

Milestones in the history of lexical theory

A tabular summary, by Patrick Hanks

Philosophy of Language and Logic	Linguistics and Psychology
<p>Aristotle (384 BC – 322 BC)</p> <p>interested in concepts rather than words; treated language as a vehicle for organizing concepts, not as an object for study in itself</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Genus term (e.g. <i>tree</i>) vs. specific (e.g. <i>oak</i>). • Essential properties (e.g. <i>all men have backbones</i>) vs. accidental properties (e.g. <i>some men are bald</i>) • Syllogisms (e.g. <i>Socrates was human; all humans have backbones; therefore Socrates had a backbone</i>) 	<p>Ancient Greek grammarians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inflections and morphology • Parts of speech
<p>Roman rhetoricians</p> <p>Quintilian (1st century AD)</p> <p>interested in figures of speech such as metaphor—but not in the theory of word meaning</p>	<p>Roman grammarians</p> <p>Varro (1st century BC) Aelius Donatus (4th cent AD) Priscian (6th century AD)</p> <p>Founded modern grammatical theory</p>
<p>Medieval Europe</p> <p>Important developments in theology and logic—but no advances in understanding words and meanings</p> <p>Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646-1716)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shared Wilkins' desire to 'improve' language • Necessary and sufficient conditions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A necessary condition: <i>If it's a triangle, it will have exactly three sides.</i> A sufficient condition: <i>It has three sides, so it must be a triangle.</i> <p>[Word meaning in natural language does not really work like this – see Wittgenstein, Rosch below – but many people think that it does, or that it should]</p>	<p>John Wilkins (1614-1672)</p> <p>Tried to invent a 'perfect' universal language – his “real character” – for clear scientific thinking and international communication</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • arranged words in a hierarchical ontology [Forerunner of Roget's <i>Thesaurus</i>, 1852] • “groping towards the modern concept of hypertext” (Umberto Eco, <i>The Search for the Perfect Language</i> [1995])
<p>C. K. Ogden and I. A. Richards</p>	<p>Ferdinand de Saussure (1857-1913)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Langue vs. parole

- *The Meaning of Meaning* (1923)
- Words relate to objects in the world by mediation in the thoughts of a speaker
—the relationship is indirect

- Diachronic vs. synchronic
- Word relations: paradigmatic vs. syntagmatic
- Signified and signifier

Ludwig Wittgenstein (1889-1951)

- Word meaning as a chain of family resemblances, e.g. the meaning of 'game'
- Rejects the traditional view [of Leibniz, Frege, and Russell] that sentence meaning is compositional (i.e. that it is put together out of building blocks like a child's Lego set)
—because word meanings are not static entities like Lego blocks

Structuralism and word meaning:

see John Lyons' summary.

Semantic field theorists

- Jost Trier (1894-1970): varying terms for knowledge and understanding (*wisheit, kunst, list*) in Middle High German
- Helmut Gipper: *Sessel oder Stuhl*
- Eugene Coseriu (1921-2002):
Conceptual structure varies over time independently of lexical structure

Hilary Putnam (b. 1926)

- Attack on definition by necessary and sufficient conditions
- 'The division of linguistic labor'
– I may not be able to define gold, but there must be someone in the linguistic community who can

Saul Kripke (b. 1940)

- Causal theory of reference
- Rigid designators:
Even if Jonah never went to Nineveh, he is still Jonah
Even if you sit on a table or use it for firewood, it's still a table

Eleanor Rosch (b. 1938)

anthropologist and psychologist

- Prototype theory:
To use and understand terms in ordinary language, people rely on **comparisons with a cognitive prototype**, rather than on Leibnizian definitions

Anna Wierzbicka (b. 1938)

- “A Natural Semantic Metalanguage”
—based on 64 semantic primitives, which can define all words in all languages (she says)
- Lexicographers should “seek the invariant”
- Wants to preserve the Leibnizian “Lego-set” view of word meaning

Igor Mel'čuk (b. 1932)

- Meaning<—>text theory
- Explanatory and combinatorial dictionary
- Lexical functions:
—a finite set of functions that govern the relation of each word to its

<p>—thus, she disagrees with Wittgenstein</p>	<p>collocates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Believes in necessary and sufficient conditions for word meaning
	<p>Charles Fillmore (b. 1929)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frame Semantics • Construction grammar
	<p>George Lakoff (b. 1941)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Metaphors we live by</i> (with M. Johnson, 1981) • Conceptual metaphor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> —our ordinary cognitive processes (thought) are fundamentally metaphorical in nature • Metaphor is not just decoration
<p>James Pustejovsky computational linguist <i>The Generative Lexicon</i> (1995) (GL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The meaning of a word is a “lexical conceptual paradigm” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> —it has many facets —governed, in part, by “qualia” • Context affects meaning: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — “co-compositionality” 	<p>John Sinclair (1933-2007)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The corpus revolution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Explain what is there, not what might be there” “Every different sense is associated with a difference in form” • Statistical study of collocations • An utterance is a sequence of choices by a speaker or writer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> —governed by tension between the idiom principle and the open choice principle