

# Lecture 4 - Localization

Def)  $R$  a comm. ring. A subset  $U \subseteq R$  is multiplicatively closed if  $1 \in U$  &  $a, b \in U \Rightarrow ab \in U$ .

Example)  $R$  is an integral domain ( $ab=0 \Rightarrow a=0 \vee b=0$ )  
 $\Leftrightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$  is multiplicatively closed.

Example) If  $a \in R$ , then  $S_a = \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is mult. closed,

lemma) An ideal  $I \subseteq R$  is prime  $\Leftrightarrow$   
 $R \setminus I$  is multiplicatively closed.

Proof) To say  $R \setminus I$  is multiplicatively closed is  
to say  $1 \notin I$  ( $I$  is proper),  
 $a \notin I$  &  $b \notin I \Rightarrow ab \notin I$  (equiv.  $ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I \vee b \in I$ ).

• If  $R$  is an integral domain (eg.  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) we  
can form the field of fractions  $\text{Frac}(R)$ ,  
whose elts are fractions  $v/s$   
where  $s \neq 0$  (eg.  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

• Localization generalises this to other  
multiplicative subsets other than  $R \setminus \{0\}$ ,

## Localization at a multiplicative subset

Given  $U \subseteq R$  mult. closed, we define an equivalence relation on  $R \times U$  by

$$\bullet (x, u) \simeq (y, v) \Leftrightarrow \exists t \in U \text{ such that } t(xv - yu) = 0$$

Exercise: check this is an equiv. rel.

Remark: If  $R$  is an integral domain &  $U$  does not cont. 0, the equiv. relation reduces to

$$(x, u) \simeq (y, v) \Leftrightarrow xv - yu = 0.$$

We write  $x/u$  for the equivalence class  $[(x, u)]$  &  $U^{-1}R$  for the set of these Fractions.

- We define a commutative ring structure on  $U^{-1}R$  by

$$\bullet (x/y) (x'/y') = \frac{xx'}{yy'}$$

$$\bullet (x/y) + (x'/y') = (xy' + x'y) / yy'$$

$$\bullet \text{Zero } 0/1 \quad \& \quad \text{unit } 1/1$$

## Theorem

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring &  $U \subseteq R$  mult closed.

① With the above operations,  $U^{-1}R$  is a commutative ring &

$p: R \longrightarrow U^{-1}R : r \longmapsto r/1$  a homomorphism.

② If  $u \in U$  then  $p(u)$  is invertible (a unit).

Moreover, if  $f: R \longrightarrow S$  also inverts elements of  $U$  then  $\exists! \bar{f}: U^{-1}R \longrightarrow S$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{p} & U^{-1}R \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & S \end{array} .$$

~~Proof~~

The first part is straightforward -

one checks that the operations are well defined on equiv. classes (fractions) & the comm. ring structure is easily checked. (Exercise!)

- For the second part, certainly if  $u \in U$ , then  $p(u) = u/1$  is invertible, since as  $1 \in U$ ,  $\forall u \in U^{-1}R$  & then  $u/1 \cdot 1/u = u/u = 1/1$  (as  $u \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot u = 0$ )
- Now suppose  $f: R \rightarrow S$  has  $f(u)$  invertible if  $u \in U$ .

• If we are to have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{p} & U^{-1}R \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\
 & & S
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{we must set} \quad \bar{f}(r/1) = f(r).$$

At a general element  $a/b \in U^{-1}R$ , we have  $a/b = a/1 \cdot 1/b$  where  $b \in U$ .

If  $\bar{f}$  is to preserve multiplication & unit (& so inverses) we must have

$$\bar{f}(a/b) = \bar{f}(a/1) \cdot \bar{f}(1/b) = \underline{f(a)} \cdot \underline{f(b)^{-1}}.$$

It is straightforward to check that, with this definition,

$\bar{f}$  is indeed a homomorphism,

□

# Examples

① If  $R$  is an integral domain, &  $U = R \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $U^{-1}R$  is Field of Fractions of  $R$ .

a) E.g.  $\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$

b) If  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $k$  a integral domain, its field of fractions consists of expressions  $\frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$  with  $g$  non-zero, often called rational Functions.

②. If  $k$  a field &  $A \subseteq k^n$  a variety, recall the co-ord. ring  $k(A)$  whose elts are polynomial functions  $A \rightarrow k$ ; equiv  $k(A) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(A)$ .

• If  $a \in A$ , then

$I(A) \subseteq I(a) \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  maximal, so

so image  $\bar{I}(a)$  of  $I(a)$  maximal in  $k(A)$  - contains poly. functions  $A \xrightarrow{f} k$  w'  $f(a) = 0$ .

• Since  $\bar{I}(a)$  is maximal, it is prime, then

$k(A)_{\bar{I}(a)}$  contains fractions

$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  where  $g(a) \neq 0$ :

these are rational Functions, which are well defined in a arb small neighborhood of  $a \in A$ .

③ Given  $a \in R$ , recall  $S_a = \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq R$ .

Write  $R_a = S_a^{-1}R$ . It consists of Fractions  
 $\{r/a^n : r \in R\}$ .

Note  $\mathbb{Z}_p \neq \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  : ( easily confused .

## Ideals of localizations

Def<sup>n</sup>) A local ring is one with a unique maximal ideal.

Prop<sup>n</sup>) If  $P \subset R$  is a prime ideal, then  $R_P$  is local.

Proof Recall  $R_P = U^{-1}R$  where  $U = R \setminus P$ .

- The unique maximal ideal

$$P^e = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a \in P \right\}$$

- let's check an ideal. If  $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d} \in P^e$ ,

$$\text{then } \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad+bc}{bd} \quad \& \quad ad+bc \in P \text{ as } P \text{ an ideal \& } a, c \in P.$$

Also  $\frac{ra}{b} \in P^e$  as  $ra \in P$  since  $P$  ideal.

- To see  $P^e$  max, suppose  $P^e \subset I \subset R$ .

Then  $I$  contains element  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $a \notin P$ .

- But then  $a \in U \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b}$  invertible with inv  $\frac{b}{a}$ .

- So  $I$  contains a unit  $\Rightarrow$  contains  $1 \Rightarrow$

$I = R$ . Hence  $P^e$  maximal.

If  $I \subset R$  is maximal, each element  
a non-unit  $a/b$ .

Hence  $a \notin U \Rightarrow a \in P \Rightarrow a/b \in P^e$

so  $I \subseteq P^e$ .  $\square$

Exercise: show that the unique max<sup>l</sup> ideal  
of  $R_p$  consists of precisely the  
non-units.



# Localization of modules

---

- For  $U \subseteq R$  mult. closed, we can localize an  $R$ -module  $M$  in just the same way:

we have  $\sim$ -rel on  $M \times U$  where

$$(m, u) \sim (n, v) \text{ if } \exists t \in U : t(vm - un) = 0$$

& then  $U^{-1}M$  contains  $\sim$ -classes, the fractions  $m/u$  where  $m \in M$  and  $u \in U$ .

Addition is as before:  $\frac{m}{u} + \frac{n}{v} = \frac{vn + un}{uv}$

whilst the action is  $r \cdot \frac{m}{u} = \frac{rm}{u}$ .

- A more conceptual approach is as follows:

Follows:

since we have  $\rho: R \rightarrow U^{-1}R : r \mapsto r/1$ ,

$U^{-1}R$  becomes an  $R$ -module by restriction:

$$\underline{r \cdot \left( \frac{s}{u} \right) = \frac{rs}{u}}$$

- Then we can form the tensor product of  $R$ -modules  $U^{-1}R \otimes_R M$  (as in Alg 3)

## Proposition

We have an isomorphism  $U^{-1}M \cong U^{-1}R \otimes_R M$  of  $R$ -modules.

~~Proof~~ The assignment

$$U^{-1}R \times_R M \longrightarrow U^{-1}M$$

$$(r/u, m) \longmapsto rm/u \quad \text{is well}$$

defined &  $R$ -bilinear.

- So it induces a unique  $R$ -module

$$\text{map } U^{-1}R \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{k} U^{-1}M$$

$$r/u \otimes m \longmapsto mr/u \quad \text{on generators.}$$

It has inverse the assignment

$$l: U^{-1}M \longrightarrow U^{-1}R \otimes_R M$$

$$m/u \longmapsto 1/u \otimes m \quad \text{which is}$$

also an  $R$ -module map. (Exercise)

- Clearly  $kl = 1$ .

- To see  $lk: U^{-1}R \otimes_R M \longrightarrow U^{-1}R \otimes_R M$

is identity, it suffices to check on generators.

$$r/u \otimes m \xrightarrow{l} rm/u \xrightarrow{k} 1/u \otimes rm$$

but  $r/u \otimes m = r(1/u \otimes m) = 1/u \otimes rm$  by bilinearity.  $\square$

Localization of modules gives a  
Functor

$$U^{-1}: R\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow R\text{-Mod}$$

$$M \longmapsto U^{-1}M \quad \&$$

$$F: M \longrightarrow N \longmapsto U^{-1}F: U^{-1}M \longrightarrow U^{-1}N$$

$$m/u \longmapsto fm/u \quad .$$

We have a natural isomorphism

$$R\text{-Mod} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{U^{-1}} \\ \text{SII} \\ \xrightarrow{U^{-1}R \otimes -} \end{array} R\text{-Mod} \quad \&$$

since  $U^{-1}R \otimes -$  is left adjoint it preserves colims &, in particular, surjections.

Perhaps surprisingly it also preserves injections.

Prop)  $U^{-1}: R\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow R\text{-Mod}$  preserves injections.

Proof) Consider  $M \xrightarrow{f} N$  mono &  
 $U^{-1}F: U^{-1}M \longrightarrow U^{-1}N: m/u \longmapsto fm/u$ .  
 If  $fm/u = 0$ ,  $\exists t \in U$  st  $t(fm) = f(tm) = 0$   
 but  $F$  is mono, so  $tm = 0$ . Hence  
 $m/u = (1/tu) \cdot (tm/1) = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

- It follows (as we will see in homological algebra) that  $U^{-1}$  is an exact functor, one preserving kernels, cokernels, short exact sequences etc. Equiv, it preserves finite limits & colimits.
- What is remarkable about localization is that it also reflects isomorphisms (& so reflects finite limits & colimits) if we allow  $U$  to vary.

Prop

① An  $R$ -mod  $M = 0 \Leftrightarrow M_P = 0$  for each maximal ideal  $P$ .

② An  $R$ -mod map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is surj / inj / iso  $\Leftrightarrow f_P: M_P \rightarrow N_P$  is surj / inj / iso for each maximal ideal  $P$ .

Proof ① Will prove that if  $M \neq 0$ ,  $\exists$  max ideal  $P$  with  $M_P \neq 0$ .

Choose  $m \neq 0 \in M$

The annihilator  $\text{Ann}(m) = \{r \in R : r \cdot m = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  (ie. kernel of  $\cdot m: R \rightarrow M$ ).

It is a proper ideal as it doesn't contain  $1$ .

Therefore, by Zorn's lemma, it is contained in a max<sup>l</sup> ideal  $\text{Ann}(m) \subset P \subset R$ .

Consider  $m/1 \in R_P$ .

If  $m/1 = 0 \exists t \in R - P$  st  $tm = 0$ . But since  $t \notin P$ ,  $t \notin \text{Ann}(M)$  so this is impossible.

② Consider  $f: M \rightarrow N$  & suppose  $f_P$  inj all such  $P$ , so  $\ker(f_P: M_P \rightarrow N_P) = 0$ . Since  $\ker(f_P) = \ker(f)_P$ .

So  $\ker(f)_P = 0 \Rightarrow \ker(f) = 0$  by first part of prop. Hence  $f$  inj.

Argument for surj similar using cokernels. For isos, combine the two.  $\square$