econom елеи гр

rousbici tribes en were sur

Early

est until enq s tes евср оф ցումոց ր oo punoj

vomit, a s 'ysnq

Here th ern Alas ւօւագւլ) was disc

ot botla cousbici

nµəmod mesjęp e

vals and

wob Bui 20meni Jowers L

od moy in her b Potl

ranged ste the рчг рес given t cnjinie maniac dict the aborigii

nui pue rempts ւրցէ էրգ fo su191

муси

of defin

## The Potlatch

SIRRAH MIVRAM

well-being of the society, not the least of which is as a mechanism of economic distribution. prestige, it also serves a number of other important functions which contribute to the overall social forces. While not denying that the potlatch involves fierce competition for status and suit of social status. Instead, Harris sees the potlatch as a rational response to economic and than a psychological one, Harris suggests that the potlatch is more than just an insane purgone derserk, or at least an irrational practice. By taking a materialist interpretation rather tury, the potlatch has been cited often as an excellent example of conspicuous consumption one's rival. Since the original description of this practice by Boas in the late nineteenth cengiving away or destroying more material wealth (e.g., food, clothing, and blankets) than groups in British Columbia, the potlatch is a form of competitive Jeasting which involves not irrational, cultural practice. As practiced by the Kwakuitl and other Native American the practice of the potlatch. On the face of it, the potlatch would appear to be a bizarre, if Franz Boas, the Jather of anthropology in the United States, was one of the first to study

automotive, appliance, and clothing industries. hind the ceaseless cosmetic alterations in the sire for "keeping up with the Jonesees" that lies beaptly convey a sense of the peculiarly intense desbienons cousniubtion, and "conspicuous waste" n't have to work. Veblen's mordant phrases "conof being mistaken for members of a class that does-Thorstein Veblen described as the vicarious thrill effort people are willing to spend to obtain what some or useless objects. It is amazing how much enough consists of chromium baubles and burdenthan in the actual wealth itself, which often in order to get people to admire us for our wealth other. We seem to be more interested in working the social pyramid simply in order to impress each spend their entire lives trying to climb further up petitive status seekers. Many Americans seem to

of material costs. and even directly opposed to, rational calculations pearance of an obsession wholly divorced from, become an end in itself. It then takes on the apthis competition grows so fierce that it appears to compete for land or protein or sex. Sometimes compete with each other for prestige as others seems to become so powerful that they begin to approval, but that occasionally their craving The puzzling thing is not that people hunger for hunger for approval as others hunger for meat. as the "drive for prestige." Some people seem to bear the imprint of a strange craving known hibit in the museum of world ethnography ome of the most puzzling lifestyles on ex-

he described the United States as a nation of com-Vance Packard struck a responsive chord when

Early in the present century, anthropologists were surprised to discover that certain primitive tribes engaged in conspicuous consumption and conspicuous waste to a degree unmatched by even the most wasteful of modern consumer economies. Ambitious, status-hungry men were found competing with each other for approval by giving huge feasts. The rival feast givers judged each other by the amount of food they provided, and a feast was a success only if the guests could eat until they were stupefied, stagger off into the bush, stick their fingers down their throats, vomit, and come back for more.

The most bizarre instance of status seeking was discovered among the American Indians who formerly inhabited the coastal regions of Southern Alaska, British Columbia, and Washington. Here the status seekers practiced what seems like a maniacal form of conspicuous consumption and conspicuous waste known as *potlatch*. The object of potlatch was to give away or destroy more wealth than one's rival. If the potlatch giver was a powerful chief, he might attempt to shame his rivals and gain everlasting admiration from his followers by destroying food, clothing, and money. Sometimes he might even seek prestige by burning down his own house.

Potlatch was made famous by Ruth Benedict in her book *Patterns of Culture*, which describes how potlatch operated among the Kwakiutl, the aboriginal inhabitants of Vancouver Island. Benedict thought that potlatch was part of a megalomaniacal lifestyle characteristic of Kwakiutl culture in general. It was the "cup" God had given them to drink from. Ever since, potlatch has been a monument to the belief that cultures are the creations of inscrutable forces and deranged personalities. As a result of reading *Patterns of Culture*, experts in many fields concluded that the drive for prestige makes a shambles of attempts to explain lifestyles in terms of practical and mundane factors.

I want to show here that the Kwakiutl potlatch was not the result of maniacal whims, but of definite economic and ecological conditions. When these conditions are absent, the need to be admired and the drive for prestige express themselves in completely different lifestyle practices. Inconspicuous consumption replaces conspicuous consumption, conspicuous waste is forbidden, and there are no competitive status seekers.

The Kwakiutl used to live in plank-house villages set close to the shore in the midst of cedar and fir rain forests. They fished and hunted along the island-studded sounds and fiords of Vancouver in huge dugout canoes. Always eager to attract traders, they made their villages conspicuous by erecting on the beach the carved tree trunks we erroneously call "totem poles." The carvings on these poles symbolized the ancestral titles to which the chiefs of the village laid claim.

A Kwakiutl chief was never content with the amount of respect he was getting from his own followers and from neighboring chiefs. He was always insecure about his status. True enough, the family titles to which he laid claim belonged to his ancestors. But there were other people who could trace descent from the same ancestors and who were entitled to vie with him for recognition as a chief. Every chief therefore felt the obligation to justify and validate his chiefly pretensions. The prescribed manner for doing this was to hold potlatches. Each potlatch was given by a host chief and his followers to a guest chief and his followers. The object of the potlatch was to show that the host chief was truly entitled to chiefly status and that he was more exalted than the guest chief. To prove this point, the host chief gave the rival chief and his followers quantities of valuable gifts. The guests would belittle what they received and vow to hold a return potlatch at which their own chief would prove that he was greater than the former host by giving back even larger quantities of more valuable gifts.

Preparations for potlatch required the accumulation of fresh and dried fish, fish oil, berries, animal skins, blankets, and other valuables. On the appointed day, the guests paddled up to the host village and went into the chiefs house. There they gorged themselves on salmon and wild berries while dancers masked as beaver gods and thunderbirds entertained them.

as seem to further up apress each in working our wealth aich often and burdennow much betain what rious thrill that doescases "conous waste"

ntense de-

hat lies be-

ons in the

ustries.

much rejoicing among the hosts. causing the greatest shame to the guests and entire house would become a potlatch offering,

megalomania," she wrote. "The object of all cultures the speeches of their chiefs are unabashed Kwakiutl chiefs. "Judged by the standards of other caused by the obsessive status hunger of the According to Ruth Benedict, potlatching was

aboriginal economic system of the Pacific Northtior to one's rivals." In her opinion, the whole Kwakiutl enterprises was to show oneselt supe-

was bent to the service of the economic system. the service of status rivalry; rather, status rivalry nomic system of the Kwakiutl was not bent to I think that Benedict was mistaken. The ecowest was "bent to the service of this obsession."

tive feast, a nearly universal mechanism for assurto its elementary core, the potlatch is a competipresent in primitive societies widely dispersed giveaways, except for their destructive aspects, are All of the basic ingredients of the Kwakiutl

ruling class. smong peoples who have not yet fully acquired a ing the production and distribution of wealth over different parts of the globe. Stripped down

pas to be preceded by an intensive effort on the each has sponsored during his lifetime. Each feast superior status to the large number of feasts that gion, there are so-called big men who owe their relatively pristine conditions. Throughout this reopportunity to study competitive feasing under

Melanesia and New Guinea present the best

necessary wealth. part of an aspiring big man to accumulate the

Among the Kaoka-speaking people of the

porn he boards additional animals among his increases the size of his pig herd. As the litters are him fish. Later he begs sows from his friends and then gets his kinsmen and his age-mates to help pin, the Kaoka who wants to become a big man scriped by the Australian anthropologist lan Hogand children plant larger yam gardens. As deindividual begins his career by making his wife Solomon Islands, for example, the status-hungry

neighbors. Soon his relatives and triends feel that

the young man is going to be a success. They see

VICES to

"Ztakhai

MOTKet-

poqà ejze

harder, v

relatives

comben

ue suejd

several b

**breparat** 

pig man

threat of

those of

and the f

teast take

Guadales

Hogbin.

гре тетп

gifts, rev

person w

this weal

Butppnd

q 00£ ot

гре Вілея

tant occ

ot the gu

exely mo

id 8 pue

cocount

items: 25

y pəmeu

nest pile

in the ea

nana leav

momen |

men go

get toget

шешрец

pig man

ing teast

double tl

his large

On t

Тре

smoke rise from the beginning of the year to the only one in the whole world who makes this stroyer ranted, "I am the only one on earth—the about the chill in the air while the wealth de-The guests sat impassively or even complained roared up, dark grease smoke filled the room. the fire in the center of the house. As the flames

oil obtained from the candlefish were poured on cided to hold "grease feasts" at which boxes of stroyed. Sometimes successful potlatch chiefs deother valuables were not given away but were deing mountain." At some potlatches blankets and landslide of wealth from our chief, the overhangany noise, tribes. Be quiet or we shall cause a from the guests with the warning: "Do not make Then the chief's followers demanded silence count the property that is to be given away."

the flames ignited the planks in the roof and an

end for the invited tribes." At some grease feasts

connier of property that he may try in vain to things like, "I am the only great tree. Bring your At the potlatch, the host chief would say front his adversaries in another.

ter of his rivals in one place, he still had to coneven the score. If a chief managed to get the betwhat had to be done in each village in order to Specialists in counting property kept track of latch rivals in several different villages at once.

An ambitious chief and his followers had pottige and valuables moving in opposite directions. nuit, potlatch stimulated a ceaseless flow of pres-Considering all the Kwakiutl villages as a single amounts of valuables than they had given away. latch and obliging them to accept even greater achieved by inviting their rivals to a return potfollowers vowed to get even. This could only be lage. Stung to the quick, the guest chief and his finally were free to paddle back to their own vilpoverty of his rivals. Laden with gifts, the guests piles of blankets, he commented derisively on the the boxes of fish oil, baskets full of berries, and he was about to give them. As he counted out pranced up and down, boasting about how much The visitors stared at their host sullenly as he nest piles the wealth that was to be given away. The host chief and his followers arranged in

ch offering, guests and

tlatching was nger of the lards of other re unabashed object of all oneself supen, the whole acific Northobsession." en. The eco-

a not bent to status rivalry mic system. The Kwakiutl we aspects, are ely dispersed ripped down is a competiism for assuron of wealth lly acquired a

easting under ghout this rewho owe their of feasts that ne. Each feast effort on the cumulate the

seeple of the status-hungry king his wife rdens. As depoist Ian Hogme a big man mates to help his friends and a the litters are als among his iends feel that cess. They see

his large gardens and his big pig herd and they redouble their own efforts to make the forthcoming feast a memorable one. When he becomes a big man they want the young candidate to remember that they helped him. Finally, they all get together and build an extra-fine house. The men go off on one last fishing expedition. The women harvest yams and collect firewood, banana leaves, and coconuts. As the guests arrive (as in the ease of potlatch), the wealth is stacked in neat piles and put on display for everyone to count and admire.

On the day of the feast given by a young man named Atana, Hogbin counted the following items: 250 pounds of dried fish, 3,000 yam and coconut cakes, 11 large bowls of yam pudding, and 8 pigs. All this was the direct result of the extra work effort organized by Atana. But some of the guests themselves, anticipating an important occasion, brought presents to be added to the giveaway. Their contributions raised the total to 300 pounds of fish, 5,000 cakes, 19 bowls of pudding and 13 pigs. Atana proceeded to divide this wealth into 257 portions, one each for every person who had helped him or who had brought gifts, rewarding some more than others. "Only the remnants were left for Atana himself," notes Hogbin. This is normal for status seekers in Guadalcanal, who always say: "The giver of the feast takes the bones and the stale cakes; the meat and the fat go to the others."

The feast-giving days of the big man, like those of the potlatch chiefs, are never over. On threat of being reduced to commoner status, each big man is obliged to busy himself with plans and preparations for the next feast. Since there are several big men per village and community, these plans and preparations often lead to complex competitive maneuvering for the allegiance of relatives and neighbors. The big men work harder, worry more, and consume less than anybody else. Prestige is their only reward.

The big man can be described as a worker-entrepreneur—the Russians call them "Stakhanovites"—who renders important services to society by raising the level of produc-

tion. As a result of the big man's craving for status, more people work harder and produce more food and other valuables.

Under conditions where everyone has equal access to the means of subsistence, competitive feasting serves the practical function of preventing the labor force from falling back to levels of productivity that offer no margin of safety in crises such as war and crop failures. Furthermore, since there are no formal political institutions capable of integrating independent villages into a common economic framework competitive feasting creates an extensive network of economic expectations. This has the effect of pooling the productive effort of larger populations than can be mobilized by any given village. Finally, competitive feasting by big men acts as an automatic equalizer of annual fluctuations in productivity among a series of villages that occupy different microenvironments-seacoast, lagoon, or upland habitats. Automatically, the biggest feasts in any given year will be hosted by villages that have enjoyed conditions of rainfall, temperature, and humidity most favorable to production.

All of these points apply to the Kwakiutl. The Kwakiutl chiefs were like Melanesian big men except that they operated with a much more productive technological inventory in a richer environment. Like big men, they competed with each other to attract men and women to their villages. The greatest chiefs were the best providers and gave the biggest potlatches. The chief's followers shared vicariously in his prestige and helped him to achieve more exalted honors. The chiefs commissioned the carving of the "totem poles." These were in fact grandiose advertisements proclaiming by their height and bold designs that here was a village with a mighty chief who could cause great works to be done, and who could protect his followers from famine and disease. In claiming hereditary rights to the animal crests carved on the poles, the chiefs were actually saying that they were great providers of food and comfort. Potlatch was a means of telling their rivals to put up or shut up.

Michaela, doc. PhDr. CSc.

" Sbyněk, PhDr. Ph.D.

Liová, Jitka, Mgr. J.O. JOhn, Phot. Dr.

# C. M. a Krithovnictvi

815

3846

OLSE

3743

3629

1995

6098

8385

1887 1884

Bumbálková, Jana, Mgr.

**Tlusták,** Vlastimit, RNDr. CSc. **Urbánková**, Vladěšda, PhDr. **Urbánková**, Naděšda,

Podborsky, Vladimir, prof. PhDr. DrSc. Přichystalová, Renála, Mgr.

Melanda, Viadimir, prof. PhDr. DrSc. Platest, Messign, Jap. PhDr.

Měřinsky, Zdeněk, prof. PhDr. CSc incová, lnna, Mgr. Ph.D.

Aleksoski, Goce

Ústav slavistiky

Švestková, Libuše

Svalbachová, Danuše

Sabatová, Klára, Mgr.

Binová, Galina Pavlovna, doc. PhDr. CSc. 3203 Brandner, Aleš, doc. PhDr. CSc. 3275

Competitive feasting thought about, narrated,

semplance to the potlatch of aboriginal times.

cal and economic conditions; they bore little re-

3366

3236

9194

**L**908

7431

**851**7

1314

ZL8/

9174

Perutková, Jana, PhDr. Dr.

Mizerová, Blanka, Mgr. Osolsobě, Petr, PhDr. Ph.D.

Marhounová, Monika, Bc.

lacek, Petr, PhDr. Ph.D.

Filip, David, Mgr. Ph.D.

Hoffmann, Andreas, Mgr. Ph.D.

Bek, Mikulás, doc. PhDr. Ph.D.

Pavlicová, Martina, PhDr. CSc. Válka, Miroslav, PhDr. Ph.D.

Leffiková, Jika

Competitive feasting as a source of prestige success in competitive feasting. approval, but not every society links prestige to feasting. Every society makes use of the need for ing for prestige is a manifestation of competitive of view followed in this book, the insatiable cravinsatiable craving for prestige. But from the point manifestation of the big man's or potlatch chief's ness of the participants—competitive feasting is a the social dreamwork—the lifestyle conscioustion to material constraints and opportunities. In from competitive feasting viewed as an adaptaand imagined by the participants is very different

cakes" for their followers. themselves, leaving most of the "bones and stale and were keeping some of the "meat and fat" for chiefs had begun to reverse the Kaoka formula view of consumption privileges, the Kwakiutl working for them as slaves. From the point of est potlatch chiefs even had a few war captives left the hardest work to their followers. The greatan occasional fishing or sea-lion expedition, they were necessary for a big potlatch, but aside from the entrepreneurial and managerial functions that redistributor. The great potlatch chiefs performed village. This is not true of the Kwakiutl chiefmore, and consumes less than anybody else in the redistributor-big man works harder, worries ferent set of people. As I have said, the Kaoka aggregated wealth in different quantities to a difof many individuals and then redistribute the gather together the results of the productive effort change known as redistribution. That is, they Kwakiutl chiefs carry out a form of economic exproperly understood. Big men like Atana or the must be seen in evolutionary perspective to be

form no basic industrial or agricultural labor ciețies tuled over by hereditary kings who per-Kwakinti chiefs, we end up with state-level soentrepreneur big man, to the semihereditary leading from Atana, the impoverished worker-Continuing along the evolutionary line

> tise to epidemics of smallpox and other European merchants and settlers. This contact rapidly gave with Russian, English, Canadian, and American tered into commercial and wage-labor relations riginal peoples of the Pacific Northwest had enbegan to study potlatch only long after the abothe attention of Ruth Benedict? Anthropologists Why did the practical basis of potlatch escape the rival chief was a great man. To eat, all a have-not had to do was admit that each year the haves gave and the have-nots took. this year's hosts. Aboriginally, potlatch meant that ripened close at hand, last year's guests became spawned in nearby streams and the berries regional population as a whole. When the fish ing was advantageous from the standpoint of the fruit and vegetable harvests, intervillage potlatchwere unpredictable fluctuations in fish runs, wild thrust, such transfers were assured. Since there even more strongly: Because of the competitive tivity to less fortunate villages. I should put this and other valuables from centers of high produclatch, it functioned aboriginally to transfer food Despite the overt competitive thrust of pot-

> > Kudmáčová, Naděžda, PhDr. CSc.

Chamonikolasová, Jana, PhDr. Ph.D. Chovanec, Jan, Mgr. Ph.D.

Fictumová, Jamila, PhDr. Franková, Milada, doc. Mgr. CSc., M.A.

Katedra anglistiky a amerikanistiky

Zeman, Jaromir, doc. PhDr. CSc.

Kolinská, Klára, Mgr. M.A.

Kamenicka, Renata, Mgr.

Hardy, Stephen Paul, Ph.D.

Drabeit, Pavel, Mgr. Ph.D.

Urvalek, Ales, Mgr. Ph.D.

Rampari, Nele, Mgr. Dr. Stanovská, Sybile, Mgr. Dr. Uhrová, Eva, doc. PhDr. CSc.

Vacková, Blanka

OLLL

3871

9991

**449** 

3363

3214

3335

LLZE

1235

3158

culture struggling to adapt to a new set of politivillages. But these were the practices of a dying wealth would bring the people back to the empty hope that such spectacular demonstrations of ordered the destruction of property in the vain due to the labor shortage. So the potlatch chiefs need to attract followers was greater than ever other valuables than it could consume. Yet the lation soon found itself with more blankets and tant item to be given away. The dwindling poputhese blankets replaced food as the most imporexchange for animal skins. At the great potlatches Kwakiutl received thousands of trade blankets in work. From the Hudson's Bay Company, the dented amounts of wealth into the potlatch netwages paid by the Europeans pumped unprececompetition for manpower. At the same time, 1886. The decline automatically intensified the Kwakiutl fell from 23,000 in 1836 to 2,000 in population. For example, the population of the diseases that killed off a large part of the native

ot relativ cysuge g cysuges s

cpotic su

rules of 1

eties, inc

or 18 pra

that the t

tion does

for the ta

to grumb

too far oo

and ji bne

There is

the transa

and no er

quite a w

barty can

gildo bas

excuange

perficially

exbected

als in wh

excusuge

i procity i

change kr

b ganteest

gists, redi

luoj pənin

or banors

great he

g əuoáur

ons couse

in which

chiefs to

rng direct

not by po

hereditar

wega-mo

Buipjing

qızıne-tı

tor thems

oun pur

Starová, Věra

Spáčilová, Taľána,

Semrádová, Oldříški

Slavičková, Hana

Pauková, Judita

2043

0174

**4**233

ESLE

3702

**6883** 

In the

e little retimes.
In adaptaunities. In onsciousasting is a sch chief's the point able cravempetitive e need for restige to

f prestige ive to be na or the omic ext is, they tive effort bute the to a difie Kaoka worries lse in the ıtl chieferformed ions that ide from ion, they he greatcaptives point of **Wakiutl** formula l fat" for

workerreditary evel sotho peral labor

1204

and stale

and who keep the most and best of everything for themselves. At the imperial level, exalted divine-right rulers maintain their prestige by building conspicuous palaces, temples, and mega-monuments, and validate their right to hereditary privileges against all challengers—not by potlatch, but by force of arms. Reversing direction, we can go from kings to potlatch chiefs to big men, back to egalitarian lifestyles in which all competitive displays and conspicuous consumption by individuals disappear, and anyone foolish enough to boast about how great he is gets accused of witchcraft and is stoned to death.

In the truly egalitarian societies that have survived long enough to be studied by anthropologists, redistribution in the form of competitive feasting does not occur. Instead, the mode of exchange known as reciprocity predominates. Reciprocity is the technical term for an economic exchange that takes place between two individuals in which neither specifies precisely what is expected in return nor when they expect it. Superficially, reciprocal exchanges don't look like exchanges at all. The expectation of one party and obligation of the other remain unstated. One party can continue to take from the other for quite a while with no resistance from the giver and no embarrassment in the taker. Nonetheless, the transaction cannot be considered a pure gift. There is an underlying expectation of return, and if the balance between two individuals gets too far out of line, eventually the giver will start to grumble and gossip. Concern will be shown for the taker's health and sanity, and if the situation does not improve, people begin to suspect that the taker is possessed by malevolent spirits or is practicing witchcraft. In egalitarian societies, individuals who consistently violate the rules of reciprocity are in fact likely to be psychotic and a menace to their community.

We can get some idea of what reciprocal exchanges are like by thinking about the way we exchange goods and services with our close friends or relatives. Brothers, for example, are not sup-

posed to calculate the precise dollar value of everything they do for each other. They should feel free to borrow each other's shirts or phonograph albums and ought not to hesitate to ask for favors. In brotherhood and friendship both parties accept the principle that if one has to give more than he takes, it will not affect the solidary relationship between them. If one friend invites another to dinner, there should be no hesitation in giving or accepting a second or a third invitation even if the first dinner still remains unreciprocated. Yet there is a limit to that sort of thing, because after a while unreciprocated gift-giving begins to feel suspiciously like exploitation. In other words, everybody likes to be thought generous, but nobody wants to be taken for a sucker. This is precisely the quandary we get ourselves into at Christmas when we attempt to revert to the principle of reciprocity in drawing up our shopping lists. The gift can neither be too cheap nor too expensive; and yet our calculations must appear entirely casual, so we remove the price tag.

But to really see reciprocity in action you must live in an egalitarian society that doesn't have money and where nothing can be bought or sold. Everything about reciprocity is opposed to precise counting and reckoning of what one person owes to another. In fact, the whole idea is to deny that anybody really owes anything. One can tell if a lifestyle is based on reciprocity or something else by whether or not people say thank you. In truly egalitarian societies, it is rude to be openly grateful for the receipt of material goods or services. Among the Semai of central Malaya, for example, no one ever expresses gratitude for the meat that a hunter gives away in exactly equal portions to his companions. Robert Dentan, who has lived with the Semai, found that to say thank you was very rude because it suggested either that you were calculating the size of the piece of meat you had been given, or that you were surprised by the success and generosity of the hunter.

In contrast to the conspicuous display put on by the Kaoka big man, and the boastful ranting

Starová, Véra
Spáčilová, Taľána, PhDr.
Slavičková, Hana
Semrádová, Okřiška
Pauková, Judita
Otevřelová, Jana, Mgr.
Ondráčková, Hana
Nekovátová, Darja, Ing.
Mauerová, Anna
Maroušková, Vladimíra, Mgr.
Macháčková, Jaroslava
Lupoměchová, Jana, PhDr.
Lesova, Alena
Komarkova, Dagmar
Kepáková, Jermila
Karasova, Michaeld

5883

Ústav hudební vědy Bek, Mikulás, doc. Ph.D. Filip, David, Mgr. Ph.D. Hoffmann, Andreas, Mgr. Ph.D.
Filip, David, Mgr. Ph.D.
Filip, David, Mgr. Ph.D.
Continue to the second section of the sec
Lefilková, Jika
Macek, Pet, PhDr. Ph.D.
Marhounová, Monika, Bc.
Mizerová, Blanka, Mgr.
Osolsobě, Petr, PhDr. Ph.D.
Pečman, Rudoll, prof. PhDr. DrSc
Perutková, Jana, PhDr. Dr.

Pavlicová, Martina, PhDr. CSc.

**Maradová,** Martina Nosková, Jana, Mgr.

Aleksoski, Goce Binová, Galina Pavlovna, doc. PhDr. CSc.	
Detay slavistiky	3536
Urbánková, Naděžda, PhDr.	9194
Ungerman, Simon, Mgr.	<b>L</b> 908
Tlustak, Vlastimił, RNDr. CSc.	1431
Švestková, Libuše	8514
Žvalbachová, Danuše	7374
Sabatova, Klára, Mgr.	7812
Přichystalová, Renala, Mgr.	9112
Podborsky, Vladimir, prof. PhDr. DrSc.	1119
Placals, Minadaw, ing. PhDr.	9489
Nekuda, Vladimir, prof. PhDr. DrSc.	ELLS
Měřtnský, Zdeněk, proř. PhDr. CSc.	1179

Brandner, Aleš, doc. PhDr. CSc. Bumbálková, Jana, Mgr.

4218	Kolinská, Klára, Mgr. M.A. Kudmáčová, Naděžda, PhDr. CSc.
3846	Kamenicka, Renata, Mgr.
2/98	Chovanec, Jan, Mgr. Ph.D.
OLSE	Chamonikolasová, Jana, PhDr. Ph D.
3743	Hardy, Slephen Paul, Ph.D.
6898	0.01
'6Z9E	Frankova, Milada, doc. Mgr. CSc., M.A.
1998	Fichimova, Jarmila, PhDr.
	Drithelt, Pavel, Mgr. Ph.D.
3209	
	Katedra anglistiky a amerikanistiky
8382	Zemen, Jaromir, doc. PhDr. CSc.
ZSLL	Vacková, Blanka
889£	Urválek, Ales, Mgr. Ph.D.
1887	UNIONA, EVA, doc. PRDR. CSC.
VZ/9	Stanovska, Syvie, Mgr. Dr.
8049	Rampart, Hele, Mgr.

•	
OLLL	O.A Misera. Mg. Ph.D.
7435	All Ages Co
0199	Lules, Mgr. Ph.D.
9997	AN PIPE
16pp	W IN GOC PADS, CSC.
2711, BC	Devis, Phor. 150
3383	WE MICHAELA, doc. PRDF. CSC.
3274	Dynak, PhOr. Ph.D.
26EE	MY DION PAIDL CSC.
2313	Peu, Peu, Mgr.
	Mena, PhDr. Dr.
3211	
1235	eva, Jinka, Mgr.
851E	eval, Helena
3106	li nikosian, mair
	。 M. a knithovnictví
	······································

OLLL

0199

9996

3393

3214

3392

1.1.75

ZEG L

1508, 4179

" by D'

'a'4d

.10

c. PhDr. CSc.

Binová, Galina Pavlovna, doc. PhDr. CSc. 3203 Brandner, Aleš, doc. PhDr. CSc. 3275

Bumbálková, Jana, Mgr.

Urbánková, Naděžda, PhDr.

Tlustak, Vlastimil, RNDr. CSc.

Plaček, Mirostav, ing. PhDr. DrSc. Podborsky, Vladimir, prof. PhDr. DrSc. Přichystalová, Renála, Mgr.

cuda, Vladimir, prof. PhDr. DrSc

Měřínsky, Zdeněk, prof. PhDr. CSc.

Ungerman, Simon, Mgr.

**Žvestková,** Libuše

Svalbachová, Danuse

Sabatová, Klára, Mgr.

Aleksoski, Goce

Ustav slavistiky

LOCACOT GAMA COMMONICO A MANOR CONTRA

speak of his meat as worthless. This way we cool bride will make him kill somebody. So we always "We refuse one who boasts, for someday his inferiors. We cannot accept this," he went on. and he thinks of the rest of us as his servants or comes to think of himself as a chief or big man, ted. "But when a young man kills much meat he what the ox was really like," one hunter admitexplanation. "Yes, of course we knew all along Lee went over to his triends and insisted upon an more than enough meat and fat for everybody. and it was devoured with great gusto. There was turned out to be covered with a thick layer of fat, and the ox was finally slaughtered, the beast with stomachs rumbling." When Christmas came won't fill us up. We will eat and go home to bed course we will eat it," each would say, "but it reaction: "You bought that worthless animal? Of pnt continued to meet with the same astonished about, Lee confided in several other Bushmen,

> Pečman, Rudolí, prof. PhDr. Dr. Perulková, Jana, PhDr. Dr.

Marhounová, Monika, Bc. Mizerová, Blanka, Mgr. Osolsobě, Petr, PhDr. Ph.D.

Hoffmann, Andreas, Mgr. Ph.D.

Bek, Mikulás, doc. PhDr. Ph.D. Filip, David, Mgr. Ph.D.

Válka, Miroslav, PhDr. Ph.D.

Nosková, Jana, Mgr. CSc.

Ústav hudební vědy

Macek, Petr, PhDr. Ph.D.

2888

9849

2043

4623

ESLE

3505

9/8/

2883

09/9

Starová, Vèra

Slavičková, Hana

Pauková, Judita

Ondráčková, Hana

Nekovářová, Darja,

Semrádová, Oldříška

Spáčilová, Taľána, PhDr.

3326

3236

9154

**LS08** 

1551

8247

**ZL8/** 

911/

£L/S

them all the time. them an occasional big feast instead of whipping easier to get "slaves" to work for you it you give and politicians occasionally recognize, it is still palaces. Of course, as assorted modern big men redistributing what was in their storehouses and bay taxes and to work for them without actually rules of reciprocity. They could force people to powerful, and they no longer needed to obey the more gifts; eventually the gift-givers became very make it possible for the gift-givers to give them themselves working harder to reciprocate and to from their own extra work; soon people found tive, the gift-givers at first gave gifts that came exactly what happened. In evolutionary perspecmake slaves just as whips make dogs." And that is and generous gift-givers with the proverb "Gifts The Eskimos explained their fear of boastful

his heart and make him gentle."

If people like the Eskimo, Bushmen, and Semai understood the dangers of gift-giving, why did others permit the gift-givers to flourish? And why were big men permitted to get so puffed up that they could turn around and enslave the very people whose work made their glow possible? Once again, I suspect that I am on the verge of

of potlatch chiefs, and our own flaunting of status symbols, the Semai follow a lifestyle in which those who are most successful must be the least conspicuous. In their egalitarian lifestyle, atatus seeking through rivalrous redistribution or spicuous waste is literally unthinkable. Egalitarian peoples are repelled and frightened by the faintest suggestion that they are being treated faintest suggestion that they are being treated faintest suggestion that they are being treated faintest suggestion that one person thinks he's better than another.

Kudrnáčová, Naděžda, PhDr. CSc.

Chamonikolasova, Jana, PhDr. Ph.D.

Drábek, Pavel, Mgr. Ph.D. Fictumová, Jarmila, PhDr. Franková, Milada, doc. Mgr. CSc., M.A.

Katedra anglistiky a amerikanistiky

Zeman, Jaromír, doc. PhDr. CSc.

Stanovská, Syvie, Mgr. Dr. Uhrová, Eva, doc. Prid. CSc. Urválek, Aleš, Mgr. Pr.D.

Vacková, Blanka

Rampart, Nele, Mgr.

Kolinska, Klára, Mgr. M.A.

Kamenicka, Renala, Mgr.

Chovanec, Jan. Mgr. Ph.D.

Hardy, Stephen Paul, Ph.D.

8191

Oblb

8/98

3210

6898

3629,

1998

8385

ZSLL

**PZL9** 

Christmas time. that he was going to let them slaughter it at had bought the largest ox he had ever seen and friends aside and told them one by one that he Returning to camp, Lee took his Bushmen obtain by hunting are usually lean and stringy. men crave tatty meat because the animals they of fat. Like many primitive peoples, the Bushstrous proportions, one covered with a thick layer village, Lee finally located an animal of monfind the biggest ox that he could buy. In a remote his jeep from one village to another, trying to an ox for a Christmas present, he drove about in through trade. With the intention of giving them lages from which they sometimes obtained meat likely to camp at the edge of the desert near vilapproached he learned that the Bushmen were normal diet and pattern of activity. As Christmas ing to give them that would not disturb their wanted to show his gratitude, but he had nothate. The Bushmen were very cooperative and Lee around the Kalahari Desert observing what they year, Lee had been following the Bushmen hunters and gatherers. For the better part of a ing of reciprocal exchange among egalitarian Toronto tells an amusing story about the mean-Professor Richard Lee of the University of

The first man to hear the good news became visibly alarmed. He asked Leo where he had bought the ox, what color it was, and what size its horns were, and then he shook his head. "I know that ox," he said. "Why, it is nothing but skin and bones! You must have been drunk to buy such a worthless animal!" Convinced that his friend didn't really know what ox he was talking

30

one of namely before than weeks the correct than who weeks who weeks the correct than one of the correct than one of the correct than one of the correct that weeks the correct that we correct the correct that we correct the correct that we consider that we can be considered to the correct that we consider that we can be considered to the consideration that we can be considered to the consideration that we consider that we can be considered to the consideration that we

ponts:

MOLKE

their te

beums

more a

uəppns

nalq to

entirely

rsin pr

salviva

M JJOJ

the stir

tyst is l

me to 1

աչումու

9119

Were

possip

papita

ctease

uodn

distrib

иәум

Bushmen, stonished nimal? Of y, "but it ne to bed mas came the beast yer of fat, here was erybody. l upon an all along er admitı meat he big man, rvants or went on. neday his

f boastful erb "Gifts nd that is perspechat came ole found ite and to give them ame very obey the people to t actually ouses and big men , it is still you give whipping

we always

y we cool

nen, and ving, why rish? And puffed up the very possible? verge of trying to explain everything at once. But permit me to make a few suggestions.

Reciprocity is a form of economic exchange that is primarily adapted to conditions in which the stimulation of intensive extra productive effort would have an adverse effect upon group survival. These conditions are found among certain hunters and gatherers such as the Eskimo, Semai, and Bushmen, whose survival depends entirely on the vigor of the natural communities of plants and animals in their habitat. If hunters suddenly engage in a concerted effort to capture more animals and uproot more plants, they risk permanently impairing the supply of game in their territory.

Lee found, for example, that his Bushmen worked at subsistence for only ten to fifteen hours a week. This discovery effectively destroys one of the shoddiest myths of industrial society namely that we have more leisure today than ever before. Primitive hunters and gatherers work less than we do-without benefit of a single labor union-because their ecosystems cannot tolerate weeks and months of intensive extra effort. Among the Bushmen, Stakhanovite personalities who would run about getting friends and relatives to work harder by promising them a big feast would constitute a definite menace to society. If he got his followers to work like the Kaoka for a month, an aspiring Bushman big man would kill or scare off every game animal for miles around and starve his people to death before the end of the year. So reciprocity and not redistribution predominates among the Bushmen, and the highest prestige falls to the quietly dependable hunter who never boasts about his achievements and who avoids any hint that he is giving a gift when he divides up an animal he has killed.

Competitive feasting and other forms of redistribution overwhelmed the primordial reliance upon reciprocity when it became possible to increase the duration and intensity of work without inflicting irreversible damage upon the habitats carrying capacity. Typically this became possible when domesticated plants and animals were substituted for natural food resources.

Within broad limits, the more work you put into planting and raising domesticated species, the more food you can produce. The only hitch is that people don't usually work harder than they have to. Redistribution was the answer to this problem. Redistribution began to appear as people worked harder in order to maintain a reciprocal balance with prestige-hungry, overzealous producers. As the reciprocal exchanges became unbalanced, they became gifts; and as the gifts piled up, the gift-givers were rewarded with prestige and counter-gifts. Soon redistribution predominated over reciprocity and highest prestige went to the most boastful, calculating gift-givers, who cajoled, shamed, and ultimately forced everybody to work harder than the Bushman ever dreamed was possible.

As the example of the Kwakiutl indicates, conditions appropriate for the development of competitive feasting and redistribution sometimes also occurred among nonagricultural populations. Among the coastal peoples of the Pacific Northwest, annual runs of salmon, other migratory fish, and sea mammals provided the ecological analogue of agricultural harvests. The salmon or candlefish ran in such vast numbers that if people worked harder they could always catch more fish. Moreover, as long as they fished with the aboriginal dip net, they could never catch enough fish to influence the spawning runs and deplete next year's supply.

Stepping away for the moment from our examination of reciprocal and redistributive prestige systems, we can surmise that every major type of political and economic system uses prestige in a distinctive manner. For example, with the appearance of capitalism in Western Europe, competitive acquisition of wealth once more became the fundamental criterion for big-man status. Only in this case, the big men tried to take away each other's wealth, and highest prestige and power went to the individual who managed to accumulate and hold onto the greatest fortune. During the early years of capitalism, highest prestige went to those who were richest but lived most frugally. After their fortunes had become more secure, the

redisti • borgar riodicals.

this articl

social

hunters and gatherers in armed conflict. It was and better-organized societies to defeat simple essentially a matter of the ability of larger, denser, erning classes. At bottom, this replacement was and population and that were organized by govpowerful societies that maximized production forced into remote areas by bigger and more type hunters and gatherers were destroyed or that lay beyond. Virtually all of the reciprocitytribution and the full-scale stratification of classes motely situated—crossed the threshold to redisas soon as any one of them—no matter how re-But the fate of these primitive people was sealed harder as well as suffer a loss in living standards. actually meant that they would have to work ered that the new "labor-saving" technologies population density precisely because they discovtheir productive effort and failed to increase their that many primitive societies refused to expand

## DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

work hard or perish.

compete for social status? Can you see any 3. How do people in the United States (such as the Eskimos) do not? competitive feasting/gift-giving while others groups (such as the Kwakuitl) encourage 2. How does Harris explain the fact that some potlatch, according to Marvin Harris? 1. What are the various functions of the

parallels with the potlatch?

Tanaatni RESOURCES ON THE

InfoTrac College Edition

(lmth.xsbni\mos.gnimaslnozmoth.confori/\;qtth)

access to thousands of scholarly and popular peing Info Trac College Edition, an online library with You can find further relevant readings by search-

> But in the meantime, the rich found thembiggest and most conspicuous consumer. status seekers, highest prestige now goes to the goods and services. And so among middle-class or otherwise get rid of ever-larger quantities of stop saving and to buy, consume, waste, destroy, forces to induce the middle and lower classes to frugal habits. Advertising and mass media joined lower classes had to be weaned away from their saturate the consumer market, the middle and But as the growth of industrial capacity began to spicuous consumption and conspicuous waste. spent least, and soberly resisted all forms of conhighest prestige to those who worked hardest, the middle and lower classes continued to award tuously of the impoverished masses. Meanwhile, themselves with huge jewels, and spoke contempmansions, dressed in exclusive finery, adorned order to impress their rivals. They built great spicuous consumption and conspicuous waste in capitalist upper class resorted to grand-scale con-

> called cultural revolution. trends set by the upper class than with any soclass youth of late has more to do with aping the rejection of overt consumerism among middlegests to me that the wearing of torn Jeans and the consumption has also been removed. This sugsure on the middle class to engage in conspicuous longer flaunting their wealth, some of the presmost prestigious members of the upper class no those who have most but show least. With the ous, so highest prestige now once again goes to sumption in the grand manner became dangerat redistributing their wealth. Conspicuous conselves threatened by new forms of taxation aimed

> The only answer that I see to such a challenge is enjoyed by people like the Eskimo or Bushmen. even lower levels of material well-being than that to feed more people at substantially the same or tricked and cajoled into working harder in order of the whole process by which mankind was One might very well wish to question the sanity lations to survive and prosper in a given region. seeking made it possible for larger human popuplacement of reciprocity by competitive status One final point. As I have shown, the re

expand ease their y discovnologies to work tandards. vas sealed how reto redisof classes iprocityroyed or nd more oduction l by govnent was

r, denser, at simple ct. It was

## NS.

at some rage le others

ee any

łΕ

y searchrary with

pular pe-

riodicals. Below are suggested search terms for this article:

- potlatch
- redistribution
- social status

## Anthropology Online: Wadsworth's Anthropology Resource Center

(http://anthropology.wadsworth.com)

The Wadsworth Anthropology Resource Center contains a wealth of information and useful tools for students including information on careers in anthropology.