European Parliament

2014-2019



Committee on Fisheries

2017/0043(COD)

05.3.2018

AMENDMENTS 58 - 238

Draft report

Ruža Tomašić

Multiannual plan for small pelagic stocks in the Adriatic Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks

Proposal for a regulation (COM(2017)0097 – C8-0095/2017 – 2017/0043(COD))

 $AM \ 1147581 EN. docx$

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 58 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The common fisheries policy (CFP) should contribute to the protection of the marine environment, to the sustainable management of all commercially exploited species, and in particular to the achievement of good environmental status in the marine environment by 2020 in accordance with Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.⁴⁰

Amendment

The common fisheries policy (CFP) (1)should contribute to the protection of the marine environment, to the sustainable management of all commercially exploited species, and in particular to the achievement of good environmental status in the marine environment by 2020 in accordance with Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁰, and favourable conservation status for species and habitats in accordance with Council Directive 92/43/EEC^{40a} and Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council^{40b}.

⁴⁰ Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19).

^{40a} Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

^{40b} Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 59

⁴⁰ Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19).

Adina-Ioana Vălean

on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The common fisheries policy (CFP) should *contribute to* the protection of the marine environment, *to* the sustainable management of all commercially exploited species, *and in particular* to the achievement of good environmental status in the marine environment by 2020 in accordance with Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.⁴⁰

Amendment

(1) The common fisheries policy (CFP) should *ensure* the protection of the marine environment *and* the sustainable management of all commercially exploited species *and should contribute* to the achievement of good environmental status in the marine environment by 2020 in accordance with Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.⁴⁰

Or. en

Amendment 60 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) At the World Summit on Sustainable Development held in New York in 2015, the Union and its Member States committed themselves by 2020, to effectively regulate harvesting and end overfishing, illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and destructive fishing practices and implement science-

⁴⁰ Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19).

⁴⁰ Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19).

based management plans, in order to restore fish stocks in the shortest time feasible, at least to levels that can produce the maximum sustainable yield as determined by their biological characteristics.

Or. en

Amendment 61 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴¹ establishes the rules of the CFP in line with the international obligations of the Union. The objectives of the CFP are, inter alia, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of (2)the European Parliament and of the Council⁴¹ establishes the rules of the CFP in line with the international obligations of the Union. The objectives of the CFP are, inter alia, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to *restore and* maintain populations of harvested species to levels above those which can produce the maximum sustainable yield, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. en

⁴¹ Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 on the Common Fisheries Policy, amending Council Regulations (EC) No 1954/2003 and (EC) No 1224/2009 and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 2371/2002 and (EC) No 639/2004 and Council Decision 2004/585/EC (OJ L 354, 28.12.2013, p.22).

⁴¹ Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 on the Common Fisheries Policy, amending Council Regulations (EC) No 1954/2003 and (EC) No 1224/2009 and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 2371/2002 and (EC) No 639/2004 and Council Decision 2004/585/EC (OJ L 354, 28.12.2013, p.22).

Amendment 62 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Despite being managed under both an international management plan under the GFCM and national management plans adopted under Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006,⁴² Adriatic anchovy and sardine stocks continue to be overexploited and the current management measures are considered to be insufficient to achieve *MSY* by 2020. Member States and stakeholders have expressed support for the development and implementation of management plans for these two stocks at EU level.

Amendment

(4) Despite being managed under both an international management plan under the GFCM and national management plans adopted under Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006,⁴² Adriatic anchovy and sardine stocks continue to be overexploited and the current management measures are considered to be insufficient to achieve *fishing mortality levels consistent with the objectives of the CFP* by 2020. Member States and stakeholders have expressed support for the development and implementation of management plans for these two stocks at EU level.

Or. en

Amendment 63 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

⁴² Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006 of 21 December 2006 of 21 December 2006 concerning management measures for the sustainable exploitation of fishery resources in the Mediterranean Sea, amending Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1626/94 (the Mediterranean Regulation) (OJ L36, 8.2.2007, p.6).

⁴² Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006 of 21 December 2006 of 21 December 2006 concerning management measures for the sustainable exploitation of fishery resources in the Mediterranean Sea, amending Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1626/94 (the Mediterranean Regulation) (OJ L36, 8.2.2007, p.6).

(4a) The management plans implemented and the technical measures introduced in 2016 are due to produce effects on the stocks and have to be analysed and taken into consideration when establishing the multi-annual plan for the pelagic stocks in the region.

Or. en

Amendment 64 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) A strategy of minimum escapement of biomass needed in order to ensure the reproductive capacity of the stock is not impaired is appropriate for short-lived species.

Or. en

Amendment 65 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4b) The introduction of a minimum escapement approach requires changes to the biological sampling and research protocols which will take time, therefore requiring a transition period before it can be implemented.

Or. en

AM\1147581EN.docx

Amendment 66 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) Scientific findings point to the fact that, for small pelagic fisheries, setting and allocating fishing opportunities can bring about a more effective change in fishing mortality than by managing fishing effort.

Or. de

Amendment 67 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) To achieve the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities.

Amendment

(6) To achieve the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities *in full accordance with the best available scientific advice*.

Or. en

Amendment 68 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) To achieve the objectives of the

PE618.196v02-00

Amendment

(6) To achieve the objectives of the

AM\1147581EN.docx

8/99

CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted *as appropriate in any combination thereof*, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing *and allocation* of fishing opportunities. CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing of fishing opportunities *and also, where necessary, any combination thereof*.

Or. de

Amendment 69 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) The Adriatic Sea small pelagic fishery, especially in the Geographical sub-areas 17 and 18, has a very important socio-economic impact for the Member States' coastal community's livelihood and future.

Or. en

Amendment 70 Adina-Ioana Vălean on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) Regionalisation should be used to create tailor-made measures that take into account the specificities of each fisheries area and safeguard their environmental conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 71 Adina-Ioana Vălean on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6b) Fishing opportunities should be allocated in accordance with the principles laid down in Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, using transparent and objective criteria, including those of an environmental, social and economic nature. Fishing opportunities should also be fairly distributed across the various fisheries segments, including traditional and smallscale fisheries. Moreover, Member States should provide incentives to fishing vessels deploying selective fishing gear or using fishing techniques with reduced environmental impact.

Or. en

Amendment 72 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6b) In line with the principles and objective of the CFP, regionalisation should be used in order to adopt and implement measures that are adapted to the specificities of the area.

Or. en

Amendment 73

Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multiannual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points and safeguards.

Amendment

(7) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multiannual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, *objectives* and safeguards, *goals for stock conservation and technical measures to be taken to achieve the targets for the maximum possible avoidance of and reduction of unwanted catches as set out in Article 15 thereof*.

Or. en

Amendment 74 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multiannual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points and safeguards.

Amendment

(7) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multiannual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, *conservation and technical measures for the maximum possible avoidance of and reduction of unwanted catches,* and safeguards.

Or. en

Amendment 75

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) *No 1380/2013*, multiannual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points and safeguards.

Amendment

(7) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) *No 1380/2013*, multiannual plans are to be based on *the best available* scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points and safeguards.

Or. en

Amendment 76 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) Additionally, the Commission may be empowered to establish fish stock recovery areas in a multi-annual plan pursuant to Article 8(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013

Or. en

Amendment 77 Ulrike Rodust			
Proposal for a regulation Recital 8			
	Text proposed by the Commission		Amendment
(8)	The objective of the multiannual	(8)	The objective of the multiannual
PE618.196v02-00		12/99	AM\1147581EN.docx

plan should be to contribute to achieving the objectives of the CFP, and especially *reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned*, achieving a sustainable fisheries sector and providing an effective management framework. plan should be to contribute to achieving the objectives of the CFP, and especially gradually expanding fish populations again by 2020 and keeping them above the biomass level for maximum sustainable yield, achieving a sustainable fisheries sector and providing an effective management framework.

Or. de

Amendment 78 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The objective of the multiannual plan should be to *contribute to achieving* the objectives of the CFP, and especially *reaching* and maintaining MSY for *the* stocks *concerned*, achieving a sustainable fisheries sector and providing an effective management framework.

Amendment

(8) The objective of the multiannual plan should be to *achieve* the objectives of the CFP, and especially *restoring* and maintaining *above levels of biomass capable of producing* MSY for *all populations of fish* stocks, *implementing the landing obligation*, achieving a sustainable fisheries sector and providing an effective management framework.

Or. en

Amendment 79 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The objective of the multiannual plan should be to contribute to achieving the objectives of the CFP, and especially *reaching and* maintaining *MSY for* the stocks concerned, achieving a sustainable

Amendment

(8) The objective of the multiannual plan should be to contribute to achieving the objectives of the CFP, and especially maintaining the *fish* stocks concerned *above levels capable of producing MSY*,

fisheries sector and providing an effective management framework.

achieving a sustainable *and profitable* fisheries sector and providing an effective management framework.

Or. en

Amendment 80 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8a) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 expressly sets the objective of restoring and maintaining populations of harvested species above levels which can produce maximum sustainable yield. To achieve this goal, Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 stipulates that all stocks should gradually reach the level of fishing rate capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield by 2015 if possible, or by 2020 at the latest.

Or. de

Amendment 81 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8a) In accordance with Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate is to be achieved by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks.

Amendment 82 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8a) The present proposal should not be considered as a blueprint for other multiannual plans in the Mediterranean Sea unless otherwise provided.

Or. en

Justification

This proposal for the Adriatic Sea, as it is, would set a dangerous precedent because if adopted it could be used as a model for other Mediterranean Sea areas as well, with even wider economic and social negative impact. Every sea region has different oceanic properties and unique circumstances.

Amendment 83 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8a) A multiannual plan should always find a balance between the achievable result, considering the timeframe, and the socio-economic impact.

Or. en

Amendment 84 Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

AM\1147581EN.docx

15/99

Proposal for a regulation Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) In line with the ecosystem-based approach *and in addition* to the *fisheries-related descriptor of* Directive
2008/56/EC, qualitative descriptors 1, 4 and 6 contained in Annex I to the Directive are to be considered in the framework of fisheries *management*.

Amendment

(10)In line with the ecosystem-based approach, this plan should also contribute to the achievement of good environmental status, as laid down in Directive 2008/56/EC, qualitative descriptors 1, 4 and 6 contained in Annex I to the Directive are to be considered in the framework of fisheries management. This plan should also contribute to the achievement of favourable conservation status for habitats and species as required by Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1a} and Council Directive 92/43/EC^{1b} respectively.

^{1a} Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

^{1b} Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 85 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the targets set out in the multiannual plans.

Amendment

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the *objectives set out in Article 2(2)*

thereof and comply with the targets, time frames and margins the targets set out in the multiannual plans.

Or. en

Amendment 86 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the targets set out in the multiannual plans.

Amendment

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the targets, *time frames and margins* set out in the multiannual plans.

Or. de

Amendment 87 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the Scientific, Technical

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on *best available* scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the

and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) *and* are derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY.⁴⁵ In addition, the upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim is no more than 5 %.

⁴⁵ Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) – Small pelagic stocks in the Adriatic Sea. Mediterranean assessments part 1 (STECF-15-14). 2015. [Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, EUR 27492 EN, JRC 97707, 52 pp.] [The second part of this reference seems to be mistaken. OPOCE, please check.] Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF).^{45a} **Based on this plan they** are derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY. In addition, the upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim is no more than 5 %.

^{45a} Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) – Small pelagic stocks in the Adriatic Sea. Mediterranean assessments part 1 (STECF-15-14). 2015. [Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, EUR 27492 EN, JRC 97707, 52 pp.] [The second part of this reference seems to be mistaken. OPOCE, please check.]

Or. en

Amendment 88 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving

maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY.⁴⁵ In addition, the upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim is no more than 5 %.

maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the best available scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY.⁴⁵ In addition, the upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim is no more than 5%.

⁴⁵ Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) – Small pelagic stocks in the Adriatic Sea.
Mediterranean assessments part 1 (STECF-15-14). 2015. [Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, EUR 27492 EN, JRC 97707, 52 pp.] [The second part of this reference seems to be mistaken. OPOCE, please check.]

Or. en

Amendment 89 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13a) When scientific evidence indicates that recreational fisheries have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a stock, the Council should take these fisheries into account. The Council should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into

⁴⁵ Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) – Small pelagic stocks in the Adriatic Sea.
Mediterranean assessments part 1 (STECF-15-14). 2015. [Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, EUR 27492 EN, JRC 97707, 52 pp.] [The second part of this reference seems to be mistaken. OPOCE, please check.]

account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.

Or. en

Amendment 90 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the precautionary approach should apply.

Amendment

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 91 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the precautionary approach should *apply*.

Amendment

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY as referred to in Article 2(2) are not available, the multiannual plan should establish measures on the basis of the precautionary approach as defined in Article 4 1.(8) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. These measures should ensure a degree of conservation of the relevant stocks that is at least comparable to exploitation rates in accordance with the maximum sustainable yield as set out in Article 9(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 92 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the precautionary approach should apply.

Amendment

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the precautionary approach should apply *in order to* guarantee a degree of conservation at least comparable to exploitation rates with the maximum sustainable yield, as set out in Article 9(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 93 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) For stocks for which they are available and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as MSY *Btrigger and Blim* for anchovy and sardine stocks. Should the stocks fall below MSY *Btrigger*, fishing mortality should be reduced below *FMSY*.

Amendment

(15) For stocks for which they are available and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as MSY $B_{trigger}$ and B_{tim} for anchovy and sardine stocks following best available scientific advice. Should the stocks fall below MSY $B_{trigger}$, fishing mortality should be reduced below $MSY F_{lower}$.

Or. en

Amendment 94 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) For stocks for which they are available and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as *MSY Btrigger and Blim* for anchovy and sardine stocks. *Should the stocks fall below MSY Btrigger, fishing mortality should be reduced below FMSY.*

Amendment

(15) For stocks for which they are available and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as B_{lim} , *MSY B*-escapement and *F*-cap for anchovy and sardine stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 95 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Further safeguard measures should be implemented in case the stock size falls below the Blim reference point. Safeguard measures should include the reduction of fishing opportunities and specific conservation measures when scientific advice indicates that a stock is under threat. Those measures should be supplemented by other measures, as appropriate, such as Commission measures in accordance with Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 or Member States measures in accordance with Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

(16) Further safeguard measures should *urgently* be implemented in case the stock size falls below the Blim reference point. Safeguard measures should include the reduction of fishing opportunities and specific conservation measures when *best available* scientific advice indicates that a stock is under threat. Those measures should be supplemented by other measures, as appropriate, such as Commission measures in accordance with Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 or Member States measures in accordance with Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 96

Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(17) For stocks where the reference points are not available, the precautionary principle should apply. In the specific case of stocks taken as by-catches, in the absence of scientific advice on the levels of minimum spawning biomass of such stocks, specific conservation measures should be adopted when scientific advice indicates that remedial measures are needed.

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 97 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) For stocks where the reference points are not available, the precautionary principle should apply. *In the specific case of stocks taken as by-catches, in the absence of scientific advice on the levels of minimum spawning biomass of such* stocks, *specific* conservation *measures should be adopted when scientific advice indicates that remedial measures are needed.*

Amendment

(17) For stocks where the reference points are not available, the precautionary principle should apply *in order to ensure*, *for all* stocks, *at least a comparable degree of* conservation *of the relevant stocks, as set out in Article 9(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.*

Or. en

Amendment 98 João Ferreira on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation Recital 17 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(17a) As a complement to measures affecting fishing activity (ranging from closed periods to restriction through quotas), compensatory measures should be promoted through the EMFF that will guarantee incomes and sustainability for small-scale and artisanal fishing, as well as for other affected workers in the sector.

Or. pt

Amendment 99 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) In order to *enable* the implementation of the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures. Such measures should be laid down by means of delegated acts.

Amendment

(18) In order to *ensure* the implementation of *and full compliance with* the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures *and other conservation measures, in particular measures to gradually eliminate discards or to minimise the negative impact of fishing on the ecosystem, taking into account the best available scientific advice*. Such measures should be laid down by means of delegated acts.

Or. en

Amendment 100 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) In order to enable the implementation of the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures. Such measures should be laid down by means of delegated acts.

Amendment

(18) In order to enable the implementation of the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures, *in particular measures to gradually eliminate discards, to count undersized fish and to minimise, and where possible eliminate, the negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine environment*. Such measures should be laid down by means of delegated acts.

Or. en

Amendment 101 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19a) When scientific advice indicates that recreational fisheries have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a particular stock, the Council should take them into account. To that effect the Council should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.

Or. en

Amendment 102

Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) The plan should also provide for certain accompanying technical measures to be adopted, by means of delegated acts, in order to contribute to achieving the objectives of the plan, in particular as regards the protection of juvenile fish or to improve selectivity.

Amendment

(20) The plan should also provide for certain accompanying technical, *as well as temporal and spatial* measures to be adopted, by means of delegated acts, *and taking into account the best available scientific advice*, in order to contribute to achieving the objectives of the plan, in particular as regards the protection of juvenile fish or to improve selectivity.

Or. en

Amendment 103 João Ferreira on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation Recital 20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(20a) Artisanal fishing gear based on historical practices established in fishing communities should be safeguarded when defining the technical measures resulting from the multiannual plan or subsequent delegated acts.

Or. pt

Amendment 104 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, David-Maria Sassoli, Isabella De Monte, Simona Bonafè, Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

```
PE618.196v02-00
```

(21a) In order to enable the industry to cope with the fishing effort reduction measures and the consequent reduction in income for businesses and for seafarers, there should be arrangements for priority access to appropriate support from the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (EMFF) in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Or. it

Amendment 105 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21a) In order to enable the industry to cope with the fishing effort reduction measures and the consequent reduction in income for businesses and for seafarers, there should be arrangements for priority access to appropriate support from the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (EMFF) in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Or. it

Amendment 106 Remo Sernagiotto

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

AM\1147581EN.docx

(21a) In order to enable the industry to cope with the fishing effort reduction measures and the consequent reduction in income for businesses and for seafarers, there should be arrangements for priority access to appropriate support from the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (EMFF) in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Or. it

Amendment 107 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21a) In order to support fishermen in the implementation of the measures provided for in this Regulation and ensure appropriate attention to the socioeconomic objectives of the CFP, Member States should make the widest possible use of the measures available under Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Or. it

Justification

This amendment is necessary to enable fishermen to benefit from the financing and socioeconomic safety nets provided by the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries set up by Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 during closed seasons.

Amendment 108 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, David-Maria Sassoli, Isabella De Monte, Simona Bonafè, Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 21 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21b) It is therefore desirable, on the one hand, to grant derogations from the time limits for temporary cessation measures as referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, extending it only to the vessels involved in the present multiannual plan and, on the other hand, to allow reopening and access by the same vessels to the permanent cessation measure set out in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Amendment 109 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21b) It is therefore desirable, on the one hand, to grant derogations from the time limits for temporary cessation measures as referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, extending it only to the vessels involved in the present multiannual plan and, on the other hand, to allow reopening and access by the same vessels to the permanent cessation measure set out in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

110 Remo Sernagiotto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 21 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21b) It is therefore desirable, on the one hand, to grant derogations from the time limits for temporary cessation measures as referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, extending it only to the vessels involved in the present multiannual plan and, on the other hand, to allow reopening and access by the same vessels to the permanent cessation measure set out in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Amendment 111 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22)Recognising that in the Adriatic Sea vessels targeting small pelagics tend to carry out short fishing trips, the use of prior notification as required under Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 should be adapted so that the prior notifications are submitted at least one and a half hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. However, considering the limited effect of fishing trips involving very small quantities of fish on the stocks concerned, it is appropriate to establish a threshold for such prior notifications, when these vessels retain on board at least 1 tonne of anchovy or of sardine.

Amendment

Recognising that in the Adriatic Sea (22)vessels targeting small pelagics tend to carry out short fishing trips, the use of prior notification as required under Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 should be adapted so that the prior notifications are submitted at least one and a half hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. However, considering the limited effect of fishing trips involving very small quantities of fish on the stocks concerned, it is appropriate to establish a threshold for such prior notifications, when these vessels retain on board at least either 1 tonne of anchovy or 1 tonne of sardine.

Or. en

Amendment 112 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24a) In order to ensure implementation consistent with the socio-economic impact, vessels falling under the scope of this Regulation should be granted a derogation from the maximum duration of temporary cessation referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 and an extension of the deadline for final cessation referred to in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Justification

The amendment to the recital is necessary to enable fishermen to benefit from the financing and socio-economic safety nets provided by the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries set up by Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 during closed seasons.

Amendment 113 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures for the conservation of mackerel and horse

Amendment

(25) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures for the conservation of mackerel and horse

mackerel, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

mackerel, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts. The Commission should be able to adopt delegated acts in situations where Member States do not do so but scientific advice demonstrates that they are necessary for the achievement of the objectives of the plan.

Or. en

Amendment 114 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation. Such assessment should follow and be based on a periodic evaluation of the plan that is based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated every five years. This period allows for the full implementation of the

Amendment

(26) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation. Such assessment should follow and be based on a periodic evaluation of the plan that is based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated *three years after the entry into force of this Regulation and* every

PE618.196v02-00

landing obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also a minimum required period by scientific bodies. five years *thereafter*. This period allows for the full implementation of the landing obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also a minimum required period by scientific bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 115 Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation Recital 27 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(27a) In order to provide legal certainty, it is appropriate to clarify that temporary cessation measures that have been adopted in order to attain the objectives of the plan can be deemed eligible for support under Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1a},

^{1a} Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 2328/2003, (EC) No 861/2006, (EC) No 1198/2006 and (EC) No 791/2007 and Regulation (EU) No 1255/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 149, 20.5.2014, p. 1).

Or. en

AM\1147581EN.docx

33/99

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation shall apply to the stocks of anchovy (Engraulis encrasicolus) and sardine (Sardina pilchardus) in the Adriatic Sea ("the stocks concerned") and for the fisheries exploiting these stocks. It shall apply also to by-catches *of mackerel* (Scomber spp.) and horse mackerel (Trachurus spp.) in the Adriatic Sea caught when fishing for either or both of the stocks concerned.

Amendment

2. This Regulation shall apply to the stocks of anchovy (Engraulis encrasicolus) and sardine (Sardina pilchardus) in the Adriatic Sea ("the stocks concerned") and for the fisheries exploiting these stocks. It shall apply also to *all* by-catches.

Or. en

Amendment 117 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 'Adriatic Sea' means GFCM Geographical *Sub-Areas* 17 *and* 18;



(a) *`Northern* Adriatic Sea' means GFCM Geographical *Sub-Area* 17;

Or. it

Amendment 118 Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 'Best available scientific advice' means scientific advice which has been reviewed by ICES or STECF and is supported by the most up-to-date data available and which meets all the requirements set out in Regulation (EU)

No 1380/2013, in particular Article 25 thereof;

Or. en

Amendment 119 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 'Best available scientific advice' refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-todate scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised by the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 120 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 'B-lim' means the biomass reference point provided in the best available scientific advice below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 121 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 'B-lim' means the stock size reference point provided by STECF below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 122 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ab) 'Best available scientific advice' refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-todate scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at the European or international level.

Or. en

Amendment 123 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ab) 'B-lim' means the stock size reference point below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Or. en

36/99

Amendment 124 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) 'MSY B-escapement' means a deterministic biomass limit below which a stock is considered to have reduced reproductive capacity, including any required additional biomass buffer. In the context of an MSY B-escapement strategy, MSY B-escapement is the minimum biomass that should remain in the sea every year after fishing that provides the highest sustainable yield by maintaining sufficient spawning stock biomass to ensure full reproductive capacity with a 95% probability. MSY B-escapement is provided on an annual basis by STECF;

Or. en

Amendment 125 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) 'F-cap' means the limit to exploitation rates when the biomass is high and is provided by STECF. By capping the F, the escapement biomass is increased in proportion to stock size, maintaining a high probability of achieving a minimum amount of biomass left to spawn;

Or. en

Amendment 126 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

deleted

(d) 'Range of FMSY' means a range of values where all levels of fishing mortality within the scientifically indicated boundaries of that range, in situations of mixed fisheries and in accordance with scientific advice, result in the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stocks concerned;

Amendment 127 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) 'Range of FMSY' means a range of values where all levels of fishing mortality within *the scientifically indicated boundaries of* that range, *in situations of mixed fisheries and in accordance with scientific advice*, result in the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stocks *concerned*;

Or en

Amendment

(d) 'Range of FMSY' means a range of values *provided in the best available scientific advice* where all levels of fishing mortality within that range result in the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term *given a fishing pattern and* under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stocks *in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning*

stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5%;

Or. en

Amendment 128 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) 'MSY F lower' means the lowest value within the range of FMSY

Or. en

Amendment 129 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) 'MSY F lower' means the lowest value within the range of FMSY;

Or. en

Amendment 130 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(db) 'MSY F upper' means the highest value within the range of FMSY;

AM\1147581EN.docx

39/99

PE618.196v02-00

Amendment 131 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(db) 'MSY F upper' means the highest value within the range of FMSY;

Or. en

Amendment 132 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dc) 'FMSY point value' is the value of the estimated fishing mortality that with a given fishing pattern and current environmental conditions gives the longterm maximum yield;

Or. en

Amendment 133 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dc) 'FMSY' means the value of the estimated fishing mortality that with a given fishing pattern and current environmental conditions gives the long-

term maximum yield;

Or. en

Amendment 134 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dd) 'Lower range of FMSY' means a range that contains values from MSY F lower up to FMSY point value;

Or. en

Amendment 135 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point d e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(de) 'Upper range of FMSY' means a range that contains values from FMSY point value up to MSY F upper;

Or. en

Amendment 136 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 'MSY Btrigger' means the spawning stock biomass reference point deleted

 $AM \ 1147581 EN. docx$

PE618.196v02-00

below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term.

Or. en

Amendment 137 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) 'MSY Btrigger' means the spawning stock biomass reference point below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term.

Amendment

(e) 'MSY Btrigger' means the spawning stock biomass reference point *provided in the best available scientific advice* below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term;

Or. en

Amendment 138 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) 'fishing day' means a continuous period of 24 hours, or any part thereof, when a fishing vessel is engaged in activity entailing 'searching for fish, shooting, setting, towing and hauling of a fishing gear, taking catch on board, transhipping, retaining on board,

processing on board, transferring, caging, fattening and landing of fish and fishery products', as defined in Article 4(28) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. it

Justification

In the interests of alignment with the amendment inserting Article 4a, it would be preferable to provide a definition of 'fishing day'.

Amendment 139 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, *in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY*.

Amendment

1. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the achievement of *all* the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 140 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy Amendment

1. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy

AM\1147581EN.docx

PE618.196v02-00

listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall *aim to* ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY. *The maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate shall be achieved by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks, and shall be maintained thereafter.*

Or. en

Amendment 141 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Amendment

The multiannual plan shall 1. contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY. The level of fishing which can produce the maximum sustainable vield shall gradually be achieved for all stocks by 2015 if possible or, at all events, by 2020 at the latest.

Or. de

Amendment 142 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The multiannual plan shall take into account the socio-economic aspects when being developed or changed, in accordance with Article 2(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 143 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, David-Maria Sassoli, Isabella De Monte, Simona Bonafè, Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The multiannual plan shall take into account socio-economic aspects in accordance with Article 2(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 144 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the *elimination* of discards by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation

AM\1147581EN.docx

mpi

45/99

Amendment

3. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the *reduction* of discards by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to it and to which this Regulation applies. established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to it and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. it

Justification

The total elimination of discards is operationally impossible, also because de minimis is still a possibility provided for in the basic regulation.

Amendment 145 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards by *avoiding and* reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to it and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

3. The multiannual plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards by reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to it and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. en

Justification

The total elimination of discards is operationally impossible, also because de minimis is still a possibility provided for in the "Basic regulation".

Amendment 146 Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

PE618.196v02-00

46/99

4. The multiannual plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem *are minimised*. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

4. The multiannual plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem, *particularly* threatened habitats and protected species including marine mammals, seabirds and reptiles, are minimised, and where *possible eliminated*. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, and with the targets and rules laid down in Directives 2009/147/EC and 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Amendment 147 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The multiannual plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

Amendment

4. The multiannual plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem, *particularly threatened habitats and protected species including marine mammals and seabirds* are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

Or. en

Amendment 148

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. In particular, the multiannual plan shall *aim to*:

Amendment

5. In particular, the multiannual plan shall:

Or. en

Amendment 149 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Measures in the plan shall be taken in line with the best available scientific advice in accordance with Article 2(2)(aa) of this Regulation. At the latest, they shall be made publicly available by the time at which these measures are proposed by the Commission in accordance with Article 16 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 150 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Measures under the plan shall be taken in accordance with the best available scientific advice.

Amendment 151 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 5 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5b. Where there is insufficient data, the targets shall guarantee that the relevant stocks will be preserved at a level which is at least comparable with the targets for the maximum sustainable yield.

Or. en

Amendment 152 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Chapter 2 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

TARGETS, SAFEGUARDS AND SPECIFIC MEASURES

Amendment

SOCIO-ECONOMIC TARGETS, SAFEGUARDS AND SPECIFIC MEASURES

Or. it

Justification

The insertion of the word 'socio-economic' is in line with the amendment to the following *Article 4a* (socio-economic objectives) and new Recitals (21a) and (24a).

Amendment 153 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible and on a progressive, incremental basis, by 2020 for the stocks concerned, and it shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges set out in Annex I and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3(1).

Amendment

In accordance with Article 16(4) of 1. Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, when the Council fixes fishing opportunities for each stock, beginning for the 2021 fishing *year, it shall establish those opportunities* to correspond to the estimated stock biomass in excess of MSY B-escapement, but constrained to allow a fishing mortality *that is not higher than F-cap.* The proportion of removable stock biomass in excess of MSY B-escapement shall be established annually by STECF, so that the allowable catch results in less than a 5% probability of the spawning stock biomass falling below B_{lim}.

Or. en

Amendment 154 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible and on a progressive, incremental basis, by 2020 for the stocks concerned, and it shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges *set out in Annex I* and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3(1).

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible and on a progressive, incremental basis, by 2020 for the stocks concerned, and it shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges *of FMSY, in accordance with this Article,* and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3(1).

Or. en

Amendment 155 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

PE618.196v02-00

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible and on a progressive, incremental basis, by 2020 for the stocks concerned, and it shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges *set out in Annex I and* in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3(1).

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible and on a progressive, incremental basis, by 2020 for the stocks concerned, and it shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges *of FMSY* in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3(1).

Or. en

Amendment 156 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Fishing opportunities shall comply with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation. Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 157 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Fishing opportunities shall comply with the *target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation*.

Amendment

2. Fishing opportunities shall comply with the *lower range of FMSY available at that time for that stock*.

Or. en

PE618.196v02-00

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Fishing opportunities shall comply with *the* target fishing mortality ranges *set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation*.

Amendment

2. Fishing opportunities shall comply with target fishing mortality ranges *from* $MSY F_{lower}$ to FMSY.

Or. en

Amendment 159 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Notwithstanding paragraphs 1 and 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels *corresponding to* lower *levels of fishing mortality than those set out in Annex I, column A*.

Amendment

3. Notwithstanding paragraphs 1 and 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels *that are* lower *than the ranges of FMSY*.

Or. en

Amendment 160 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Notwithstanding paragraphs 1 and 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels corresponding to lower levels of fishing mortality than those set out in

PE618.196v02-00

Amendment

3. Notwithstanding paragraphs 1 and 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels corresponding to lower levels of fishing mortality than those set out in

AM\1147581EN.docx

paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 161 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3

Annex I, column A.

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Notwithstanding *paragraphs 1 and* 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels corresponding to lower levels of fishing mortality than those set out in *Annex I, column A*.

3. Notwithstanding *paragraph* 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels corresponding to lower levels of fishing mortality than those set out in *paragraph* 2.

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 162 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column B, provided that the stock concerned is above the minimum spawning biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A:

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries,

(b) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-

PE618.196v02-00

Amendment

deleted

EN

species stock dynamics, or

(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20 %.

Amendment 163 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the *fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column B*, provided that the stock concerned is above *the minimum spawning biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A*:

Amendment

4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the *upper range of FMSY available at that time for that stock*, provided that the stock concerned is above *MSY B*_{trigger}:

Or. en

Amendment 164 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with *the* fishing mortality ranges *set out in Annex I*, *column B*, provided that the stock concerned is above the minimum spawning biomass reference point *set out in Annex II, column A*:

Amendment

4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with fishing mortality ranges *up to MSY F*_{upper}, provided that the stock concerned is above the minimum spawning biomass reference point *MSY* $B_{trigger}$:

AM\1147581EN.docx

PE618.196v02-00

Or. en

Amendment 165 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice *or evidence*, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries,

Amendment

(a) if, on the basis of *best available* scientific advice, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries,

Or. en

Amendment 166 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) if, on the basis of scientific advice *or evidence*, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or interspecies stock dynamics, or

Amendment

(b) if, on the basis of *best available* scientific advice, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics, or

Or. en

Amendment 167 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. When scientific advice indicates that recreational fisheries have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a particular stock, the Council shall take them into account and may limit

recreational fisheries when setting fishing opportunities in order to avoid exceeding the total target of fishing mortality.

Or. en

Amendment 168 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. When scientific advice indicates that recreational fisheries have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a particular stock, the Council shall take them into account and may limit recreational fisheries when setting fishing opportunities in order to avoid exceeding the total target of fishing mortality.

Or. en

Amendment 169 Marco Affronte

PE618.196v02-00

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Fishing opportunities for 2019 shall be established at the level of declared catches in 2016 for each stock, reduced by 5% for sardines and 10% for anchovies. Further such reductions for 2020 shall be made unless scientific advice demonstrates that the stock is above B_{lim}.

Or. en

AM\1147581EN.docx

56/99

Amendment 170 Barbara Matera

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4a

Management measures

In order to achieve the targets established in Article 4, the following measures shall apply for a period of four years:

(a) in 2019 the catch limits for small pelagics shall be set at the level of catch in 2017. From 2020, catch limits for small pelagics shall be adjusted in the light of scientific research data and in accordance with the recommendations of the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean;

(b) the fishing effort of fishing vessels targeting small pelagics shall not exceed 180 fishing days per year and 20 fishing days per month, with a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting sardine and a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting anchovy;

(c) spatial and temporal fishing activity limits shall be implemented each year in order to protect nursery and juvenile areas. Such limits shall cover the entire distribution of small pelagics in the Adriatic Sea, for periods of no less than 15 continuous days and up to 30 continuous days. The limits shall apply during the following periods: - for sardine, between 1 October and 31 March, and for anchovy between 1 April and 30 September;

(d) for all fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 12 metres, spatial and temporal closures shall also be implemented of at least: 30% of the

territorial waters of Croatia and Slovenia for at least six months; 50% of the territorial waters of Italy for at least four months;

(e) By way of derogation from letter (d) above, in the area of the Gulf of Trieste from the western coast of Istria to the Lim Channel, those spatial and temporal closures shall apply to fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 15 metres;

(f) the overall fleet capacity of trawlers and purse seiners actively fishing for small pelagic stocks shall not exceed the registered fleet capacity of the active fleet in 2014 in terms of gross tonnage (GT) and/or gross registered tonnage (GRT) and engine power (kW).

Or. it

Amendment 171 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, David-Maria Sassoli, Isabella De Monte, Simona Bonafè, Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Management measures

In order to achieve the targets referred to in Article 4, the following management measures shall be introduced for all fleet segments having anchovy and sardine as their target species:

(a) the number of fishing days shall not exceed 180 in any one year and 20 in any one month; with maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting sardine and with maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting anchovy;

(b) for all fishing vessels whose overall

PE618.196v02-00

58/99

length exceeds 12 metres, spatio-temporal closures of small pelagic fisheries:

(i) 30% of the territorial waters and inner sea which has been identified as a nursery area or area important for the protection of early age classes of fish Croatia and Slovenia for at least six months each year;

(ii) 50% of the territorial waters and inner sea which has been identified as a nursery area or area important for the protection of early age classes of fish of Italy for at least four months each year;

By way of derogation from point (b), in the area of the Gulf of Trieste off the western coast of Istria to the Lim Channel, those spatio-temporal closures shall apply to fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 15 metres;

(c) temporal closure for small pelagic fisheries of at least 15 consecutive fishing days to be implemented in the following spawning periods of the target species:

(*i*) for anchovy between 1 April and 30 September;

(ii) for sardine between 1 October and 31 March;

(d) in 2018 maximum catch limit for small pelagics shall be set at 2017 level. Starting from 2019, maximum catch limit for small pelagics shall be gradually reduced each year for 3% in comparison to previous year till 2022;

(e) overall fleet capacity of trawlers and purse seiners actively fishing for small pelagic stocks shall not exceed values of active fleet registered in 2014 in terms of gross tonnage (GT) and/or gross registered tonnage (GRT), engine power (kW) and number of vessels.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment responds to the main criticism levelled against the proposal for a Regulation, which is that it does not contain management measures establishing catch procedures, time periods and areas, with maximum permissible catches. As a first step, the management measures suggested will enable the implementation of operational measures to regenerate biomass levels and achieve maximum sustainable yield by 2020.

Amendment 172 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4a

Socio-economic objectives

In order to take into account the socioeconomic objectives set out in Article 2(5)(f) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, when applying the technical and conservation measures provided for by this Regulation, Member States shall make extensive use of the relevant measures set out in Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Justification

The amendment is intended to make it possible, where fishermen are affected by technical and/or conservation measures which have a particularly strong impact, adversely affecting both companies and workers, for Member States to grant access to financial support from the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF).

Amendment 173 Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 a (new) Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4a

The Commission shall request that GFCM provides the SSBpa and SSBlim for the stocks of anchovy and sardine, based on this plan.

Or. en

Amendment 174 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4b

Management measures

In order to achieve the targets established in Article 4, the following measures shall apply for a period of four years:

a) in 2019 the catch limits for small pelagic species shall be set at the level of catch in 2014, as communicated in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EU) No 1343/2011. As from 2020, catch limits for small pelagics shall be gradually reduced each year by 3% in comparison to the previous year, until 2022. However, the reduction shall not apply if in the preceding year the total catch is more than 2% below the 2014 catch level as defined above;

b) the fishing effort of fishing vessels targeting small pelagics shall not exceed 180 fishing days per year and 20 fishing days per month, with a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting sardine and a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting anchovy;

c) spatial and temporal fishing activity limits shall be implemented each year in

PE618.196v02-00

order to protect nursery and juvenile areas. Such limits shall cover the entire distribution of small pelagics in the Adriatic Sea, for periods of no less than 15 continuous days and up to 30 continuous days. Those limits shall be implemented during the following periods:

- for sardine between 1 October and 31 March, and

- for anchovy between 1 April and 30 September;

d) for all fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 12 metres, spatial and temporal closures shall also be implemented of at least:

30% of the territorial waters of Croatia and Slovenia for at least six months;

50% of the territorial waters of Italy for at least four months;

e) the overall fleet capacity of trawlers and purse seiners actively fishing for small pelagic stocks shall not exceed the registered fleet capacity of the active fleet in 2014 in terms of gross tonnage (GT) and/or gross registered tonnage (GRT) and engine power (kW).

Or. it

Justification

The management measures suggested will enable effective action to be taken to regenerate biomass levels as from 1 January 2019. In addition, it is proposed that, from 2020, catches should be reduced by 3% per annum from the 2014 level unless the measures introduced (spatial and temporal closures, etc.) themselves reduce catches by more than 2% compared to 2014. The amendment indicates procedures and the periods and areas in which catches may be made.

Amendment 175 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Safeguards

Amendment

Conservation reference points and safeguards

Or. en

Amendment 176 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

deleted

1. The conservation reference points expressed as minimum and limit spawning stock biomass levels to be applied in order to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks concerned are set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 177 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The conservation reference points expressed as minimum and limit spawning stock biomass levels to be applied in order to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks concerned are *set out in Annex II*.

Amendment

1. The conservation reference points expressed as minimum and limit spawning stock biomass levels to be applied in order to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks concerned are *defined as MSY B*_{trigger} *and B*_{lim}.

Or. en

Amendment 178 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The conservation reference points expressed as minimum and limit spawning stock biomass levels *to* be applied in order to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks concerned *are set out in Annex II*.

Amendment

1. The conservation reference points expressed as minimum and limit spawning stock biomass levels *shall* be applied in order to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 179 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, David-Maria Sassoli, Isabella De Monte, Simona Bonafè, Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Three years after the application of the management measures referred to in Article 4a, scientific research shall verify the effectiveness of the measures taken, particularly on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is needed to assess the effectiveness of the measures proposed in Article 4bis.

Amendment 180 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

PE618.196v02-00

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the range set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock.

Amendment 181 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *When* scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing mortality Amendment

2. If the scientific advice indicates after 31 December 2020 that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing

AM\1147581EN.docx

65/99

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

that is reduced below the range set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock. mortality that is reduced below the range set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock.

Or. en

Justification

The result of the first three years of application of the management measures should be evaluated with reference to 31 December 2020 only if the final data reveals the persistence of an over exploitation of the target species, provisions shall apply as foreseen by the current proposal for a Regulation.

Amendment 182 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates 2. that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the range set out in Annex I, column A to this **Regulation**, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock.

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates 2. that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point set ($MSY B_{trigger}$), all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the *lower* range of FMSY, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock

Or. en

Amendment 183

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the range set out in Annex I, column A to this **Regulation**, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock.

Amendment

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the minimum spawning stock biomass reference point MSY B_{trigger}, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) and 4(4) of this Regulation, fishing opportunities for the stocks concerned shall be fixed at a level consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the range set out in $MSY F_{lower}$, taking into account the decrease in biomass of that stock.

Or. en

Amendment 184 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (*Blim*) as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may

Amendment

3. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}), further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY *B-escapement*. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article

include, by way of derogation from Article 4, *paragraphs 2 and 4*, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned *and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities*. 4 (1), reduction of the fishing opportunities sufficient to restore the stock to MSY B-escapement for the following year or, if necessary, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 185 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (Blim) as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4, paragraphs 2 and 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Amendment

3. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{iim}), further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4, paragraphs 2 and 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. en

Amendment 186 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. When scientific advice indicates

Amendment

3. When *the best available* scientific

68/99

that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (Blim) *as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation*, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4, paragraphs 2 and 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities. advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of either of the stocks concerned is below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (Blim), further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure the rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4, paragraphs 2 and 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. en

Amendment 187 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks concerned shall be requested annually from STECF based on this plan:

a) MSY B-escapement

b) B_{lim}

c) F-cap

Or. en

Amendment 188 Adina-Ioana Vălean on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Those remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 189 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013. Such delegated acts may supplement this **Regulation by laying down rules** regarding measures referred to in Article 6a of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 190 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

PE618.196v02-00

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below *the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below *MSY B*_{trigger}, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Or. en

Amendment 191 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with *Article 16 of this Regulation and* Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Or. it

Justification

With regard to delegated acts, it is considered appropriate to take into consideration only Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 on regionalisation.

Amendment 192 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below *the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is below *MSY B-escapement*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding *the following technical measures*:

Or. en

Amendment 193 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is

Amendment

When *the best available* scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of the small pelagic stocks referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, or, in the case of anchovy and sardine, when the spawning biomass of either of these stocks for a given year is

PE618.196v02-00

below the conservation reference points *set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding: below the *MSY B*_{trigger} conservation reference points, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 16 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No1380/2013 regarding:

Or. en

Amendment 194 **Ulrike Rodust Proposal for a regulation** Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point a Amendment *Text proposed by the Commission* deleted *(a)* characteristics of the fishing gear, in particular mesh size, construction of the gear, size of the gear or use of selectivity devices to ensure or improve selectivity; Or. en Amendment 195 **Ulrike Rodust Proposal for a regulation** Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point b Text proposed by the Commission Amendment deleted *(b)* use of the fishing gear and depth of gear deployment, to ensure or improve selectivity; Or. en Amendment 196

Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission		Amendment	
(c) prohibition or limitation to fish in specific areas to protect spawning and juvenile fish or fish below the minimum conservation reference size or non-target fish species;	deleted		
			Or. en
Amendment 197 Ulrike Rodust			
Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point d			
Text proposed by the Commission		Amendment	
(d) prohibition or limitation on fishing or the use of certain types of fishing gear during specific time periods, to protect spawning fish or fish below the minimum conservation reference size or non-target fish species,	deleted		
			Or. en
Amendment 198 Ulrike Rodust			
Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point e			
Text proposed by the Commission		Amendment	
(e) minimum conservation reference sizes, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms;	deleted		
			Or. en

Amendment 199 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) minimum conservation reference sizes, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms;

Amendment

(e) minimum conservation reference sizes *for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies*, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 200 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) other characteristics linked to selectivity.

deleted

Or. it

Justification

The terms used in point (f), the subject of a delegation, are so general that it could infringe the substantive limits imposed by Article 290 TFEU. It is better to opt for deletion.

Amendment 201 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

AM\1147581EN.docx

(f) other characteristics linked to selectivity.

deleted

Amendment 202 Remo Sernagiotto

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Notwithstanding paragraph 1, in order to achieve the targets set out in Article 4, the following measures shall apply for a period of four years:

(a) in 2019 the catch limits for small pelagic species shall be set at the level of catch in 2014, as communicated in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EU) No 1343/2011. As from 2020, catch limits for small pelagics shall be gradually reduced each year by 3% in comparison to the previous year, until 2022. However, the reduction shall not apply if in the preceding year the total catch is more than 2% below the 2014 catch level as defined above;

(b) the fishing effort of fishing vessels targeting small pelagics shall not exceed 180 fishing days per year and 20 fishing days per month, with a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting sardine and a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting anchovy;

(c) spatial and temporal fishing activity limits shall be implemented each year in order to protect nursery and juvenile areas. Such limits shall cover the entire distribution of small pelagics in the Adriatic Sea, for periods of no less than 15 continuous days and up to 30 continuous days. Those limits shall be implemented during the following

periods:

- for sardine between 1 October and 31 March, and

for anchovy between 1 April and 30
 September;

(d) for all fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 12 metres, spatial and temporal closures shall also be implemented of at least:

- 30% of the territorial waters of Croatia and Slovenia for at least six months;

-50% of the territorial waters of Italy for at least four months;

(e) By way of derogation from letter (d) above, in the area of the Gulf of Trieste from the western coast of Istria to the Lim Channel, those spatial and temporal closures shall apply to fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 15 metres;

(f) the overall fleet capacity of trawlers and purse seiners actively fishing for small pelagic stocks shall not exceed the registered fleet capacity of the active fleet registered in 2014 in terms of gross tonnage (GT) and/or gross registered tonnage (GRT), engine power (kW) and number of vessels.

Or. it

Justification

One of the most critical points in the proposal for a regulation is that it does not provide for any clear measures to establish fishing procedures, periods and areas. The management measures suggested will enable effective action to be taken to regenerate biomass levels. In addition, it is proposed that, from 2020, catches should be reduced by 3% per annum from the 2014 level unless the measures introduced themselves reduce catches by more than 2% compared to 2014.

Amendment 203 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Amendment

1a. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, in order to achieve the targets set out in Article 4, the following measures shall apply for a period of four years:

(a) in 2019 the catch limits for small pelagic species for each Member State shall be set at the level of catch in 2017, as communicated in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EU) No 1343/2011. Starting from 2020, catch limits for small pelagics shall be gradually reduced each year by 3% in comparison to the previous year, until 2022. However, the reduction shall not apply if in the preceding year the total catches of the Member States concerned are more than 2% below the catch level in 2014;

(b) the fishing effort of fishing vessels targeting small pelagics shall not exceed 180 fishing days per year and 20 fishing days per month, with a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting sardine and a maximum of 144 fishing days per year targeting anchovy;

(c) spatial and temporal fishing activity limits shall be implemented each year in order to protect nursery and juvenile areas. Such limits shall cover the entire distribution of small pelagics in the Adriatic Sea, for periods of no less than 15 continuous days and up to 30 continuous days. Those limits shall be implemented during the following periods: – for sardine, between 1 October and 31 March, and for anchovy between 1 April and 30 September;

(d) for all fishing vessels whose overall length exceeds 12 metres, spatial and temporal closures shall also be implemented of at least: – 30% of the territorial waters of Croatia and Slovenia for at least six months; – 50% of the territorial waters of Italy for at least four months.

(e) the overall fleet capacity of trawlers and purse seiners actively fishing for small pelagic stocks shall not exceed the registered fleet capacity of the active fleet in 2014 in terms of gross tonnage (GT) and/or gross registered tonnage (GRT), engine power (kW) and number of vessels.

Or. it

Amendment 204 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Or. en

Amendment 205 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6a

Provision of scientific data

To support the implementation of this plan, Member States shall conduct scientific surveys at sea and provide catch data based on agreed scientific protocols as follows:

(a) for the stocks of anchovy and sardine, otolith readings and acoustic echograms shall be subject to a joint international shared protocol between Italy, Croatia

AM\1147581EN.docx

and Slovenia, to be developed by 1 December 2019;

(b) acoustic surveys carried out in the Adriatic Sea shall share the same harmonised scientific protocol, in line with MEDIAS, and operate at sea in the same months each year to ensure full comparability of results.;

(c) acoustic surveys shall be made available for the scientific evaluation of fishing opportunities for the following year, at the latest one month after the completion of the survey;

(d) catch data for each stock must be provided on a monthly basis, catch at age information must be provided by 1 November each year, to ensure full monitoring of the fishery and the provision of scientific advice in sufficient time for the fixing of fishing opportunities.

Failure to provide the data and assessments listed in the preceding points shall lead to a reduction in fishing opportunities of 10% for the following year.

Or. en

Amendment 206 Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6a

Technical measures

1. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 in order to

supplement this Regulation regarding the following technical measures:

(a) specifications of characteristics of fishing gears and rules governing their use, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem;

(b) specifications of modifications or additional devices to the fishing gears, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem;

(c) limitations or prohibitions on the use of certain fishing gears and on fishing activities, in certain areas or periods to protect spawning fish, fish below the minimum conservation reference size or non-target fish species, or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem; and

(d) the fixing of minimum conservation reference sizes for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms.

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Or. en

Amendment 207 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article *15 of this Regulation and Article* 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 as regards:

Amendment

The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation 1380/2013 as regards:

PE618.196v02-00

Justification

The rules set out in Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 on the landing obligation and regionalisation are sufficient. For the Commission to take any further measures through delegated acts would therefore be inappropriate.

Amendment 208 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) exemptions from the application of the landing obligation for species for which scientific *evidence* demonstrates high survival rates, taking into account the characteristics of the gear, the fishing practices and the ecosystem, to facilitate the implementation of the landing obligation; and

Amendment

(a) exemptions from the application of the landing obligation for species for which *beast available* scientific *advice* demonstrates high survival rates, taking into account the characteristics of the gear, the fishing practices and the ecosystem, to facilitate the implementation of the landing obligation; and

Or. en

Amendment 209 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) specific provisions on documentation of catches, in particular for the purpose of monitoring *the implementation of* the landing obligation; and

Amendment

(c) specific provisions on documentation of catches, in particular for the purpose of monitoring *and controlling in order to ensure a level playing field by ensuring full compliance with* the landing obligation; and

Or. en

Amendment 210 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

deleted

(d) the fixing of minimum conservation reference sizes, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms.

Or. it

Justification

Letter (d) should be deleted as this area is already covered in Article 6 above and there is no need for repetition.

Amendment 211 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 7 a

Technical measures

1. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding the following technical measures:

(a) specifications of characteristics of fishing gears and rules governing their use, to ensure selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise, and when possible eliminate, the negative impact on the marine environment;

(b) specifications of modifications or additional devices to the fishing gears, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise, and

when possible eliminate, the negative impact on the marine environment;

(c) limitations or prohibitions on the use of certain fishing gears and on fishing activities, in certain areas or periods to protect spawning fish, fish below the minimum conservation reference size or non-target fish species, or to minimise, and when possible eliminate, the negative impact on the ecosystem; and

(d) the fixing of minimum conservation reference sizes for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms.

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Or. en

Amendment 212 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the multiannual plan in accordance with Article 14 to this Regulation. They may also submit such recommendations when deemed necessary by them, in particular in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for either of

Amendment

For the purpose of paragraph 1 of 2. this Article, Member States having direct management interest may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the multiannual plan in accordance with Article 14 to this Regulation. They may also submit such recommendations when deemed necessary by them, in particular in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for either of

the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 June of the previous year. the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 June of the previous year. *The Commission shall require the STECF to assess the joint recommendations. Any deviation by the Commission from the joint recommendations shall be presented to the European Parliament and to the Council for scrutiny.*

Or. en

Amendment 213 Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Notwithstanding Article 18(1) and (3) of Regulation No 1380/2013 the Commission may adopt delegated acts also in the absence of a joint recommendation referred to in those paragraphs when scientific advice indicates they are necessary in order to achieve the objectives set out in Article 3.

Or. en

Amendment 214 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification referred Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification

to in that Article shall be made at least *one and a half* hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. The competent authorities of the coastal Member States may, on a case-by-case basis, give permission for an earlier entry into port. referred to in that Article shall be made at least *half an* hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. The competent authorities of the coastal Member States may, on a case-by-case basis, give permission for an earlier entry into port.

Or. it

Justification

In many areas of the Adriatic, the crew is still busy minutes before returning to port sorting catch into the appropriate boxes. Providing the above-mentioned notification prior to arrival in port, which means masters of fishing vessels having to communicate a set of information about the vessel and catch well in advance to the competent authorities of their flag Member State, is a somewhat burdensome requirement.

Amendment 215 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The prior notification obligation shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels retaining on board at least *one tonne* of anchovy or *one tonne* of sardine.

Amendment

2. The prior notification obligation shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels retaining on board at least *two tonnes* of anchovy or *two tonnes* of sardine. *These quantities shall be calculated after deduction of the catches referred to in paragraph 11 of Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.*

Or. it

Justification

It is important to specify this owing to the landing obligation, under which products under the minimum conservation reference size must be landed and made over to a direct use other than human consumption. The quantities are the ones set in Article 13(a) and (b) of this proposal for a regulation.

Amendment 216 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The prior notification obligation shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels retaining on board at least one tonne of anchovy or one tonne of sardine.

Amendment

2. The prior notification obligation shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels retaining on board at least one tonne of anchovy or one tonne of sardine. *These quantities shall be calculated after deduction of the catches referred to in paragraph 11 of Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.*

Or. it

Justification

It is important to specify this owing to the landing obligation, under which products under the minimum conservation reference size must be landed and made over to a direct use other than human consumption.

Amendment 217 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. By way of derogation from Article 15(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the masters of all Community vessels of an overall length equal to or more than 12 metres shall send the information referred to in Article 14 of that Regulation before the start of landing operations

Or. it

Justification

Vessels subject to the requirements of this management plan and working on large fish species should be allowed to complete the fishing logbook prior to commencing landing operations. Sending this information prior to entering port in line with the general regulations can be difficult and sometimes dangerous, given both the difficulty of docking and the large amounts of fish that have to be sorted and boxed.

Amendment 218 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) *2000 kg* of anchovy; (a) *2 tonnes* of anchovy;

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is necessary to harmonise the measurement values referred to in Article 10.

Amendment 219 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) *2000 kg* of anchovy;

(a) *2 tonnes* of anchovy;

Amendment

Amendment

Or. it

Justification

Amendment harmonising the units of measurement referred to in Articles 10 and 13.

Amendment 220 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

PE618.196v02-00

88/99

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission		Amendment		
(b)	<i>2000 kg</i> of sardine.	(b)	2 tonnes of sardine.	

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is necessary to harmonise the measurement values referred to in Article 10.

Amendment 221 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *2000 kg* of sardine.

(b) *2 tonnes* of sardine.

Amendment

Or. it

Justification

Amendment harmonising the units of measurement referred to in Articles 10 and 13.

Amendment 222 Rosa D'Amato

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 13a

Socio-economic accompanying measures

1. Priority shall be awarded, in the implementation of actions provided for in Article 30 of the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (EMFF) referred to in Regulation (EU) No

AM\1147581EN.docx

89/99

508/2014, to fishermen affected by the implementation of the measures contained in this multiannual plan.

2. Vessels subject to the spatial and temporal closures laid down in this Regulation may benefit from support from the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), as referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, for a maximum of 90 days, until 31 December 2020, even if the limit referred to in Article 33, paragraph 2 has been exceeded.

3. Until 31 December 2020, the vessels concerned by the measures to reduce the fishing effort referred to in this Regulation may be eligible for support for permanent cessation as referred to in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Amendment 223 Remo Sernagiotto

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 13a

Socio-economic accompanying measures

1. Priority shall be awarded, in the implementation of actions provided for in Article 30 of the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (EMFF) referred to in Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, to fishermen affected by the implementation of the measures contained in this multiannual plan.

2. Vessels subject to the spatial and temporal closures laid down in this Regulation may benefit from support from

the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), as referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, for a maximum of 90 days, until 31 December 2020, even if the limit referred to in Article 33, paragraph 2 has been exceeded.

3. Until 31 December 2020, the vessels concerned by the measures to reduce the fishing effort referred to in this Regulation may be eligible for support for permanent cessation as referred to in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Justification

This amendment provides fishermen subject to restrictive measures with the possibility of socio-economic compensation through the EMFF: paragraph 1 offers them priority access for diversifying towards other forms of income; furthermore, for vessels affected by this management plan, paragraph 2 provides cover for an additional 90 days of temporary cessation of activities during spatial and temporal closures, while paragraph 3 extends until 31 December 2020 the deadline relating to a definitive cessation of activities.

Amendment 224 Nicola Caputo, Renata Briano, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, David-Maria Sassoli, Isabella De Monte, Simona Bonafè, Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 13a

Socio-economic accompanying measures

1. Priority shall be awarded, in the implementation of actions provided for in Article 30 of the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (EMFF) referred to in Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, to fishermen affected by the implementation of the measures contained in this multiannual plan.

2. Vessels subject to the spatial and temporal closures laid down in this Regulation may benefit from support from the European Fund for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), as referred to in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, for a maximum of 90 days, until 31 December 2020, even if the limit referred to in Article 33, paragraph 2 has been exceeded.

3. Until 31 December 2020, the vessels concerned by the measures to reduce the fishing effort referred to in this Regulation may be eligible for support for permanent cessation as referred to in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. it

Justification

This amendment provides fishermen subject to restrictive measures with the possibility of socio-economic compensation through the EMFF: paragraph 1 offers them a priority channel for diversifying towards other forms of income; paragraph 2 provides vessels affected by spatial and temporal closures with cover for an additional 90 days of temporary cessation of activities; paragraph 3 extends until 31 December 2020 the deadline relating to a definitive cessation of activities.

Amendment 225 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 13a

Accompanying measures

1. By way of derogation from paragraph 2 of Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, the maximum period is extended by 90 days up to 31 December 2020 for fishing vessels subject to the spatial and temporal closures provided for

PE618.196v02-00

92/99

in this Regulation.

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 4 of Article 34 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, support for the permanent cessation of activities of fishing vessels subject to the requirements of this Regulation shall be extended until 31 December 2020.

Or. it

Justification

Amending paragraph 1 covers vessels affected by the spatial and temporal closures laid down by this Regulation for an additional 90 days of temporary cessation of activities; paragraph 2 extends until 31 December 2020 the deadline relating to a definitive cessation of activities for vessels affected by this management plan.

Amendment 226 Adina-Ioana Vălean on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the multiannual plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. The Commission shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Amendment

Three years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the multiannual plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the progress achieved towards restoring and maintaining fish stocks above levels capable of producing maximum sustainable vield. The Commission shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council and may, where appropriate and taking into account the most recent scientific advice, propose adaptations to the multiannual plan or initiate modifications to the delegated acts.

93/99

Amendment 227 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the multiannual plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. The Commission shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Amendment

Three years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the multiannual plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. The Commission shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council *and shall, if appropriate, submit a proposal to amend this Regulation*.

Or. en

Amendment 228 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the multiannual plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. The Commission shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Amendment

Three years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the multiannual plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. The Commission shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 229 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in *this* Article.

Amendment

1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in Article *18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013*.

Or. it

Amendment 230 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in *Articles 6 and 7* shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of *five* years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the *five* year period. *The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.*

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in *Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013* shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of *four* years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of powers not later than nine months before the end of the *four* year period.

Or. it

Amendment 231 Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 6 and 7 shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of *five* years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the *five* year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 6 and 7 shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of *three* years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the *three* year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

Or. en

Amendment 232 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The delegation of power referred to in *Articles 6 and 7* may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Amendment

3. The delegation of power referred to in *Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013* may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Or. it

Amendment 233 Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 6 and 7 shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and to the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Amendment

6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to *Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013* shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or by the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Or. it

Justification

The adoption of delegated acts by the Commission in respect of this multiannual plan must take into account Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 on regionalisation so as to reflect the need for regional cooperation and the specific characteristics of the Adriatic Sea.

Amendment 234 Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 15a

Support from the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund

Temporary cessation measures adopted in order to achieve the objectives of the multiannual plan shall be deemed as temporary cessation of fishing activities

AM\1147581EN.docx

for the purposes of points (a) and (c) of Article 33(1) of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014.

Or. en

Amendment 235 Ulrike Rodust		
Proposal for a regulation Annex I		
Text proposed by the Commission	1	Amendment
Target fishing mortality	deleted	
(as referred to in Article 4)		
[]		
		Or. de
Amendment 236 Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e P	'into, Izaskun Bilbao Baı	randica
Proposal for a regulation Annex I		
Text proposed by the Commission	1	Amendment
Target fishing mortality	deleted	
(as referred to in Article 4)		
[]		
		Or. en
		01. 01
Amendment 237 Ulrike Rodust		
Proposal for a regulation Annex II		
PE618.196v02-00	98/99	AM\1147581EN.docx

Text proposed by the CommissionAmendmentConservation reference pointsdeleted(as referred to in Article 5)[...][...]Amendment 238Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto, Izaskun Bilbao BarandicaProposal for a regulation

Proposal for a regulation Annex II

Text proposed by the Commission

Conservation reference points (as referred to in Article 5)

[...]

Or. en

Or. de

Amendment

deleted