# Strigolactones

(New plant hormone in action)

### Sessile life style of plants





The sessile life style of plants largely depends on endogenous metabolites to finetune their growth and development against changing environmental conditions

# Phytohormones

Generally, plant hormones (phytohormones) attributes adaptability to the ever-changing environmental conditions and to various biotic-abiotic constrains.



Mostly, different phytohormones have functional distinctions. In general, auxin, cytokinins (CK), gibberellins (GA), brassinosteroids (BR) and ethylene predominantly have their role in plant growth and developmental events,

Abscisic acid (ABA) and jasmonic acid (JA) act as the abiotic and biotic stress response molecules

#### New plant hormones

Considering the complexity of plant life, the existence more signalling molecules are anticipated and experimental biology occasionally comes up with new signalling molecules in plants.





The recent addition to the class of plant hormones are strigolactones (SLs)

Victoria Gomez-Roldan<sup>1</sup>, Soraya Fermas<sup>2</sup>, Philip B. Brewer<sup>3</sup>, Virginie Puech-Pagès<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth A. Dun<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Paul Pillot<sup>2</sup>, Fabien Letisse<sup>4</sup>, Radoslava Matusova<sup>5</sup>, Saida Danoun<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Charles Portais<sup>4</sup>, Harro Bouwmeester<sup>5,6</sup>, Guillaume Bécard<sup>1</sup>, Christine A. Beveridge<sup>3,7</sup>\*, Catherine Rameau<sup>2</sup>\* & Soizic F. Rochange<sup>1</sup>\*

2008, Nature 455: 189-194

# Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones

Mikihisa Umehara<sup>1</sup>, Atsushi Hanada<sup>1</sup>, Satoko Yoshida<sup>1</sup>, Kohki Akiyama<sup>2</sup>, Tomotsugu Arite<sup>3</sup>, Noriko Takeda-Kamiya<sup>1</sup>, Hiroshi Magome<sup>1</sup>, Yuji Kamiya<sup>1</sup>, Ken Shirasu<sup>1</sup>, Koichi Yoneyama<sup>4</sup>, Junko Kyozuka<sup>3</sup> & Shinjiro Yamaguchi<sup>1</sup>

2008, Nature 455: 195-202

# Initially identified function

# Strigolactones are initially identified as a seed germination stimulants of parasitic plants; Striga



Xie X, et al. 2010. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 48:93–117

Cook et al., 1972

The most challenging biotic constrain in cereal production especially in African region is parasitic plants of Striga species.

Approximately 25 million people in Africa alone are affected by Striga and causing losses estimated to 1 billion USD per year.

# Why Striga infestation is hard to control?



- After attachment to the host root, Striga seedlings grow underground and emerge already after causing severe damage to the host plant.
- Each Striga plant can produce up to 20,000-50,000 seeds.
- These seeds are dust like, can be easily dispersed by wind, water, contaminated crop seeds, and by people.
- They can survive over 15 years in dormancy stage until come in contact with germination stimulant.

# Severity of Sriga infestation of maize fields



#### Promoting hyphal branching of symbiotic arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) fungi



Facilitates beneficial symbiotic interaction with the host plant

Akiyama et al., 2005

# Host plant and AM fungi interaction



AM fungi penetration (red) through plant root epidermal cell



Arbuscule

# Host plant and AM fungi relationship



AM Fungi maintains a symbiotic relationship with host plant

AM Fungi absorbs nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus from soil and supplies to the plant.

The plant gives ten to twenty percent of the carbon they generated through photosynthesis to the fungus

Victoria Gomez-Roldan<sup>1</sup>, Soraya Fermas<sup>2</sup>, Philip B. Brewer<sup>3</sup>, Virginie Puech-Pagès<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth A. Dun<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Paul Pillot<sup>2</sup>, Fabien Letisse<sup>4</sup>, Radoslava Matusova<sup>5</sup>, Saida Danoun<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Charles Portais<sup>4</sup>, Harro Bouwmeester<sup>5,6</sup>, Guillaume Bécard<sup>1</sup>, Christine A. Beveridge<sup>3,7</sup>\*, Catherine Rameau<sup>2</sup>\* & Soizic F. Rochange<sup>1</sup>\*





Victoria Gomez-Roldan<sup>1</sup>, Soraya Fermas<sup>2</sup>, Philip B. Brewer<sup>3</sup>, Virginie Puech-Pagès<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth A. Dun<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Paul Pillot<sup>2</sup>, Fabien Letisse<sup>4</sup>, Radoslava Matusova<sup>5</sup>, Saida Danoun<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Charles Portais<sup>4</sup>, Harro Bouwmeester<sup>5,6</sup>, Guillaume Bécard<sup>1</sup>, Christine A. Beveridge<sup>3,7</sup>\*, Catherine Rameau<sup>2</sup>\* & Soizic F. Rochange<sup>1</sup>\*

### Strigolactone quantification



Measurement of orobanchyl acetate (grey) and the second strigolactone (black) in different genotypes

2008, Nature 455: 189-194

Victoria Gomez-Roldan<sup>1</sup>, Soraya Fermas<sup>2</sup>, Philip B. Brewer<sup>3</sup>, Virginie Puech-Pagès<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth A. Dun<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Paul Pillot<sup>2</sup>, Fabien Letisse<sup>4</sup>, Radoslava Matusova<sup>5</sup>, Saida Danoun<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Charles Portais<sup>4</sup>, Harro Bouwmeester<sup>5,6</sup>, Guillaume Bécard<sup>1</sup>, Christine A. Beveridge<sup>3,7</sup>\*, Catherine Rameau<sup>2</sup>\* & Soizic F. Rochange<sup>1</sup>\*

Nature 455: 189-194



## Role of Strigolactone in plant development



Al-Babili and Bouwmeester, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2015

### Role of Strigolactone in drought stress





Ha et al., 2013

# Strigolactone biosynthesis





Leaf development

# Strigolactone transport



ABC protein PLEIOTROPIC DRUG RESISTANCE 1 (PaPDR1) is apically localized in root tip cortex cells and outer-laterally localized in the root hypodermis.

PaPDR1 plays a key role in regulating shootward and outward directional strigolactone transport.

Sasse et al., 2015



THINK OF POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS

Al-Babili and Bowmeester , 2015

# Possible applications of SL research



**Regulates agronomically important traits** 

Better uptake of soil nutrients



**Solution for Striga infestation** 

# **Solution for Striga infestation**

Most commonly used control methods are hand weeding, crop rotation, improving soil fertility, use of tolerant cultivars and inventing 'suicide' germination compounds.

**Inventing `suicide' germination compounds** 

Offers opportunity to design and synthesize Striga specific SL antagonists and agonists.

Receptor-ligand binding affinity

Toh et al., 2015

998 Å<sup>3</sup>

ShHTL5

# Importance of strigolactone research

- Possible direct application in agriculture.
- Diverse role in plant development.
- Genetic tools generated and research going on different plant species in parallel.
- Very unique signalling mechanism.

# Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS)

# GWAS is an alternative to traditional QTL mapping

GWASs typically focus on associations between **single-nucleotide polymorphisms** (SNPs) and traits like major human diseases

These are positions in genome where some individuals have one nucleotide and others have different.

Around 325 million SNPs have been identified in the human genome,15 million of which are present at frequencies of 1% or higher across different populations worldwide.





The basic principle of GWAS is to correlate genetic variation with physical characteristics.

# GWAS

Wide range of human diseases such as sickle-cell anemia, Osteoporosis, atherosclerosis, β-thalassemia and cystic fibrosis result from SNPs.

Microarray-based genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been the most common approach for identifying disease associations across the whole genome

# Identifying candidate genes of Strigolactone pathways using

**Genome Wide Association Study** 

#### Arabidopsis thaliana

- unique collection
- 242 ecotypes 28 countries



Col0 ctrl



Col0 GR24



# **Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS)**

Various root traits were analyzed as readouts in 242 accessions

Total length, Euclidian length, Root tortuosity, Root growth rate, Relative root growth, Root angle, Root direction index, Root horizontal index, Root vertical index, Root linearity, Lateral root number Root width in different height of the root



**Dr. Wolfgang Busch** 

#### Concentration of GR24

#### Pilot experiment





#### Methodology







plating seeds (23 ecotypes + 1 Col-0) making media Control/Strigolactone (GR24 100 nM, DMSO)





#### Methodology

growing in culture room, 3 days (21ºC, 16/8)



scanning 1-5, 8, 11, 15, 18 day

data processing



#### Data <u>processina</u>



## Manhattan plot depicting several strongly associated risk loci



#### GWAS parameters



10 accessions from each extremes will be selected for running GWAS



# GWAS results

| GWAS results - Excel  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           | ፹ − ਹ ×            |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| File  | Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View C   | ? Tell me what you want to do |                             |         |             |             |           | Sibu Simon 🔎 Share |  |  |  |
| Paste of  | $\begin{array}{c c} Calibri & \mathbf{v} & 12 & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{W} \text{rap} \\ Copy & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{h} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{W} \text{rap} \\ \hline \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{h} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{h} & $ | Text General                  | Conditional Format as Check | I Bad G | ood Neutral | Calculation | te Format | Find &             |  |  |  |
| * * Format Painter B I< |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| A7  | A7 v : X v fx Root_vertical_index_day003  |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
|   | А   | В                             | С                           | D       | Е           | F           | G         | H                  |  |  |  |
| 1   | Γrait ChromosomeSNP_pos   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 2   | Root_vertical_index_day001  | 3                             | 6438855                     |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 3   | Root_width_20_day003  | 5                             | 23040793                    |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 4   | Total_length_day008   | 2                             | 6722451                     |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 5   | Euclidian_length_day008   | 2                             | 6722451                     |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 6   | Root_angle_day002   | 1                             | 12349379                    |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 7   | Root_vertical_index_day003  | 2                             | 10917008                    |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 8   | Root_width_80_day002  | 4                             | 6213729                     |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 9   |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 10  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 11  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 12  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 13  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 14  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 15  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 16  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 17  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 18  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| 19  |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| detailed+-10Kb_windows Overview_signific_associations (+)   Ready III III III   |   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |
| E   | ■ クロ: 📲 💁 🧔 🖏 😰 📰 🔛 Eng 07:52 見   |                               |                             |         |             |             |           |                    |  |  |  |

# GWAS results http://signal.salk.edu/atg1001/3.0/gebrowser.php

|     | At4g29950<br>→ → → →<br>At4g29950 | At.       | 4g29960 |  |           | At4g<br>←  | 29970                 | -           |        |      |     |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|--|-----------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------|------|-----|
| X   |                                   | lt lc     |         | le Idelt   | le ici ic | le IT      | lata In               | IT IC       | le /   | à    |     |
|     | Petergof.7296.                    | MON-MPI C | G C DT  | es (te) tigtote (alegoe t  | er c      |            | 117~  '<br> ¢¢c   ⊺   | TC          | A      | η    |     |
| 8   | Ba_1.SALK                         | тс        | G T C   | ¢A⊺C C⊺  | ec c      | сст        | dec T                 | тс          | Ĥ      | A    |     |
| 8   | HR_10.SALK                        | Т         | с т с   | С фАТБТ ТТ   | ac c      | C C T A    | ффс т                 | т           | A      | Â    |     |
| 8   | Tha_1.SALK                        | тс        | d C     | ¢n⊺t7 C⊺   | er c      |            |                       |             | A 1400 | 3975 |     |
|     | Stw_0.SALK                        | IT IC     |         | laterit ida interioria | le Ici Ic | le ite itr | ldada: Tr             | IT L IC     | la     | A    |     |
|     | Ag_0.SALK                         | ТС        | ст с с  | C (A) TOT IC T   | e c       |            | 040C   T              | ТС          | A      | A    |     |
| 8   | Bay-0.JGI                         | тс        | ст с с  | C (ATI E   | ec c      | стст       | Т                     | тс          | A      | A    |     |
| 8   | Kelsterbach_4.                    | SALK      |         |  |           |            |                       |             |        | Т    |     |
| 8   | Pro_0.SALK                        | Т         | GTC     | CATOR ACT  |           |            | 1 000 T               | T           | A      | A    |     |
|     | Kin_0.SALK                        | T C       |         | C DATE CT  |           |            | мас   т<br>  dabc   т | TIC         | A      | A    |     |
| × × | Can-O.WTC                         | 1 11      |         | ec en menser   | ac c      |            | 990                   |             | H      | /    | 0 8 |
| 8   | Chat_1.SALK                       | т         | G C     | ¢A∣TCC C T   | er c      | ctctr      | dac T                 | тс          | A      |      |     |
| 8   | Ca_0.SALK                         | - In      |         |  | le le le  |            | C                     | leter I. In | Т.     | A    | -   |
| 8   | Nok_3.SALK                        |           |         |  |           |            | lanc l                |             | н      | G    | -P  |
|     | Amel_1.SALK                       |           | G C     | C (CALTICAL MARCIT   | e 🗠 🖒     |            | 1∼<br> ¢¢c    ⊺       | TC          | A      |      |     |
| 8   | Rak_2.SALK                        | т         | G C     | с фатат маст   | er c      | стстг      | фас т                 | т           | A      |      |     |
| 8   | Rsch-4.WTC                        |           |         |  |           |            |                       |             |        |      |     |
| 8   | Aa_0.SALK                         |           |         |  |           |            |                       |             |        |      |     |

# Advantages and disadvantages of GWAS over QTL mapping

Advantages

- Higher mapping resolution
- Reduced research time (no creation of bi- or multi-parental populations)
- Larger number of detectable alleles

Disadvantages

- Low statistical power than QTL mapping can lead to false positive
- Hard to detect rare alleles
- Necessity of large sample volume