

Ecotoxicology New topics and future issues

Ludek Blaha + ecotox colleagues









Take home messages from this presentation

- Traditional (eco)toxicity testing (based on simple standardized bioassays) and related chemical risk assessment is likely to change in this century...
- towards the use of mechanistic data and knowledge (omics) – through – for example - Adverse Outcome Pathways, (AOPs) and mathematical models
 - The paradigm shift is strongly promoted by fluential players – OECD, US EPA, European Commission (example shown – OECD AOPWiki)
 - Also in line with minimizing use of animals and implementation of "3R" policies (examples shown)
 - Toxicological predictions = computational (AI) models are becoming more and more advanced









Current approaches

(black box of apical endoints)

VS

Future

(mechanistic understanding & AOPs)









Hazard assessment

Traditionally – Evaluation of adverse effects using the whole organism models

Organism



Chemical







Adverse Effects

Death
Altered Reproduction
Inhibition of Growth

Tumorigenicity
Skin irritation





REGULATORY FOCUS (APICAL ENDPOINTS)










Hazard assessment

Traditionally – Evaluation of adverse effects using the whole organism models



Key task/question:

How to link MECHANISTIC INFORMATION with APICAL ENDPOINTS?

MoA and omics are supported by strategic documents

Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy

US National Academies of Sciences http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11970.html



LEARN THE ISSUES | SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY | LAWS & REGULATIONS | ABOUT EI

Computational Toxicology Research

You are here: EPA Home » Research & Development » CompTox » ToxCast™

Key Links


CompTox Home **Basic Information** Organization


Research Projects Chemical Databases CompTox Events

ToxCast™

Screening Chemicals to Predict Toxicity Faster and Better










How ToxCast Fits Into CompTox Research

Adverse Outcome Pathways



The **EXISTING KNOWLEDGE** is used **to link the** two anchor points: **Molecular Initiating Event** (MIE) and **Adverse Outcome** (AO) **via a series** of intermediate steps: **Key Events**

Ankley, G. T., R. S. Bennett, et al. (2010) "Adverse outcome pathways: a conceptual framework to support ecotoxicology research and risk assessment." <u>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</u> **29**(3): 730-741.

AOP = Global strategy with support from OECD, EU, USA



OECD Home > Chemical safety and biosafety > Testing of chemicals > Adverse Outcome Pathways, Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics

> Testing of chemicals
> Assessment of chemicals
> Risk management of chemicals
> Chemical accident prevention, preparedness and response
> Pollutant release and transfer register
> Safety of manufactured nanomaterials
> Agricultural pesticides and biocides
Riocafoty BioTrack

Adverse Outcome Pathways, Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics

WHAT'S NEW

SURVEY ON ADVERSE OUTCOME PATHWAYS (AOPS) TO IDENTIFY DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES

The OECD has launched a survey to explore the utility of AOPs for regulatory assessment of chemicals and to identify development priorities. The objective is to collect feedback on how the AOP concept and/or existing AOPs are already being used for regulatory purposes, to understand where they fall short regarding their utility, and to identify what directions and priorities future AOP development work should embrace to increase their impact on regulatory toxicology and chemical risk assessment.

The survey is mainly for chemical safety regulators who are experiencing a transition in their work towards an increased use of 'alternative' methods and AOPs. However, stakeholders that come from the regulated community and environmental NGOs are also welcome to participate.

> The survey is now closed. Thank you for your submissions.

http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/projects-adverse-outcome-pathways.htm










Adverse Outcome Pathway Knowledge Base (AOP-KB)

AOP-KB || Background || How to contribute



Please click on any of the AOP-KB elements you want to use.

Please note that the AOP-KB is work in progress and more elements will become available over time.









http://aopkb.org/

Key documents

OECD Guidance document and a template for developing and assessing adverse outcome pathways (Series No. 184, Series on Testing and Assessment)

Handbook for AOP developers





AOP Wiki

- https://aopkb.org/aopwiki/index.php/Main Page
- Wiki-based platform for development of AOPs
- Only members of an OECD AOP development project can create / edit AOPs





















What AOPs are now in AOP Wiki (spring 2019)











OECD Endorsed (WNT and TFHA)		1x ecotoxicology: Aromatase inhibition leading to reproductive dysfunction (in fish)
EAGMST Approved		1x Ecotox - Androgen receptor agonism leading to reproductive dysfunction
Other OECD status	40	
Under Development	241	

Check online:

https://aopwiki.org/aops

- OECD Extended Advisory Group on Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics (EAG MST)
- The Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme (WNT)









AOP Example: MIE aromatase inhibition




Fig. 3. An adverse outcome pathway in fish [2,50]. Aromatase inhibitor example. (A) Aromatase inhibition by fadrozole; (B) Reduction in circulating estradiol; (C) Reduction in circulating vitellogenin (Vtg); (D) Histopathology of ovarian tissue, top panel normal ovary, bottom panel fadrozole treated; note occyte atresia; (E) Adverse outcome on egg production–fecundity (© Elsevier, Used with permission,)

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 64–76, 2011










Aromatase inhibition leading to reproductive dysfunction (in fish)


MIE:1

KE:2

https://aopwiki.org/wiki/index.php/Aop:25



AOP Example from RECETOX: Modulation of RAR/RXR → developmental toxicity in fish






Activation of RAR/RXR

in P19/A15 cells by atRA and cyanobacterial metabolites

atRA

other RAs in cyanos





ZF exposed to ATRA and cyanobacterial (120 hpf) - Control (A), exudates of *C. raciborskii* 3.3 (B) and 10 (C), *M. aeruginosa* 10 (D) and *D. quadricaudatus* 17 (E). ATRA 4 μ g/L (13.3 nM) (F), 12 μ g/L (40 nM) ((G) and (H)), 36 μ g/L (I) and 108 μ g/L (J).



The Online Encyclopedia of Adverse Effect Pathways

- http://www.effectopedia.org/ -> link to program download
- Visually Expresses AOPs in their biological context:
 - Life-stage, Taxonomy, Gender, Time-to-effect...
- Quantitative Relationships
- ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion)
- Open-knowledge, crowd-sourcing
- Formal approval not required to enter / modify
- Credit to authors / reviewers
- Even fragments of information are welcome (any contribution)
- Export<->Import from/to AOP Wiki & others









Related Projects & Studies & Databases

TOXNET - http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/

 searching databases on toxicology, hazardous chemicals, environmental health, and toxic releases

Tox21 - http://www.epa.gov/ncct/Tox21/

- 10,000 chemicals
- 14 concentrations, 4 logs, 3 replicates
- 1536 well plates, 2-8 uL volumes
- 50+ assays



- App. 2000 chemicals
- 700+ assay, 300 signaling pathways
- DATA AVAILABLE iCSS Dashboard
 - http://actor.epa.gov/dashboard
 - http://ww.epa.gov/ncct/toxcast/data.html



Related Projects & Studies & Databases

- ToxRefDB (Toxicity Reference Database)
 - in vivo toxicological data
 - http://actor.epa.gov/toxrefdb/faces/Home.jsp

ExpoCast

- information on human exposures
- http://www.epa.gov/ncct/expocast/

Human Toxome Project

- information on human exposures
- http://www.ewg.org/sites/humantoxome/

Agriculture Health Study

- Occupational Exposure to Pesticides a cohort study
- http://aghealth.nih.gov/

Summary

Toxicology is about doses

The goal is LD(LC)50 or NOAEL/NOEC



Legislation defines

- ... what assays and how to do them
- About 30 assays
- The most widely used standard OECD Guidelines for **Testing of Chemicals**





Replacing "black box" in traditional testing

- Synthesis of mechanistic and omics data
- Adverse Outcome Pathways
- Strategically supported by OECD, EU, USA























Do we need testing with animals?

Are there alternatives











3Rs













REFINEMENT







Online Computer Simulations and Applications

REDUCTION



cejocoen



European Policies on 3Rs




DIRECTIVE 2010/63/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

of 22 September 2010

on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes

Figure 1.1
Percentages of animals used by classes in the Member
States





Use of animals in EU (2011)

Table 1.0: Changes in species number and proportion between 2008 and 2011

Species		Number of animals in EU 27	Number of animals in EU 27	Change since 2008	% change by species
		2008	2011		
1.a	Mice (Mus musculus)	7122188	6999312	-122876	-1,73
1.b	Rats (Rattus norvegicus)	2121727	1602969	-518758	-24,45
1.c	Guinea-Pigs (Cavia porcellus)	220985	171584	-49401	-22,35
1.d	Hamsters (Mesocricetus)	32739	25251	-7488	-22,87
1.e	Other Rodents (other Rodentia)	39506	28465	-11041	-27,95
1.f	Rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus)	333213	358213	25000	7,50
1.g	Cats (Felis catus)	4088	3713	-375	-9,17
1.h	Dogs (Canis familiaris)	21315	17896	-3419	-16,04
1.i	Ferrets (Mustela putorius furo)	3208	2540	-668	-20,82
1.j	Other Carnivores	2853	4982	2129	74,62
1.k	Horses, donkeys and cross-				
	breds (Equidae)	5976	6686	710	11,88
1.1	Pigs (Sus)	92813	77280	-15533	-16,74
1.m	Goats (Capra)	3840	2907	-933	-24,30
1.n	Sheep (Ovis)	30190	28892	-1298	-4,30
1.0	Cattle (Bos)	33952	30914	-3038	-8,95
1.p	Prosimians (Prosimia)	1261	83	-1178	-93,42
1.q	New World Monkeys (Ceboidea)	904	700	-204	-22,57
1.r	Old World Monkeys (Cercopithecoidea)	7404	5312	-2092	-28,25
1.s	Apes (Hominoidea)	0	0	0	0,00
1.t	Other Mammals (other Mammalia)	5704	7888	2184	38,29
1.u	Quail (Coturnix coturnix)	9626	5614	-4012	-41,68
1.v	Other birds (other Aves)	754485	669451	-85034	-11,27
1.W	Reptiles (Reptilia)	4101	3824	-277	-6,75
1.x	Amphibians (Amphibia)	61789	29583	-32206	-52,12
1.y	Fish (Pisces)	1087155	1397462	310307	28,54
1.Z	TOTAL	12001022	11481521	-519501	-4,33





https://tsar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/



- >60 3Rs Tests submitted to ECVAM since 2008 (update 01/2015)
- 10 validated or ongoing validation => Prioritisation!














Demanding process: 7-8 YEARS



Regulators







COMPUTATIONAL (ECO)TOXICOLOGY












PBPK models

PBPK (PBTK)
Physiologically based pharmacokinetic (toxicokinetic) models



Fragmentation of a complex systém to "boxes"

→ All Processes described by arrows (mathematical equations)









Example – computational toxicology for EDCs

Li et al. BMC Systems Biology 2011, 5:63 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1752-0509/5/63



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

A computational model of the hypothalamic - pituitary - gonadal axis in female fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to 17α -ethynylestradiol and 17β -trenbolone

Zhenhong Li¹, Kevin J Kroll², Kathleen M Jensen³, Daniel L Villeneuve³, Gerald T Ankley³, Jayne V Brian⁴, María S Sepúlveda⁵, Edward F Orlando⁶, James M Lazorchak⁷, Mitchell Kostich⁷, Brandon Armstrong⁸, Nancy D Denslow² and Karen H Watanabe^{1*}










Li (2011) BMC Systems Biology











Li (2011) BMC Systems Biology




Figure 6 Comparison of model predictions with measured data in female FHMs exposed to EE_2 . n=28 at each sampling time. White boxes represent model predictions, and grey boxes represent measured data [42]. The x-axis represents EE_2 concentrations in ng/L. The solid line within the box marks the median; the boundary of the box farthest from zero indicates the 75^{th} percentile; the boundary of the box closest to zero indicates the 25^{th} percentile; the whisker (error bar) farthest from zero marks the 90^{th} percentile; whisker (error bar) closest to zero marks the 10^{th} percentile; the circle farthest from zero marks the 95^{th} percentile; and the circle closest to zero marks the 5^{th} percentile.

Results:

MODELLED (white) Vs MEASURED (grey)

...good comparable









Update – quantitative mechanistic/computational toxicology



Browse

Publish

About

plos.org

Search

create account

advanced search

sign in





RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Computational Model of the Rainbow Trout Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Ovary-Liver Axis

Kendall Gillies, Stephen M. Krone, James J. Nagler, Irvin R. Schultz

Published: April 20, 2016 • https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004874

Article	Authors	Metrics	Comments	Related Content
*				

Abstract

Author Summary

Introduction

Methods

Abstract

Reproduction in fishes and other vertebrates represents the timely coordination of many endocrine factors that culminate in the production of mature, viable gametes. In recent years











Citation Save 1,656 View Share



Check for updates



Subject Areas



Update – quantitative mechanistic/computational toxicology




Fig 1. The HPOL signaling network in rainbow trout as formulated in our model.


Arrows and symbols on graph follow CellDesigner vs. 4.4 notation (www.celldesigner.org). GnRH is secreted from the hypothalamus into the pituitary stimulating the production of mFSH and mLH, which then leads to formation of FSH and LH, respectively. FSH, which is being continuously secreted from the pituitary, travels to the ovaries to stimulate production of E2. E2 then travels to the liver to bind with E2 receptors (R; translated from mR) to form ER. ER then stimulates the production of mVTG, which produces VTG_L. Secreted VTG then travels from the liver to the ovaries via the plasma (VTG_P) where it is absorbed by follicles in stages 3 through 6 (the proportion of follicles in these stages are denoted by S_i , j = 3, 4, 5, and 6) during vitellogenesis, the rate of which is affected by FSH_P, to promote oocyte growth (O_{Ava}). Oocyte growth then progresses the oocytes through the stages using a Weibull distribution created from O_{Ava} together with O_{Var} . In the later stages LH_P stimulates the oocytes to produce DHP. Finally, oocytes undergo final maturation (S_{FOM}) and combined with DHP, determine when the fish ovulates



Update – quantitative mechanistic/computational toxicology

Fig 3. HPOL model predictions for (A) pituitary levels of FSH_{β} subunit mRNA, (B) pituitary levels of LH_{β} subunit mRNA, (C) Hepatic levels of E2 receptor mRNA and (D) Hepatic levels of VTG mRNA

Observed data (dark grey circles; mean TG mRn = 3)





Printed in the USA DOI: 10.1002/etc.2043



Global Climate Change


INTERACTIONS BETWEEN CHEMICAL AND CLIMATE STRESSORS: A ROLE FOR MECHANISTIC TOXICOLOGY IN ASSESSING CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS

Michael J. Hooper, *† Gerald T. Ankley, ‡ Daniel A. Cristol, § Lindley A. Maryoung, || Pamela D. Noyes, # and Kent E. Pinkerton ††

†U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia, Missouri

‡U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory

§Institute for Integrative Bird Behavio ||Department of #Nicholas Sc ††Center for Health







Global Climate Change

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN CHEMICAL AND CLIMATE STRESSORS: A ROLE FOR MECHANISTIC TOXICOLOGY IN ASSESSING CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS

Michael J. Hooper,*† Gerald T. Ankley,‡ Daniel A. Cristol,§ Lindley A. Maryoung,|| Pamela D. Noyes,# and Kent E. Pinkerton††

†U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia, Missouri

‡U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Mid-Continent Ecology Division, Duluth, Minnesota

§Institute for Integrative Bird Behavior Studies, Department of Biology, The College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia, USA

||Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, California, USA

#Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA

††Center for Health and the Environment, University of California at Davis, Davis, California, USA






Fig. 2. Adverse outcome pathway of the interaction of ultraviolet radiation with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. With permission from Ankley et al. [14]. [Color figure can be seen in the online version of this article, available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

Closing remarks

- Ecotoxicology is exciting science!
- Interface: science and society
- Many opportunities
- Sometimes hard work
 10% inspiration and 90% "perspiration"



- Be creative: move frontiers
- Keep the purpose in mind
- Be critical: do not accept perceptions as facts
- Do not hesitate to speak up ...







