Research centre
for toxic compounds
in the environment

Ecotoxicology – part 4

New topics and future issues

Ludek Blaha + ecotox colleagues

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

2007-13
OP Research and
Development for Innovation

UNIVERSITAS MASARYKIANA BRUNENSIS

Current approaches

(black box of apical endoints)

vs

Future

(mechanistic understanding & AOPs)

Hazard assessment

Traditionally – Evaluation of adverse effects using the whole organism models

Chemical



Organism

Adverse Effects

Death
Altered Reproduction
Inhibition of Growth

Tumorigenicity
Skin irritation
…

REGULATORY FOCUS
(APICAL ENDPOINTS)

Hazard assessment

Traditionally – Evaluation of adverse effects using the whole organism models

Chemical

Organism

Adverse Effects
Death
Inhibition of Growth
Altered Reproduction
Tumor
Skin irritation
…

New – Ex vivo / in vitro / In chemico / In silico Methods



10^4 Chemicals



HTS
High-Throughput-Screening



Chemical-biological interactions, Mechanistic Toxicological Data

Key task/question:
How to link MECHANISTIC INFORMATION with APICAL ENDPOINTS ?

MoA and omics are supported by strategic documents

Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy
US National Academies of Sciences
http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11970.html





How ToxCast Fits Into CompTox Research

Adverse Outcome Pathways



The **EXISTING KNOWLEDGE** is used **to link the** two anchor points: **Molecular Initiating Event** (MIE) and **Adverse Outcome** (AO) **via a series** of intermediate steps: **Key Events**

Ankley, G. T., R. S. Bennett, et al. (2010). "Adverse outcome pathways: a conceptual framework to support ecotoxicology research and risk assessment." Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry **29**(3): 730-741.

AOP = Global strategy with support from OECD, EU, USA

OECD.org | Data | Publications | More sites | News | Job vacancies

OECD
BETTER POLICIES FOR BETTER LIVES

A to Z

Search oecd.org

OECD Home | About | Countries | Topics | Français

OECD Home › Chemical safety and biosafety › Testing of chemicals › Adverse Outcome Pathways, Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics

- Testing of chemicals
- Assessment of chemicals
- Risk management of chemicals
- Chemical accident prevention, preparedness and response
- Pollutant release and transfer register
- Safety of manufactured nanomaterials
- Agricultural pesticides and biocides
- Biosafety - BioTrack

Adverse Outcome Pathways, Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics

WHAT'S NEW

SURVEY ON ADVERSE OUTCOME PATHWAYS (AOPS) TO IDENTIFY DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES

The OECD has launched a survey to explore the utility of AOPs for regulatory assessment of chemicals and to identify development priorities. The objective is to collect feedback on how the AOP concept and/or existing AOPs are already being used for regulatory purposes, to understand where they fall short regarding their utility, and to identify what directions and priorities future AOP development work should embrace to increase their impact on regulatory toxicology and chemical risk assessment.

The survey is mainly for chemical safety regulators who are experiencing a transition in their work towards an increased use of 'alternative' methods and AOPs. However, stakeholders that come from the regulated community and environmental NGOs are also welcome to participate.

› **The survey is now closed. Thank you for your submissions.**

http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/projects-adverse-outcome-pathways.htm

Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

OP Research and Development for Innovation



http://aopkb.org/

Key documents

OECD Guidance document and a template for developing and assessing adverse outcome pathways (Series No. 184, Series on Testing and Assessment)

Handbook for AOP developers

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

OP Research and Development for Innovation

AOP Wiki

- https://aopkb.org/aopwiki/index.php/Main_Page
- Wiki-based platform for development of AOPs
- Only members of an OECD AOP development project can create / edit AOPs

What AOPs are now in AOP Wiki (May 2016?)

OECD Endorsed (WNT and TFHA)	1	Covalent Protein binding leading to Skin Sensitisation
EAGMST Approved	6	1x ecotoxicology: Aromatase inhibition leading to reproductive dysfunction (in fish)
EAGMST Under Review	12	
EAGMST Under Development	84	
SAAOP AOP Under Development	15	

- OECD Extended Advisory Group on Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics (EAG MST)
- The Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme (WNT)

https://aopwiki.org/aops

Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment
cetocoen
EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE
OP Research and Development for Innovation

AOPs Ready for Commenting

OECD Endorsed (WNT and TFHA)

Click here for links to the official OECD versions

Title
Covalent Protein binding leading to Skin Sensitisation

EAGMST Approved

Click here for links to the EAGMST approved versions

Title
Alkylation of DNA in male pre-meiotic germ cells leading to heritable mutations
Androgen receptor agonism leading to reproductive dysfunction
Aromatase inhibition leading to reproductive dysfunction (in fish)
Binding of agonists to ionotropic glutamate receptors in adult brain causes excitotoxicity that mediates neuronal cell death, contributing to learning and memory impairment.
Chronic binding of antagonist to N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) during brain development induces impairment of learning and memory abilities
Protein Alkylation leading to Liver Fibrosis

AOP Example: MIE aromatase inhibition



Fig. 3. An adverse outcome pathway in fish [2,50]. Aromatase inhibitor example. (**A**) Aromatase inhibition by fadrozole; (**B**) Reduction in circulating estradiol; (**C**) Reduction in circulating vitellogenin (Vtg); (**D**) Histopathology of ovarian tissue, top panel normal ovary, bottom panel fadrozole treated; note oocyte atresia; (**E**) Adverse outcome on egg production–fecundity (© Elsevier, Used with permission,)

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 64–76, 2011

Aromatase inhibition leading to reproductive dysfunction (in fish)

https://aopwiki.org/wiki/index.php/Aop:25



AOP Example from RECETOX: Modulation of RAR/RXR → developmental toxicity in fish



Activation of RAR/RXR

in P19/A15 cells by atRA and cyanobacterial metabolites



atRA



other RAs in cyanos



ZF exposed to ATRA and cyanobacterial (120 hpf) - Control (A), exudates of *C. raciborskii* 3.3× (B) and 10× (C), *M. aeruginosa* 10× (D) and *D. quadricaudatus* 17× (E). ATRA 4 µg/L (13.3 nM) (F), 12 µg/L (40 nM) ((G) and (H)), 36 µg/L (I) and 108 µg/L (J).

Jonáš et al. 2014 Aquatic Toxicology
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.06.022

Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

Development for Innovation

Summary

- **Toxicology is about doses**
  - The goal is LD(LC)50 or NOAEL/NOEC

- **Legislation defines**

  … what assays and how to do them
  - About 30 assays
  - The most widely used standard - OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals

- **Replacing „black box“ in traditional testing**
  - Synthesis of mechanistic and omics data
  - Adverse Outcome Pathways
  - Strategically supported by OECD, EU, USA

Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

OP Research and Development for Innovation

Do we need testing with animals?

Are there alternatives 

3Rs





REPLACEMENT

REDUCTION

cefocoen

REFINEMENT

Why doing replacement, reduction, refinement?

- Because activists put pressure to do so?
- Because animal welfare is a concern for EU citizen?
- Because animal testing is “bad” and “alternatives” are good?
- Because I will get “better” results?
- Because it is cutting edge technologies?
- Because I have to? E.g. EU law directive 2010/63/eu, ban on animal testing for cosmetics

➢3Rs are driven by EU laws, little by Member States.
➢Scientific agenda is not driven enough by scientists itself…
➢Academia is in general more reactive than proactive e.g. stop vivisection’s ECI

European Policies on 3Rs

ENVIRONMENT

DIRECTIVE 2010/63/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

of 22 September 2010

on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes

Figure 1.1
Percentages of animals used by classes in the Member States



Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

OP Research and Development for Innovation

Use of animals in EU (2011)

Table 1.0: Changes in species number and proportion between 2008 and 2011

Species		Number of animals in EU 27 2008	Number of animals in EU 27 2011	Change since 2008	% change by species
1.a	Mice (Mus musculus)	7122188	6999312	-122876	-1,73
1.b	Rats (Rattus norvegicus)	2121727	1602969	-518758	-24,45
1.c	Guinea-Pigs (Cavia porcellus)	220985	171584	-49401	-22,35
1.d	Hamsters (Mesocricetus)	32739	25251	-7488	-22,87
1.e	Other Rodents (other Rodentia)	39506	28465	-11041	-27,95
1.f	Rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus)	333213	358213	25000	7,50
1.g	Cats (Felis catus)	4088	3713	-375	-9,17
1.h	Dogs (Canis familiaris)	21315	17896	-3419	-16,04
1.i	Ferrets (Mustela putorius furo)	3208	2540	-668	-20,82
1.j	Other Carnivores	2853	4982	2129	74,62
1.k	Horses, donkeys and cross-breds (Equidae)	5976	6686	710	11,88
1.l	Pigs (Sus)	92813	77280	-15533	-16,74
1.m	Goats (Capra)	3840	2907	-933	-24,30
1.n	Sheep (Ovis)	30190	28892	-1298	-4,30
1.o	Cattle (Bos)	33952	30914	-3038	-8,95
1.p	Prosimians (Prosimia)	1261	83	-1178	-93,42
1.q	New World Monkeys (Ceboidea)	904	700	-204	-22,57
1.r	Old World Monkeys (Cercopithecoidea)	7404	5312	-2092	-28,25
1.s	Apes (Hominoidea)	0	0	0	0,00
1.t	Other Mammals (other Mammalia)	5704	7888	2184	38,29
1.u	Quail (Coturnix coturnix)	9626	5614	-4012	-41,68
1.v	Other birds (other Aves)	754485	669451	-85034	-11,27
1.w	Reptiles (Reptilia)	4101	3824	-277	-6,75
1.x	Amphibians (Amphibia)	61789	29583	-32206	-52,12
1.y	Fish (Pisces)	1087155	1397462	310307	28,54
1.z	TOTAL	12001022	11481521	-519501	-4,33

- VALIDATION
  - MoA
  - Reliable
  - Relevant

EURL ECVAM
THE EUROPEAN UNION REFERENCE LABORATORY
FOR ALTERNATIVES TO ANIMAL TESTING

Substance Tested

e.g. endocrine disrupters receptor binding

Alternative Methods – R&D to Implementation



7-8 YEARS

PARERE
Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

OP Research and Development for Innovation

European Commission

JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE

Institute for Health and Consumer Protection (IHCP)

European Commission > JRC > IHCP > TSAR

TSAR : Tracking System for Alternative test methods Review, Validation and Approval in the Context of EU Regulations on Chemicals

The Process
+ Review and Validation
+ Regulatory Approval

Validation of Methods

Approval of Methods
− Skin Corrosion
- TER
- EpiSkin™
- EpiDerm™
- SkinEthic™ RHE
- EST-1000™
- CORROSITEX

+ Skin Irritation
− Eye Irritation
- BCOP
- ICE
- IRE
- HET-CAM
- CM
- FL
- LVET

+ Skin Sensitisation
+ Mutagenicity
+ Acute Systemic Toxicity
+ Repeated Dose Toxicity
+ Reproductive Toxicity
+ Other
+ Acute Toxicity to Fish

TSAR is a tool to provide a transparent view on the status of **alternative methods** as they progress from purely scientific protocols submitted for pre-validation to being actively used in a regulatory context.

This tracking system intends to cover all steps, from the initial submission for pre-validation until final adoption by inclusion in the EU legislation and/or related Guidance Documents, when appropriate. It is worth mentioning that not all alternative methods will or need to be included in the Test Methods regulation (TMR, Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 of 30 May 2008), as this Regulation only contains relevant methods for the assessment of properties of chemicals that fall directly under its remit (see below some links to relevant legislation that contains data requirements). In addition to TMR, a number of methods are used on a day to day basis in a regulatory context through other product related guidance, as part of intelligent testing strategies or as pre-screening methods. Regardless of the way of implementation, they all contribute to the replacement, reduction and refinement of the use of animals in scientific procedures.

The process of validation and regulatory approval has been broken down into a number of steps. Although this is a continuous process that may, sometimes, also involve some iterations, for practical reasons it has been broken down in two parts:
A) **Review and Validation.**
B) **Regulatory Approval** (see simplified scheme for alternative methods).
These have, on its turn, been broken down into several stages. An explanation of each stage can be found by clicking on the submenus of the **"The Process"** menu on the left side of the screen.

The methods whose status of validation or regulatory acceptance are tracked here have been grouped by the relevant endpoint they cover, as can be seen in the left side menus.

However, currently, the system only contains information tracking specific alternative methods in terms of the regulatory approval part from the stage "Validation statement" onwards. The remaining parts of the TSAR web site dealing with the other stages in the process of validation and regulatory approval are under construction and it is foreseen that they will be added in the near future. Some other utilities as site searching capabilities will also be added in future.

The drop-down menus on the left hand side of the screen allow the user to display the information on individual alternative methods by just clicking on them.

The test methods have been classified according to a simple colour code:
Green: Already in the EU legislation or other regulatory use.
Orange: Undergoing process to be incorporated in the EU regulatory context.
Purple: No regulatory use identified.

- >60 3Rs Tests submitted to ECVAM since 2008 (update 01/2015)
- 10 validated or ongoing validation => Prioritisation!

Research centre for toxic compounds in the environment

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

2007-13
OP Research and Development for Innovation

COMPUTATIONAL (ECO)TOXICOLOGY

Research centre
for toxic compounds
in the environment

cetocoen

EUROPEAN UNION
EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND
INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE

2007-13
OP Research and
Development for Innovation

PBPK models

PBPK (PBTK)
Physiologically based pharmacokinetic (toxicokinetic) models





Fragmentation of a complex systém to „boxes“

→ All Processes described by arrows (mathematical equations)

Example – computational toxicology for EDCs

Li *et al. BMC Systems Biology* 2011, **5**:63
http://www.biomedcentral.com/1752-0509/5/63

BMC Systems Biology

RESEARCH ARTICLE Open Access

A computational model of the hypothalamic - pituitary - gonadal axis in female fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to 17α-ethynylestradiol and 17β-trenbolone

Zhenhong Li[1], Kevin J Kroll[2], Kathleen M Jensen[3], Daniel L Villeneuve[3], Gerald T Ankley[3], Jayne V Brian[4], María S Sepúlveda[5], Edward F Orlando[6], James M Lazorchak[7], Mitchell Kostich[7], Brandon Armstrong[8], Nancy D Denslow[2] and Karen H Watanabe[1*]

Li (2011) BMC Systems Biology



Conceptual model



EE2 – ethinylestradiol
ER, AR atd. – receptors

VTG – vitellogenin (marker of toxicity)

Arrows – differential equations

Li (2011) BMC Systems Biology



Figure 6 Comparison of model predictions with measured data in female FHMs exposed to EE_2. $n = 28$ at each sampling time. White boxes represent model predictions, and grey boxes represent measured data [42]. The x-axis represents EE_2 concentrations in ng/L. The solid line within the box marks the median; the boundary of the box farthest from zero indicates the 75th percentile; the boundary of the box closest to zero indicates the 25th percentile; the whisker (error bar) farthest from zero marks the 90th percentile; whisker (error bar) closest to zero marks the 10th percentile; the circle farthest from zero marks the 95th percentile; and the circle closest to zero marks the 5th percentile.

Results:

MODELLED (white)
Vs
MEASURED (grey)

…good comparable

SETAC PRESS

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 32–48, 2013

Printed in the USA
DOI: 10.1002/etc.2043

Global Climate Change

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN CHEMICAL AND CLIMATE STRESSORS: A ROLE FOR MECHANISTIC TOXICOLOGY IN ASSESSING CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS

MICHAEL J. HOOPER,*† GERALD T. ANKLEY,‡ DANIEL A. CRISTOL,§ LINDLEY A. MARYOUNG,‖ PAMELA D. NOYES,# and KENT E. PINKERTON††

†U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia, Missouri

‡U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory

§Institute for Integrative Bird Behavic

‖Department of

#Nicholas Sc

††Center for Health



Research centre for toxic compour in the environment

SETAC PRESS

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 32–48, 2013

Printed in the USA
DOI: 10.1002/etc.2043

Global Climate Change

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN CHEMICAL AND CLIMATE STRESSORS: A ROLE FOR MECHANISTIC TOXICOLOGY IN ASSESSING CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS

MICHAEL J. HOOPER,*† GERALD T. ANKLEY,‡ DANIEL A. CRISTOL,§ LINDLEY A. MARYOUNG,‖ PAMELA D. NOYES,# and KENT E. PINKERTON††

†U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia, Missouri
‡U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Mid-Continent Ecology Division, Duluth, Minnesota
§Institute for Integrative Bird Behavior Studies, Department of Biology, The College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia, USA
‖Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, California, USA
#Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA
††Center for Health and the Environment, University of California at Davis, Davis, California, USA



Fig. 2. Adverse outcome pathway of the interaction of ultraviolet radiation with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. With permission from Ankley et al. [14]. [Color figure can be seen in the online version of this article, available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

Closing remarks



- Ecotoxicology is exciting **science**!
- **Interface**: science and society
- Many **opportunities**
- Sometimes **hard work**

  10% inspiration and 90% „perspiration“

- Be creative: move frontiers
- Keep the purpose in mind
- Be critical: do not accept perceptions as facts
- Speak up: you have something to say!