when the coefficient matrix is square and invertible, while elimination methods can always be applied.

# **Properties of Invertible Matrices**

The following theorem records some of the most important properties of invertible matrices.

**Theorem 3.9** a. If A is an invertible matrix, then  $A^{-1}$  is invertible and

 $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$ 

b. If *A* is an invertible matrix and *c* is a nonzero scalar, then *cA* is an invertible matrix and

$$(cA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{c}A^{-1}$$

c. If A and B are invertible matrices of the same size, then AB is invertible and

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

168

d. If A is an invertible matrix, then  $A^T$  is invertible and

$$(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$$

e. If A is an invertible matrix, then  $A^n$  is invertible for all nonnegative integers n and

$$(A^n)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^n$$

**Proof** We will prove properties (a), (c), and (e), leaving properties (b) and (d) to be proven in Exercises 14 and 15.

(a) To show that  $A^{-1}$  is invertible, we must argue that there is a matrix X such that

$$A^{-1}X = I = XA^{-1}$$

But A certainly satisfies these equations in place of X, so  $A^{-1}$  is invertible and A is an inverse of  $A^{-1}$ . Since inverses are unique, this means that  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$ .

(c) Here we must show that there is a matrix *X* such that

$$(AB)X = I = X(AB)$$

The claim is that substituting  $B^{-1}A^{-1}$  for X works. We check that

$$(AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1}) = A(BB^{-1})A^{-1} = AIA^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I$$

where we have used associativity to shift the parentheses. Similarly,  $(B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB) = I$  (check!), so *AB* is invertible and its inverse is  $B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

(e) The basic idea here is easy enough. For example, when n = 2, we have

$$A^{2}(A^{-1})^{2} = AAA^{-1}A^{-1} = AIA^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I$$

Similarly,  $(A^{-1})^2 A^2 = I$ . Thus,  $(A^{-1})^2$  is the inverse of  $A^2$ . It is not difficult to see that a similar argument works for any higher integer value of *n*. However, mathematical induction is the way to carry out the proof.

The basis step is when n = 0, in which case we are being asked to prove that  $A^0$  is invertible and that

$$(A^0)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^0$$

This is the same as showing that *I* is invertible and that  $I^{-1} = I$ , which is clearly true. (Why? See Exercise 16.)

Now we assume that the result is true when n = k, where k is a specific nonnegative integer. That is, the induction hypothesis is to assume that  $A^k$  is invertible and that

$$(A^k)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^k$$

The induction step requires that we prove that  $A^{k+1}$  is invertible and that  $(A^{k+1})^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{k+1}$ . Now we know from (c) that  $A^{k+1} = A^k A$  is invertible, since A and (by hypothesis)  $A^k$  are both invertible. Moreover,

$$(A^{-1})^{k+1} = (A^{-1})^k A^{-1}$$
  
=  $(A^k)^{-1} A^{-1}$  by the induction hypothesis  
=  $(AA^k)^{-1}$  by property (c)  
=  $(A^{k+1})^{-1}$ 

Therefore,  $A^n$  is invertible for all nonnegative integers *n*, and  $(A^n)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^n$  by the principle of mathematical induction.

#### Remarks

• While all of the properties of Theorem 3.9 are useful, (c) is the one you should highlight. It is perhaps the most important algebraic property of matrix inverses. It is also the one that is easiest to get wrong. In Exercise 17, you are asked to give a counterexample to show that, contrary to what we might like,  $(AB)^{-1} \neq A^{-1}B^{-1}$  in general. The correct property,  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ , is sometimes called the socks-and-shoes rule, because, although we put our socks on before our shoes, we take them off in the reverse order.

• Property (c) generalizes to products of finitely many invertible matrices: If  $A_1$ ,  $A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are invertible matrices of the same size, then  $A_1A_2 \cdots A_n$  is invertible and

$$(A_1A_2\cdots A_n)^{-1} = A_n^{-1}\cdots A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}$$

(See Exercise 18.) Thus, we can state:

The inverse of a product of invertible matrices is the product of their inverses in the reverse order.

• Since, for real numbers,  $\frac{1}{a+b} \neq \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}$ , we should not expect that, for square matrices,  $(A + B)^{-1} = A^{-1} + B^{-1}$  (and, indeed, this is not true in general; see Exercise 19). In fact, except for special matrices, there is no formula for  $(A + B)^{-1}$ .

• Property (e) allows us to define negative integer powers of an invertible matrix:

**Definition** If A is an invertible matrix and n is a positive integer, then  $A^{-n}$  is defined by

$$A^{-n} = (A^{-1})^n = (A^n)^{-1}$$

With this definition, it can be shown that the rules for exponentiation,  $A^r A^s = A^{r+s}$  and  $(A^r)^s = A^{rs}$ , hold for all integers *r* and *s*, provided *A* is invertible.

One use of the algebraic properties of matrices is to help solve equations involving matrices. The next example illustrates the process. Note that we must pay particular attention to the order of the matrices in the product.

Example 3.26

Solve the following matrix equation for *X* (assuming that the matrices involved are such that all of the indicated operations are defined):

 $A^{-1}(BX)^{-1} = (A^{-1}B^3)^2$ 

Solution There are many ways to proceed here. One solution is

$$A^{-1}(BX)^{-1} = (A^{-1}B^{3})^{2} \Rightarrow ((BX)A)^{-1} = (A^{-1}B^{3})^{2}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow [((BX)A)^{-1}]^{-1} = [(A^{-1}B^{3})^{2}]^{-1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (BX)A = [(A^{-1}B^{3})(A^{-1}B^{3})]^{-1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (BX)A = B^{-3}(A^{-1})^{-1}B^{-3}(A^{-1})^{-1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow BXA = B^{-3}AB^{-3}A$$
  

$$\Rightarrow B^{-1}BXAA^{-1} = B^{-1}B^{-3}AB^{-3}AA^{-1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow IXI = B^{-4}AB^{-3}I$$
  

$$\Rightarrow X = B^{-4}AB^{-3}$$

(Can you justify each step?) Note the careful use of Theorem 3.9(c) and the expansion of  $(A^{-1}B^3)^2$ . We have also made liberal use of the associativity of matrix multiplication to simplify the placement (or elimination) of parentheses.

# **Elementary Matrices**

 $\leftarrow$ 

We are going to use matrix multiplication to take a different perspective on the row reduction of matrices. In the process, you will discover many new and important insights into the nature of invertible matrices.

 $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 7 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

If

we find that

 $EA = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 7 \\ 8 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

In other words, multiplying A by E (on the left) has the same effect as interchanging rows 2 and 3 of A. What is significant about E? It is simply the matrix we obtain by applying the same elementary row operation,  $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$ , to the identity matrix  $I_3$ . It turns out that this always works.

**Definition** An *elementary matrix* is any matrix that can be obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

Since there are three types of elementary row operations, there are three corresponding types of elementary matrices. Here are some more elementary matrices.

0 0 3 0 0 1 0 0	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix},  E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\0 & 1 & 0\\1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} $
	0 0		

Each of these matrices has been obtained from the identity matrix  $I_4$  by applying a single elementary row operation. The matrix  $E_1$  corresponds to  $3R_2$ ,  $E_2$  to  $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3$ , and  $E_3$  to  $R_4 - 2R_2$ . Observe that when we left-multiply a  $4 \times n$  matrix by one of these elementary matrices, the corresponding elementary row operation is performed on the matrix. For example, if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

and

$$E_{1}A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 3a_{21} & 3a_{22} & 3a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{2}A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$E_{3}A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{41} - 2a_{21} & a_{42} - 2a_{22} & a_{43} - 2a_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 3.27 and Exercises 24–30 should convince you that *any* elementary row operation on *any* matrix can be accomplished by left-multiplying by a suitable elementary matrix. We record this fact as a theorem, the proof of which is omitted.

**Theorem 3.10** 

Let *E* be the elementary matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on  $I_n$ . If the same elementary row operation is performed on an  $n \times r$  matrix *A*, the result is the same as the matrix *EA*.

**Remark** From a computational point of view, it is not a good idea to use elementary matrices to perform elementary row operations—just do them directly. However, elementary matrices can provide some valuable insights into invertible matrices and the solution of systems of linear equations.

We have already observed that every elementary row operation can be "undone," or "reversed." This same observation applied to elementary matrices shows us that they are invertible.

Example 3.28

# Let

	<b>∏</b> 1 0	0]	$\lceil 1 \rangle$	0	0]			[ 1	0	0]
$E_1 =$	0 0	1 , $E_2 =$	0	4	0,	and	$E_3 =$	0	1	0
	0 1	0	Lo	0	1			$\lfloor -2 \rfloor$	0	1

Then  $E_1$  corresponds to  $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$ , which is undone by doing  $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$  again. Thus,  $E_1^{-1} = E_1$ . (Check by showing that  $E_1^2 = E_1 E_1 = I$ .) The matrix  $E_2$  comes from  $4R_2$ ,
which is undone by performing  $\frac{1}{4}R_2$ . Thus,

	[1	0	0	
$E_2^{-1} =$	0	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	
	L0	0	1	

which can be easily checked. Finally,  $E_3$  corresponds to the elementary row operation  $R_3 - 2R_1$ , which can be undone by the elementary row operation  $R_3 + 2R_1$ . So, in this case,

	[1	0	0]	
$E_3^{-1} =$	0	1	0	
	2	0	1	

(Again, it is easy to check this by confirming that the product of this matrix and  $E_3$ , in both orders, is *I*.)

Notice that not only is each elementary matrix invertible, but its inverse is another elementary matrix of the same type. We record this finding as the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.11** Each elementary matrix is invertible, and its inverse is an elementary matrix of the same type.

# The Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices

We are now in a position to prove one of the main results in this book—a set of equivalent characterizations of what it means for a matrix to be invertible. In a sense, much of linear algebra is connected to this theorem, either in the development of these characterizations or in their application. As you might expect, given this introduction, we will use this theorem a great deal. Make it your friend!

We refer to Theorem 3.12 as the first version of the Fundamental Theorem, since we will add to it in subsequent chapters. You are reminded that, when we say that a set of statements about a matrix A are equivalent, we mean that, for a given A, the statements are either all true or all false.

Theorem 3.12	The Fundamental	<b>Theorem of Invertible Matrices:</b>	Version 1
--------------	-----------------	--	-----------

Let *A* be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The following statements are equivalent:

a. A is invertible.

- b.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution for every **b** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- c.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
- d. The reduced row echelon form of A is  $I_n$ .
- e. *A* is a product of elementary matrices.

173

**Proof** We will establish the theorem by proving the circular chain of implications

$$(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (a)$$

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) We have already shown that if A is invertible, then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has the unique solution  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$  for any **b** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (Theorem 3.7).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Assume that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution for any  $\mathbf{b}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This implies, in particular, that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has a unique solution. But a homogeneous system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  always has  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  as *one* solution. So in this case,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  must be *the* solution.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) Suppose that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution. The corresponding system of equations is

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = 0$$
  

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = 0$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = 0$$

and we are assuming that its solution is

 $x_1$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} = 0 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n = 0 \end{array}$$

In other words, Gauss-Jordan elimination applied to the augmented matrix of the system gives

$$[A|\mathbf{0}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & 0\\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = [I_n|\mathbf{0}]$$

Thus, the reduced row echelon form of A is  $I_n$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e) If we assume that the reduced row echelon form of *A* is  $I_n$ , then *A* can be reduced to  $I_n$  using a finite sequence of elementary row operations. By Theorem 3.10, each one of these elementary row operations can be achieved by left-multiplying by an appropriate elementary matrix. If the appropriate sequence of elementary matrices is  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$  (in that order), then we have

$$E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 A = I_n$$

According to Theorem 3.11, these elementary matrices are all invertible. Therefore, so is their product, and we have

$$A = (E_k \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1} I_n = (E_k \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1} = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1}$$

Again, each  $E_i^{-1}$  is another elementary matrix, by Theorem 3.11, so we have written *A* as a product of elementary matrices, as required.

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) If A is a product of elementary matrices, then A is invertible, since elementary matrices are invertible and products of invertible matrices are invertible.

**Example 3.29** 

If possible, express  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  as a product of elementary matrices.

**Solution** We row reduce *A* as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-\frac{1}{2}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_2$$

Thus, the reduced row echelon form of *A* is the identity matrix, so the Fundamental Theorem assures us that *A* is invertible and can be written as a product of elementary matrices. We have  $E_4E_3E_2E_1A = I$ , where

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

are the elementary matrices corresponding to the four elementary row operations used to reduce *A* to *I*. As in the proof of the theorem, we have

$$A = (E_4 E_3 E_2 E_1)^{-1} = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} E_3^{-1} E_4^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

as required.

**Remark** Because the sequence of elementary row operations that transforms A into I is not unique, neither is the representation of A as a product of elementary matrices. (Find a different way to express A as a product of elementary matrices.)

The Fundamental Theorem is surprisingly powerful. To illustrate its power, we consider two of its consequences. The first is that, although the definition of an invertible matrix states that a matrix A is invertible if there is a matrix B such that *both* AB = I and BA = I are satisfied, we need only check *one* of these equations. Thus, we can cut our work in half!

**Theorem 3.13** Let A be a square matrix. If B is a square matrix such that either AB = I or BA = I, then A is invertible and  $B = A^{-1}$ .

**Proof** Suppose BA = I. Consider the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Left-multiplying by B, we have  $BA\mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{0}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{x} = I\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus, the system represented by  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has the unique solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . From the equivalence of (c) and (a) in the Fundamental Theorem, we know that A is invertible. (That is,  $A^{-1}$  exists and satisfies  $AA^{-1} = I = A^{-1}A$ .) If we now right-multiply both sides of BA = I by  $A^{-1}$ , we obtain

ow right-multiply both sides of 
$$BA = I$$
 by  $A^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$BAA^{-1} = IA^{-1} \Rightarrow BI = A^{-1} \Rightarrow B = A^{-1}$$

(The proof in the case of AB = I is left as Exercise 41.)

The next consequence of the Fundamental Theorem is the basis for an efficient method of computing the inverse of a matrix.

"Never bring a cannon on stage in Act I unless you intend to fire it by the last act." –Anton Chekhov

### **Theorem 3.14**

Let *A* be a square matrix. If a sequence of elementary row operations reduces *A* to *I*, then the same sequence of elementary row operations transforms *I* into  $A^{-1}$ .

**Proof** If A is row equivalent to I, then we can achieve the reduction by leftmultiplying by a sequence  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$  of elementary matrices. Therefore, we have  $E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 A = I$ . Setting  $B = E_k \cdots E_2 E_1$  gives BA = I. By Theorem 3.13, A is invertible and  $A^{-1} = B$ . Now applying the same sequence of elementary row operations to I is equivalent to left-multiplying I by  $E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 = B$ . The result is

$$E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 I = BI = B = A^{-1}$$

Thus, I is transformed into  $A^{-1}$  by the same sequence of elementary row operations.

# The Gauss-Jordan Method for Computing the Inverse

We can perform row operations on *A* and *I* simultaneously by constructing a "superaugmented matrix" [A | I]. Theorem 3.14 shows that if *A* is row equivalent to *I* [which, by the Fundamental Theorem (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a), means that *A* is invertible], then elementary row operations will yield

$$[A \mid I] \longrightarrow [I \mid A^{-1}]$$

If *A* cannot be reduced to *I*, then the Fundamental Theorem guarantees us that *A* is not invertible.

The procedure just described is simply Gauss-Jordan elimination performed on an  $n \times 2n$ , instead of an  $n \times (n + 1)$ , augmented matrix. Another way to view this procedure is to look at the problem of finding  $A^{-1}$  as solving the matrix equation  $AX = I_n$  for an  $n \times n$  matrix X. (This is sufficient, by the Fundamental Theorem, since a right inverse of A must be a two-sided inverse.) If we denote the columns of X by  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$ , then this matrix equation is equivalent to solving for the columns of X, one at a time. Since the columns of  $I_n$  are the standard unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$ , we thus have n systems of linear equations, all with coefficient matrix A:

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, A\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{e}_n$$

Since the same sequence of row operations is needed to bring A to reduced row echelon form in each case, the augmented matrices for these systems,  $[A | \mathbf{e}_1], \ldots, [A | \mathbf{e}_n]$ , can be combined as

$$[A | \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n] = [A | I_n]$$

We now apply row operations to try to reduce A to  $I_n$ , which, if successful, will simultaneously solve for the columns of  $A^{-1}$ , transforming  $I_n$  into  $A^{-1}$ .

We illustrate this use of Gauss-Jordan elimination with three examples.

**Example 3.30** 

Find the inverse of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

if it exists.

**Solution** Gauss-Jordan elimination produces

$$[A|I] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -3 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_2 - 2R_1}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 6 & | & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{(-\frac{1}{2})R_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & | & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_3 - R_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & | & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 & | & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_3 - R_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & | & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_1 + R_3}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & | & -1 & | & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -5 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 & | & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_1 - 2R_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 9 & -\frac{3}{2} & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -5 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 & | & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,

	<b>9</b>	$-\frac{3}{2}$	-5]	
$A^{-1} =$	-5	1	3	
	$\lfloor -2 \rfloor$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	

(You should always check that  $AA^{-1} = I$  by direct multiplication. By Theorem 3.13, we do not need to check that  $A^{-1}A = I$  too.)

**Remark** Notice that we have used the variant of Gauss-Jordan elimination that first introduces all of the zeros *below* the leading 1s, from left to right and top to bottom, and then creates zeros *above* the leading 1s, from right to left and bottom to top. This approach saves on calculations, as we noted in Chapter 2, but you may find it easier, when working by hand, to create *all* of the zeros in each column as you go. The answer, of course, will be the same.

**Example 3.31** Find the inverse of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -4 \\ -4 & -1 & 6 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

if it exists.

**Solution** We proceed as in Example 3.30, adjoining the identity matrix to A and then trying to manipulate [A | I] into  $[I | A^{-1}]$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \mid I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -4 \mid 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -1 & 6 \mid 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \mid 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_2 + 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -4 \mid 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \mid 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 \mid 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_3 - 3R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \mid 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \mid 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \mid -5 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

At this point, we see that it is not possible to reduce *A* to *I*, since there is a row of zeros on the left-hand side of the augmented matrix. Consequently, *A* is not invertible.

As the next example illustrates, everything works the same way over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , where *p* is prime.

**Example 3.32** Find the inverse of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

if it exists, over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

**Solution 1** We use the Gauss-Jordan method, remembering that all calculations are in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

$[A \mid I] =$	2 2	2	1 0	0 1	
$\xrightarrow{2R_1}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	1	2	0	
$\xrightarrow{R_2+R_1}$	[1	1	2	0	
	0	1	2	1	
$R_1 + 2R_2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$	0	0	2	
	0	1	2	1	

Thus,  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and it is easy to check that, over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $AA^{-1} = I$ .

**Solution 2** Since A is a 2  $\times$  2 matrix, we can also compute  $A^{-1}$  using the formula given in Theorem 3.8. The determinant of A is

$$\det A = 2(0) - 2(2) = -1 = 2$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  (since 2 + 1 = 0). Thus,  $A^{-1}$  exists and is given by the formula in Theorem 3.8. We must be careful here, though, since the formula introduces the "fraction"  $1/\det A$ 

and there are no fractions in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . We must use multiplicative inverses rather than division.

Instead of  $1/\det A = 1/2$ , we use  $2^{-1}$ ; that is, we find the number *x* that satisfies the equation 2x = 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . It is easy to see that x = 2 is the solution we want: In  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $2^{-1} = 2$ , since 2(2) = 1. The formula for  $A^{-1}$  now becomes

$$A^{-1} = 2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which agrees with our previous solution.

# Exercises 3.3

*In Exercises 1–10, find the inverse of the given matrix (if it exists) using Theorem 3.8.* 

1. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
3.  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$   
5.  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{5}{6} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$   
7.  $\begin{bmatrix} -1.5 & -4.2 \\ 0.5 & 2.4 \end{bmatrix}$   
8.  $\begin{bmatrix} 3.55 & 0.25 \\ 8.52 & 0.60 \end{bmatrix}$   
9.  $\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$   
10.  $\begin{bmatrix} 1/a & 1/b \\ 1/c & 1/d \end{bmatrix}$ , where neither *a*, *b*, *c*, nor *d* is 0

*In Exercises 11 and 12, solve the given system using the method of Example 3.25.* 

**11.** 2x + y = -1 5x + 3y = 2 **12.**  $x_1 - x_2 = 1$  $2x_1 + x_2 = 2$  **13.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- (a) Find  $A^{-1}$  and use it to solve the three systems  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_1$ ,  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_2$ , and  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_3$ .
- (b) Solve all three systems at the same time by row reducing the augmented matrix [A | b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> b<sub>3</sub>] using Gauss-Jordan elimination.
- (c) Carefully count the total number of individual multiplications that you performed in (a) and in (b). You should discover that, even for this 2 × 2 example, one method uses fewer operations.

For larger systems, the difference is even more pronounced, and this explains why computer systems do not use one of these methods to solve linear systems.

- 14. Prove Theorem 3.9(b).
- 15. Prove Theorem 3.9(d).
- **16.** Prove that the  $n \times n$  identity matrix  $I_n$  is invertible and that  $I_n^{-1} = I_n$ .
- 17. (a) Give a counterexample to show that  $(AB)^{-1} \neq A^{-1}B^{-1}$  in general.
  - (b) Under what conditions on A and B is  $(AB)^{-1} = A^{-1}B^{-1}$ ? Prove your assertion.
- **18.** By induction, prove that if  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are invertible matrices of the same size, then the product  $A_1A_2 \cdots A_n$  is invertible and  $(A_1A_2 \cdots A_n)^{-1} = A_n^{-1} \cdots A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}$ .
- **19.** Give a counterexample to show that  $(A + B)^{-1} \neq A^{-1} + B^{-1}$  in general.

In Exercises 20–23, solve the given matrix equation for X. Simplify your answers as much as possible. (In the words of Albert Einstein, "Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler.") Assume that all matrices are invertible.

**20.** 
$$XA^2 = A^{-1}$$
  
**21.**  $AXB = (BA)^2$   
**22.**  $(A^{-1}X)^{-1} = A(B^{-2}A)^{-1}$   
**23.**  $ABXA^{-1}B^{-1} = I + A$ 

In Exercises 24-30, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

179

*In each case, find an elementary matrix E that satisfies the given equation.* 

24.	ΕA	=	В	<b>25.</b> EB	= A		26.	ΕA	=	С
27.	EC	_	A	28. EC	= L	)	29.	ED	=	C

**30.** Is there an elementary matrix E such that EA = D? Why or why not?

*In Exercises 31–38, find the inverse of the given elementary matrix.* 

$$31. \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad 32. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\33. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad 34. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\35. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad 36. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\37. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, c \neq 0 \qquad 38. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, c \neq 0$$

In Exercises 39 and 40, find a sequence of elementary matrices  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$  such that  $E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 A = I$ . Use this sequence to write both A and  $A^{-1}$  as products of elementary matrices.

**39.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$  **40.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**41.** Prove Theorem 3.13 for the case of AB = I.

- **42. (a)** Prove that if A is invertible and AB = O, then B = O.
  - (b) Give a counterexample to show that the result in part (a) may fail if *A* is not invertible.
- **43. (a)** Prove that if A is invertible and BA = CA, then B = C.
  - (b) Give a counterexample to show that the result in part (a) may fail if A is not invertible.
- **44.** A square matrix *A* is called *idempotent* if  $A^2 = A$ . (The word *idempotent* comes from the Latin *idem*, meaning "same," and *potere*, meaning "to have power." Thus, something that is idempotent has the "same power" when squared.)
  - (a) Find three idempotent  $2 \times 2$  matrices.
  - (b) Prove that the only invertible idempotent  $n \times n$  matrix is the identity matrix.
- **45.** Show that if *A* is a square matrix that satisfies the equation  $A^2 2A + I = O$ , then  $A^{-1} = 2I A$ .
- **46.** Prove that if a symmetric matrix is invertible, then its inverse is symmetric also.

**47.** Prove that if *A* and *B* are square matrices and *AB* is invertible, then both *A* and *B* are invertible.

*In Exercises* 48–63, *use the Gauss-Jordan method to find the inverse of the given matrix (if it exists).* 

48.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	5 4		49.	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	
50.	$\begin{bmatrix} 4\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$		51.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -a \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
52.	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ - \end{array} $	0 -1 -1	53.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 3\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	-1 1 3 -	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$
54.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$     \begin{bmatrix}       1 & 0 \\       0 & 1 \\       1 & 1     \end{bmatrix}   $		55.	$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \\ 1 & a \end{bmatrix}$	
56.	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\b\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \\ d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		57.	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       -1 \\       1 \\       -1 \\       1     \end{array} $	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$
58.		$ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{2} \\ 4\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 2 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} $			
59.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	0 0 1 0 0 1		60.	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ ove	$\operatorname{pr} \mathbb{Z}_2$
61	$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & c \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ove	$\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ r $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$	62.	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array}$	$\left]$ over $\mathbb{Z}_3$
63	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	5 0 2 4 6 1	over $\mathbb{Z}_7$				

Partitioning large square matrices can sometimes make their inverses easier to compute, particularly if the blocks have a nice form. In Exercises 64–68, verify by block multiplication that the inverse of a matrix, if partitioned as shown, is as claimed. (Assume that all inverses exist as needed.)

$$\mathbf{64.} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & -A^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ O & D^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

65. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} O & B \\ C & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -(BC)^{-1} & (BC)^{-1}B \\ C(BC)^{-1} & I - C(BC)^{-1}B \end{bmatrix}$$
  
66. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ C & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (I - BC)^{-1} & -(I - BC)^{-1}B \\ -C(I - BC)^{-1} & I + C(I - BC)^{-1}B \end{bmatrix}$$
  
67. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} O & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$
  

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -(BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & (BD^{-1}C)^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ D^{-1}C(BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & D^{-1} - D^{-1}C(BD^{-1}C)^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
68. 
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } P = (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1},$$
  

$$Q = -PBD^{-1}, R = -D^{-1}CP, \text{ and } S = D^{-1} + D^{-1}CPBD^{-1}$$

*In Exercises* 69–72, *partition the given matrix so that you can apply one of the formulas from Exercises* 64–68, *and then calculate the inverse using that formula.* 

$$\mathbf{69.} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**70.** The matrix in Exercise 58

	0	0	1	1	F	~		
	0	0	1	0		0	1	1
71.	0	-1	1	0	72.	1	3	1
	1	1	0	1	L-	1	5	2



Just as it is natural (and illuminating) to factor a natural number into a product of other natural numbers—for example,  $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ —it is also frequently helpful to factor matrices as products of other matrices. Any representation of a matrix as a product of two or more other matrices is called a *matrix factorization*. For example,

3	-1]_	$\lceil 1 \rceil$	0	3	-1
9	-5]	3	1	0	-2]

is a matrix factorization.

Needless to say, some factorizations are more useful than others. In this section, we introduce a matrix factorization that arises in the solution of systems of linear equations by Gaussian elimination and is particularly well suited to computer implementation. In subsequent chapters, we will encounter other equally useful matrix factorizations. Indeed, the topic is a rich one, and entire books and courses have been devoted to it.

Consider a system of linear equations of the form  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where A is an  $n \times n$  matrix. Our goal is to show that Gaussian elimination implicitly factors A into a product of matrices that then enable us to solve the given system (and any other system with the same coefficient matrix) easily.

The following example illustrates the basic idea.

Example 3.33	Let			
		$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1\\ 4 & -1\\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$	3 3 5	

Row reduction of A proceeds as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + 3R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = U \quad (1)$$

The three elementary matrices  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$  that accomplish this reduction of A to echelon form U are (in order):

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$E_3 E_2 E_1 A = U$$

The LU factorization was introduced in 1948 by the great English mathematician Alan M. Turing (1912-1954) in a paper entitled "Rounding-off Errors in Matrix Processes" (Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, 1 (1948), pp. 287-308). During World War II, Turing was instrumental in cracking the German "Enigma" code. However, he is best known for his work in mathematical logic that laid the theoretical groundwork for the development of the digital computer and the modern field of artificial intelligence. The "Turing test" that he proposed in 1950 is still used as one of the benchmarks in addressing the question of whether a computer can be considered "intelligent."

Solving for *A*, we get

$$A = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} E_3^{-1} U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} U$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} U = LU$$

Thus, A can be factored as

$$A = LU$$

where *U* is an *upper triangular* matrix (see the exercises for Section 3.2), and *L* is *unit lower triangular*. That is, *L* has the form

	Γ1	0	• • •	0 ]	
	*	1		0	
L =	:	÷	•••	÷	
	*	*		1	

with zeros above and 1s on the main diagonal.

The preceding example motivates the following definition.

**Definition** Let A be a square matrix. A factorization of A as A = LU, where L is unit lower triangular and U is upper triangular, is called an *LU factorization* of A.

#### Remarks

• Observe that the matrix A in Example 3.33 had an LU factorization because no row interchanges were needed in the row reduction of A. Hence, all of the elementary matrices that arose were unit lower triangular. Thus, L was guaranteed to be unit

lower triangular because inverses and products of unit lower triangular matrices are also unit lower triangular. (See Exercises 29 and 30.)

If a zero had appeared in a pivot position at any step, we would have had to swap rows to get a nonzero pivot. This would have resulted in *L* no longer being unit lower triangular. We will comment further on this observation below. (Can you find a matrix for which row interchanges will be necessary?)

The notion of an *LU* factorization can be generalized to nonsquare matrices by simply requiring *U* to be a matrix in row echelon form. (See Exercises 13 and 14.)
Some books define an *LU* factorization of a square matrix *A* to be any factorization *A* = *LU*, where *L* is lower triangular and *U* is upper triangular.

The first remark above is essentially a proof of the following theorem.

# **Theorem 3.15** If *A* is a square matrix that can be reduced to row echelon form without using any row interchanges, then *A* has an *LU* factorization.

To see why the *LU* factorization is useful, consider a linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where the coefficient matrix has an *LU* factorization A = LU. We can rewrite the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  as  $LU\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  or  $L(U\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$ . If we now define  $\mathbf{y} = U\mathbf{x}$ , then we can solve for  $\mathbf{x}$  in two stages:

Solve Ly = b for y by *forward substitution* (see Exercises 25 and 26 in Section 2.1).
 Solve Ux = y for x by *back substitution*.

Each of these linear systems is straightforward to solve because the coefficient matrices L and U are both triangular. The next example illustrates the method.

**Example 3.34** 

Use an *LU* factorization of 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 to solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution** In Example 3.33, we found that

-

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

As outlined above, to solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  (which is the same as  $L(U\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$ ), we first solve

$$L\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b} \text{ for } \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ This is just the linear system}$$
$$y_1 = 1$$
$$2y_1 + y_2 = -4$$
$$-y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 = 9$$

Forward substitution (that is, working from top to bottom) yields

$$y_1 = 1, y_2 = -4 - 2y_1 = -6, y_3 = 9 + y_1 + 2y_2 = -2$$

Thus 
$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -6 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and we now solve  $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  for  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$ . This linear system is  

$$2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 = 1$$

$$-3x_2 - 3x_3 = -6$$

$$2x_3 = -2$$

and back substitution quickly produces

$$x_3 = -1,$$
  

$$-3x_2 = -6 + 3x_3 = -9 \text{ so that } x_2 = 3, \text{ and}$$
  

$$2x_1 = 1 - x_2 - 3x_3 = 1 \text{ so that } x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$$
  
Therefore, the solution to the given system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

An Easy Way to Find *LU* Factorizations

In Example 3.33, we computed the matrix L as a product of elementary matrices. Fortunately, L can be computed directly from the row reduction process without our needing to compute elementary matrices at all. Remember that we are assuming that A can be reduced to row echelon form without using any row interchanges. If this is the case, then the entire row reduction process can be done using only elementary row operations of the form  $R_i - kR_j$ . (Why do we not need to use the remaining elementary row operation, multiplying a row by a nonzero scalar?) In the operation  $R_i - kR_j$ , we will refer to the scalar k as the *multiplier*.

In Example 3.33, the elementary row operations that were used were, in order,

 $R_{2} - 2R_{1}$  (multiplier = 2)  $R_{3} + R_{1} = R_{3} - (-1)R_{1}$  (multiplier = -1)  $R_{3} + 2R_{2} = R_{3} - (-2)R_{2}$  (multiplier = -2)

The multipliers are precisely the entries of L that are below its diagonal! Indeed,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $L_{21} = 2$ ,  $L_{31} = -1$ , and  $L_{32} = -2$ . Notice that the elementary row operation  $R_i - kR_j$  has its multiplier k placed in the (i, j) entry of L.

Example 3.35	Find an LU factorizat	ion of			
		Γ 3	1	3 -4]	
	·	6	4	8 -10	
		A – 3	2	5 -1	
		9	5	-2 $-4$	

**Solution** Reducing *A* to row echelon form, we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 6 & 4 & 8 & -10 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & -1 \\ -9 & 5 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_2 - 2R_1}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 8 & 7 & -16 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\stackrel{R_3 - \frac{1}{2}R_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 8 & 7 & -16 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\stackrel{R_3 - \frac{1}{2}R_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\stackrel{R_4 - (-1)R_3}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = U$$

The first three multipliers are 2, 1, and -3, and these go into the subdiagonal entries of the first column of *L*. So, thus far,

	[ 1	0	0	0
τ —	2	1	0	0
L –	1	*	1	0
	3	*	*	1

The next two multipliers are  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 4, so we continue to fill out *L*:

	<b>[</b> 1	0	0	07
T	2	1	0	0
L =	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0
	3	4	*	1
	-			

The final multiplier, -1, replaces the last \* in *L* to give

L

<b>[</b> 1	0	0	0	
 2	1	0	0	
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	
	4	-1	1_	

Thus, an LU factorization of A is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 6 & 4 & 8 & -10 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & -1 \\ -9 & 5 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 4 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

as is easily checked.

#### Remarks

• In applying this method, it is important to note that the elementary row operations  $R_i - kR_j$  must be performed from top to bottom within each column (using the diagonal entry as the pivot), and column by column from left to right. To illustrate what can go wrong if we do not obey these rules, consider the following row reduction:

	1	2	2	D 0.D	1	2	2	<b>D D</b>	$\lceil 1 \rceil$	2	2	
A =	1	1	1	$\xrightarrow{R_3-2R_2}$	1	1	1	$\xrightarrow{R_3-R_1}$	0	-1	-1	= U
	2	2	1		0	0	-1		0	0	-1	

This time the multipliers would be placed in *L* as follows:  $L_{32} = 2$ ,  $L_{21} = 1$ . We would get

	1	0	0	
L =	1	1	0	
	0	2	1	

but  $A \neq LU$ . (Check this! Find a correct LU factorization of A.)

• An alternative way to construct L is to observe that the multipliers can be obtained directly from the matrices obtained at the intermediate steps of the row reduction process. In Example 3.33, examine the pivots and the corresponding columns of the matrices that arise in the row reduction

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = U$$

The first pivot is 2, which occurs in the first column of *A*. Dividing the entries of this column vector that are on or below the diagonal by the pivot produces

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 4\\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The next pivot is -3, which occurs in the second column of  $A_1$ . Dividing the entries of this column vector that are on or below the diagonal by the pivot, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(-3)} \begin{bmatrix} -3\\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The final pivot (which we did not need to use) is 2, in the third column of U. Dividing the entries of this column vector that are on or below the diagonal by the pivot, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}\\2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

If we place the resulting three column vectors side by side in a matrix, we have

[ 1		7
2	1	
$\lfloor -1$	-2	1

which is exactly L once the above-diagonal entries are filled with zeros.

186

In Chapter 2, we remarked that the row echelon form of a matrix is not unique. However, if an *invertible* matrix A has an LU factorization A = LU, then this factorization is unique.

#### **Theorem 3.16** If A is an invertible matrix that has an LU factorization, then L and U are unique.

**Proof** Suppose A = LU and  $A = L_1U_1$  are two LU factorizations of A. Then  $LU = L_1U_1$ , where L and  $L_1$  are unit lower triangular and U and  $U_1$  are upper triangular. In fact, U and  $U_1$  are two (possibly different) row echelon forms of A.

By Exercise 30,  $L_1$  is invertible. Because A is invertible, its reduced row echelon form is an identity matrix I by the Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices. Hence U also row reduces to I (why?) and so U is invertible also. Therefore,

$$L_1^{-1}(LU)U^{-1} = L_1^{-1}(L_1U_1)U^{-1}$$
 so  $(L_1^{-1}L)(UU^{-1}) = (L_1^{-1}L_1)(U_1U^{-1})$ 

Hence,

$$(L_1^{-1}L)I = I(U_1U^{-1})$$
 so  $L_1^{-1}L = U_1U^{-1}$ 

But  $L_1^{-1}L$  is unit lower triangular by Exercise 29, and  $U_1U^{-1}$  is upper triangular. (Why?) It follows that  $L_1^{-1}L = U_1U^{-1}$  is *both* unit lower triangular *and* upper triangular. The only such matrix is the identity matrix, so  $L_1^{-1}L = I$  and  $U_1U^{-1} = I$ . It follows that  $L = L_1$  and  $U = U_1$ , so the LU factorization of A is unique.

# The P<sup>T</sup> LU Factorization

We now explore the problem of adapting the *LU* factorization to handle cases where row interchanges are necessary during Gaussian elimination. Consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

A straightforward row reduction produces

	$\lceil 1 \rceil$	2	-1]	
$A \rightarrow B =$	0	0	5	
	0	3	3	

which is not an upper triangular matrix. However, we can easily convert this into upper triangular form by swapping rows 2 and 3 of B to get

	[1	2	-1
U =	0	3	3
	0	0	5

Alternatively, we can swap rows 2 and 3 of *A* first. To this end, let *P* be the elementary matrix

1	1	0	0]	
	0	0	1	
	0	1	0	

corresponding to interchanging rows 2 and 3, and let *E* be the product of the elementary matrices that then reduce *PA* to *U* (so that  $E^{-1} = L$  is unit lower triangular). Thus EPA = U, so  $A = (EP)^{-1}U = P^{-1}E^{-1}U = P^{-1}LU$ .

Now this handles only the case of a *single* row interchange. In general, P will be the product  $P = P_k \cdots P_2 P_1$  of all the row interchange matrices  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$  (where  $P_1$  is performed first, and so on). Such a matrix P is called a *permutation matrix*. Observe that a permutation matrix arises from permuting the rows of an identity matrix in some order. For example, the following are all permutation matrices:

	- 11	50	0	17	0	1	0	0	
0	1]	1	0		0	0	0	1	-
1	0]'	1	1	0,	1	0	0	0	
		0	1	0]	0	0	1	0	2

Fortunately, the inverse of a permutation matrix is easy to compute; in fact, no calculations are needed at all!

**Theorem 3.17** 

### If *P* is a permutation matrix, then $P^{-1} = P^{T}$ .

**Proof** We must show that  $P^T P = I$ . But the *i*th row of  $P^T$  is the same as the *i*th column of *P*, and these are both equal to the same standard unit vector **e**, because *P* is a permutation matrix. So

 $(P^T P)_{ii} = (i \text{th row of } P^T)(i \text{th column of } P) = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e} = 1$ 

This shows that diagonal entries of  $P^T P$  are all 1s. On the other hand, if  $j \neq i$ , then the *j*th column of *P* is a *different* standard unit vector from **e**—say **e**'. Thus, a typical off-diagonal entry of  $P^T P$  is given by

 $(P^T P)_{ii} = (i \text{th row of } P^T)(j \text{th column of } P) = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}' = \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}' = 0$ 

Hence  $P^T P$  is an identity matrix, as we wished to show.

Thus, in general, we can factor a square matrix A as  $A = P^{-1}LU = P^{T}LU$ .

**Definition** Let A be a square matrix. A factorization of A as  $A = P^{T}LU$ , where P is a permutation matrix, L is unit lower triangular, and U is upper triangular, is called a  $P^{T}LU$  factorization of A.

# **Example 3.36**

Find a  $P^T L U$  factorization of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution** First we reduce *A* to row echelon form. Clearly, we need at least one row interchange.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \to R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have used two row interchanges ( $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$  and then  $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$ ), so the required permutation matrix is

$$P = P_2 P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We now find an *LU* factorization of *PA*.

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = U$$

Hence  $L_{21} = 2$ , and so

$$A = P^{T}LU = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The discussion above justifies the following theorem.

Theorem 3.18

Every square matrix has a  $P^T L U$  factorization.

**Remark** Even for an invertible matrix, the  $P^TLU$  factorization is not unique. In Example 3.36, a single row interchange  $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3$  also would have worked, leading to a different *P*. However, once *P* has been determined, *L* and *U* are unique.

#### **Computational Considerations**

If A is  $n \times n$ , then the total number of operations (multiplications and divisions) required to solve a linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  using an *LU* factorization of *A*) is  $T(n) \approx n^3/3$ , the same as is required for Gaussian elimination. (See the Exploration "Counting Operations," in Chapter 2.) This is hardly surprising since the forward elimination phase produces the *LU* factorization in  $\approx n^3/3$  steps, whereas both forward and backward substitution require  $\approx n^2/2$  steps. Therefore, for large values of *n*, the  $n^3/3$  term is dominant. From this point of view, then, Gaussian elimination and the *LU* factorization are equivalent.

However, the LU factorization has other advantages:

• From a storage point of view, the LU factorization is very compact because we can *overwrite* the entries of A with the entries of L and U as they are computed. In Example 3.33, we found that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

This can be stored as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & -3 & -3 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the entries placed in the order (1,1), (1,2), (1,3), (2,1), (3,1), (2,2), (2,3), (3,2), (3,3). In other words, the subdiagonal entries of *A* are replaced by the corresponding multipliers. (Check that this works!)

• Once an *LU* factorization of *A* has been computed, it can be used to solve as many linear systems of the form  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  as we like. We just need to apply the method of Example 3.34, varying the vector **b** each time.

• For matrices with certain special forms, especially those with a large number of zeros (so-called "sparse" matrices) concentrated off the diagonal, there are methods that will simplify the computation of an *LU* factorization. In these cases, this method is faster than Gaussian elimination in solving  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

• For an invertible matrix A, an LU factorization of A can be used to find  $A^{-1}$ , if necessary. Moreover, this can be done in such a way that it simultaneously yields a factorization of  $A^{-1}$ . (See Exercises 15–18.)

**Remark** If you have a CAS (such as MATLAB) that has the *LU* factorization built in, you may notice some differences between your hand calculations and the computer output. This is because most CAS's will automatically try to perform partial pivoting to reduce roundoff errors. (See the Exploration "Partial Pivoting," in Chapter 2.) Turing's paper is an extended discussion of such errors in the context of matrix factorizations.

This section has served to introduce one of the most useful matrix factorizations. In subsequent chapters, we will encounter other equally useful factorizations.

# In Exercises 1–6, solve the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ us

**Exercises 3.4** 

In Exercises 1–6, solve the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  using the given LU factorization of A.

$$\mathbf{1.} A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{2.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{3.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 & -4 \\ 4 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -\frac{5}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 4 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{7}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{4.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

	[2 -	-1 0	0	7	Γ1	0	0	07		
= 1 -	6 -	-4 5	-3		3	1	0	0		
<b>5.</b> $A =$	8 -	-4 1	0		4	0	1	0		
	4 -	-1 0	7		2	-1	5	1		
	Γ2	-1	0	07		[1]				
	0	-1	5 -	-3		2				
	×   0	0	1	0 '	b =	2				
	0	0	0	4		1				
	Γ 1	4	3	07	ſ	- 1	(	)	0	07
	-2	-5	-1	2		-2	]		0	0
<b>6.</b> <i>A</i> =	3	6	-3	-4	=	3	-2	2	1	0
	-5	-8	9	9		-5	2	1 -	-2	1
	Г1	4	3 0	ر ۲	Г	17				
	0	3	5 2			-3				
	×	0 -	-2 0	, b	=	-1				
	0	0	0 1			0				
	Lo	U	0 1		н н. н. Ц.	. •]				
In Evercie	ces 7-12	find	an III	facto	rizat	ion of	the	rivor	1 m	itriv

7.  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  8.  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

```
189
```

$$9. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10. \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -6 & 7 \\ -1 & -2 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$12. \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 & -1 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 7 & 3 \\ 6 & 9 & 5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Generalize the definition of LU factorization to nonsquare matrices by simply requiring U to be a matrix in row echelon form. With this modification, find an LU factorization of the matrices in Exercises 13 and 14.

	1 0	1	-2]		
13.	0 3	3	1		
	0 0	0	5		
	<b>1</b>	2	0	-1	1
14.	-2	-7	3	8	-2
	1	1	3	5	2
	0	3	-3	-6	0

For an invertible matrix with an LU factorization A = LU, both L and U will be invertible and  $A^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1}$ . In Exercises 15 and 16, find  $L^{-1}$ ,  $U^{-1}$ , and  $A^{-1}$  for the given matrix.

**15.** *A* in Exercise 1 **16.** *A* in Exercise 4

The inverse of a matrix can also be computed by solving several systems of equations using the method of Example 3.34. For an  $n \times n$  matrix A, to find its inverse we need to solve  $AX = I_n$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix X. Writing this equation as  $A[\mathbf{x}_1 \quad \mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \mathbf{x}_n] = [\mathbf{e}_1 \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n]$ , using the matrix-column form of AX, we see that we need to solve n systems of linear equations:  $A\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, A\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, A\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{e}_n$ . Moreover, we can use the factorization A = LU to solve each one of these systems.

In Exercises 17 and 18, use the approach just outlined to find  $A^{-1}$  for the given matrix. Compare with the method of Exercises 15 and 16.

**17.** *A* in Exercise 1 **18.** *A* in Exercise 4

*In Exercises 19–22, write the given permutation matrix as a product of elementary (row interchange) matrices.* 

19.	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	0 0 1	1 0 0		20.	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} $		
21.	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$	1 0 0	0 0 0 1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	22.	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	

In Exercises 23–25, find a  $P^TLU$  factorization of the given matrix A.

<b>23.</b> <i>A</i> =	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	1 4 2 1 3 3		24.	<i>A</i> =	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -1\\ 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	0 1 2 1	1     3     1     -1	2 2 1 0	
	Γ 0	-1	1	37						
25 4 -	-1	1	1	2						
23. A –	0	1	-1	1						
	L 0	0	1	1						

**26.** Prove that there are exactly  $n! n \times n$  permutation matrices.

In Exercises 27–28, solve the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  using the given factorization  $A = P^T LU$ . Because  $PP^T = I$ ,  $P^T LU\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  can be rewritten as  $LU\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{b}$ . This system can then be solved using the method of Example 3.34.

$$\mathbf{27.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix} = P^{T}LU, \ \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{28.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = P^{T}LU, \ \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ -4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Section 3.5 Subspaces, Basis, Dimension, and Rank

- **29.** Prove that a product of unit lower triangular matrices is unit lower triangular.
- **30.** Prove that every unit lower triangular matrix is invertible and that its inverse is also unit lower triangular.

An **LDU factorization** of a square matrix A is a factorization A = LDU, where L is a unit lower triangular matrix, D is a diagonal matrix, and U is a unit upper triangular matrix (upper triangular with 1s on its diagonal). In Exercises 31 and 32, find an LDU factorization of A.

- **31.** *A* in Exercise 1 **32.** *A* in Exercise 4
- **33.** If *A* is symmetric and invertible and has an *LDU* factorization, show that  $U = L^T$ .
- **34.** If *A* is symmetric and invertible and  $A = LDL^{T}$  (with *L* unit lower triangular and *D* diagonal), prove that this factorization is unique. That is, prove that if we also have  $A = L_1D_1L_1^{T}$  (with  $L_1$  unit lower triangular and  $D_1$  diagonal), then  $L = L_1$  and  $D = D_1$ .



# Subspaces, Basis, Dimension, and Rank

This section introduces perhaps the most important ideas in the entire book. We have already seen that there is an interplay between geometry and algebra: We can often use geometric intuition and reasoning to obtain algebraic results, and the power of algebra will often allow us to extend our findings well beyond the geometric settings in which they first arose.

In our study of vectors, we have already encountered all of the concepts in this section informally. Here, we will start to become more formal by giving definitions for the key ideas. As you'll see, the notion of a *subspace* is simply an algebraic generalization of the geometric examples of lines and planes through the origin. The fundamental concept of a *basis* for a subspace is then derived from the idea of direction vectors for such lines and planes. The concept of a basis will allow us to give a precise definition of *dimension* that agrees with an intuitive, geometric idea of the term, yet is flexible enough to allow generalization to other settings.

You will also begin to see that these ideas shed more light on what you already know about matrices and the solution of systems of linear equations. In Chapter 6, we will encounter all of these fundamental ideas again, in more detail. Consider this section a "getting to know you" session.

A plane through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  "looks like" a copy of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Intuitively, we would agree that they are both "two-dimensional." Pressed further, we might also say that any calculation that can be done with vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can also be done in a plane through the origin. In particular, we can add and take scalar multiples (and, more generally, form linear combinations) of vectors in such a plane, and the results are other vectors *in the same plane*. We say that, like  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , a plane through the origin is *closed* with respect to the operations of addition and scalar multiplication. (See Figure 3.2.)

But are the vectors in this plane two- or three-dimensional objects? We might argue that they are three-dimensional because they live in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and therefore have three components. On the other hand, they can be described as a linear combination of just two vectors—direction vectors for the plane—and so are two-dimensional objects living in a two-dimensional plane. The notion of a subspace is the key to resolving this conundrum.



Figure 3.2

**Definition** A *subspace* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is any collection *S* of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

- 1. The zero vector **0** is in *S*.
- 2. If  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are in S, then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is in S. (S is closed under addition.)
- 3. If **u** is in S and c is a scalar, then c **u** is in S. (S is closed under scalar multiplication.)

We could have combined properties (2) and (3) and required, equivalently, that *S* be *closed under linear combinations:* 

If  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$  are in S and  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k$  are scalars,

then  $c_1\mathbf{u}_1 + c_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{u}_k$  is in *S*.

#### **Example 3.37**

Every line and plane through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It should be clear geometrically that properties (1) through (3) are satisfied. Here is an algebraic proof in the case of a plane through the origin. You are asked to give the corresponding proof for a line in Exercise 9.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a plane through the origin with direction vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{P} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ . The zero vector  $\mathbf{0}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}$ , since  $\mathbf{0} = 0\mathbf{v}_1 + 0\mathbf{v}_2$ . Now let

$$\mathbf{u} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2$$
 and  $\mathbf{v} = d_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{v}_2$ 

be two vectors in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = (c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) + (d_1\mathbf{v}_1 + d_2\mathbf{v}_2) = (c_1 + d_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (c_2 + d_2)\mathbf{v}_2$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  and so is in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Now let *c* be a scalar. Then

$$c\mathbf{u} = c(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) = (cc_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (cc_2)\mathbf{v}_2$$

which shows that  $c\mathbf{u}$  is also a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  and is therefore in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We have shown that  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies properties (1) through (3) and hence is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

If you look carefully at the details of Example 3.37, you will notice that the fact that  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  were vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  played no role at all in the verification of the properties. Thus, the algebraic method we used should generalize beyond  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and apply in situations where we can no longer visualize the geometry. It does. Moreover, the method of Example 3.37 can serve as a "template" in more general settings. When we generalize Example 3.37 to the span of an arbitrary set of vectors in any  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the result is important enough to be called a theorem.

Theorem 3.19	Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then span $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k)$	is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
--------------	--	-----------------------------------

**Proof** Let  $S = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ . To check property (1) of the definition, we simply observe that the zero vector **0** is in *S*, since  $\mathbf{0} = 0\mathbf{v}_1 + 0\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + 0\mathbf{v}_k$ .

Now let

$$\mathbf{u} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k$$
 and  $\mathbf{v} = d_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + d_k \mathbf{v}_k$ 

be two vectors in S. Then

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = (c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k) + (d_1\mathbf{v}_1 + d_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{v}_k)$$
$$= (c_1 + d_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (c_2 + d_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (c_k + d_k)\mathbf{v}_k$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  and so is in *S*. This verifies property (2).

To show property (3), let c be a scalar. Then

$$c \mathbf{u} = c(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k)$$
  
=  $(cc_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (cc_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (cc_k)\mathbf{v}_k$ 

which shows that  $c\mathbf{u}$  is also a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k$  and is therefore in *S*. We have shown that *S* satisfies properties (1) through (3) and hence is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We will refer to span  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$  as *the subspace spanned by*  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ . We will often be able to save a lot of work by recognizing when Theorem 3.19 can be applied.

Example 3.38	
	Show that the set of all vectors $\begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ that satisfy the conditions $x = 3y$ and $z = -2y$ forms a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
	<b>Solution</b> Substituting the two conditions into $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ yields
	$\begin{bmatrix} 3y \\ y \\ -2y \end{bmatrix} = y \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$
	Since <i>y</i> is arbitrary, the given set of vectors is span $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ and is thus a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$ , by Theorem 3.19.
	Geometrically, the set of vectors in Example 3.38 represents the line through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with direction vector $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\1\\-2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

194

#### Example 3.39

Determine whether the set of all vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$  that satisfy the conditions x = 3y + 1and z = -2y is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution** This time, we have all vectors of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3y+1\\ y\\ -2y \end{bmatrix}$$

The zero vector is not of this form. (Why not? Try solving  $\begin{bmatrix} 3y+1\\y\\-2y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ .) Hence,

property (1) does not hold, so this set cannot be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Example 3.40

Determine whether the set of all vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $y = x^2$ , is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Solution** These are the vectors of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ x^2 \end{bmatrix}$ —call this set *S*. This time  $\mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ belongs to *S* (take x = 0), so property (1) holds. Let  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$  be in *S*. Then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

which, in general, is not in *S*, since it does not have the correct form; that is,  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \neq (x_1 + x_2)^2$ . To be specific, we look for a counterexample. If

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

then both **u** and **v** are in *S*, but their sum  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$  is not in *S* since  $5 \neq 3^2$ . Thus, property (2) fails and *S* is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Remark** In order for a set *S* to be a subspace of some  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we must *prove* that properties (1) through (3) hold *in general*. However, for *S* to *fail* to be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is enough to show that *one* of the three properties fails to hold. The easiest course is usually to find a single, specific *counterexample* to illustrate the failure of the property. Once you have done so, there is no need to consider the other properties.

#### **Subspaces Associated with Matrices**

A great many examples of subspaces arise in the context of matrices. We have already encountered the most important of these in Chapter 2; we now revisit them with the notion of a subspace in mind.

#### **Definition** Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

- 1. The *row space* of *A* is the subspace row(A) of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by the rows of *A*.
- 2. The *column space* of *A* is the subspace col(A) of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  spanned by the columns of *A*.

**Remark** Observe that, by Example 3.9 and the Remark that follows it, col(A) consists precisely of all vectors of the form  $A\mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{x}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### **Example 3.41**

#### Consider the matrix

- (a) Determine whether  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is in the column space of *A*.
- (b) Determine whether  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  is in the row space of A.
- (c) Describe row(A) and col(A).

#### Solution

(a) By Theorem 2.4 and the discussion preceding it, **b** is a linear combination of the columns of *A* if and only if the linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is consistent. We row reduce the augmented matrix as follows:

1	-1	1		[1	0	3	
0	1	2	$\longrightarrow$	0	1	2	
_3	-3	3		Lo	0	0_	

Thus, the system is consistent (and, in fact, has a unique solution). Therefore, **b** is in col(A). (This example is just Example 2.18, phrased in the terminology of this section.)

(b) As we also saw in Section 2.3, elementary row operations simply create linear combinations of the rows of a matrix. That is, they produce vectors only in the row space of the matrix. If the vector  $\mathbf{w}$  is in row(A), then  $\mathbf{w}$  is a linear combination of the

rows of A, so if we augment A by w as  $\left[\frac{A}{w}\right]$ , it will be possible to apply elementary row

operations to this augmented matrix to reduce it to form  $\left\lfloor \frac{A'}{\mathbf{0}} \right\rfloor$  using only elementary row operations of the form  $R_i + kR_j$ , where i > j—in other words, *working from top to bottom in each column*. (Why?) In this example, we have

 $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{4} & -3 \\ \frac{3}{4} & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - 3R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{0}{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 - 9R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{0}{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Therefore, w is a linear combination of the rows of A (in fact, these calculations show that w = 4[1 - 1] + 9[0 - 1]—how?), and thus w is in row(A).

(c) It is easy to check that, for any vector  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}$ , the augmented matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$  reduces to

1	0	
0	1	-
0	0	-
0	0_	-

in a similar fashion. Therefore, every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is in row(*A*), and so row(*A*) =  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Finding col(A) is identical to solving Example 2.21, wherein we determined that it coincides with the plane (through the origin) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with equation 3x - z = 0. (We will discover other ways to answer this type of question shortly.)

**Remark** We could also have answered part (b) and the first part of part (c) by observing that any question about the *rows* of A is the corresponding question about the *columns* of  $A^T$ . So, for example, w is in row(A) if and only if  $w^T$  is in  $col(A^T)$ . This is true if and only if the system  $A^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}^T$  is consistent. We can now proceed as in part (a). (See Exercises 21–24.)

The observations we have made about the relationship between elementary row operations and the row space are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.20	Let <i>B</i> be any matrix that is row equivalent to a matrix <i>A</i> . Then $row(B) = row(A)$ .
	<b>Proof</b> The matrix <i>A</i> can be transformed into <i>B</i> by a sequence of row operations. Consequently, the rows of <i>B</i> are linear combinations of the rows of <i>A</i> ; hence, linear combinations of the rows of <i>B</i> are linear combinations of the rows of <i>A</i> . (See Exercise 21 in Section 2.3.) It follows that $row(B) \subseteq row(A)$ . On the other hand, reversing these row operations transforms <i>B</i> into <i>A</i> . Therefore, the above argument shows that $row(A) \subseteq row(B)$ . Combining these results, we have $row(A) = row(B)$ . There is another important subspace that we have already encountered: the set of solutions of a homogeneous system of linear equations. It is easy to prove that this subspace satisfies the three subspace properties.
Theorem 3.21	Let <i>A</i> be an $m \times n$ matrix and let <i>N</i> be the set of solutions of the homogeneous linear system $A\mathbf{x} = 0$ . Then <i>N</i> is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
	<b>Proof</b> [Note that <b>x</b> must be a (column) vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in order for $A\mathbf{x}$ to be defined and that $0 = 0_m$ is the zero vector in $\mathbb{R}^m$ .] Since $A0_n = 0_m$ , $0_n$ is in $N$ . Now let <b>u</b> and <b>v</b> be in $N$ . Therefore, $A\mathbf{u} = 0$ and $A\mathbf{v} = 0$ . It follows that
	$A(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{u} + A\mathbf{v} = 0 + 0 = 0$

Hence,  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is in *N*. Finally, for any scalar *c*,

$$A(c\mathbf{u}) = c(A\mathbf{u}) = c\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

and therefore  $c\mathbf{u}$  is also in N. It follows that N is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition** Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The *null space* of A is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of solutions of the homogeneous linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . It is denoted by null(A).

The fact that the null space of a matrix is a subspace allows us to prove what intuition and examples have led us to understand about the solutions of linear systems: They have either no solution, a unique solution, or infinitely many solutions.

**Theorem 3.22** Let A be a matrix whose entries are real numbers. For any system of linear equations  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , exactly one of the following is true:

a. There is no solution.

b. There is a unique solution.

c. There are infinitely many solutions.

At first glance, it is not entirely clear how we should proceed to prove this theorem. A little reflection should persuade you that what we are really being asked to prove is that if (a) and (b) are not true, then (c) is the only other possibility. That is, if there is more than one solution, then there cannot be just two or even finitely many, but there must be infinitely many.

**Proof** If the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has either no solutions or exactly one solution, we are done. Assume, then, that there are at least two distinct solutions of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ —say,  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$ . Thus,

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{b}$$
 and  $A\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b}$ 

with  $\mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2$ . It follows that

$$A(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) = A\mathbf{x}_1 - A\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$$

Set  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence, the null space of *A* is nontrivial, and since null(*A*) is closed under scalar multiplication,  $c\mathbf{x}_0$  is in null(*A*) for every scalar *c*. Consequently, the null space of *A* contains infinitely many vectors (since it contains *at least* every vector of the form  $c\mathbf{x}_0$  and there are infinitely many of these).

Now, consider the (infinitely many) vectors of the form  $\mathbf{x}_1 + c\mathbf{x}_0$ , as *c* varies through the set of real numbers. We have

$$A(\mathbf{x}_1 + c \, \mathbf{x}_0) = A \mathbf{x}_1 + c A \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{b} + c \, \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{b}$$

Therefore, there are infinitely many solutions of the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

#### **Basis**

We can extract a bit more from the intuitive idea that subspaces are generalizations of planes through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A plane is spanned by any two vectors that are

parallel to the plane but are not parallel to each other. In algebraic parlance, two such vectors span the plane and are linearly independent. Fewer than two vectors will not work; more than two vectors is not necessary. This is the essence of a *basis* for a subspace.

**Definition** A *basis* for a subspace S of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set of vectors in S that

spans S and
 is linearly independent.

Example 3.42	In Section 2.3, we saw that the standard unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent and span $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore, they form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$ , called the <i>standard basis</i> .
Example 3.43	In Example 2.19, we showed that $\mathbb{R}^2 = \operatorname{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1\\3\end{bmatrix}\right)$ . Since $\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix}1\\3\end{bmatrix}$ are also linearly independent (as they are not multiples), they form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

A subspace can (and will) have more than one basis. For example, we have just seen that  $\mathbb{R}^2$  has the standard basis  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  and the basis  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . However, we will prove shortly that the *number* of vectors in a basis for a given subspace will always be the same.

**Example 3.44** 

Find a basis for  $S = \text{span}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ , where

 $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Solution** The vectors  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}$  already span S, so they will be a basis for S if they are also linearly independent. It is easy to determine that they are not; indeed,  $\mathbf{w} = 2\mathbf{u} - 3\mathbf{v}$ . Therefore, we can ignore  $\mathbf{w}$ , since any linear combinations involving  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}$  can be rewritten to involve  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  alone. (Also see Exercise 47 in Section 2.3.) This implies that  $S = \text{span} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \text{span} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ , and since  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are certainly linearly independent (why?), they form a basis for S. (Geometrically, this means that  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}$  all lie in the same plane and  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  can serve as a set of direction vectors for this plane.)

199

		1 mar 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	170 Inducts 1948		C 1 1000 (101)	8 <b>~</b> 488
100				Jaar 1933
89.1	******	S 1 million		1 1 22
AC 10. AD 10.		A &		A 1.68
	Party of the state of the			

Find a basis for the row space of

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Solution** The reduced row echelon form of *A* is

	Γ1	0	1	0	-17	
D	0	1	2	0	3	
к –	0	0	0	1	4	
	0	0	0	0	0	

By Theorem 3.20, row(A) = row(R), so it is enough to find a basis for the row space of *R*. But row(R) is clearly spanned by its nonzero rows, and it is easy to check that the staircase pattern forces the first three rows of *R* to be linearly independent. (This is a general fact, one that you will need to establish to prove Exercise 33.) Therefore, a basis for the row space of *A* is

$$\{ [1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ -1], [0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 0 \ 3], [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 4] \}$$

We can use the method of Example 3.45 to find a basis for the subspace spanned by a given set of vectors.

**Example 3.46** 

Rework Example 3.44 using the method from Example 3.45.

**Solution** We transpose **u**, **v**, and **w** to get row vectors and then form a matrix with these vectors as its rows:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proceeding as in Example 3.45, we reduce B to its reduced row echelon form

1	0	85
0	1	$-\frac{1}{5}$
LO	0	0

and use the nonzero row vectors as a basis for the row space. Since we started with column vectors, we must transpose again. Thus, a basis for span (u, v, w) is

(	1		Γ	0	)	
$\left\{ \right.$	0	,		1	}	
	<u>8</u> - 5 -			$-\frac{1}{5}$ _	)	

#### Remarks

• In fact, we do not need to go all the way to *reduced* row echelon form—row echelon form is far enough. If *U* is a row echelon form of *A*, then the nonzero row vectors

of U will form a basis for row(A) (see Exercise 33). This approach has the advantage of (often) allowing us to avoid fractions. In Example 3.46, B can be reduced to

	3	-1	5
U =	0	-5	1
	L0	0	0

which gives us the basis

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-1\\5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\-5\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

for span  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ .

• Observe that the methods used in Example 3.44, Example 3.46, and the Remark above will generally produce different bases.

We now turn to the problem of finding a basis for the column space of a matrix A. One method is simply to transpose the matrix. The column vectors of A become the row vectors of  $A^T$ , and we can apply the method of Example 3.45 to find a basis for row( $A^T$ ). Transposing these vectors then gives us a basis for col(A). (You are asked to do this in Exercises 21-24.) This approach, however, requires performing a new set of row operations on  $A^T$ .

Instead, we prefer to take an approach that allows us to use the row reduced form of A that we have already computed. Recall that a product  $A\mathbf{x}$  of a matrix and a vector corresponds to a linear combination of the columns of A with the entries of  $\mathbf{x}$  as coefficients. Thus, a nontrivial solution to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  represents a dependence relation among the columns of A. Since elementary row operations do not affect the solution set, if A is row equivalent to R, the columns of A have the same dependence relationships as the columns of R. This important observation is the basis (no pun intended!) for the technique we now use to find a basis for col(A).

# **Example 3.47**

Find a basis for the column space of the matrix from Example 3.45,

 $A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

**Solution** Let  $\mathbf{a}_i$  denote a column vector of A and let  $\mathbf{r}_i$  denote a column vector of the reduced echelon form

	Γ1	0	1	0	-17	
R =	0	1	2	0	3	
	0	0	0	1	4	
	0	0	0	0	0	

We can quickly see by inspection that  $\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + 2\mathbf{r}_2$  and  $\mathbf{r}_5 = -\mathbf{r}_1 + 3\mathbf{r}_2 + 4\mathbf{r}_4$ . (Check that, as predicted, the corresponding column vectors of A satisfy the same dependence relations.) Thus,  $\mathbf{r}_3$  and  $\mathbf{r}_5$  contribute nothing to col(*R*). The remaining column vectors,  $\mathbf{r}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_4$ , are linearly independent, since they are just standard unit vectors. The corresponding statements are therefore true of the column vectors of A.

Thus, among the column vectors of A, we eliminate the dependent ones ( $\mathbf{a}_3$  and  $\mathbf{a}_5$ ), and the remaining ones will be linearly independent and hence form a basis for col(A). What is the fastest way to find this basis? Use the columns of A that correspond to the columns of R containing the leading 1s. A basis for col(A) is

$$\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_4\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\-3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

**Warning** Elementary row operations change the column space! In our example,  $col(A) \neq col(R)$ , since every vector in col(R) has its fourth component equal to 0 but this is certainly not true of col(A). So we must go back to the original matrix A to get the column vectors for a basis of col(A). To be specific, in Example 3.47,  $\mathbf{r}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_4$  do *not* form a basis for the column space of A.

**Example 3.48** 

Find a basis for the null space of matrix A from Example 3.47.

**Solution** There is really nothing new here except the terminology. We simply have to find and describe the solutions of the homogeneous system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . We have already computed the reduced row echelon form *R* of *A*, so all that remains to be done in Gauss-Jordan elimination is to solve for the leading variables in terms of the free variables. The final augmented matrix is

$$[R \mid \mathbf{0}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \mid 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \mid 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \mid 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \mid 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

then the leading 1s are in columns 1, 2, and 4, so we solve for  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_4$  in terms of the free variables  $x_3$  and  $x_5$ . We get  $x_1 = -x_3 + x_5$ ,  $x_2 = -2x_3 - 3x_5$ , and  $x_4 = -4x_5$ . Setting  $x_3 = s$  and  $x_5 = t$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -s+t \\ -2s-3t \\ s \\ -4t \\ t \end{bmatrix} = s \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = s\mathbf{u} + t\mathbf{v}$$

Thus, **u** and **v** span null(A), and since they are linearly independent, they form a basis for null(A).

Following is a summary of the most effective procedure to use to find bases for the row space, the column space, and the null space of a matrix *A*.

- 1. Find the reduced row echelon form *R* of *A*.
- 2. Use the nonzero row vectors of *R* (containing the leading 1s) to form a basis for row(*A*).
- 3. Use the column vectors of *A* that correspond to the columns of *R* containing the leading 1s (the pivot columns) to form a basis for col(*A*).
- 4. Solve for the leading variables of  $R\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  in terms of the free variables, set the free variables equal to parameters, substitute back into  $\mathbf{x}$ , and write the result as a linear combination of *f* vectors (where *f* is the number of free variables). These *f* vectors form a basis for null(*A*).

If we do not need to find the null space, then it is faster to simply reduce *A* to row echelon form to find bases for the row and column spaces. Steps 2 and 3 above remain valid (with the substitution of the word "pivots" for "leading 1s").

#### **Dimension and Rank**

We have observed that although a subspace will have different bases, each basis has the same number of vectors. This fundamental fact will be of vital importance from here on in this book.

# **Theorem 3.23** The Basis Theorem

Let S be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then any two bases for S have the same number of vectors.

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{B} = {\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = {\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_s}$  be bases for *S*. We need to prove that r = s. We do so by showing that neither of the other two possibilities, r < s or r > s, can occur.

Suppose that r < s. We will show that this forces C to be a linearly dependent set of vectors. To this end, let

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_s\mathbf{v}_s = 0 \tag{1}$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for S, we can write each  $\mathbf{v}_i$  as a linear combination of the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$ :

$$\mathbf{v}_{1} = a_{11}\mathbf{u}_{1} + a_{12}\mathbf{u}_{2} + \dots + a_{1r}\mathbf{u}_{r}$$
  

$$\mathbf{v}_{2} = a_{21}\mathbf{u}_{1} + a_{22}\mathbf{u}_{2} + \dots + a_{2r}\mathbf{u}_{r}$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$\mathbf{v}_{s} = a_{s1}\mathbf{u}_{1} + a_{s2}\mathbf{u}_{2} + \dots + a_{sr}\mathbf{u}_{r}$$
(2)

Substituting the Equations (2) into Equation (1), we obtain

$$c_1(a_{11}\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + a_{1r}\mathbf{u}_r) + c_2(a_{21}\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + a_{2r}\mathbf{u}_r) + \dots + c_s(a_{s1}\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + a_{sr}\mathbf{u}_r) = 0$$

Sherlock Holmes noted, "When you have eliminated the impossible, whatever remains, *however improbable*, must be the truth" (from *The Sign of Four* by Sir Arthur Conan Doyle). Regrouping, we have

$$(c_1a_{11} + c_2a_{21} + \dots + c_sa_{s1})\mathbf{u}_1 + (c_1a_{12} + c_2a_{22} + \dots + c_sa_{s2})\mathbf{u}_2$$

 $+\cdots+(c_1a_{1r}+c_2a_{2r}+\cdots+c_sa_{sr})\mathbf{u}_r=0$ 

Now, since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, the  $\mathbf{u}_j$ 's are linearly independent. So each of the expressions in parentheses must be zero:

$$c_{1}a_{11} + c_{2}a_{21} + \dots + c_{s}a_{s1} = 0$$
  

$$c_{1}a_{12} + c_{2}a_{22} + \dots + c_{s}a_{s2} = 0$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$c_{1}a_{1r} + c_{2}a_{2r} + \dots + c_{s}a_{sr} = 0$$

This is a homogeneous system of r linear equations in the s variables  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_s$ . (The fact that the variables appear to the left of the coefficients makes no difference.) Since r < s, we know from Theorem 2.3 that there are infinitely many solutions. In particular, there is a nontrivial solution, giving a nontrivial dependence relation in Equation (1). Thus, C is a linearly dependent set of vectors. But this finding contradicts the fact that C was given to be a basis and hence linearly independent. We conclude that r < s is not possible. Similarly (interchanging the roles of  $\mathcal{B}$  and C), we find that r > s leads to a contradiction. Hence, we must have r = s, as desired.

Since all bases for a given subspace must have the same number of vectors, we can attach a name to this number.

**Definition** If *S* is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the number of vectors in a basis for *S* is called the *dimension* of *S*, denoted dim *S*.

**Remark** The zero vector **0** by itself is always a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (Why?) Yet any set containing the zero vector (and, in particular, {**0**}) is linearly dependent, so {**0**} cannot have a basis. We define dim {**0**} to be 0.

Example 3.49	Since the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$ has <i>n</i> vectors, dim $\mathbb{R}^n = n$ . (Note that this result agrees with our intuitive understanding of dimension for $n \leq 3$ .)
Example 3.50	In Examples 3.45 through 3.48, we found that $row(A)$ has a basis with three vectors, $col(A)$ has a basis with three vectors, and $null(A)$ has a basis with two vectors. Hence, $dim(row(A)) = 3$ , $dim(col(A)) = 3$ , and $dim(null(A)) = 2$ .
	A single example is not enough on which to speculate, but the fact that the row and column spaces in Example 3.50 have the same dimension is no accident. Nor is the fact that the sum of dim(col(4)) and dim(coll(4)) is 5, the number of columns of

A. We now prove that these relationships are true in general.

#### Theorem 3.24

The row and column spaces of a matrix A have the same dimension.

**Proof** Let R be the reduced row echelon form of A. By Theorem 3.20, row(A) =row(R), so

 $\dim(\operatorname{row}(A)) = \dim(\operatorname{row}(R))$ 

= number of nonzero rows of R

= number of leading 1s of R

Let this number be called *r*.

Now  $col(A) \neq col(R)$ , but the columns of A and R have the same dependence relationships. Therefore,  $\dim(col(A)) = \dim(col(R))$ . Since there are r leading 1s, R has r columns that are standard unit vectors,  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_r$ . (These will be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if A and R are  $m \times n$  matrices.) These r vectors are linearly independent, and the remaining columns of R are linear combinations of them. Thus,  $\dim(\operatorname{col}(R)) = r$ . It follows that  $\dim(row(A)) = r = \dim(col(A))$ , as we wished to prove.

**Definition** The *rank* of a matrix A is the dimension of its row and column spaces and is denoted by rank(A).

For Example 3.50, we can thus write rank(A) = 3.

#### **Remarks**

The preceding definition agrees with the more informal definition of rank that . was introduced in Chapter 2. The advantage of our new definition is that it is much more flexible.

• The rank of a matrix simultaneously gives us information about linear dependence among the row vectors of the matrix and among its column vectors. In particular, it tells us the number of rows and columns that are linearly independent (and this number is the same in each case!).

Since the row vectors of A are the column vectors of  $A^{T}$ , Theorem 3.24 has the following immediate corollary.

for any matrix <i>n</i> ,		
	$\operatorname{rank}(A^{T}) = \operatorname{rank}(A)$	
<b>Proof</b> We have		
	$\operatorname{rank}(A^{T}) = \dim\left(\operatorname{col}(A^{T})\right)$	
	$= \dim (row(A))$	
	$= \operatorname{rank}(A)$	

denoted by nullity(A).

The rank of a matrix was first defined in 1878 by Georg Frobenius (1849–1917), although he defined it using determinants and not as we have done here. (See Chapter 4.) Frobenius was a German mathematician who received his doctorate from and later taught at the University of Berlin. Best known for his contributions to group theory, Frobenius used matrices in his work on group representations.

In other words, nullity(A) is the dimension of the solution space of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , which is the same as the number of free variables in the solution. We can now revisit the Rank Theorem (Theorem 2.2), rephrasing it in terms of our new definitions.

#### **Theorem 3.26** The Rank Theorem

**Example 3.51** 

If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then

rank(A) + nullity(A) = n

**Proof** Let *R* be the reduced row echelon form of *A*, and suppose that rank(A) = r. Then *R* has *r* leading 1s, so there are *r* leading variables and n - r free variables in the solution to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Since dim(null(*A*)) = n - r, we have

> $\operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{nullity}(A) = r + (n - r)$ = n

Often, when we need to know the nullity of a matrix, we do not need to know the actual solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . The Rank Theorem is extremely useful in such situations, as the following example illustrates.

Find the nullity of each of the following matrices:

 $M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 7 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$  $N = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 4 & 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 2 & 7 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Solution** Since the two columns of *M* are clearly linearly independent, rank(M) = 2. Thus, by the Rank Theorem, nullity(M) = 2 - rank(M) = 2 - 2 = 0.

There is no obvious dependence among the rows or columns of N, so we apply row operations to reduce it to

2	1	-2	-1	
0	2	1	3	
0	0	0	0	

We have reduced the matrix far enough (we do not need *reduced* row echelon form here, since we are not looking for a basis for the null space). We see that there are only two nonzero rows, so rank(N) = 2. Hence, nullity(N) = 4 - rank(N) = 4 - 2 = 2.

The results of this section allow us to extend the Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices (Theorem 3.12).

# Theorem 3.27

#### The Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices: Version 2

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The following statements are equivalent:

- a. A is invertible.
- b.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution for every **b** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- c.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
- d. The reduced row echelon form of A is  $I_n$ .
- e. A is a product of elementary matrices.
- f. rank(A) = n
- g. nullity(A) = 0
- h. The column vectors of A are linearly independent.
- i. The column vectors of A span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- j. The column vectors of A form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- k. The row vectors of A are linearly independent.
- 1. The row vectors of A span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- m. The row vectors of A form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof** We have already established the equivalence of (a) through (e). It remains to be shown that statements (f) to (m) are equivalent to the first five statements.

(f)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (g) Since rank(A) + nullity(A) = n when A is an  $n \times n$  matrix, it follows from the Rank Theorem that rank(A) = n if and only if nullity(A) = 0.

(f)  $\Rightarrow$  (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (h) If rank(A) = n, then the reduced row echelon form of A has n leading 1s and so is  $I_n$ . From (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) we know that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution, which implies that the column vectors of A are linearly independent, since  $A\mathbf{x}$  is just a linear combination of the column vectors of A.

(h)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If the column vectors of *A* are linearly independent, then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution. Thus, by (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b),  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution for every **b** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This means that every vector **b** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as a linear combination of the column vectors of *A*, establishing (i).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (j) If the column vectors of *A* span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then col(*A*) =  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by definition, so rank(*A*) = dim(col(*A*)) = *n*. This is (f), and we have already established that (f)  $\Rightarrow$  (h). We conclude that the column vectors of *A* are linearly independent and so form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , since, by assumption, they also span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $(j) \Rightarrow (f)$  If the column vectors of *A* form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then, in particular, they are linearly independent. It follows that the reduced row echelon form of *A* contains *n* leading 1s, and thus rank(A) = n.

The above discussion shows that  $(f) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (h) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (j) \Rightarrow$ (f)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (g). Now recall that, by Theorem 3.25, rank $(A^T) = \text{rank}(A)$ , so what we have just proved gives us the corresponding results about the column vectors of  $A^T$ . These are then results about the *row* vectors of A, bringing (k), (l), and (m) into the network of equivalences and completing the proof.

Theorems such as the Fundamental Theorem are not merely of theoretical interest. They are tremendous labor-saving devices as well. The Fundamental Theorem has already allowed us to cut in half the work needed to check that two square matrices are inverses. It also simplifies the task of showing that certain sets of vectors are bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, when we have a set of *n* vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that set will be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if *either* of the necessary properties of linear independence or spanning set is true. The next example shows how easy the calculations can be.

The nullity of a matrix was defined in 1884 by James Joseph Sylvester (1814–1887), who was interested in *invariants*—properties of matrices that do not change under certain types of transformations. Born in England, Sylvester became the second president of the London Mathematical Society. In 1878, while teaching at Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, he founded the *American Journal of Mathematics*, the first mathematical journal in the United States.

#### **Example 3.52**

Show that the vectors

$\lceil 1 \rceil$		-1			$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$	
2	,	0	,	and	9	
3		_ 1_			<b>[</b> 7]	

form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution** According to the Fundamental Theorem, the vectors will form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  if and only if a matrix with these vectors as its columns (or rows) has rank 3. We perform just enough row operations to determine this:

	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$	-1	4		[1	-1	4
A =	2	0	9	$\longrightarrow$	0	2	1
	3	1	7_		0	0	-7

We see that A has rank 3, so the given vectors are a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by the equivalence of (f) and (j).

The next theorem is an application of both the Rank Theorem and the Fundamental Theorem. We will require this result in Chapters 5 and 7.

Theorem 3.28

Let *A* be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then:

a. rank(A<sup>T</sup>A) = rank(A)
b. The n × n matrix A<sup>T</sup>A is invertible if and only if rank(A) = n.

#### Proof

(a) Since  $A^T A$  is  $n \times n$ , it has the same number of columns as A. The Rank Theorem then tells us that

$$\operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{nullity}(A) = n = \operatorname{rank}(A^{T}A) + \operatorname{nullity}(A^{T}A)$$

Hence, to show that  $rank(A) = rank(A^{T}A)$ , it is enough to show that  $nullity(A) = nullity(A^{T}A)$ . We will do so by establishing that the null spaces of A and  $A^{T}A$  are the same.

To this end, let  $\mathbf{x}$  be in null(A) so that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ , and thus  $\mathbf{x}$  is in null( $A^T A$ ). Conversely, let  $\mathbf{x}$  be in null( $A^T A$ ). Then  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{0} = 0$ . But then

$$(A\mathbf{x}) \cdot (A\mathbf{x}) = (A\mathbf{x})^T (A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} = 0$$

and hence  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , by Theorem 1.2(d). Therefore,  $\mathbf{x}$  is in null(A), so null(A) = null( $A^T A$ ), as required.

(b) By the Fundamental Theorem, the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A^T A$  is invertible if and only if rank $(A^T A) = n$ . But, by (a) this is so if and only if rank(A) = n.

#### **Coordinates**

We now return to one of the questions posed at the very beginning of this section: How should we view vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that live in a plane through the origin? Are they two-dimensional or three-dimensional? The notions of basis and dimension will help clarify things.

207
A plane through the origin is a two-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with any set of two direction vectors serving as a basis. Basis vectors locate coordinate axes in the plane/subspace, in turn allowing us to view the plane as a "copy" of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Before we illustrate this approach, we prove a theorem guaranteeing that "coordinates" that arise in this way are unique.

### Theorem 3.29

Let *S* be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = {\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k}$  be a basis for *S*. For every vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in *S*, there is exactly one way to write  $\mathbf{v}$  as a linear combination of the basis vectors in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

 $\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k$ 

**Proof** Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, it spans S, so  $\mathbf{v}$  can be written in *at least one* way as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k$ . Let one of these linear combinations be

$$\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

Our task is to show that this is the *only* way to write  $\mathbf{v}$  as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k$ . To this end, suppose that we also have

$$\mathbf{v} = d_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + d_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

Then

 $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = d_1\mathbf{v}_1 + d_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{v}_k$ 

Rearranging (using properties of vector algebra), we obtain

$$(c_1 - d_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (c_2 - d_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (c_k - d_k)\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  are linearly independent. Therefore,

$$(c_1 - d_1) = (c_2 - d_2) = \cdots = (c_k - d_k) = 0$$

In other words,  $c_1 = d_1, c_2 = d_2, \ldots, c_k = d_k$ , and the two linear combinations are actually the same. Thus, there is exactly one way to write **v** as a linear combination of the basis vectors in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition** Let S be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  be a basis for S. Let v be a vector in S, and write  $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k$ . Then  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are called the *coordinates of* v *with respect to*  $\mathcal{B}$ , and the column vector

$$[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_k \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the coordinate vector of v with respect to B.

**Example 3.53** Let 
$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$$
 be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Find the coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Solution** Since  $v = 2e_1 + 7e_2 + 4e_3$ ,

 $[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 7\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

It should be clear that the coordinate vector of every (column) vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the standard basis is just the vector itself.

### **Example 3.54**

	3		2		0	
In Example 3.44, we saw that $\mathbf{u} =$	-1	, <b>v</b> =	1	, and $\mathbf{w} =$	-5	are three vec-
전 한 방법이 공격 가지 가격을 했다.	5_		_3_		1_	

tors in the same subspace (plane through the origin) *S* of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and that  $\mathcal{B} = {\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}$  is a basis for *S*. Since  $\mathbf{w} = 2\mathbf{u} - 3\mathbf{v}$ , we have

$$[\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

See Figure 3.3.



### Figure 3.3

The coordinates of a vector with respect to a basis

In Exercises 1–4, let S be the collection of vectors  $\begin{vmatrix} x \\ y \end{vmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

that satisfy the given property. In each case, either prove that S forms a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or give a counterexample to show that it does not.

**1.** x = 0 **2.**  $x \ge 0, y \ge 0$ 

**Exercises 3.5** 

**3.** 
$$y = 2x$$
 **4.**  $xy \ge 0$ 

In Exercises 5–8, let S be the collection of vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

that satisfy the given property. In each case, either prove that S forms a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  or give a counterexample to show that it does not.

**5.** 
$$x = y = z$$
 **6.**  $z = 2x, y = 0$ 

- **7.** x y + z = 1 **8.** |x y| = |y z|
- **9.** Prove that every line through the origin in ℝ<sup>3</sup> is a subspace of ℝ<sup>3</sup>.
- **10.** Suppose *S* consists of all points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that are on the *x*-axis or the *y*-axis (or both). (*S* is called the *union* of the two axes.) Is *S* a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ? Why or why not?

In Exercises 11 and 12, determine whether **b** is in col(A) and whether **w** is in row(A), as in Example 3.41.

**11.** 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
**12.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- **13.** In Exercise 11, determine whether **w** is in row(*A*), using the method described in the Remark following Example 3.41.
- **14.** In Exercise 12, determine whether **w** is in row(*A*), using the method described in the Remark following Example 3.41.

**15.** If *A* is the matrix in Exercise 11, is 
$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 3\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 in null(*A*)?  
**16.** If *A* is the matrix in Exercise 12, is  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\ -1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  in null(*A*)?

In Exercises 17–20, give bases for row(A), col(A), and null(A).

**17.** 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 **18.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\mathbf{19.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{20.} A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 21–24, find bases for row(A) and col(A) in the given exercises using  $A^{T}$ .

21.	Exercise	17	22.	Exercise	18
23.	Exercise	19	24.	Exercise	20

- **25.** Explain carefully why your answers to Exercises 17 and 21 are both correct even though there appear to be differences.
- **26.** Explain carefully why your answers to Exercises 18 and 22 are both correct even though there appear to be differences.

*In Exercises 27–30, find a basis for the span of the given vectors.* 

$$27. \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} 28. \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$29. \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

### **30.** [0 1 -2 1], [3 1 -1 0], [2 1 5 1]

For Exercises 31 and 32, find bases for the spans of the vectors in the given exercises from among the vectors themselves.

- **31.** Exercise 29 **32.** Exercise 30
- **33.** Prove that if *R* is a matrix in echelon form, then a basis for row(*R*) consists of the nonzero rows of *R*.
- **34.** Prove that if the columns of A are linearly independent, then they must form a basis for col(A).

*For Exercises* 35–38, give the rank and the nullity of the matrices in the given exercises.

35. Exercise 17

**36.** Exercise 18

**37.** Exercise 19

- **38.** Exercise 20
- **39.** If *A* is a  $3 \times 5$  matrix, explain why the columns of *A* must be linearly dependent.
- **40.** If *A* is a  $4 \times 2$  matrix, explain why the rows of *A* must be linearly dependent.
- **41.** If *A* is a 3 × 5 matrix, what are the possible values of nullity(*A*)?
- **42.** If *A* is a 4 × 2 matrix, what are the possible values of nullity(*A*)?

*In Exercises 43 and 44, find all possible values of rank(A) as a varies.* 

	[ 1	2	a		a	2	-1
<b>43.</b> <i>A</i> =	-2	4a	2	<b>44.</b> <i>A</i> =	3	3	-2
	La	-2	1		-2	-1	a

Answer Exercises 45–48 by considering the matrix with the given vectors as its columns.

45. Do 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?  
46. Do  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\3\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\5\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-3\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?  
47. Do  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\0\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ?

**48.** Do 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 0\\ 0\\ -1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ?  
**49.** Do  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ ?  
**50.** Do  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$ ?

In Exercises 51 and 52, show that **w** is in span( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and find the coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

51. 
$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\6\\2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
52.  $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\1\\6 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

In Exercises 53–56, compute the rank and nullity of the given matrices over the indicated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

	1	1	0				$\left[ 1 \right]$	1	2	
53.	0	1	1	ove	er Z	<sup>2</sup> 54.	2	1	2	over $\mathbb{Z}_3$
	_1	0	1_				2	0	0_	
	[1	3	1	4]						
55.	2	3	0	1	ov	er $\mathbb{Z}_5$				
	L1	0	4	0_						
	Γ2	4	0	0	1					
E6	6	3	5	1	0	over 7				
50.	1	0	2	2	5		7			
	_1	1	1	1	1_					

Section 3.6 Introduction to Linear Transformations

- **57.** If *A* is  $m \times n$ , prove that every vector in null(*A*) is orthogonal to every vector in row(*A*).
- **58.** If *A* and *B* are  $n \times n$  matrices of rank *n*, prove that *AB* has rank *n*.
- **59. (a)** Prove that  $rank(AB) \le rank(B)$ . [*Hint*: Review Exercise 29 in Section 3.1.]
  - (b) Give an example in which rank(AB) < rank(B).
- **60. (a)** Prove that rank(*AB*) ≤ rank(*A*). [*Hint*: Review Exercise 30 in Section 3.1 or use transposes and Exercise 59(a).]
  - (b) Give an example in which rank(AB) < rank(A).
- **61. (a)** Prove that if U is invertible, then rank(UA) = rank(A). [*Hint*:  $A = U^{-1}(UA)$ .]
  - (b) Prove that if V is invertible, then rank(AV) = rank(A).
- **62.** Prove that an  $m \times n$  matrix *A* has rank 1 if and only if *A* can be written as the outer product  $\mathbf{uv}^T$  of a vector  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- **63.** If an *m* × *n* matrix *A* has rank *r*, prove that *A* can be written as the sum of *r* matrices, each of which has rank 1. [*Hint*: Find a way to use Exercise 62.]
- **64.** Prove that, for  $m \times n$  matrices *A* and *B*, rank  $(A + B) \le \operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{rank}(B)$ .
- **65.** Let *A* be an  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $A^2 = O$ . Prove that rank(*A*)  $\leq n/2$ . [*Hint:* Show that col(*A*)  $\subseteq$  null(*A*) and use the Rank Theorem.]
- **66.** Let *A* be a skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix. (See page 162).
  - (a) Prove that  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (b) Prove that I + A is invertible. [*Hint:* Show that null(I + A) = {0}.]



# **Introduction to Linear Transformations**

In this section, we begin to explore one of the themes from the introduction to this chapter. There we saw that matrices can be used to transform vectors, acting as a type of "function" of the form  $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{v})$ , where the independent variable  $\mathbf{v}$  and the dependent variable  $\mathbf{w}$  are vectors. We will make this notion more precise now and look at several examples of such matrix transformations, leading to the concept of a *linear transformation*—a powerful idea that we will encounter repeatedly from here on.

We begin by recalling some of the basic concepts associated with functions. You will be familiar with most of these ideas from other courses in which you encountered functions of the form  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  [such as  $f(x) = x^2$ ] that transform real numbers into real numbers. What is new here is that vectors are involved and we are interested only in functions that are "compatible" with the vector operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

Consider an example. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$A\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 2 & -1\\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 3\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This shows that *A* transforms **v** into  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We can describe this transformation more generally. The matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x - y \\ 3x + 4y \end{bmatrix}$$

gives a formula that shows how A transforms an arbitrary vector  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x - y \\ 3x + 4y \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We denote this transformation by  $T_A$  and write

$$T_A\left(\begin{bmatrix} x\\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x\\ 2x - y\\ 3x + 4y \end{bmatrix}$$

(Although technically sloppy, omitting the parentheses in definitions such as this one is a common convention that saves some writing. The description of  $T_A$  becomes

$$T_A\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x\\2x-y\\3x+4y\end{bmatrix}$$

with this convention.)

With this example in mind, we now consider some terminology. A *transformation* (or *mapping* or *function*) T from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a rule that assigns to each vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a unique vector  $T(\mathbf{v})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The *domain* of T is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the *codomain* of T is  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We indicate this by writing  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in the domain of T, the vector  $T(\mathbf{v})$  in the codomain is called the *image* of  $\mathbf{v}$  under (the action of) T. The set of all possible images  $T(\mathbf{v})$  (as  $\mathbf{v}$  varies throughout the domain of T) is called the *range* of T.

In our example, the domain of  $T_A$  is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and its codomain is  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , so we write

$$T_A: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
. The image of  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  is  $\mathbf{w} = T_A(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . What is the range of

 $T_A$ ? It consists of all vectors in the codomain  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that are of the form

$$T_{A}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x\\2x-y\\3x+4y\end{bmatrix} = x\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\3\end{bmatrix} + y\begin{bmatrix}0\\-1\\4\end{bmatrix}$$

which describes the set of all linear combinations of the column vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

and  $\begin{vmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$  of A. In other words, the range of T is the column space of A! (We

will have more to say about this later—for now we'll simply note it as an interesting observation.) Geometrically, this shows that the range of  $T_A$  is the plane through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with direction vectors given by the column vectors of A. Notice that the range of  $T_A$  is strictly smaller than the codomain of  $T_A$ .

### Linear Transformations

The example  $T_A$  above is a special case of a more general type of transformation called a *linear transformation*. We will consider the general definition in Chapter 6, but the essence of it is that these are the transformations that "preserve" the vector operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

**Definition** A transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is called a *linear transformation* if

1.  $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and 2.  $T(c\mathbf{v}) = cT(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and all scalars *c*.

**Example 3.55** 

Then

Consider once again the transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$T\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x\\2x-y\\3x+4y\end{bmatrix}$$

Let's check that T is a linear transformation. To verify (1), we let

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T\left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = T\left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 2(x_1 + x_2) - (y_1 + y_2) \\ 3(x_1 + x_2) + 4(y_1 + y_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 - y_1 - y_2 \\ 3x_1 + 3x_2 + 4y_1 + 4y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ (2x_1 - y_1) + (2x_2 - y_2) \\ (3x_1 + 4y_1) + (3x_2 + 4y_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 2x_1 - y_1 \\ 3x_1 + 4y_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 2x_2 - y_2 \\ 3x_2 + 4y_2 \end{bmatrix} = T\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} + T\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$$

To show (2), we let 
$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$
 and let  $c$  be a scalar. Then  

$$T(c\mathbf{v}) = T\left(c\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} cx \\ cy \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} cx \\ 2(cx) - (cy) \\ 3(cx) + 4(cy) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx \\ c(2x - y) \\ c(3x + 4y) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= c\begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x - y \\ 3x + 4y \end{bmatrix} = cT\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = cT(\mathbf{v})$$

Thus, T is a linear transformation.

**Remark** The definition of a linear transformation can be streamlined by combining (1) and (2) as shown below.

 $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a linear transformation if

 $T(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) = c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + c_2T(\mathbf{v}_2)$  for all  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and scalars  $c_1, c_2$ 

In Exercise 53, you will be asked to show that the statement above is equivalent to the original definition. In practice, this equivalent formulation can save some writing—try it!

Although the linear transformation T in Example 3.55 originally arose as a *matrix* transformation  $T_A$ , it is a simple matter to recover the matrix A from the definition of T given in the example. We observe that

$$T\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x\\2x-y\\3x+4y\end{bmatrix} = x\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\3\end{bmatrix} + y\begin{bmatrix}0\\-1\\4\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1&0\\2&-1\\3&4\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}$$

so  $T = T_A$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . (Notice that when the variables *x* and *y* are lined

up, the matrix A is just their coefficient matrix.)

Recognizing that a transformation is a matrix transformation is important, since, as the next theorem shows, all matrix transformations are linear transformations.

**Theorem 3.30** 

Let A be an 
$$m \times n$$
 matrix. Then the matrix transformation  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  defined by

$$T_A(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$$
 (for  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

is a linear transformation.

**Proof** Let **u** and **v** be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let *c* be a scalar. Then

$$T_A(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = A(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{u} + A\mathbf{v} = T_A(\mathbf{u}) + T_A(\mathbf{v})$$

and

 $T_A(c\mathbf{v}) = A(c\mathbf{v}) = c(A\mathbf{v}) = cT_A(\mathbf{v})$ 

Hence,  $T_A$  is a linear transformation.

Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the transformation that sends each point to its reflection in the x-axis. Show that F is a linear transformation.

**Solution** From Figure 3.4, it is clear that F sends the point (x, y) to the point (x, -y). Thus, we may write

$$F\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x\\-y\end{bmatrix}$$

We could proceed to check that F is linear, as in Example 3.55 (this one is even easier to check!), but it is faster to observe that

Therefore,  $F\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = A\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\0 & -1\end{bmatrix}$ , so *F* is a matrix transformation. It now follows, by Theorem 3.30, that F is a linear transformation.

Let  $R : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the transformation that rotates each point 90° counterclockwise about the origin. Show that *R* is a linear transformation.

Solution As Figure 3.5 shows, R sends the point (x, y) to the point (-y, x). Thus, we have

$$R\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-y\\x\end{bmatrix} = x\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix} + y\begin{bmatrix}-1\\0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0 & -1\\1 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, R is a matrix transformation and is therefore linear.

Observe that if we multiply a matrix by standard basis vectors, we obtain the columns of the matrix. For example,

 $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}$ 

We can use this observation to show that *every* linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  arises as a matrix transformation.



**Example 3.56** 

Figure 3.4 Reflection in the x-axis

Example 3.57





### Theorem 3.31

Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. Then *T* is a matrix transformation. More specifically,  $T = T_A$ , where *A* is the  $m \times n$  matrix

$$A = [T(\mathbf{e}_1) \mid T(\mathbf{e}_2) \mid \cdots \mid T(\mathbf{e}_n)]$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$  be the standard basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We can write  $\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + x_2\mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n$  (where the  $x_i$ 's are the components of  $\mathbf{x}$ ). We also know that  $T(\mathbf{e}_1), T(\mathbf{e}_2), \ldots, T(\mathbf{e}_n)$  are (column) vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $A = [T(\mathbf{e}_1) : T(\mathbf{e}_2) : \cdots : T(\mathbf{e}_n)]$  be the  $m \times n$  matrix with these vectors as its columns. Then

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = T(x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + x_2\mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n)$$
  
=  $x_1T(\mathbf{e}_1) + x_2T(\mathbf{e}_2) + \dots + x_nT(\mathbf{e}_n)$   
=  $[T(\mathbf{e}_1) \colon T(\mathbf{e}_2) \colon \dots \colon T(\mathbf{e}_n)] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = A\mathbf{x}$ 

as required.

The matrix *A* in Theorem 3.31 is called the *standard matrix of the linear trans-formation T*.

### Example 3.58

Show that a rotation about the origin through an angle  $\theta$  defines a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and find its standard matrix.

**Solution** Let  $R_{\theta}$  be the rotation. We will give a geometric argument to establish the fact that  $R_{\theta}$  is linear. Let **u** and **v** be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If they are not parallel, then Figure 3.6(a) shows the parallelogram rule that determines  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ . If we now apply  $R_{\theta}$ , the entire parallelogram is rotated through the angle  $\theta$ , as shown in Figure 3.6(b). But the diagonal of this parallelogram must be  $R_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}) + R_{\theta}(\mathbf{v})$ , again by the parallelogram rule. Hence,  $R_{\theta}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = R_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}) + R_{\theta}(\mathbf{v})$ . (What happens if **u** and **v** are parallel?)



#### Figure 3.6

Similarly, if we apply  $R_{\theta}$  to **v** and c**v**, we obtain  $R_{\theta}(\mathbf{v})$  and  $R_{\theta}(c$ **v**), as shown in Figure 3.7. But since the rotation does not affect lengths, we must then have  $R_{\theta}(c$ **v**) =  $cR_{\theta}(\mathbf{v})$ , as required. (Draw diagrams for the cases 0 < c < 1, -1 < c < 0, and c < -1.)



Therefore,  $R_{\theta}$  is a linear transformation. According to Theorem 3.31, we can find its matrix by determining its effect on the standard basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Now, as Figure 3.8 shows,  $R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}$ . We can find  $R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  similarly, but it is faster to observe that  $R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  must be perpendicular (counterclockwise) to  $R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and so, by Example 3.57,  $R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ (Figure 3.9).

Therefore, the standard matrix of  $R_{\theta}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ .



The result of Example 3.58 can now be used to compute the effect of any rotation. For example, suppose we wish to rotate the point (2, -1) through 60° about the origin. (The convention is that a positive angle corresponds to a counterclockwise





**Example 3.59** 

rotation, while a negative angle is clockwise.) Since  $\cos 60^\circ = 1/2$  and  $\sin 60^\circ = \sqrt{3}/2$ , we compute

$$R_{60}\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\cos 60^{\circ} & -\sin 60^{\circ}\\\sin 60^{\circ} & \cos 60^{\circ}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2\\\sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix}(2 + \sqrt{3})/2\\(2\sqrt{3} - 1)/2\end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the image of the point (2, -1) under this rotation is the point  $((2 + \sqrt{3})/2, (2\sqrt{3} - 1)/2) \approx (1.87, 1.23)$ , as shown in Figure 3.10.

(a) Show that the transformation  $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  that projects a point onto the *x*-axis is a linear transformation and find its standard matrix.

(b) More generally, if  $\ell$  is a line through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , show that the transformation  $P_{\ell} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  that projects a point onto  $\ell$  is a linear transformation and find its standard matrix.

**Solution** (a) As Figure 3.11 shows, P sends the point (x, y) to the point (x, 0). Thus,

$$P\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x\\0\end{bmatrix} = x\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix} + y\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that *P* is a matrix transformation (and hence a linear transformation) with

standard matrix 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

(b) Let the line  $\ell$  have direction vector **d** and let **v** be an arbitrary vector. Then  $P_{\ell}$  is given by  $\text{proj}_{d}(\mathbf{v})$ , the projection of **v** onto **d**, which you'll recall from Section 1.2 has the formula

$$\text{proj}_d(v) = \left(\frac{d \cdot v}{d \cdot d}\right) d$$

Thus, to show that  $P_{\ell}$  is linear, we proceed as follows:

$$P_{\ell}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}} + \frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d} = P_{\ell}(\mathbf{u}) + P_{\ell}(\mathbf{v})$$

Similarly,  $P_{\ell}(c\mathbf{v}) = cP_{\ell}(\mathbf{v})$  for any scalar *c* (Exercise 52). Hence,  $P_{\ell}$  is a linear transformation.



Figure 3.11 A projection

To find the standard matrix of  $P_{\ell}$ , we apply Theorem 3.31. If we let  $\mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$P_{\ell}(\mathbf{e}_1) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d} = \frac{d_1}{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} d_1^2 \\ d_1 d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P_{\ell}(\mathbf{e}_2) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2}{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}}\right) \mathbf{d} = \frac{d_2}{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 d_2 \\ d_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

Thus, the standard matrix of the projection is

$$A = \frac{1}{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} d_1^2 & d_1 d_2 \\ d_1 d_2 & d_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^2 / (d_1^2 + d_2^2) & d_1 d_2 / (d_1^2 + d_2^2) \\ d_1 d_2 / (d_1^2 + d_2^2) & d_2^2 / (d_1^2 + d_2^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

As a check, note that in part (a) we could take  $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}_1$  as a direction vector for the *x*-axis. Therefore,  $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_2 = 0$ , and we obtain  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , as before.

### **New Linear Transformations from Old**

If  $T : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$  are linear transformations, then we may follow *T* by *S* to form the *composition* of the two transformations, denoted  $S \circ T$ . Notice that, in order for  $S \circ T$  to make sense, the codomain of *T* and the domain of *S* must match (in this case, they are both  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and the resulting composite transformation  $S \circ T$  goes from the domain of *T* to the codomain of *S* (in this case,  $S \circ T : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ ). Figure 3.12 shows schematically how this composition works. The formal definition of composition of transformations is taken directly from this figure and is the same as the corresponding definition of composition of ordinary functions:

$$(S \circ T)(\mathbf{v}) = S(T(\mathbf{v}))$$

Of course, we would like  $S \circ T$  to be a linear transformation too, and happily we find that it is. We can demonstrate this by showing that  $S \circ T$  satisfies the definition of a linear transformation (which we will do in Chapter 6), but, since for the time being we are assuming that linear transformations and matrix transformations are the same thing, it is enough to show that  $S \circ T$  is a matrix transformation. We will use the notation [T] for the standard matrix of a linear transformation T.



The composition of transformations

**Theorem 3.32** Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$  be linear transformations. Then  $S \circ T: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is a linear transformation. Moreover, their standard matrices are related by  $[S \circ T] = [S][T]$ **Proof** Let [S] = A and [T] = B. (Notice that A is  $p \times n$  and B is  $n \times m$ .) If v is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then we simply compute  $(S \circ T)(\mathbf{v}) = S(T(\mathbf{v})) = S(B\mathbf{v}) = A(B\mathbf{v}) = (AB)\mathbf{v}$ (Notice here that the dimensions of A and B guarantee that the product AB makes sense.) Thus, we see that the effect of  $S \circ T$  is to multiply vectors by AB, from which it follows immediately that  $S \circ T$  is a matrix (hence, linear) transformation with  $[S \circ T] = [S][T].$ Isn't this a great result? Say it in words: "The matrix of the composite is the product of the matrices." What a lovely formula! **Example 3.60** Consider the linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  from Example 3.55, defined by  $T\begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ 2x_1 - x_2\\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and the linear transformation  $S : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^4$  defined by  $S\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + y_3 \\ 3y_2 - y_3 \\ y_1 - y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ Find  $S \circ T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ . **Solution** We see that the standard matrices are  $[S] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } [T] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ so Theorem 3.32 gives  $[S \circ T] = [S][T] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 3 & -7 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ It follows that

$$(S \circ T) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 3 & -7 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5x_1 + 4x_2 \\ 3x_1 - 7x_2 \\ -x_1 + x_2 \\ 6x_1 + 3x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(In Exercise 29, you will be asked to check this result by setting

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 \\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and substituting these values into the definition of *S*, thereby calculating  $(S \circ T) \begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

Find the standard matrix of the transformation that first rotates a point 90° counterclockwise about the origin and then reflects the result in the *x*-axis.

**Solution** The rotation *R* and the reflection *F* were discussed in Examples 3.57 and 3.56, respectively, where we found their standard matrices to be  $[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $[F] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . It follows that the composition  $F \circ R$  has for its matrix

$$[F \circ R] = [F][R] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(Check that this result is correct by considering the effect of  $F \circ R$  on the standard basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$ . Note the importance of the *order* of the transformations: R is performed before F, but we write  $F \circ R$ . In this case,  $R \circ F$  also makes sense. Is  $R \circ F = F \circ R$ ?)

### **Inverses of Linear Transformations**

**Example 3.61** 

Consider the effect of a 90° counterclockwise rotation about the origin followed by a 90° clockwise rotation about the origin. Clearly this leaves every point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  unchanged. If we denote these transformations by  $R_{90}$  and  $R_{-90}$  (remember that a negative angle measure corresponds to clockwise direction), then we may express this as  $(R_{90} \circ R_{-90})(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$  for every  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Note that, in this case, if we perform the transformations in the other order, we get the same end result:  $(R_{-90} \circ R_{90})(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$ for every  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Thus,  $R_{90} \circ R_{-90}$  (and  $R_{-90} \circ R_{90}$  too) is a linear transformation that leaves every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  unchanged. Such a transformation is called an *identity transformation*. Generally, we have one such transformation for every  $\mathbb{R}^n$ —namely,  $I : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $I(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$  for every  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (If it is important to keep track of the dimension of the space, we might write  $I_n$  for clarity.)

So, with this notation, we have  $R_{90} \circ R_{-90} = I = R_{-90} \circ R_{90}$ . A pair of transformations that are related to each other in this way are called *inverse transformations*.

**Definition** Let S and T be linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then S and T are *inverse transformations* if  $S \circ T = I_n$  and  $T \circ S = I_n$ .

**Remark** Since this definition is symmetric with respect to S and T, we will say that, when this situation occurs, S is the inverse of T and T is the inverse of S. Furthermore, we will say that S and T are *invertible*.

In terms of matrices, we see immediately that if *S* and *T* are inverse transformations, then  $[S][T] = [S \circ T] = [I] = I$ , where the last *I* is the identity *matrix*. (Why is the standard matrix of the identity transformation the identity matrix?) We must also have  $[T][S] = [T \circ S] = [I] = I$ . This shows that [S] and [T] are inverse matrices. It shows something more: If a linear transformation *T* is invertible, then its standard matrix [T] must be invertible, and since matrix inverses are unique, this means that the inverse of *T* is also unique. Therefore, we can unambiguously use the notation  $T^{-1}$  to refer to *the* inverse of *T*. Thus, we can rewrite the above equations as  $[T][T^{-1}] = I = [T^{-1}][T]$ , showing that the matrix of  $T^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix of [T]. We have just proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.33	Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an invertible linear transformation. Then its standard matrix
	[ <i>T</i> ] is an invertible matrix, and

 $[T^{-1}] = [T]^{-1}$ 

**Remark** Say this one in words too: "The matrix of the inverse is the inverse of the matrix." Fabulous!

Example 3.62 Find the standard matrix of a 60° clockwise rotation about the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Solution Earlier we computed the matrix of a 60° counterclockwise rotation about the origin to be  $[R_{60}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2\\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ Since a 60° clockwise rotation is the inverse of a 60° counterclockwise rotation, we can apply Theorem 3.33 to obtain  $[R_{-60}] = [(R_{60})^{-1}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \\ -\sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ (Check the calculation of the matrix inverse. The fastest way is to use the 2 imes 2 shortcut from Theorem 3.8. Also, check that the resulting matrix has the right effect on the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by drawing a diagram.) **Example 3.63** Determine whether projection onto the *x*-axis is an invertible transformation, and if it is, find its inverse. **Solution** The standard matrix of this projection *P* is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is not invertible since its determinant is 0. Hence, P is not invertible either.



**Remark** Figure 3.13 gives some idea why *P* in Example 3.63 is not invertible. The projection "collapses"  $\mathbb{R}^2$  onto the *x*-axis. For *P* to be invertible, we would have to have a way of "undoing" it, to recover the point (a, b) we started with. However, there are infinitely many candidates for the image of (a, 0) under such a hypothetical "inverse." Which one should we use? We cannot simply say that  $P^{-1}$  must send (a, 0) to (a, b), since this cannot be a *definition* when we have no way of knowing what *b* should be. (See Exercise 42.)

### Associativity

Theorem 3.3(a) in Section 3.2 stated the associativity property for matrix multiplication: A(BC) = (AB)C. (If you didn't try to prove it then, do so now. Even with all matrices restricted 2 × 2, you will get some feeling for the notational complexity involved in an "elementwise" proof, which should make you appreciate the proof we are about to give.)

Our approach to the proof is via linear transformations. We have seen that every  $m \times n$  matrix A gives rise to a linear transformation  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ; conversely, every linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  has a corresponding  $m \times n$  matrix [T]. The two correspondences are inversely related; that is, given A,  $[T_A] = A$ , and given T,  $T_{[T]} = T$ . Let  $R = T_A$ ,  $S = T_B$ , and  $T = T_C$ . Then, by Theorem 3.32,

$$A(BC) = (AB)C$$
 if and only if  $R \circ (S \circ T) = (R \circ S) \circ T$ 

We now prove the latter identity. Let **x** be in the domain of *T* [and hence in the domain of both  $R \circ (S \circ T)$  and  $(R \circ S) \circ T$ —why?]. To prove that  $R \circ (S \circ T) = (R \circ S) \circ T$ , it is enough to prove that they have the same effect on **x**. By repeated application of the definition of composition, we have

$$(R \circ (S \circ T))(\mathbf{x}) = R((S \circ T)(\mathbf{x}))$$
  
=  $R(S(T(\mathbf{x})))$   
=  $(R \circ S)(T(\mathbf{x})) = ((R \circ S) \circ T)(\mathbf{x})$ 

as required. (Carefully check how the definition of composition has been used four times.)

This section has served as an introduction to linear transformations. In Chapter 6, we will take a more detailed and more general look at these transformations. The exercises that follow also contain some additional explorations of this important concept.

# **Exercises 3.6**

**1.** Let  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the matrix transformation corre-

sponding to 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Find  $T_A(\mathbf{u})$  and  $T_A(\mathbf{v})$ ,  
where  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

2. Let 
$$T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
 be the matrix transformation corresponding to  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find  $T_A(\mathbf{u})$  and  $T_A(\mathbf{v})$ , where  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

In Exercises 3–6, prove that the given transformation is a linear transformation, using the definition (or the Remark following Example 3.55).

3. 
$$T\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x+y\\x-y\end{bmatrix}$$
  
4.  $T\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-y\\x+2y\\3x-4y\end{bmatrix}$   
5.  $T\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x-y+z\\2x+y-3z\end{bmatrix}$   
6.  $T\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x+z\\y+z\\x+y\end{bmatrix}$ 

*In Exercises 7–10, give a counterexample to show that the given transformation is not a linear transformation.* 

7. 
$$T\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
8.  $T\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} |x| \\ |y| \end{bmatrix}$   
9.  $T\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} xy \\ x+y \end{bmatrix}$   
10.  $T\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x+1 \\ y-1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

*In Exercises* 11–14, *find the standard matrix of the linear transformation in the given exercise.* 

<b>11.</b> Exercise 3	<b>12.</b> Exercise 4

**13.** Exercise 5**14.** Exercise 6

In Exercises 15–18, show that the given transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linear by showing that it is a matrix transformation.

- 15. F reflects a vector in the y-axis.
- **16.** *R* rotates a vector 45° counterclockwise about the origin.
- **17.** *D* stretches a vector by a factor of 2 in the *x*-component and a factor of 3 in the *y*-component.
- **18.** *P* projects a vector onto the line y = x.
- **19.** The three types of elementary matrices give rise to five types of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with one of the following forms:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{or} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{or} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each of these elementary matrices corresponds to a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Draw pictures to illustrate the effect of each one on the unit square with vertices at (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), and (1, 1).

In Exercises 20–25, find the standard matrix of the given linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- **20.** Counterclockwise rotation through 120° about the origin
- 21. Clockwise rotation through 30° about the origin
- **22.** Projection onto the line y = 2x
- **23.** Projection onto the line y = -x
- **24.** Reflection in the line y = x
- **25.** Reflection in the line y = -x
- **26.** Let  $\ell$  be a line through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $P_\ell$  the linear transformation that projects a vector onto  $\ell$ , and  $F_\ell$  the transformation that reflects a vector in  $\ell$ .
  - (a) Draw diagrams to show that  $F_{\ell}$  is linear.
  - (b) Figure 3.14 suggests a way to find the matrix of F<sub>ℓ</sub>, using the fact that the diagonals of a parallelogram bisect each other. Prove that F<sub>ℓ</sub>(**x**) = 2P<sub>ℓ</sub>(**x**) **x**, and use this result to show that the standard matrix of F<sub>ℓ</sub> is

$$\frac{1}{d_1^2 + d_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} d_1^2 - d_2^2 & 2d_1d_2 \\ 2d_1d_2 & -d_1^2 + d_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(where the direction vector of  $\ell$  is  $\mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ).

(c) If the angle between  $\ell$  and the positive *x*-axis is  $\theta$ , show that the matrix of  $F_{\ell}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{bmatrix}$$



*In Exercises 27 and 28, apply part (b) or (c) of Exercise 26 to find the standard matrix of the transformation.* 

**27.** Reflection in the line y = 2x

Section 3.6 Introduction to Linear Transformations

- **28.** Reflection in the line  $y = \sqrt{3}x$
- **29.** Check the formula for  $S \circ T$  in Example 3.60, by performing the suggested direct substitution.

In Exercises 30–35, verify Theorem 3.32 by finding the matrix of  $S \circ T$  (a) by direct substitution and (b) by matrix multiplication of [S][T].

$$30. T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 \end{bmatrix}, S \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 \\ -y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$31. T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 \\ -3x_1 + x_2 \end{bmatrix}, S \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + 3y_2 \\ y_1 - y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$32. T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix}, S \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + 3y_2 \\ 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_1 - y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$33. T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}, S \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4y_1 - 2y_2 \\ -y_1 + y_2 \\ -y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$34. T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 \\ 2x_2 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}, S \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - y_2 \\ y_1 + y_2 \\ -y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$35. T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}, S \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - y_2 \\ y_2 - y_3 \\ -y_1 + y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 36–39, find the standard matrix of the composite transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- **36.** Counterclockwise rotation through 60°, followed by reflection in the line y = x
- **37.** Reflection in the *y*-axis, followed by clockwise rotation through 30°
- **38.** Clockwise rotation through 45°, followed by projection onto the *y*-axis, followed by clockwise rotation through 45°
- **39.** Reflection in the line y = x, followed by counterclockwise rotation through 30°, followed by reflection in the line y = -x

In Exercises 40–43, use matrices to prove the given statements about transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**40.** If  $R_{\theta}$  denotes a rotation (about the origin) through the angle  $\theta$ , then  $R_{\alpha} \circ R_{\beta} = R_{\alpha+\beta}$ .

- **41.** If  $\theta$  is the angle between lines  $\ell$  and m (through the origin), then  $F_m \circ F_\ell = R_{+2\theta}$ . (See Exercise 26.)
- **42. (a)** If P is a projection, then  $P \circ P = P$ .

(b) The matrix of a projection can never be invertible.

- **43.** If  $\ell$ , *m*, and *n* are three lines through the origin, then  $F_n \circ F_m \circ F_\ell$  is also a reflection in a line through the origin.
- **44.** Let *T* be a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (or from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ). Prove that *T* maps a straight line to a straight line or a point. [*Hint:* Use the vector form of the equation of a line.]
- **45.** Let *T* be a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (or from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ). Prove that *T* maps parallel lines to parallel lines, a single line, a pair of points, or a single point.

In Exercises 46–51, let ABCD be the square with vertices (-1, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1), and (-1, -1). Use the results in Exercises 44 and 45 to find and draw the image of ABCD under the given transformation.

- **46.** T in Exercise 3
- 47. D in Exercise 17
- 48. P in Exercise 18
- 49. The projection in Exercise 22
- **50.** *T* in Exercise 31
- **51.** The transformation in Exercise 37
- **52.** Prove that  $P_{\ell}(c\mathbf{v}) = cP_{\ell}(\mathbf{v})$  for any scalar *c* [Example 3.59(b)].
- **53.** Prove that  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a linear transformation if and only if

$$T(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) = c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + c_2T(\mathbf{v}_2)$$

for all  $\mathbf{v}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and scalars  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ .

- 54. Prove that (as noted at the beginning of this section) the range of a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is the column space of its matrix [T].
- **55.** If *A* is an invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrix, what does the Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices assert about the corresponding linear transformation  $T_A$  in light of Exercise 19?

# Vignette

## **Robotics**

In 1981, the U.S. Space Shuttle *Columbia* blasted off equipped with a device called the Shuttle Remote Manipulator System (SRMS). This robotic arm, known as Canadarm, has proved to be a vital tool in all subsequent space shuttle missions, providing strong, yet precise and delicate handling of its payloads (see Figure 3.15).

Canadarm has been used to place satellites into their proper orbit and to retrieve malfunctioning ones for repair, and it has also performed critical repairs to the shuttle itself. Notably, the robotic arm was instrumental in the successful repair of the *Hubble Space Telescope*. Since 1998, Canadarm has played an important role in the assembly and operation of the *International Space Station*.



Figure 3.15 Canadarm

A robotic arm consists of a series of *links* of fixed length connected at *joints* where they can rotate. Each link can therefore rotate in space, or (through the effect of the other links) be translated parallel to itself, or move by a combination (composition) of rotations and translations. Before we can design a mathematical model for a robotic arm, we need to understand how rotations and translations work in composition. To simplify matters, we will assume that our arm is in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

In Section 3.6, we saw that the matrix of a rotation R about the origin through an

angle  $\theta$  is a linear transformation with matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$  (Figure 3.16(a)). If  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ , then a *translation along* **v** is the transformation

 $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}$  or, equivalently,  $T\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x+a \\ y+b \end{bmatrix}$ 

(Figure 3.16(b)).



Unfortunately, translation is not a linear transformation, because  $T(0) \neq 0$ . However, there is a trick that will get us around this problem. We can represent the vector

 $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  as the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This is called representing  $\mathbf{x}$  in *homogeneous coor*-

dinates. Then the matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x+a \\ y+b \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

represents the translated vector  $T(\mathbf{x})$  in homogeneous coordinates.

We can treat rotations in homogeneous coordinates too. The matrix multiplication

$\cos\theta$	$-\sin\theta$	0	$\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$		$\int x\cos\theta - y\sin\theta$	
$\sin \theta$	$\cos \theta$	0	y	=	$x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta$	
0	0	1	1_		_ 1 _	

represents the rotated vector  $R(\mathbf{x})$  in homogeneous coordinates. The composition  $T \circ R$  that gives the rotation R followed by the translation T is now represented by the product

[1	0	a	$\int \cos\theta$	$-\sin\theta$	0		$\cos\theta$	$-\sin\theta$	a
0	1	b	$\sin \theta$	$\cos\theta$	0	=	$\sin  heta$	$\cos \theta$	b
0	0	1	L 0	0	1		0	0	1

[Note that  $R \circ T \neq T \circ R$ .]

To model a robotic arm, we give each link its own coordinate system (called a *frame*) and examine how one link moves in relation to those to which it is directly connected. To be specific, we let the coordinate axes for the link  $A_i$  be  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ , with the  $x_i$ -axis aligned with the link. The length of  $A_i$  is denoted by  $a_i$ , and the angle

between  $x_i$  and  $x_{i-1}$  is denoted by  $\theta_i$ . The joint between  $A_i$  and  $A_{i-1}$  is at the point (0, 0) relative to  $A_i$  and  $(a_{i-1}, 0)$  relative to  $A_{i-1}$ . Hence, relative to  $A_{i-1}$ , the coordinate

system for  $A_i$  has been rotated through  $\theta_i$  and then translated along  $\begin{bmatrix} a_{i-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (Figure 3.17). This transformation is represented in homogeneous coordinates by the matrix



To give a specific example, consider Figure 3.18(a). It shows an arm with three links in which  $A_1$  is in its initial position and each of the other two links has been rotated 45° from the previous link. We will take the length of each link to be 2 units. Figure 3.18(b) shows  $A_3$  in its initial frame. The transformation

$$T_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 45 & -\sin 45 & 2\\ \sin 45 & \cos 45 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 2\\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

causes a rotation of 45° and then a translation by 2 units. As shown in 3.18(c), this places  $A_3$  in its appropriate position relative to  $A_2$ 's frame. Next, the transformation

	$\cos 45$	-sin 45	2		$\left\lceil 1/\sqrt{2} \right\rceil$	$-1/\sqrt{2}$	2	
$T_2 =$	sin 45	cos 45	0	=	$1/\sqrt{2}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$	0	ľ
	Lo	0	1		0	0	1	

is applied to the previous result. This places both  $A_3$  and  $A_2$  in their correct position relative to  $A_1$ , as shown in Figure 3.18(d). Normally, a third transformation  $T_1$ (a rotation) would be applied to the previous result, but in our case,  $T_1$  is the identity transformation because  $A_1$  stays in its initial position.

Typically, we want to know the coordinates of the end (the "hand") of the robotic arm, given the length and angle parameters—this is known as *forward kinematics*. Following the above sequence of calculations and referring to Figure 3.18, we see that



we need to determine where the point (2, 0) ends up after  $T_3$  and  $T_2$  are applied. Thus, the arm's hand is at

$$T_{2}T_{3}\begin{bmatrix}2\\0\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 2\\1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}^{2}\begin{bmatrix}2\\0\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0 & -1 & 2+\sqrt{2}\\1 & 0 & \sqrt{2}\\0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}2\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix}2+\sqrt{2}\\2+\sqrt{2}\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

which represents the point  $(2 + \sqrt{2}, 2 + \sqrt{2})$  in homogeneous coordinates. It is easily checked from Figure 3.18(a) that this is correct.

The methods used in this example generalize to robotic arms in three dimensions, although in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  there are more degrees of freedom and hence more variables. The method of homogeneous coordinates is also useful in other applications, notably computer graphics.

### **Applications**

### **Markov Chains**

A market research team is conducting a controlled survey to determine people's preferences in toothpaste. The sample consists of 200 people, each of whom is asked to try two brands of toothpaste over a period of several months. Based on the responses to the survey, the research team compiles the following statistics about toothpaste preferences.

Of those using Brand A in any month, 70% continue to use it the following month, while 30% switch to Brand B; of those using Brand B in any month, 80% continue to use it the following month, while 20% switch to Brand A. These findings are summarized in Figure 3.19, in which the percentages have been converted into decimals; we will think of them as probabilities.



Figure 3.19 is a simple example of a (finite) *Markov chain*. It represents an evolving process consisting of a finite number of *states*. At each step or point in time, the process may be in any one of the states; at the next step, the process can remain in its present state or switch to one of the other states. The state to which the process moves at the next step and the probability of its doing so depend *only* on the present state and not on the past history of the process. These probabilities are called *transition probabilities* and are assumed to be constants (that is, the probability of moving from state *i* to state *j* is always the same).

### **Example 3.64**

In the toothpaste survey described above, there are just two states—using Brand A and using Brand B—and the transition probabilities are those indicated in Figure 3.19. Suppose that, when the survey begins, 120 people are using Brand A and 80 people are using Brand B. How many people will be using each brand 1 month later? 2 months later?

**Solution** The number of Brand A users after 1 month will be 70% of those initially using Brand A (those who remain loyal to Brand A) plus 20% of the Brand B users (those who switch from B to A):

0.70(120) + 0.20(80) = 100

Similarly, the number of Brand B users after 1 month will be a combination of those who switch to Brand B and those who continue to use it:

0.30(120) + 0.80(80) = 100



was a Russian mathematician who

was interested in number theory, analysis, and the theory of con-

tinued fractions, a recently developed field that Markov applied

was also interested in poetry, and

one of the uses to which he put

Markov chains was the analysis

of patterns in poems and other

literary texts.

to probability theory. Markov

studied and later taught at the University of St. Petersburg. He We can summarize these two equations in a single matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & 0.20\\ 0.30 & 0.80 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 120\\ 80 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 100\\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's call the matrix *P* and label the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 120 \\ 80 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$ . (Note

that the components of each vector are the numbers of Brand A and Brand B users,

in that order, after the number of months indicated by the subscript.) Thus, we have  $\mathbf{x}_1 = P\mathbf{x}_0$ .

Extending the notation, let  $\mathbf{x}_k$  be the vector whose components record the distribution of toothpaste users after *k* months. To determine the number of users of each brand after 2 months have elapsed, we simply apply the same reasoning, starting with  $\mathbf{x}_1$  instead of  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . We obtain

$$\mathbf{x}_2 = P\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & 0.20\\ 0.30 & 0.80 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 100\\ 100 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 90\\ 110 \end{bmatrix}$$

from which we see that there are now 90 Brand A users and 110 Brand B users.

The vectors  $\mathbf{x}_k$  in Example 3.64 are called the *state vectors* of the Markov chain, and the matrix *P* is called its *transition matrix*. We have just seen that a Markov chain satisfies the relation

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = P\mathbf{x}_k$$
 for  $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

From this result it follows that we can compute an arbitrary state vector *iteratively* once we know  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and *P*. In other words, a Markov chain is *completely determined* by its transition probabilities and its initial state.

#### **Remarks**

• Suppose, in Example 3.64, we wanted to keep track of not the *actual* numbers of toothpaste users but, rather, the *relative* numbers using each brand. We could convert the data into percentages or fractions by dividing by 200, the total number of users. Thus, we would start with

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{120}{200} \\ \frac{80}{200} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.60 \\ 0.40 \end{bmatrix}$$

to reflect the fact that, initially, the Brand A–Brand B split is 60%–40%. Check by direct calculation that  $P\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50\\ 0.50 \end{bmatrix}$ , which can then be taken as  $\mathbf{x}_1$  (in agreement with the 50–50 split we computed above). Vectors such as these, with nonnegative components that add up to 1, are called *probability vectors*.

• Observe how the transition probabilities are arranged within the transition matrix *P*. We can think of the columns as being labeled with the *present* states and the rows as being labeled with the *next* states:

		Pres	ent	
		А	В	
	А	0.70	0.20	
ext	В	0.30	0.80	

N

The word *stochastic* is derived from the Greek adjective *stokhastikos*, meaning "capable of aiming" (or guessing). It has come to be applied to anything that is governed by the laws of probability in the sense that probability makes predictions about the likelihood of things happening. In probability theory, "stochastic processes" form a generalization of Markov chains.



Note also that the columns of *P* are probability vectors; any square matrix with this property is called a *stochastic matrix*.

We can realize the deterministic nature of Markov chains in another way. Note that we can write

$$\mathbf{x}_2 = P\mathbf{x}_1 = P(P\mathbf{x}_0) = P^2\mathbf{x}_0$$

and, in general,

$$\mathbf{x}_k = P^k \mathbf{x}_0$$
 for  $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

This leads us to examine the powers of a transition matrix. In Example 3.64, we have

$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & 0.20 \\ 0.30 & 0.80 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & 0.20 \\ 0.30 & 0.80 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.55 & 0.30 \\ 0.45 & 0.70 \end{bmatrix}$$

What are we to make of the entries of this matrix? The first thing to observe is that  $P^2$  is another stochastic matrix, since its columns sum to 1. (You are asked to prove this in Exercise 14.) Could it be that  $P^2$  is also a transition matrix of some kind? Consider one of its entries—say,  $(P^2)_{21} = 0.45$ . The tree diagram in Figure 3.20 clarifies where this entry came from.

There are four possible state changes that can occur over 2 months, and these correspond to the four branches (or paths) of length 2 in the tree. Someone who initially is using Brand A can end up using Brand B 2 months later in two different ways (marked \* in the figure): The person can continue to use A after 1 month and then switch to B (with probability 0.7(0.3) = 0.21), or the person can switch to B after 1 month and then stay with B (with probability 0.3(0.8) = 0.24). The sum of these probabilities gives an overall probability of 0.45. Observe that these calculations are *exactly* what we do when we compute  $(P^2)_{21}$ .

It follows that  $(P^2)_{21} = 0.45$  represents the probability of moving from state 1 (Brand A) to state 2 (Brand B) in two transitions. (Note that the order of the subscripts is the *reverse* of what you might have guessed.) The argument can be generalized to show that

 $(P^k)_{ii}$  is the probability of moving from state *j* to state *i* in *k* transitions.

In Example 3.64, what will happen to the distribution of toothpaste users in the long run? Let's work with probability vectors as state vectors. Continuing our calculations (rounding to three decimal places), we find

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.60\\ 0.40 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50\\ 0.50 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{2} = P\mathbf{x}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & 0.20\\ 0.30 & 0.80 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.50\\ 0.50 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.45\\ 0.55 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{3} = P\mathbf{x}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & 0.20\\ 0.30 & 0.80 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.45\\ 0.55 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.425\\ 0.575 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.412\\ 0.588 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.406\\ 0.594 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.403\\ 0.597 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{7} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.402\\ 0.598 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{8} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.401\\ 0.599 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{9} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.400\\ 0.600 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.400\\ 0.600 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so on. It appears that the state vectors approach (or *converge to*) the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.4\\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$ 

implying that eventually 40% of the toothpaste users in the survey will be using Brand A and 60% will be using Brand B. Indeed, it is easy to check that, once this distribution is reached, it will never change. We simply compute

0.70	0.20	0.4	· · ·	0.4
0.30	0.80	0.6		0.6

A state vector **x** with the property that  $P\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$  is called a *steady state vector*. In Chapter 4, we will prove that every Markov chain has a unique steady state vector. For now, let's accept this as a fact and see how we can find such a vector without doing any iterations at all.

We begin by rewriting the matrix equation  $P\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$  as  $P\mathbf{x} = I\mathbf{x}$ , which can in turn be rewritten as  $(I - P)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Now this is just a homogeneous system of linear equations with coefficient matrix I - P, so the augmented matrix is  $[I - P|\mathbf{0}]$ . In Example 3.64, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} I - P \mid \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 0.70 & -0.20 & | & 0 \\ -0.30 & 1 - 0.80 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30 & -0.20 & | & 0 \\ -0.30 & 0.20 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So, if our steady state vector is  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $x_2$  is a free variable and the parametric solution is

$$x_1 = \frac{2}{3}t, \quad x_2 = t$$

If we require x to be a probability vector, then we must have

$$1 = x_1 + x_2 = \frac{2}{3}t + t = \frac{5}{3}t$$

Therefore,  $x_2 = t = \frac{3}{5} = 0.6$  and  $x_1 = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4$ , so  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$ , in agreement with our iterative calculations above. (If we require  $\mathbf{x}$  to contain the *actual* distribution, then in this example we must have  $x_1 + x_2 = 200$ , from which it follows that  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 80 \\ 120 \end{bmatrix}$ .)

### Example 3.65

A psychologist places a rat in a cage with three compartments, as shown in Figure 3.21. The rat has been trained to select a door at random whenever a bell is rung and to move through it into the next compartment.

(a) If the rat is initially in compartment 1, what is the probability that it will be in compartment 2 after the bell has rung twice? three times?

(b) In the long run, what proportion of its time will the rat spend in each compartment?

**Solution** Let  $P = [p_{ij}]$  be the transition matrix for this Markov chain. Then

$$p_{21} = p_{31} = \frac{1}{2}, p_{12} = p_{13} = \frac{1}{3}, p_{32} = p_{23} = \frac{2}{3}, and p_{11} = p_{22} = p_{33} = 0$$



(Why? Remember that  $p_{ij}$  is the probability of moving from *j* to *i*.) Therefore,

P =	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$
	$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0

and the initial state vector is

 $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

(a) After one ring of the bell, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{1} = P\mathbf{x}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Continuing (rounding to three decimal places), we find

$$\mathbf{x}_{2} = P\mathbf{x}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.333 \\ 0.333 \\ 0.333 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}_{3} = P\mathbf{x}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{9} \\ \frac{7}{18} \\ \frac{7}{18} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.222 \\ 0.389 \\ 0.389 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, after two rings, the probability that the rat is in compartment 2 is  $\frac{1}{3} \approx 0.333$ , and after three rings, the probability that the rat is in compartment 2 is  $\frac{7}{18} \approx 0.389$ . [Note that these questions could also be answered by computing  $(P^2)_{21}$  and  $(P^3)_{21}$ .]

(b) This question is asking for the steady state vector  $\mathbf{x}$  as a probability vector. As we saw above,  $\mathbf{x}$  must be in the null space of I - P, so we proceed to solve the system

$$[I - P \mid \mathbf{0}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \mid \mathbf{0} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{2}{3} \mid \mathbf{0} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \mid \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \mid \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \mid \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \mid \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, if  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $x_3 = t$  is free and  $x_1 = \frac{2}{3}t$ ,  $x_2 = t$ . Since  $\mathbf{x}$  must be a prob-

ability vector, we need  $1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = \frac{8}{3}t$ . Thus,  $t = \frac{3}{8}$  and

	1
<b>x</b> =	3 8
	3

which tells us that, in the long run, the rat spends  $\frac{1}{4}$  of its time in compartment 1 and  $\frac{3}{8}$  of its time in each of the other two compartments.

### **Linear Economic Models**

We now revisit the economic models that we first encountered in Section 2.4 and recast these models in terms of matrices. Example 2.33 illustrated the Leontief closed model. The system of equations we needed to solve was

$$\frac{1}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 = x_1$$
  
$$\frac{1}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2 + \frac{1}{4}x_3 = x_2$$
  
$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2 + \frac{1}{4}x_3 = x_3$$

In matrix form, this is the equation  $E\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ , where

	[1/4	1/3	1/2		$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix}$	
E =	1/4	1/3	1/4	and $\mathbf{x} =$	$x_2$	
	1/2	1/3	1/4		$\lfloor x_3 \rfloor$	

The matrix *E* is called an *exchange matrix* and the vector **x** is called a *price vector*. In general, if  $E = [e_{ij}]$ , then  $e_{ij}$  represents the fraction (or percentage) of industry *j*'s output that is consumed by industry *i* and  $x_i$  is the price charged by industry *i* for its output.

In a closed economy, the sum of each column of E is 1. Since the entries of E are also nonnegative, E is a stochastic matrix and the problem of finding a solution to the equation

$$E\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

is precisely the same as the problem of finding the steady state vector of a Markov chain! Thus, to find a price vector x that satisfies  $E\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ , we solve the equivalent homogeneous equation  $(I - E)\mathbf{x} = 0$ . There will always be infinitely many solutions; we seek a solution where the prices are all nonnegative and at least one price is positive.

The Leontief open model is more interesting. In Example 2.34, we needed to solve the system

$$x_1 = 0.2x_1 + 0.5x_2 + 0.1x_3 + 10$$
  

$$x_2 = 0.4x_1 + 0.2x_2 + 0.2x_3 + 10$$
  

$$x_3 = 0.1x_1 + 0.3x_2 + 0.3x_3 + 30$$

In matrix form, we have

1

$$\mathbf{x} = C\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} \quad or \quad (I - C)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d} \tag{2}$$

where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix *C* is called the *consumption matrix*, x is the *production vector*, and **d** is the *demand vector*. In general, if  $C = [c_{ij}]$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = [x_i]$ , and  $\mathbf{d} = [d_i]$ , then  $c_{ij}$  represents the dollar value of industry *i*'s output that is needed to produce one dollar's worth of industry *j*'s output,  $x_i$  is the dollar value (price) of industry *i*'s output, and  $d_i$  is the dollar value of the external demand for industry *i*'s output. Once again, we are interested in finding a production vector  $\mathbf{x}$  with nonnegative entries such that at least one entry is positive. We call such a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  a *feasible solution*.

**Example 3.66** 

Determine whether there is a solution to the Leontief open model determined by the following consumption matrices:

(a) 
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/3 \\ 1/2 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (b)  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Solution** (a) We have

$$I - C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/3 \\ 1/2 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/4 & -1/3 \\ -1/2 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

so the equation  $(I - C)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}$  becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3/4 & -1/3 \\ -1/2 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In practice, we would row reduce the corresponding augmented matrix to determine a solution. However, in this case, it is instructive to notice that the coefficient matrix I - C is invertible and then to apply Theorem 3.7. We compute

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/4 & -1/3 \\ -1/2 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & 9/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and all entries of  $(I - C)^{-1}$  are nonnegative, so are  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Thus, we can find a feasible solution for *any* nonzero demand vector.

(b) In this case,

$$I - C = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $(I - C)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -6 \\ -6 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$ 

so that

$$\mathbf{x} = (I - C)^{-1}\mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -6\\ -6 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}$$

Since all entries of  $(I - C)^{-1}$  are negative, this will not produce a feasible solution for *any* nonzero demand vector **d**.

Motivated by Example 3.66, we have the following definition. (For two  $m \times n$  matrices  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$ , we will write  $A \ge B$  if  $a_{ij} \ge b_{ij}$  for all *i* and *j*. Similarly, we may define A > B,  $A \le B$ , and so on. A matrix *A* is called nonnegative if  $A \ge O$  and positive if A > O.)

**Definition** A consumption matrix C is called *productive* if I - C is invertible and  $(I - C)^{-1} \ge O$ .

We now give three results that give criteria for a consumption matrix to be productive.

Theorem 3.34	Let C be a consumption matrix. Then C is productive if and only if there exists a
	production vector $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$ such that $\mathbf{x} > C\mathbf{x}$ .

**Proof** Assume that C is productive. Then I - C is invertible and  $(I - C)^{-1} \ge O$ . Let



Then  $\mathbf{x} = (I - C)^{-1}\mathbf{j} \ge 0$  and  $(I - C)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{j} > 0$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{x} - C\mathbf{x} > 0$  or, equivalently,  $\mathbf{x} > C\mathbf{x}$ .

Conversely, assume that there exists a vector  $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$  such that  $\mathbf{x} > C\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $C \ge O$  and  $C \ne O$ , we have  $\mathbf{x} > 0$  by Exercise 35. Furthermore, there must exist a real number  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $C\mathbf{x} < \lambda \mathbf{x}$ . But then

$$C^{2}\mathbf{x} = C(C\mathbf{x}) \le C(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda(C\mathbf{x}) < \lambda(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda^{2}\mathbf{x}$$

By induction, it can be shown that  $0 \le C^n \mathbf{x} < \lambda^n \mathbf{x}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . (Write out the details of this induction proof.) Since  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $\lambda^n$  approaches 0 as *n* gets large. Therefore, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\lambda^n \mathbf{x} \to 0$  and hence  $C^n \mathbf{x} \to 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{x} > 0$ , we must have  $C^n \to O$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Now consider the matrix equation

$$(I - C)(I + C + C^{2} + \cdots + C^{n-1}) = I - C^{n}$$

As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $C^n \to O$ , so we have

$$(I - C)(I + C + C^{2} + ...) = I - O = I$$

Therefore, I - C is invertible, with its inverse given by the infinite matrix series  $I + C + C^2 + \dots$  Since all the terms in this series are nonnegative, we also have

$$(I - C)^{-1} = I + C + C^2 + \ldots \ge O$$

Hence, C is productive.

#### Remarks

• The infinite series  $I + C + C^2 + \ldots$  is the matrix analogue of the geometric series  $1 + x + x^2 + \ldots$ . You may be familiar with the fact that, for |x| < 1,  $1 + x + x^2 + \ldots = 1/(1 - x)$ .

• Since the vector  $C\mathbf{x}$  represents the amounts consumed by each industry, the inequality  $\mathbf{x} > C\mathbf{x}$  means that there is some level of production for which each industry is producing more than it consumes.

• For an alternative approach to the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.34, see Exercise 42 in Section 4.6.

### **Corollary 3.35**

The word *corollary* comes from the Latin word *corollarium*, which refers to a garland given as a reward. Thus, a corollary is a little extra reward that follows from a theorem. Let C be a consumption matrix. If the sum of each row of C is less than 1, then C is productive.

**Proof** If

$$=\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\\\vdots\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

х

then  $C\mathbf{x}$  is a vector consisting of the row sums of *C*. If each row sum of *C* is less than 1, then the condition  $\mathbf{x} > C\mathbf{x}$  is satisfied. Hence, *C* is productive.

### **Corollary 3.36**

Let *C* be a consumption matrix. If the sum of each column of *C* is less than 1, then *C* is productive.

**Proof** If each column sum of C is less than 1, then each row sum of  $C^T$  is less than 1.

Hence,  $C^T$  is productive, by Corollary 3.35. Therefore, by Theorems 3.9(d) and 3.4,

$$((I - C)^{-1})^T = ((I - C)^T)^{-1} = (I^T - C^T)^{-1} = (I - C^T)^{-1} \ge C$$

It follows that  $(I - C)^{-1} \ge O$  too and, thus, *C* is productive.

You are asked to give alternative proofs of Corollaries 3.35 and 3.36 in Exercise 52 of Section 7.2.

It follows from the definition of a consumption matrix that the sum of column j is the total dollar value of all the inputs needed to produce one dollar's worth of industry j's output—that is, industry j's income exceeds its expenditures. We say that such an industry is **profitable**. Corollary 3.36 can therefore be rephrased to state that a consumption matrix is productive if all industries are profitable.

P. H. Leslie, "On the Use of Matrices in Certain Population Mathematics," *Biometrika* **33** (1945), pp. 183–212.

**Example 3.67** 

### **Population Growth**

One of the most popular models of population growth is a matrix-based model, first introduced by P. H. Leslie in 1945. The *Leslie model* describes the growth of the female portion of a population, which is assumed to have a maximum lifespan. The females are divided into age classes, all of which span an equal number of years. Using data about the average birthrates and survival probabilities of each class, the model is then able to determine the growth of the population over time.

A certain species of German beetle, the Vollmar-Wasserman beetle (or VW beetle, for short), lives for at most 3 years. We divide the female VW beetles into three age classes of 1 year each: youths (0–1 year), juveniles (1–2 years), and adults (2–3 years). The youths do not lay eggs; each juvenile produces an average of four female beetles; and each adult produces an average of three females.

The survival rate for youths is 50% (that is, the probability of a youth's surviving to become a juvenile is 0.5), and the survival rate for juveniles is 25%. Suppose we begin with a population of 100 female VW beetles: 40 youths, 40 juveniles, and 20 adults. Predict the beetle population for each of the next 5 years.

**Solution** After 1 year, the number of youths will be the number produced during that year:

$$40 \times 4 + 20 \times 3 = 220$$

The number of juveniles will simply be the number of youths that have survived:

$$40 \times 0.5 = 20$$

Likewise, the number of adults will be the number of juveniles that have survived:

$$40 \times 0.25 = 10$$

We can combine these into a single matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 40 \\ 40 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 220 \\ 20 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

or  $L\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_1$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 40\\40\\20 \end{bmatrix}$  is the initial population distribution vector and  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 220\\20\\10 \end{bmatrix}$ 

is the distribution after 1 year. We see that the structure of the equation is exactly the same as for Markov chains:  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = L\mathbf{x}_k$  for k = 0, 1, 2, ... (although the interpretation is quite different). It follows that we can iteratively compute successive population distribution vectors. (It also follows that  $\mathbf{x}_k = L^k \mathbf{x}_0$  for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., as for Markov chains, but we will not use this fact here.)

We compute

$$\mathbf{x}_{2} = L\mathbf{x}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 220 \\ 20 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 110 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{3} = L\mathbf{x}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 110 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 455 \\ 55 \\ 27.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{4} = L\mathbf{x}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 455 \\ 55 \\ 27.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 302.5 \\ 227.5 \\ 13.75 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{5} = L\mathbf{x}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 302.5 \\ 227.5 \\ 13.75 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 951.2 \\ 151.2 \\ 56.88 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the model predicts that after 5 years there will be approximately 951 young female VW beetles, 151 juveniles, and 57 adults. (*Note:* You could argue that we should have rounded to the nearest integer at each step—for example, 28 adults after step 3—which would have affected the subsequent iterations. We elected *not* to do this, since the calculations are only approximations anyway and it is much easier to use a calculator or CAS if you do not round as you go.)

The matrix *L* in Example 3.67 is called a *Leslie matrix*. In general, if we have a population with *n* age classes of equal duration, *L* will be an  $n \times n$  matrix with the following structure:

	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	•••	$b_{n-1}$	$b_n$	
	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	0	0	•••	0	0	
r	0	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	0	• • •	0	0	
L -	0	0	<b>s</b> <sub>3</sub>	•••	0	0	
		1	-				
	Lo	0	0	•••	$s_{n-1}$	0 _	

Here,  $b_1, b_2, \ldots$  are the *birth parameters* ( $b_i$  = the average numbers of females produced by each female in class *i*) and  $s_1, s_2, \ldots$  are the *survival probabilities* ( $s_i$  = the probability that a female in class *i* survives into class *i* + 1).

What are we to make of our calculations? Overall, the beetle population appears to be increasing, although there are some fluctuations, such as a decrease from 250 to 225 from year 1 to year 2. Figure 3.22 shows the change in the population in each of the three age classes and clearly shows the growth, with fluctuations.





### Figure 3.23

If, instead of plotting the *actual* population, we plot the *relative* population in each class, a different pattern emerges. To do this, we need to compute the fraction of the population in each age class in each year; that is, we need to divide each distribution vector by the sum of its components. For example, after 1 year, we have

	1	220		0.88	
$\frac{1}{250}\mathbf{x}_1$	$=\frac{1}{250}$	20	=	0.08	
250	250	10		0.04	

which tells us that 88% of the population consists of youths, 8% is juveniles, and 4% is adults. If we plot this type of data over time, we get a graph like the one in Figure 3.23, which shows clearly that the proportion of the population in each class is approaching a steady state. It turns out that the steady state vector in this example is

0.72	
0.24	
0.04	

That is, in the long run, 72% of the population will be youths, 24% juveniles, and 4% adults. (In other words, the population is distributed among the three age classes in the ratio 18:6:1.) We will see how to determine this ratio exactly in Chapter 4.

### **Graphs and Digraphs**

There are many situations in which it is important to be able to model the interrelationships among a finite set of objects. For example, we might wish to describe various types of networks (roads connecting towns, airline routes connecting cities, communication links connecting satellites, etc.) or relationships among groups or individuals (friendship relationships in a society, predator-prey relationships in



### **Figure 3.24** Two representations of the same graph

The term *vertex* (*vertices* is the plural) comes from the Latin verb *vertere*, which means "to turn." In the context of graphs (and geometry), a vertex is a corner—a point where an edge "turns" into a different edge.

an ecosystem, dominance relationships in a sport, etc.). Graphs are ideally suited to modeling such networks and relationships, and it turns out that matrices are a useful tool in their study.

A *graph* consists of a finite set of points (called *vertices*) and a finite set of *edges*, each of which connects two (not necessarily distinct) vertices. We say that two vertices are *adjacent* if they are the endpoints of an edge. Figure 3.24 shows an example of the same graph drawn in two different ways. The graphs are the "same" in the sense that all we care about are the adjacency relationships that identify the edges.

We can record the essential information about a graph in a matrix and use matrix algebra to help us answer certain questions about the graph. This is particularly useful if the graphs are large, since computers can handle the calculations very quickly.

**Definition** If G is a graph with n vertices, then its *adjacency matrix* is the  $n \times n$  matrix A [or A(G)] defined by

 $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is an edge between vertices } i \text{ and } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Figure 3.25 shows a graph and its associated adjacency matrix.



A graph with adjacency matrix A

**Remark** Observe that the adjacency matrix of a graph is necessarily a symmetric matrix. (Why?) Notice also that a diagonal entry  $a_{ii}$  of A is zero unless there is a loop at vertex *i*. In some situations, a graph may have more than one edge between a pair of vertices. In such cases, it may make sense to modify the definition of the adjacency matrix so that  $a_{ij}$  equals the *number* of edges between vertices *i* and *j*.

We define a *path* in a graph to be a sequence of edges that allows us to travel from one vertex to another continuously. The *length* of a path is the number of edges it contains, and we will refer to a path with k edges as a k-*path*. For example, in the graph of Figure 3.25,  $v_1v_3v_2v_1$  is a 3-path, and  $v_4v_1v_2v_2v_1v_3$  is a 5-path. Notice that the first of these is *closed* (it begins and ends at the same vertex); such a path is called a *circuit*. The second uses the edge between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  twice; a path that does *not* include the same edge more than once is called a *simple* path.

We can use the powers of a graph's adjacency matrix to give us information about the paths of various lengths in the graph. Consider the square of the adjacency matrix in Figure 3.25:

$$A^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What do the entries of  $A^2$  represent? Look at the (2, 3) entry. From the definition of matrix multiplication, we know that

$$(A^2)_{23} = a_{21}a_{13} + a_{22}a_{23} + a_{23}a_{33} + a_{24}a_{43}$$

The only way this expression can result in a nonzero number is if at least one of the products  $a_{2k}a_{k3}$  that make up the sum is nonzero. But  $a_{2k}a_{k3}$  is nonzero if and only if both  $a_{2k}$  and  $a_{k3}$  are nonzero, which means that there is an edge between  $v_2$  and  $v_k$  as well as an edge between  $v_k$  and  $v_3$ . Thus, there will be a 2-path between vertices 2 and 3 (via vertex k). In our example, this happens for k = 1 and for k = 2, so

$$(A^{2})_{23} = a_{21}a_{13} + a_{22}a_{23} + a_{23}a_{33} + a_{24}a_{43}$$
  
= 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0  
= 2

which tells us that there are two 2-paths between vertices 2 and 3. (Check to see that the remaining entries of  $A^2$  correctly give 2-paths in the graph.) The argument we have just given can be generalized to yield the following result, whose proof we leave as Exercise 72.

If *A* is the adjacency matrix of a graph *G*, then the (i, j) entry of  $A^k$  is equal to the number of *k*-paths between vertices *i* and *j*.

How many 3-paths are there between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in Figure 3.25?

**Solution** We need the (1, 2) entry of  $A^3$ , which is the dot product of row 1 of  $A^2$  and column 2 of A. The calculation gives

$$(A^3)_{12} = 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 0 = 6$$

so there are six 3-paths between vertices 1 and 2, which can be easily checked.

In many applications that can be modeled by a graph, the vertices are ordered by some type of relation that imposes a direction on the edges. For example, directed edges might be used to represent one-way routes in a graph that models a transportation network or predator-prey relationships in a graph modeling an ecosystem. A graph with directed edges is called a *digraph*. Figure 3.26 shows an example.

An easy modification to the definition of adjacency matrices allows us to use them with digraphs.



**Example 3.68** 



A digraph
**Definition** If G is a digraph with *n* vertices, then its *adjacency matrix* is the  $n \times n$  matrix A [or A(G)] defined by

 $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is an edge from vertex } i \text{ to vertex } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Thus, the adjacency matrix for the digraph in Figure 3.26 is

	0	1	0	17	
1 -	0	0	0	1	
A –	1	0	0	0	
	1	0	1	0	

Not surprisingly, the adjacency matrix of a digraph is not symmetric in general. (When would it be?) You should have no difficulty seeing that  $A^k$  now contains the numbers of *directed* k-paths between vertices, where we insist that all edges along a path flow in the same direction. (See Exercise 72.) The next example gives an application of this idea.

Five tennis players (Djokovic, Federer, Nadal, Roddick, and Safin) compete in a round-robin tournament in which each player plays every other player once. The digraph in Figure 3.27 summarizes the results. A directed edge from vertex i to vertex j means that player i defeated player j. (A digraph in which there is exactly one directed edge between every pair of vertices is called a *tournament*.)

The adjacency matrix for the digraph in Figure 3.27 is

	Γο	1	0	1	1	
	0	0	1	1	1	
A =	1	0	0	1	0	
	0	0	0	0	1	
	0	0	1	0	0	

where the order of the vertices (and hence the rows and columns of *A*) is determined alphabetically. Thus, Federer corresponds to row 2 and column 2, for example.

Suppose we wish to rank the five players, based on the results of their matches. One way to do this might be to count the number of wins for each player. Observe that the number of wins each player had is just the sum of the entries in the corresponding row; equivalently, the vector containing all the row sums is given by the product *A***j**, where

$$\mathbf{j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$



R

S

**Example 3.69** 

D

In our case, we have

	0	1	0	1	1]	1		3	
1.0	0	0	1	1	1	1		3	
Aj =	1	0	0	1	0	1	=	2	
	0	0	0	0	1	1		1	
e .	0	0	1	0	0	1		1	

which produces the following ranking:

First:Djokovic, Federer (tie)Second:NadalThird:Roddick, Safin (tie)

Are the players who tied in this ranking equally strong? Djokovic might argue that since he defeated Federer, he deserves first place. Roddick would use the same type of argument to break the tie with Safin. However, Safin could argue that he has two "indirect" victories because he beat Nadal, who defeated *two* others; furthermore, he might note that Roddick has only *one* indirect victory (over Safin, who then defeated Nadal).

Since in a group of ties there may not be a player who defeated all the others in the group, the notion of indirect wins seems more useful. Moreover, an indirect victory corresponds to a 2-path in the digraph, so we can use the square of the adjacency matrix. To compute both wins and indirect wins for each player, we need the row sums of the matrix  $A + A^2$ , which are given by

$$(A + A^{2})\mathbf{j} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we would rank the players as follows: Djokovic, Federer, Nadal, Safin, Roddick. Unfortunately, this approach is not guaranteed to break all ties.

# Exercises 3.7

## **Markov Chains**

In Exercises 1–4, let  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$  be the transition matrix for a Markov chain with two states. Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$  be the initial state vector for the population.

- **1.** Compute  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$ .
- **2.** What proportion of the state 1 population will be in state 2 after two steps?
- **3.** What proportion of the state 2 population will be in state 2 after two steps?
- 4. Find the steady state vector.

In Exercises 5-8, let  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  be the transition ma-[120]

trix for a Markov chain with three states. Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{vmatrix} 180 \\ 90 \end{vmatrix} b$ 

the initial state vector for the population.

**5.** Compute  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$ .

- 6. What proportion of the state 1 population will be in state 1 after two steps?
- 7. What proportion of the state 2 population will be in state 3 after two steps?
- 8. Find the steady state vector.
- 9. Suppose that the weather in a particular region behaves according to a Markov chain. Specifically, suppose that the probability that tomorrow will be a wet day is 0.662 if today is wet and 0.250 if today is dry. The probability that tomorrow will be a dry day is 0.750 if today is dry and 0.338 if today is wet. [This exercise is based on an actual study of rainfall in Tel Aviv over a 27-year period. See K. R. Gabriel and J. Neumann, "A Markov Chain Model for Daily Rainfall Occurrence at Tel Aviv," *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 88* (1962), pp. 90–95.]
  - (a) Write down the transition matrix for this Markov chain.
  - (b) If Monday is a dry day, what is the probability that Wednesday will be wet?
  - (c) In the long run, what will the distribution of wet and dry days be?
- 10. Data have been accumulated on the heights of children relative to their parents. Suppose that the probabilities that a tall parent will have a tall, medium-height, or short child are 0.6, 0.2, and 0.2, respectively; the probabilities that a medium-height parent will have a tall, medium-height, or short child are 0.1, 0.7, and 0.2, respectively; and the probabilities that a short parent will have a tall, medium-height, or short child are 0.2, 0.4, and 0.4, respectively.
  - (a) Write down the transition matrix for this Markov chain.
  - (b) What is the probability that a short person will have a tall grandchild?
  - (c) If 20% of the current population is tall, 50% is of medium height, and 30% is short, what will the distribution be in three generations?
  - (d) What proportion of the population will be tall, of medium height, and short in the long run?

- 11. A study of piñon (pine) nut crops in the American southwest from 1940 to 1947 hypothesized that nut production followed a Markov chain. [See D. H. Thomas, "A Computer Simulation Model of Great Basin Shoshonean Subsistence and Settlement Patterns," in D. L. Clarke, ed., Models in Archaeology (London: Methuen, 1972).] The data suggested that if one year's crop was good, then the probabilities that the following year's crop would be good, fair, or poor were 0.08, 0.07, and 0.85, respectively; if one year's crop was fair, then the probabilities that the following year's crop would be good, fair, or poor were 0.09, 0.11, and 0.80, respectively; if one year's crop was poor, then the probabilities that the following year's crop would be good, fair, or poor were 0.11, 0.05, and 0.84, respectively.
  - (a) Write down the transition matrix for this Markov chain.
  - (b) If the piñon nut crop was good in 1940, find the probabilities of a good crop in the years 1941 through 1945.
  - (c) In the long run, what proportion of the crops will be good, fair, and poor?
- **12.** Robots have been programmed to traverse the maze shown in Figure 3.28 and at each junction randomly choose which way to go.



- (a) Construct the transition matrix for the Markov chain that models this situation.
- (b) Suppose we start with 15 robots at each junction. Find the steady state distribution of robots. (Assume that it takes each robot the same amount of time to travel between two adjacent junctions.)
- 13. Let j denote a row vector consisting entirely of 1s. Prove that a nonnegative matrix P is a stochastic matrix if and only if  $\mathbf{j}P = \mathbf{j}$ .

- 14. (a) Show that the product of two  $2 \times 2$  stochastic matrices is also a stochastic matrix.
  - (b) Prove that the product of two  $n \times n$  stochastic matrices is also a stochastic matrix.
  - (c) If a 2 × 2 stochastic matrix *P* is invertible, prove that  $P^{-1}$  is also a stochastic matrix.

Suppose we want to know the average (or expected) number of steps it will take to go from state i to state j in a Markov chain. It can be shown that the following computation answers this question: Delete the jth row and the jth column of the transition matrix P to get a new matrix Q. (Keep the rows and columns of Q labeled as they were in P.) The expected number of steps from state i to state j is given by the sum of the entries in the column of  $(I - Q)^{-1}$  labeled i.

- **15.** In Exercise 9, if Monday is a dry day, what is the expected number of days until a wet day?
- **16.** In Exercise 10, what is the expected number of generations until a short person has a tall descendant?
- 17. In Exercise 11, if the piñon nut crop is fair one year, what is the expected number of years until a good crop occurs?
- **18.** In Exercise 12, starting from each of the other junctions, what is the expected number of moves until a robot reaches junction 4?

#### **Linear Economic Models**

*In Exercises* 19–26, *determine which of the matrices are exchange matrices. For those that are exchange matrices, find a nonnegative price vector that satisfies Equation (1).* 

19.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	1/4 3/4]			20.	[1/3 [1/2	2/3 1/2	]	
21.	0.4 0.6	0.7 0.4			22.	0.1 0.9	0.6 0.4		
23.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/3\\ 1/3\\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$	$0 \\ 3/2 \\ -1/2$	0 0 1	)	24.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	1 0 0	0 1/3 2/3	
25.	0.3 0.3 0.4	0 0.5 0.5	0.2 0.3 0.5		26.	0.50 0.25 0.25	0.7 0.3 0	70 C 50 C C	).35 <sup>-</sup> ).25 ).40_

*In Exercises 27–30, determine whether the given consumption matrix is productive.* 

	Γορ	0.2]			0.20	0.10	0.10	
27.	0.2	0.5	2	28.	0.30	0.15	0.45	
	[0.5	0.0]			_0.15	0.30	0.50	

29.	0.35 0.15 0.45	0.25 0.55 0.30	0 0.35 0.60	30.	0.2 0.3 0	0.4 0.2 0.4	0.1 0.2 0.5	0.4 0.1 0.3	
	_0.45	0.30	0.60		0.5	0	0.2	0.2	

*In Exercises 31–34, a consumption matrix C and a demand vector* **d** *are given. In each case, find a feasible production vector* **x** *that satisfies Equation (2).* 

$$31. C = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$32. C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.4^{\circ} \\ 0.3 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$33. C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.4 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$34. C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 \\ 3.5 \\ 2.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **35.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $A \ge O$ . Suppose that  $A\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{x}$  for some  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ . Prove that  $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ .
- **36.** Let *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* be  $n \times n$  matrices and **x** and **y** vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove the following inequalities:
  - (a) If  $A \ge B \ge O$  and  $C \ge D \ge O$ , then  $AC \ge BD \ge O$ .
  - (b) If A > B and  $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \ne \mathbf{0}$ , then  $A\mathbf{x} > B\mathbf{x}$ .

### **Population Growth**

37. A population with two age classes has a Leslie matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5\\ 0.6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. If the initial population vector is  
$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 10\\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
, compute  $\mathbf{x}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_3$ .

**38.** A population with three age classes has a Leslie matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. If the initial population vector is  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , compute  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_3$ .

**39.** A population with three age classes has a Leslie matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0.7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. If the initial population vector is  
$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$$
, compute  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_3$ .

40. A population with four age classes has a Leslie matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. If the initial population vector is  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$ , compute  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_3$ .

**41.** A certain species with two age classes of 1 year's duration has a survival probability of 80% from class 1 to class 2. Empirical evidence shows that, on average, each female gives birth to five females per year. Thus, two possible Leslie matrices are

$$L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 0.8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0.8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- (a) Starting with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 10\\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$ , compute  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{10}$  in each case.
- (b) For each case, plot the relative size of each age class over time (as in Figure 3.23). What do your graphs suggest?
- **42.** Suppose the Leslie matrix for the VW beetle is  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ 
  - $\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 20 \\ 0.1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ . Starting with an arbitrary  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , deter-

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  mine the behavior of this population.

43. Suppose the Leslie matrix for the VW beetle is

 $L = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Investigate the effect of varying

the survival probability *s* of the young beetles.

44. Woodland caribou are found primarily in the western provinces of Canada and the American northwest. The average lifespan of a female is about 14 years. The birth and survival rates for each age bracket are given in Table 3.4, which shows that caribou cows do not give birth at all during their first 2 years and give birth to about one calf per year during their middle years. The mortality rate for young calves is very high.



## Table 3.4

Birth Rate	Survival Rate
0.0	0.3
0.4	0.7
1.8	0.9
1.8	0.9
1.8	0.9
1.6	0.6
0.6	0.0
	Birth Rate 0.0 0.4 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.6 0.6

The numbers of woodland caribou reported in Jasper National Park in Alberta in 1990 are shown in Table 3.5. Using a CAS, predict the caribou population for 1992 and 1994. Then project the population for the years 2010 and 2020. What do you conclude? (What assumptions does this model make, and how could it be improved?)

able 3.5	Woodland Caribou	
	Population in Jasper National Park, 1990	
ge		

(years)	Number	
0-2	10	
2-4	2	
4-6	8	
6-8	5	
8-10	12	
10-12	0	
12-14	1	
-		

Source: World Wildlife Fund Canada

## **Graphs and Digraphs**

*In Exercises* 45–48, *determine the adjacency matrix of the given graph.* 



*In Exercises* 49–52, *draw a graph that has the given adjacency matrix.* 

	0	1	1	1			٢0	1	0	1		
40	1	0	0	0		50	1	1	1	1		
49.	1	0	0	0		50.	0	1	0	1		
	_1	0	0	0_			1	1	1	0		
	Γο	0	1	1	0		Γο	0	0	1	1	Ľ
1		0	-	1			0	0	0	1	1	
	0	0	0	1	1		0	0	0	1	1	
51.	1	0	0	0	1	52.	0	0	0	1	1	
÷.	1	1	0	0	0		1	1	1	0	0	
	0	1	1	0	0		1	1	1	0	0	

*In Exercises* 53–56, *determine the adjacency matrix of the given digraph.* 



*In Exercises 57–60, draw a digraph that has the given adjacency matrix.* 

	٢0	1	0	07		٢0	1	0	0]
57	1	0	0	1	50	0	0	0	1
57.	0	1	0	0	58.	1	0	0	0
	1	0	1	1		0	0	1	0

	0	0	1	0	1		Γο	1	0	0	1
	1	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	1	0
59.	0	0	0	0	1	60.	1	0	0	1	1
	1	0	1	0	0		1	0	1	0	0
	0	1	0	1	0_		1	1	0	0	0

*In Exercises* 61–68, *use powers of adjacency matrices to determine the number of paths of the specified length between the given vertices.* 

**61.** Exercise 50, length 2,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ 

**62.** Exercise 52, length 2,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ 

**63.** Exercise 50, length 3,  $v_1$  and  $v_3$ 

**64.** Exercise 52, length 4,  $v_2$  and  $v_2$ 

- **65.** Exercise 57, length 2,  $v_1$  to  $v_3$
- **66.** Exercise 57, length 3,  $v_4$  to  $v_1$
- **67.** Exercise 60, length 3,  $v_4$  to  $v_1$
- **68.** Exercise 60, length 4,  $v_1$  to  $v_4$
- **69.** Let *A* be the adjacency matrix of a graph *G*.
  - (a) If row *i* of *A* is all zeros, what does this imply about *G*?
  - (**b**) If column *j* of *A* is all zeros, what does this imply about *G*?
- **70.** Let *A* be the adjacency matrix of a digraph *D*.
  - (a) If row i of  $A^2$  is all zeros, what does this imply about D?
  - (b) If column j of  $A^2$  is all zeros, what does this imply about D?
- **71.** Figure 3.29 is the digraph of a tournament with six players,  $P_1$  to  $P_6$ . Using adjacency matrices, rank the players first by determining wins only and then by using the notion of combined wins and indirect wins, as in Example 3.69.



**72.** Figure 3.30 is a digraph representing a food web in a small ecosystem. A directed edge from *a* to *b* indicates that *a* has *b* as a source of food. Construct the

adjacency matrix A for this digraph and use it to answer the following questions.



#### Figure 3.30

- (a) Which species has the most direct sources of food? How does A show this?
- (b) Which species is a direct source of food for the most other species? How does *A* show this?
- (c) If a eats b and b eats c, we say that a has c as an indirect source of food. How can we use A to determine which species has the most indirect food sources? Which species has the most direct and indirect food sources combined?
- (d) Suppose that pollutants kill the plants in this food web, and we want to determine the effect this change will have on the ecosystem. Construct a new adjacency matrix A\* from A by deleting the row and column corresponding to plants. Repeat parts (a) to (c) and determine which species are the most and least affected by the change.
- (e) What will the long-term effect of the pollution be? What matrix calculations will show this?
- **73.** Five people are all connected by e-mail. Whenever one of them hears a juicy piece of gossip, he or she passes it along by e-mailing it to someone else in the group according to Table 3.6.
  - (a) Draw the digraph that models this "gossip network" and find its adjacency matrix *A*.

Table 3.6			
Sender	Recipients		
Ann	Carla, Ehaz		
Bert	Carla, Dana		
Carla	Ehaz		
Dana	Ann, Carla		
Ehaz	Bert		

- (b) Define a *step* as the time it takes a person to e-mail everyone on his or her list. (Thus, in one step, gossip gets from Ann to both Carla and Ehaz.) If Bert hears a rumor, how many steps will it take for everyone else to hear the rumor? What matrix calculation reveals this?
- (c) If Ann hears a rumor, how many steps will it take for everyone else to hear the rumor? What matrix calculation reveals this?
- (d) In general, if A is the adjacency matrix of a digraph, how can we tell if vertex *i* is connected to vertex *j* by a path (of some length)?

[The gossip network in this exercise is reminiscent of the notion of "six degrees of separation" (found in the play and film by that name), which suggests that any two people are connected by a path of acquaintances whose length is at most 6. The game "Six Degrees of Kevin Bacon" more frivolously asserts that all actors are connected to the actor Kevin Bacon in such a way.]

- 74. Let A be the adjacency matrix of a graph G.
  - (a) By induction, prove that for all n ≥ 1, the (i, j) entry of A<sup>n</sup> is equal to the number of *n*-paths between vertices i and j.
  - (**b**) How do the statement and proof in part (a) have to be modified if *G* is a digraph?
- **75.** If *A* is the adjacency matrix of a digraph *G*, what does the (i, j) entry of  $AA^T$  represent if  $i \neq j$ ?

A graph is called **bipartite** if its vertices can be subdivided into two sets U and V such that every edge has one endpoint in U and the other endpoint in V. For example, the graph in Exercise 48 is bipartite with  $U = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  and  $V = \{v_4, v_5\}$ . In Exercises 76–79, determine whether a graph with the given adjacency matrix is bipartite.

76. The adjacency matrix in Exercise 49

77. The adjacency matrix in Exercise 52

78. The adjacency matrix in Exercise 51

	0	0	1	0	1	1	
	0	0	1	0	1	1	
70	1	1	0	1	0	0	
/9.	0	0	1	0	1	1	
	1	1	0	1	0	0	
	L1	1	0	1	0	0	

80. (a) Prove that a graph is bipartite if and only if its vertices can be labeled so that its adjacency matrix can be partitioned as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} O & B \\ B^T & O \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Using the result in part (a), prove that a bipartite graph has no circuits of odd length.

## **Chapter Review**

### **Key Definitions and Concepts**

basis, 198 Basis Theorem, 202 column matrix (vector), 138 column space of a matrix, 195 composition of linear transformations, 219 coordinate vector with respect to a basis, 208 diagonal matrix, 139 dimension, 203 elementary matrix, 170

Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices, 172, 206 identity matrix, 139 inverse of a square matrix, 163 inverse of a linear transformation, 221 linear combination of matrices, 154 linear dependence/independence of matrices, 157 linear transformation, 213 *LU* factorization, 181 matrix, 138 matrix addition, 140 matrix factorization, 180 matrix multiplication, 141 matrix powers, 149 negative of a matrix, 140 null space of a matrix, 197 nullity of a matrix, 204 outer product, 147 partitioned matrices (block multiplication), 145, 148 permutation matrix, 187

```
properties of matrix algebra, 154,
158, 159, 167
rank of a matrix, 204
Rank Theorem, 205
representations of matrix
products, 146–148
```

## **Review Questions**

- 1. Mark each of the following statements true or false:
  - (a) For any matrix A, both  $AA^T$  and  $A^TA$  are defined.
  - (b) If A and B are matrices such that AB = O and  $A \neq O$ , then B = O.
  - (c) If A, B, and X are invertible matrices such that XA = B, then  $X = A^{-1}B$ .
  - (d) The inverse of an elementary matrix is an elementary matrix.
  - (e) The transpose of an elementary matrix is an elementary matrix.
  - (f) The product of two elementary matrices is an elementary matrix.
  - (g) If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then the null space of A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (h) Every plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a two-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
  - (i) The transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{x}$  is a linear transformation.
  - (j) If T: ℝ<sup>4</sup> → ℝ<sup>5</sup> is a linear transformation, then there is a 4 × 5 matrix A such that T(x) = Ax for all x in the domain of T.

In Exercises 2–7, let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . Compute the indicated matrices, if possible.

**2.**  $A^2B$  **3.**  $A^2B^2$  **4.**  $B^TA^{-1}B$ 

**5.**  $(BB^T)^{-1}$  **6.**  $(B^TB)^{-1}$ 

7. The outer product expansion of  $AA^T$ 

8. If *A* is a matrix such that 
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1 \\ -3/2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
, find *A*.  
9. If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and *X* is a matrix such that

$$AX = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3\\ 5 & 0\\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ find } X.$$

row matrix (vector), 138 row space of a matrix, 195 scalar matrix, 139 scalar multiple of a matrix, 140 span of a set of matrices, 156 square matrix, 139 standard matrix of a linear transformation, 216 subspace, 192 symmetric matrix, 151 transpose of a matrix, 151 zero matrix, 141

- **10.** If possible, express the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$  as a product of elementary matrices.
- 11. If A is a square matrix such that  $A^3 = O$ , show that  $(I A)^{-1} = I + A + A^2$ .
- **12.** Find an *LU* factorization of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- 13. Find bases for the row space, column space, and null

space of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & 5 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & -8 & 3 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- 14. Suppose matrices *A* and *B* are row equivalent. Do they have the same row space? Why or why not? Do *A* and *B* have the same column space? Why or why not?
- **15.** If *A* is an invertible matrix, explain why *A* and *A<sup>T</sup>* must have the same null space. Is this true if *A* is a noninvertible square matrix? Explain.
- **16.** If *A* is a square matrix whose rows add up to the zero vector, explain why *A* cannot be invertible.
- 17. Let *A* be an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent columns. Explain why  $A^{T}A$  must be an invertible matrix. Must  $AA^{T}$  also be invertible? Explain.
- **18.** Find a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$T\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}2\\3\end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $T\begin{bmatrix}1\\-1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\5\end{bmatrix}$ .

- **19.** Find the standard matrix of the linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  that corresponds to a counterclockwise rotation of 45° about the origin followed by a projection onto the line y = -2x.
- **20.** Suppose that  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear transformation and suppose that  $\mathbf{v}$  is a vector such that  $T(\mathbf{v}) \neq \mathbf{0}$  but  $T^2(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  (where  $T^2 = T \circ T$ ). Prove that  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $T(\mathbf{v})$  are linearly independent.