in the previous paragraph, there is considerable re-alignment of vowels he /r/, so that merry and marry (and sometimes Mary too) may be pronounced same while short and sport may have different vowels (/oː/ in the former [or] in the latter, corresponding to GB /au/).

Differences of realisation are always numerous between any two systems English pronunciation and only the most salient will be mentioned. Among vowels this includes the realisation of the diphthongs /eɪ/ and /əu/ as monophthon [er] and [or], hence late [lert] and load [lord]. Among the consonants, /r/is eith phonetically [4], i.e. the tip of the tongue is curled further backwards than GB, or else a similar auditory effect is achieved by bunching the body of a tongue upwards and backwards (this latter form of /r/ is now intruding into GB) /t/ intervocalically following an accent is usually a voiced tap in GA, e.g. better [berə] and may sometimes become [d] producing a neutralisation between s and /d/; and /l/ is generally a dark [f] in all positions in GA, unlike GB when it is a clear [I] before vowels and a dark [I] in other positions (see §9.7.1).

A wholesale change in the realisation of the short vowels in GA is increasing reported, sometimes called the 'Northern Cities Shift', 39 although it now seems more widely spread than this. The vowel principally affected by this shift is the which becomes closer to [ɛ] or [ɛə], or even [e] or [eə]. This affects both those words like sad which have /a/ in GB and those words like after where the GA /a/ corresponds to /ai/ in GB. In other areas of the U.S. including Columbus Ohio, and Jackson, North Carolina, short vowels seem to be going in the opposite direction, i.e. /I,e,a/ are lowering and losing a tendency to diphthongisation,

7.12.2 Standard Scottish English (SSE)

There are nowadays taken to be three languages in Scotland: Gaelic, Scots and (Scottish) English. The Northumbrian dialect of Old English spread into the south and east of Scotland at much the same time as it spread through England and has continued in use as present-day Scots. A different type of English was re-introduced from the south of England in the eighteenth century but was subsequently much influenced by Scots; it is this that is now described as Scottish English. Most speakers in Scotland will slightly or considerably vary their style of speech between Scots and Standard Scottish English according to different situations. The typical vowel system of Scottish English involves the loss of the GB distinctions between /aː/ and /a/, between /uː/ and /u/, and between /aː/ and /v/. Thus the pairs ant and aunt, soot and suit, caught and cot are pronounced the same. On the other hand there may be a phonemic split corresponding to GB /e/; while most such words have a vowel of an [ɛ] quality, a small group of words have a vowel of an [ë] quality, e.g. heaven, eleven, next.

SSE also has no /19,09/ because, like General American, it is rhotic and beard and dour are pronounced as /birrd/ and /durr/ (= [dy11]). Similarly GB /ɛi/ (formerly /eə/) is followed by an /r/, so fare GB /fɛi/ becomes SSE [feii]). Some speakers will also have different sequences of (short) vowel plus /r/

monding to GB /3:/ in bird, serve and turn; others have the same r-coloured Rhoticity in SSE is declining with many speakers now rhotic (i.e. pre-pausal and pre-consonantal /r/ may be treated differ-Moreover the lexical incidence of vowels before /r/ may not correspond B short and sport may have different vowels as in GA, short rhyming with but sport with boat.

SSE vowels corresponding to GB /ei/ and /əu/ are typically monophthongal General American), e.g. gate and boat are [gest] and [bost]. Moreover the sel common to soot and suit is not like either of the GB vowels in these ords, but is considerably fronted to something like [y], hence [syt]. More generated by there is no systemic durational difference between long and short vowels,

there is in GB.

The chief differences from GB in the realisation of the consonants lies in the se of a tap [r], e.g. red [red] and trip [trip], though there is variation between this and [1] (the usual type in GB), the use of [1] being more common in postvocalic positions and generally more prestigious. The phoneme /l/ is most commonly dark [t] in all positions, little [ttt] and plough [plau]. Finally, intervocalic /t/ soften realised as a glottal stop (like London below), e.g. butter [bn?a].

1,12.3 London English, Estuary English (EE) and Multicultural London English (MLE)

The most dialectal type of London speech is called Cockney. Unlike the previous two varieties above (General American and Standard Scottish English), Cockney is as much a class dialect as a regional one. In its broadest form the dialect of Cockney includes a considerable vocabulary of its own, including rhyming slang. But the characteristics of Cockney pronunciation are spread more widely through London speech than its vocabulary; this type of pronunciation we henceforth refer to as popular London or broad London. The prevalence of a Cockney pronunciation in London is now much challenged by the growth of what has come to be known as Multicultural London English (MLE), dealt with towards the end of this section.

Unlike the previous two types of pronunciation there are no differences in the inventory of vowel phonemes between GB and popular London and there are relatively few (compared with GA and SSE) differences of lexical incidence. There are, however, a large number of differences of realisation. The short front vowels tend to be uniformly closer than in GB, e.g. in sat, set and sit, so much so that sat may sound like set and set itself like sit to speakers from other regions. Additionally the short vowel /A/ moves forward to almost C.[a]. Among the long vowels, most noticeable is the diphthongisation of /iː/ (= [əi]), /uː/ (= [əu]) and /ɔː/ which varies between [ɔʊ] morpheme-medially and [ɔwə] morpheme-finally, thus bead [baid], boot [baut], sword [soud], saw [owa]. Broad London speech also uses distinctive pronunciations of a number of diphthongs $/e_I/=[a_I]$, $/a_I/=[a_I]$, $d\Theta U = [au]$ and dau = [ax], e.g. late [latt], light [latt], no [nau], now [nax]. The last two vowels are close enough to cause considerable confusion among m London listeners, although the distinction is not usually neutralised. In cases special allophones are used before dark [t] (which itself = [v]—see being $/\partial v/ = [vv]$ and /vv/ = [vv] (is monophthongal compared with the usual factors) e.g. bowl [bout], fool [futu]. Before the vocalised form of /l/ there is m neutralisation, e.g. field and filled as [fiod], col and coal as [kno], and pull pool as [puo]. The use of the [po] variant of /ou/ is now spreading more wide outside London RGB and may be considered a variant within GB itself.

Among the consonants most notable are the omission of /h/ and the replace ment of /0,0/ by /f,v/, e.g. hammer /`amə/, think /fiŋk/, father /`faɪvə/, Dark II i.e. /l/ in positions not immediately before vowels becomes vocalic [v], e.g. mil [mɪʊk], middle ['mɪdu]; /t/ is realised as a glottal stop following vowels, lateral and nasals, e.g. butter ['bʌʔə], eat it ['iːʔ ɪʔ], not that [nɒʔ 'ðaʔ], benefit ['benɪfɪʔ belt up [beu? 'Ap]; there may be similar replacement of /p,k/ before a following consonant, e.g. soapbox ['sau?boks], technical ['te?nr?v] (in this last word for as the realisation of /l/ still counts as a consonant).

Popular London speech has historically been the major influence on the phonetic development of GB⁴¹ and, as has been outlined in section 7.8, London RGB, i.e. a hybrid between GB and broad London, popularly called ESTUARY English, 42 is now widely used in south-east England and may be spreading to other urban areas. The phonetic features of London in Estuary English include the replacement of dark [1] by [v], e.g. field [fivd]; the glottalisation of /t/ preconsonantally, e.g. not that [np? 'dat] and increasingly word-finally before pause and before a following vowel, e.g. not that [np? 'da?], eat ice [ir? 'ais]; the use of London-type realisations of the diphthongs /eI,aI/ and London-type allophones before /l/, e.g. cold [kuɪʊ], cool [kuɪʊ].

Other broad London sounds are less likely in Estuary English, e.g. /h/-dropping. monophthongisation of /au/, the wide diphthong in /au/, fronting of /a/, the use of glottal stop for /t/ intervocalically as in [wor?ə] and the replacement of θ, δ by f, v.

Some other characteristics sometimes claimed for Estuary English appear not to be based in London speech but may be changes more generally in progress in GB: the realisation of /r/ without a tongue tip contact, i.e. [v] or [u], and the replacement of /s/ by /f/ where it is initial in consonant clusters, e.g. stop, stare, industry, strain, obstruct as [stop], [stee], [indastri], [strem], [ebistrakt].

One intonational characteristic of London that seems to have spread into Estuary English and even more widely is the use of the 'unknown' tag interrogative. In this the speaker uses an interrogative tag with a falling tone (which usually expects the listener to know enough to agree with the speaker) in cases where the listener clearly has no relevant knowledge, e.g. 'I was woken up at 6.30 this morning; the postman came knocking on the door, didn't he?' (with a falling tone on did). Similarly there may be spreading usage of preposition and auxiliary verb accenting, 'I didn't do anything because there was nothing to do', 'You couldn't have seen me in London because I haven't BEEN in London'

there are many pronunciations which are standard in London RGB but which there are all the considered as on the verge of being acceptable as part of GB. These the vocalisation of dark [H] as [v] in many pre-consonantal positions finally, e.g. held [heud], fill [fiu], middle [midu], and (ii) the use of [?] for before an accented vowel or before a pause, e.g. not even [np? \irvn], need Before unaccented /1,9/ use of [?] is still stigmatised as non-GB (and (and the little of broad London) both intra-word and inter-word, e.g. water [woi?ə], got pical of broad London) both intra-word and inter-word, e.g. water [woi?ə], got [gu?ə], that is [ða? 12].43

An alternative type of popular London speech has arisen over the last fifty ceals as a result of the large number of immigrants settling in the city. West and the one. West indians (a large number from Jamaica) were the first to arrive in the 1950s, followed by Asians (the largest groups were from the Indian subcontinent and from East Africa, where a large number of Indians had previously settled), followed most recently by those from Eastern Europe. These were the most prominent groups but there were lesser numbers from many other areas including Vietnam, West Africa and the Middle East. So there is now a large ethnic mix in London but it seems to be the West Indians who take the lead in language matters and hence the new accent is often called Jafaican (short for fake Jamaican) or, more academically, Multicultural London English (MLE).44 But some Asian, African and local London characteristics may be in the mix. Some of the features of this accent are the absence of the fronting of /v,u:,vo/, noted in §7.10.2 as now common in GB, the monophthongisation of /ei/ and /əu/ to [ex] and [ox] and the absence of the London 'crossover' (of /ai/ as [ai] with a back starting-point and /au/ as [au] with a very front starting-point, or even [a1]). The accent, as to be expected, is not a very homogeneous one; so, for instance, /v,ux,vo/, instead of not being fronted at all, may be very fronted to [yː,y,yː], /θ,ð/ may be fronted to /f,v/ like Cockney, /A/ may be backed and so closer to Cardinal [A]. However, there is very little evidence, in the form of recordings or transcriptions, to back up the phonetics of MLE (though there is more about vocabulary and grammar).

7.12.4 General Northern English (GNE)

While there is relative homogeneity in a broad London accent but much less so in General American and Standard Scottish English, the label General Northern ENGLISH is even less homogeneous (strictly speaking the label should be General Northern England English). We use it here simply to identify those things which the disparate pronunciation systems in the North of England have in common (and we will also mention a few characteristics which are typical only of certain areas). The area we are talking about covers that area north of a line from the river Severn to the Wash and includes Birmingham. Within this area there was a traditional dialect distinction between the north and the south of a line joining the rivers Humber in the east and Ribble in the west. Such a distinction still remains in conservative rural dialects and is shown in features north of the line like /ix/ in night and /a/ in long.

The major identifying feature of this area is the loss of the distinction bear GB/v/ and /A/, the single phoneme varying in quality from [v] to [A] So has no distinction between put and putt, could and cud, and, for many spear between buck and book (although others may use /uz/ in the latter word). corrections may be made by those attempting RGB producing, for example [] Ago], pussy [pasi], put [pat]. Almost as identifying a characteristic is change-over in lexical incidence from /a:/ to /a/ in words with a following vo less fricative (or a nasal followed by a further consonant), as in General American e.g. past/past/, laugh/laf/, aunt/ant/. Another type of lexical incidence concen the occurrence of a full vowel in prefixes where GB has /ə/, e.g. advar /ad'vans/, consume /kpn'sju:m/ observe, /pb'zziv/. These full vowel prefixes generally those in closed syllables, whereas those with open syllables retain e.g. connect /kənekt/. The diphthongs /eɪ/ and /əu/ may be monophthongal [] and [oz] as in GA and SSE (indeed sometimes, as in Newcastle, the direction of the diphthong is reversed to [ea] and [oa]). Many areas of Northern English have a fronted articulation of both /u:/ and /a:/ (the distinction between /a/an /aː/ being carried by length alone). Vowel incidence in the final syllable of cin pretty, usually, etc. varies between /i:/ in, for example, Liverpool, Hull an Newcastle, and /I/ in Manchester and Leeds.

Other vowel changes (compared with GB) characteristic of particular areas include the loss of the /ɛː/-/ɜː/ distinction in Liverpool (the local accent is called Scouse) and its common realisation as [œɪ], e.g. both *fare* and *fur* are pronounced [fœː]; a similar neutralisation and realisation of /ɛː/ and /ɜː/ in Hull where another notable feature is the monophthongisation of /əʊ/ to [ɜɪ]; the realisation of /au/ in many words as [uɪ] in broad Newcastle (where the local accent is called Geordie) while /uɪ/ itself becomes [ɪə], e.g. about [ə`buɪt].], boot [bɪət]; and the use of a particularly close /ɪ/ in all positions in Birmingham, e.g. pit is almost [pit], where the distinction between pit and peat will depend on length alone.

Most notable among the consonants of GNE is the realisation of /r/ as [f] in a number of conurbations including Leeds, Liverpool and Newcastle, and the lack of the GB allophonic difference between clear [l] and dark [l], clear [l] being used in all positions in many areas, e.g. Newcastle, and dark [l] in others, e.g. Manchester. In a quite extensive area from Birmingham to Manchester and Liverpool the GB single consonant /ŋ/ becomes [ng], e.g. singing [sunging]. Also in a number of urban areas, notably south-east Lancashire, /p,t,k/ in final position (i.e. before pause) may be realised as ejectives, e.g. stop [stop'].

A number of the features above are incorporated into the northern type of RGB. This applies particularly to the use of /a/ rather than /ai/ in words like path and dance and the use of full vowels in some suffixes like those in conserve, object and advance.

7.12.5 Australian English (ANE)

There is little regional variation in Australian English (ANE), the variation which does occur being largely correlated with social class and ranging from

and accent all the way up to GB. The broad accent described here shares many with broad London speech, but has of course a particular combination tes with features which identify it.

and other teatures which identify it.

London there are no differences of phonemic inventory from GB and extensive number of words involved in differences of incidence. It is the extensive number of words involved in differences of incidence. It is the extensive number of words involved in differences of incidence. It is the extensive number of long /aː/ as [aː] which more than any other identifies ANE, e.g. alisation of long /aː/ as [aː] which more than any other identifies ANE, e.g. alisation of long /aː/ (paɪ] (thus, for example, making it distinctively different south African English). Words which in GB have /aː/ before clusters and plus another consonant, e.g. dance, advantage, chance, vary between and /aː/ (= [aː]) in ANE; pronunciations with [aː] are by some considered and /aː/ (= [aː]) in ANE; pronunciations with [aː] are by some considered and /aː/ between thus reduced (compare this with New Zealand where /a,e/ are equally and the short front vowels are all closer than GB, the distance between thus reduced (compare this with New Zealand where /a,e/ are equally lead and /a/ becomes [i], almost indistinguishable from /ə/).

In its diphthongs ANE is again like London having /ei/ = [ai] and /ai/ = [ai] and in having a convergence of quality of /əu/ and /au/; /iə,uə/ are monophthonated, so /iə/ = [ii], clear [klii] (leading to an accumulation of three vowels, /i/ and [ii] in the close front area), while /uə/ is either replaced by /ɔi/ as in sever or becomes disyllabic as in sewer / suiə/.

Although ANE, in its broader form, does drop /h/, it does not use glottal stop does it vocalise /l/, having dark [t] in all positions.

A particular development in Australian English (and in New Zealand) which has been the subject of much discussion recently, both in newspapers and in academic journals, 45 is the increasing use of a high rising tone on declarative clauses (where a fall would normally have been expected). The meaning of this tone and the reasons behind its increased use have also been much discussed (see also §7.10.2(9) and §11.6.3).

1,12.6 Caribbean English

The most populous islands of the Caribbean where English is spoken as a first language are Jamaica, Trinidad (including Tobago) and Barbados, together with Guyana on the adjacent mainland; and there are numerous less populous islands. These islands (and Guyana) usually have a continuum in dialect from a broad variety generally referred to as a creole (a creole being a first language which has been derived from a pidgin) to a high variety which approaches GB and can be regarded as a type of RGB. What is described here is the broad creole variety. There are few descriptions of the English accent of most of the islands; 46 only Jamaica has been the topic of a number of articles and books.

The most obvious characteristic of the vowel system is that it is like that of GB rather than that of General American. The second most obvious characteristic is the absence of /a/, this vowel usually being replaced by /a/ (although sometimes by other full vowels), e.g. father ['faːda], woman ['woman]. Replacement of [a] by [a] also occurs in the second part of the diphthongs ending in [a]; corresponding to GB /1a/ and /ɛː/ is a diphthong approximating to [ea], e.g. beer