Impact of the introduction of the ant *Pheidole megacephala* on native invertebrates in a Australian rain forest



Fig. 3 Mean (+ SE) of non-ant invertebrate abundance and ordinal richness within rain forest as found by 10 foliage beats and 10 litter samples at infested (II-3) and uninfested plots (UI-3)



Fig. 1 Mean (+ SE) *Pheidole megacephala* abundance and native ant abundance and richness per sample within the rain forest as found by 20 pitfall traps, 10 foliage beats and 10 litter samples at infested (*I1-3*) and uninfested plots (*U1-3*)

The invasive ant *Linepithema* (= *Iridiomyrmex*) *humile* in northern California (USA)



Results of fixed-distance ant-baiting experiment: bait in 1 m distance from nest entrance; \* - significant difference of *L. humile* to native ant species.



The effect of the soil-dwelling ant *Lasius flavus* on soil properties and below-ground plant biomass in Slovakian grasslands



Fig. 1. (A) Bulk density, (B) carbon concentration, and (C) available phosphorus concentration (means  $\pm$  SD) in mounds (black bars) and control plots (gray bars) sampled at three and four depths, respectively.



Fig. 2. (A) Root biomass, (B), internode length, and (C) internode diameter (means ± SD) in ant mounds (black bars) and control plots (gray bars) sampled at four and two depths, respectively.

# Sampling of soil animals





Large soil corer (macrofauna)

Small soil corer (mesofauna)



Fig. 28. Soil corers. a and b. The O'Connor split corer: a. showing compartments (after O'Connor, 1957); b. assembled. c. Soil corer with sample tubes (after Dhillon & Gibson, 1962). d. Soil corer for the canister extractor (after Macfadyen, 1961).

## Sampling of soil animals



Electro-octet method for the extraction of earthworms in the field

## Sampling of soil animals



Ground photoeclectors (emergence traps)



Fig. 1a—c. Ground photo-eclector (emergence trap). a) total view; b) sampling box (light trap) with pipes and upper metal construction; c) pitfall trap (sectional diagram); particulars see text

Funke, W., 1977: Food and energy turnover of leaf-eating insects and their influence on primary production. In: Ellenberg, H. (ed.) Integrated Experimental Ecology

## Extraction of soil (litter) samples (dry / wet)



- Macrofauna
- Microarthropods
- Heating from above possible
- Cooling from below possible



#### **Baermann Funnel**

- Wet Funnel Extraction (not necessarily with heating from above, cooling from below possible)
- Nematoda (Beamann)
- Enchytraeidae (O'Connor)
- other semiaquatic fauna

## Wet extraction of soil (litter) samples







Dry extraction of soil (litter) samples



Fig. 35. Kempson bowl extractor (after Kempson, Lloyd & Ghelardi, 1963).

## Dry extraction of soil (litter) samples



Fig. 34. Multiple canister extractor (after Macfayden, 1961): a. canister, core and sieve plate; b. whole apparatus.

## Extraction of soil (litter) samples



Extraction apparatus for dry extraction of sample series (Kempson, Macfadyen)

Dry extraction of soil (litter) samples



Fig. 32. A large Berlese funnel with modification.

# Extraction of soil (litter) samples: washing of soil through sieves



- Siebschale, WÜ - Wasserüberlauf, WZ - Wasserzulauf.

Extraction of soil (litter) samples: flotation



Fig. 30. a. Soil washing apparatus (modified from Salt & Hollick, 1944). b. Ladell can and associated equipment during the air agitation phase of flotation (diagrammatic).

Litter bag experiments

- course of decomposition and the effect of soil biota



One advanced design of litter bags:

## Litter bag experiments

- course of decomposition and the effect of soil biota



Decomposition of nettle leaves in various positions along a pH transect on the slope of a basalt hill: basalt top, middle slope, foot of hill (limestone), in % of initial dry weight; coarsest mesh width Decomposition of various types of nettle litter on the foot of the hill (limestone): leaves, stems, fine roots, coarse roots, in % of initial dry weight; coarsest mesh width

## What controles the community / food web structure?

Top-down or bottom-up?

(predation or availability of food resources)

- Litter enrichment experiments (food, habitat structure, moisture)
- Predator exclosure experiments

- Mesocosm experiments, e.g. enriching the soil by food sources as glucose to stimulate microbial growth (respiration)

What exactly is the trophic position of a given organism?

- Food preference experiments (choice)
- Analysis of gut content
- Direct observation
- Labelling of potential food with <sup>14</sup>C (radioactive isotope)

Using stable isotopes (C, N) to estimate trophic position

- <sup>15</sup>N / <sup>14</sup>N ratio (δ <sup>15</sup>N)
- Enrichment in <sup>15</sup>N per trophic level in organisms (on average by 3.4 ‰)
- Range of <sup>15</sup>N / <sup>14</sup>N ratios in given community indicates number of trophic levels
- <sup>15</sup>N / <sup>14</sup>N increases with soil depth (thus species collonizing deeper soil layers might contain higher <sup>15</sup>N concentrations despite belonging to lower trophic level)

## Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position



Trophic position and food resources of the soil animal community in two beech forests (Göttinger Wald – on limestone, mull humus, Solling – on acidic sandstone, raw humus)