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#### Abstract

In 1961, Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv proved a remarkable theorem stating that each set of $2 n-1$ integers contains a subset of size $n$, the sum of whose elements is divisible by $n$. We will prove a similar result for pairs of integers, i.e. planar latticepoints, usually referred to as Kemnitz' conjecture.
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## 1 Previous work

Denoting by $f(n, k)$ the minimal number $f$, such that any set of $f$ lattice-points in the $k$-dimensional Euclidean space contains a subset of cardinality $n$, the sum of whose elements is divisible by $n$, it was first proved by Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv [2], that $f(n, 1)=2 n-1$.

The problem, however, to determine $f(n, 2)$ turned out to be unexpectedly difficult: Kemnitz [4] conjectured it to equal $4 n-3$, but all he knew were ( $1^{\circ}$ ), that $4 n-3$ is a rather straighforward lower bound, ${ }^{1}\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ that the set of all integers $n$ satisfying $f(n, 2)=4 n-3$ is closed under multiplication and that it therefore suffices to prove this equation for prime values of $n$ and ( $3^{\circ}$ ) that his assertion was correct for $n=2,3,5$, 7 and consequently also for every $n$ being representable as a product of these numbers.

Linear upper bounds estimating $f(p, 2)$, where $p$ denotes any prime, appeared for the first time in a paper by Alon and Dubiner [1] who proved $f(p, 2) \leq 6 p-5$ for

[^0]all $p$ and $f(p, 2) \leq 5 p-2$ for large $p$. Later this was improved to $f(p, 2) \leq 4 p-2$ by Rónyai [5].

In the third section of this paper we give a rigorous proof of Kemnitz' conjecture.

## 2 Preliminary results

Notational conventions. In the sequel the letter $p$ is always assumed to designate any odd prime and congruence modulo $p$ is simply referred to as ' $\equiv$ '. Uppercase Roman letters (such as $J, X, \ldots$ ) will always denote finite sets of lattice-points in the Euclidean plane. The sum of elements of such a set, taken coordinatewise, will be indicated by a preposed ' $\Sigma$ '. Finally the symbol $(n \mid X)$ expresses the number of $n$-subsets of $X$, the sum of whose elements is divisible by $p$.

All propositions contained in this section are deduced without the use of combinatorial arguments from the following

Theorem (Chevalley and Warning; see, e.g. [6]). Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m} \in F\left[x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $x_{n}$ ] be some polynomials over a finite field $F$ of characteristic $p$. Provided that the sum of their degrees is less than $n$, the number $\Omega$ of their common zeros (in $F^{n}$ ) is divisible by $p$.

Proof: It is easy to see that

$$
\Omega \equiv \sum_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in F} \prod_{\mu=1}^{\mu=m}\left\{1-P_{\mu}\left(y_{1}, \ldots y_{n}\right)^{q-1}\right\}
$$

where $q$ is supposed to denote the number of elements contained in $F$. Expanding the product and taking into account that

$$
\sum_{y \in F} y^{r} \equiv 0 \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq q-2
$$

gives indeed $\Omega \equiv 0$.
Corollary I. If $|J|=3 p-3$, then

$$
1-(p-1 \mid J)-(p \mid J)+(2 p-1 \mid J)+(2 p \mid J) \equiv 0 .
$$

Proof: Let $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ denote the elements of $J(1 \leq n \leq 3 p-3)$ and apply the above theorem to

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{n=3 p-3} x_{n}{ }^{p-1}+x_{3 p-2}{ }^{p-1}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{n=3 p-3} a_{n} x_{n}{ }^{p-1} \text { and } \sum_{n=1}^{n=3 p-3} b_{n} x_{n}{ }^{p-1}
$$

considered as polynomials over the field containing $p$ elements. Their common zeros fall into two classes, according to whether $x_{3 p-2}=0$ or not. The first class consists of $1+(p-1)^{p}(p \mid J)+(p-1)^{2 p}(2 p \mid J)$ solutions, whereas the second class includes $(p-1)^{p}(p-1 \mid J)+(p-1)^{2 p}(2 p-1 \mid J)$ solutions.

Among the following two assertions the first one is proved quite analogously ${ }^{2}$ and entails the second one immedeatedly.

Corollary IIa. If $|J|=3 p-2$ or $|J|=3 p-1$, then

$$
1-(p \mid J)+(2 p \mid J) \equiv 0
$$

Corollary IIb. If $|J|=3 p-2$ or $|J|=3 p-1$, then $(p \mid J)=0$ implies $(2 p \mid J) \equiv$ -1 .

Corollary III (Alon and Dubiner [1]). If J contains exactly 3 p elements whose sum $i s \equiv(0,0)$, then $(p, J)>0$.

Proof: Intending to construct a contradiction thereof we assume that $(p \mid J)=0$. This obviously implies $(p \mid J-\mathfrak{A})=0$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ denotes an arbitrary element of $J$. But as $|J-\mathfrak{A}|=3 p-1$ we obtain $(2 p, J-\mathfrak{A}) \equiv-1$, which entails $(2 p \mid J-\mathfrak{A})>0$ and in particular $(2 p \mid J)>0$. The condition $\Sigma J \equiv(0,0)$, however, yields $(2 p \mid J)=$ $(p \mid J)$ and hence $(p \mid J)>0$.

The next two statements are similar to IIa and may also be proved in the same manner.

Corollary IV. If $|X|=4 p-3$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1+(p \mid X)-(2 p \mid X)+(3 p \mid X) \equiv 0 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1 \mid X)-(2 p-1 \mid X)+(3 p-1 \mid X) \equiv 0 . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary V. If $|X|=4 p-3$, then

$$
3-2(p-1 \mid X)-2(p \mid X)+(2 p-1 \mid X)+(2 p \mid X) \equiv 0
$$

Proof: The first corollary implies

$$
\sum\{1-(p-1 \mid I)-(p \mid I)+(2 p-1 \mid I)+(2 p \mid I)\} \equiv 0
$$

where the sum is extended over all $I \subset X$ of cardinality $3 p-3$.

[^1]Analysing the number of times each set is counted one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{4 p-3}{3 p-3}-\binom{3 p-2}{2 p-2}(p-1 \mid X)-\binom{3 p-3}{2 p-3}(p \mid X) \\
& +\binom{p-2}{p-2}(2 p-1 \mid X)+\binom{2 p-3}{p-3}(2 p \mid X) \equiv 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduction of the binomial coefficients leads directly to the claim.

## 3 Resolution of Kemnitz' conjecture

Lemma. If $|X|=4 p-3$ and $(p \mid X)=0$, then $(p-1 \mid X) \equiv(3 p-1 \mid X)$.
Proof: Let $\chi$ denote the number of partititions $X=A \cup B \cup C$ satisfying

$$
|A|=p-1, \quad|B|=p-2, \quad|C|=2 p
$$

and furthermore

$$
\Sigma A \equiv(0,0), \quad \Sigma B \equiv \Sigma X, \quad \Sigma C \equiv(0,0)
$$

To determine $\chi$, at least modulo $p$, we first run through all admissible $A$ and employing Corollary IIb we count for each of them how many possible $B$ are contained in its complement:

$$
\chi \equiv \sum_{A}(2 p \mid X-A) \equiv \sum_{A}-1 \equiv-(p-1 \mid X)
$$

Working the other way around we infer similarly

$$
\chi \equiv \sum_{B}(2 p \mid X-B) \equiv \sum_{X-B}-1 \equiv-(3 p-1 \mid X)
$$

Therefore indeed, by counting the same entities twice, $(p-1 \mid X) \equiv(3 p-1 \mid X)$.

Theorem. Any choice of $4 p-3$ lattice-points in the plane contains a subset of cardinality $p$, whose centroid is a lattice-point as well.

Proof: Adding up the congruences obtained in the Corollaries IVa, IVb, V and the previous Lemma one deduces $2-(p \mid X)+(3 p \mid X) \equiv 0$. Since $p$ is odd this implies that $(p \mid X)$ and ( $3 p \mid X$ ) cannot vanish simultaneously which in turn yields our assertion $(p \mid X) \neq 0$ via Corollary III.

It was already known to Kemnitz [4], that the above result is also true for $p=2$, which is easily seen by means of the box-principle. As according to fact $\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ mentioned in
our first section the general statement $f(n, 2)=4 n-3$ (for every positive integer $n$ ) immedeatedly follows from the special case where $n$ is a prime, we have thereby proven Kemnitz' conjecture.
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    ${ }^{1}$ In order to prove $f(n, 2)>4 n-4$ one takes each of the four vertices of the unit square $n-1$ times.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The polynomials to be used are both times exactly the same ones as in the preceeding proof, except for the replacement of the upper summation index by $3 p-2,3 p-1$ resp. and the omission of the term $x_{3 p-2}^{p-1}$.

