CJVA2M COMPARATIVE ESSAY (total 19 points)

criteria	component	expectations		penalizations
				different genre (narrative, descriptive), considerable
task	Genre, length	comparison/contrast essay, 500-650 words	1	longer/shorter (+/- 50 words)
		on topic (selected from your own study discipline),		
	Topic, originality	original/creative thought	1	off topic, neither original nor creative thought
	title	concise & relevant title	1	no/misleading title; too broad (rather topic than title)
		at least 2 relevant sources (at least 1 English); proper citation		no or just 1 relevant reference; no English references; missing
	sources	details	1	citation details
	evidence			
	presentation	accurate presentation of evidence	1	inaccurate presentation of evidence
	coverage	topic covered in depth	1	shallow presentation of facts
	visual structure	logical division into paragraphs	1	no paragraphs; illogical division of text
organization	introduction	introduction providing background information	1	no/poor introduction
	topic sentence	topic sentence in each paragraph	1	topic sentences missing
	main body	appropriate length (cca 2/3 of text)	1	improper ratio to the introduction and conclusion (too short)
		text easy and pleasant to read; no need to reread any part to		
	fluency	understand the writer's intention	1	chaotic parts/text
	conclusion	conclusion reinforcing the main idea	1	no conclusion; summary instead; illogical/incorrect conclusion
	succinctness	economic writing/writing concisely	1	recycling ideas in length
	transition	appropriate and sufficient use of transition words/expressions	1	no transitions; transition words used incorrectly
	sentence			
grammar	complexity	complex sentences	1	too short sentences
				mistakes impeding understanding (e.g. wrong word order,
	accuracy	correct use of grammar	1	prepositions)
	conciseness	effective, precise use of words	1	adding words just to lengthen the essay; no use of synonyms
				false friends; CzeEnglish expressions; wrong collocations,
		use of words and expressions that sound English, correct		wordform., obtrusive spelling mistakes, more than 2 spelling
vocabulary	Accuracy, spelling	1	+	mistakes
	register	academic/formal register	1	informal style; slang; shortened words (lab. Info)

CJVA2M ABSTRACT (total 8 points)

criteria	component	expectations		Penalizations
		provides a succinct description of the topic, relevance,		abstract only a summary of the topic without reference to
task	genre	and purpose of student's presentation	1	relevance/purpose of pres.
	word limit	170 - 220 words, zero tolerance	1	too short or too long
organization	title	relevant and engaging title	1 no title or title-topic mismatch	
		opening and closing sentences which manage		
	structure	audience/reader expectations	1	no opening/closing, or opening/closing too abrupt
		reader-friendly, a "red thread"; transition		
	coherence/cohesion	words/phrases, signposting, the "glue"	1	no transition words; reader not guided from one point to next
	register, vocabulary range	language appropriate for an academic abstract, use of	low frequency of academic vocabulary; obtrusive repetition;	
language	and accuracy	topical terminology, precise word choice	1	misleading word choice, colloquial or "spoken" language; slang
	grammar range and accuracy	use of complex structures and correct use	1	no compound sentences; mistakes that impede understanding
				any spelling mistakes (i.e. not spell-checked and reread/revised before
	spelling	correct, zero tolerance	1	submission)

CJVA2M PRESENTATION (total 19 points)

criteria	component		expectations	penalization
topic selection and treatment			•	general overview of a broad field ("Montessori schools"; "Russian traditions"), superficial treatment – stating the obvious, not going deep enough – no cause and effect shown
poster	reading		well-chosen sources (allowing you to handle your topic in an analytical way), crucial sources for main facts/photos clearly referenced throughout the presentation ("as Brown argues in his essay, this or that is happening; according to the survey conducted by Oxford University; Smith offers a controversial explanation for in his article")	only 1 source, no source allowing you to analyse your topic, no academic source, no English language source, no credit given to source NB: Wikipedia, Bored Panda or their varieties are really NOT suitable for a university presentation
		1-0	bibliography in appr. form (reading and writing)	inconsistent format
	title	1-0	Title development - at least 5 words ; captures the purpose and tone of the presentation; catches attention	less than 5 words; simply names the topic, does not express a problem / issue, not reflecting the topic
	visuals	1-0	Meaningful audio / visual elements which support the main points (e.g. photos; videos; music samples, if relevant)	only text; no samples of the relevant work if the topic is visual or auditory, random pictures that cannot be really used for proving your point
			minimal text, arranged well on slides; visuals are referred to directly ("As you can see in ; this graph proves that ; notice the prominent brushstrokes in this painting, they are typical of")	too much text; inconsistent formatting; designs that hurt the eyes; not referring to your visuals (ignoring them, not using them to prove your point)
	gramlex	1-0	grammar	grammar mistakes impeding understanding
			Vocabulary, spelling	low frequency of ac. words, obtrusive repetition, misleading word choice, colloquial / spoken / slang language, mistakes impeding understanding, zero tolerance of spelling mistakes
	opening		• •	merely gives an outline of the presentation without stating purpose/relevance, the purpose is vague ("I chose this topic because I study history.", "Everyone should know about it.", "It is important.", "It is interesting.")
	closing		ties up loose threads of argument and gives the audience a memorable "takeaway" point ("I believe that from now on you will", "I hope that whenever you see")	merely restates the outline of the presentation; ends abruptly ("That's all.")
	presenting		Pronunciation, voice, pace	Czech pron., mistakes impeding understanding, difficult to follow because of volume/pace
		1-0	fluency	reading huge parts of the poster, frequent pausing
		1-0	Coherence - the parts of the presentation proceed in a logical order	no clear direction or organizing principle, audience/presenter get "lost"
			Cohesion - appropriate transitional phrases which logically and smoothly connect the parts of presentation ("let's move on to, this brings me to")	moving to the next part suddenly without logical connections, just clicking through your slides without connecting them with some stylistic bridges

		1-0 speaking to the topic	off topic remarks	
		1-0 formality (semi-formal)	too formal, inappropriate level of formality	
interaction	author - reacting	1-0 referring to what other people say, commenting	misunderstanding clear questions	
		1-0 answering, responding promptly and politely	too brief and short, impolite or slang words	
	audience	1-0 asking about information (listening and reading)	no activity, off topic question, irrelevant	

CJVA2M ROUND TABLE (total 34 points)

skill	component		expectations	Penalization
reading	task 1	1-0	paraphrasing a phrase	
	task 2	1-0	explaining a word	
	task 3	1-0	finding a synonymous expression	
	task 4	1-0	finding/giving an opposite meaning	
	task 5		giving an article a title/choosing an appropriate title	
	task 6	1-0	find the place in the text where the writer	
	summary	2-1-0	summarizing the content in a coherent, linear way (in 4 - 6 sentences)	missing linking words, cohesive devices
reading +	key words	2-1-0	3 - 5 key words selected from the source text	off topic key words, "minor" key words
writing	question formation	2-1-0	creating 2 questions based on the source text to ask the other students	mistakes, not to the point
		4-3-2-1-		off topic questions
listening (video)	4 questions		content related open questions	
	key words	2-1-0	3 - 5 key words selected from the listening	off topic key words, "minor" key words
speaking	referring to the sources	2-1-0	mentioning facts from the source text or the video during the discussion	no references
	pronunciation	2-1-0	standard pronunciation	Czech pron., mistakes impeding understanding
	fluency	2-1-0	fluent speech	unnecessary pauses, chaos, reading
	coherence, cohesion	1-0	logical sequence, transition words	chaotic, illogical utterances
	reasoning, argumentation	1-0	logical reasoning	at least one longer utterance that will show the reasoning
	to the topic	1-0	speaking to the topic	changing the topic
interaction	reacting to others	2-1-0	referring to what other people say, commenting, answering, responding	passivity
	holding / sharing the floor	2-1-0	balance between listening and speaking	speaking too much, not enough
	hedging	1-0	using the discussion phrases to utter the relevant points	
gramlex	gramlex	1-0	Grammar and vocabulary - range and accuracy	mistakes imeding understanding
	register	1-0	use of formal language	inappropriate