

2008-09 1. PŘEDNÁŠKA MOL. BIOL.

Nucleic acids Historical view

Emil Paleček

Institute of Biohysics, Acad. Sci. CR v.v.i., 612 65 Brno Czech Republic

The Road to DNA started in Brno



G.J. Mendel 1866



<< Back to previous results list

Paleček Emil

Citation Report Author=(Palecek E) Timespan=All Years. Databases=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, IC, CCR-EXPANDED [back to 1840].

This report reflects citations to source items indexed within Web of Science. Perform a Cited Reference Search to include citations to items not indexed within Web of Science.

Chemická reaktivita a struktura nukleových kyselin. Lokální struktury DNA stabilizované superhelikálním vinutím; Interakce DNA a bílkovin s povrchy; Interakce DNA-protein;

Pu	blished Items in Each Year	Citations	in E	ach	Year	-	R	esults fo	ound: 248	ć	248							
16 14 12 10 8 6 4 2 0	bit for the latest 20 years are displayed. Wiew a graph with all years.	600 500 400 300 200 100 0 5666666666666666666666666666666	L 666 Year Years a	2000 5 re displa	yyed.		View Elel Nác v no	View Ci without Citations Item h-index	<pre>[?]: 7,524 iting Articles self-citations erage s per [?]: 30.34 s[?]: 50 emie nukl supresor</pre>	5(ry, vníc	vých kyselin a bílkovin; zejména protein p53; Agregace ch chorobách (zejména agregace				sinu			
Results	s: 248 🖂 Pa	ge 1 of 25 Go		4		Sort	by: I	imes Cit	ted;									
1	Use the checkboxes to remove individual items f or restrict to items processed between	rom this Citation Report	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total	Average Citations per Year		Author(s): PALECEK E Source: CRITICAL REVIEWS IN BIOCHEMISTRY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY Volume: 26 Issue: 2 Pages: 151-226 Published: 1991	8	1	0	2	3	173	9.61
∲ □ 1.	Title: Peptide nucleic acid probes for sequer	ce-specific DNA	531	325	428	447	582	7,524	147.53		Title: DNA electrochemical biosensors for environmental monitoring. A review Author(s): Wang J, Rivas G, Cai X, et al. Source: ANALYTICA CHIMICA ACTA Volume: 347 Issue: 1-2 Pages: 1-8 Jubihade: JUL 30 1997	14	6	14	13	7	130	10.83
	biosensors Author(s): Wang J, Palecek E, Nielsen PE, et al Source: JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEM Volume: 118 Issue: 33 Pages: 7667-7670 F	ICAL SOCIETY	18	14	11	11	7	209	 16.08		Title: Electrochemical biosensors for DNA hybridization and DNA damage Author(s): Palecek E, Fojta M, Tomschik M, et al. Source: BIOSENSOR & BIOELECTRONICS Volume: 13 Issue: 6 Pages: 621-628 Published: SEP 15 1998	15	16	10	8	13	127	11.55
_ 2.	1996 Title: From polarography of DNA to microana								23	1	Title: THE SUPERCOIL-STABILIZED CRUCIFORM OF COLE1 IS HYPER-REACTIVE TO OSMIUM-TETROXIDE Author(s): LILLEY DMJ, PALECEK E Source: EMBO JOURNAL Volume: 3 Issue: 5 Pages: 1187-1192 Published: 1984	1	0	0	1	0	122	4.88
	acid-modified electrodes Author(s): Palecek E Source: ELECTROANALYSIS Volume: 8 Issu Published: JAN 1996	ie: 1 Pages: 7-14	21	6	8	6	16	206	15.85 ^{- 1}		Title: SUPERHELICAL TORSION IN CELLULAR DNA RESPONDS DIRECTLY TO ENVIRONMENTAL AND GENETIC- FACTORS Author(s): MCCLELLAN JA, BOUBLIKOVA P, PALECEK E, et al. Source: PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Volume: 87 Issue: 21 Pages: 8373-8377 Published: NOV 1990	2	4	2	3	1	118	6.21
3.	Title: Detecting DNA hybridization and dama Author(s): Palecek E, Fojta M Source: ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY Volume: 7		33	19	28	26	20	190	23.75	1	Title: Past, present and future of nucleic acids electrochemistry Author(s): Palecek E Source: TALANTA Volume: 56 Issue: 5 Pages: 809-819 Published: APR 1 2002	29	18	19	19	18	116	16.57
4.	74A-83A Published: FEB 1 2001 Title: LOCAL SUPERCOIL-STABILIZED DN	A STRUCTURES							24	F A	Title: OSCILLOGRAPHIC POLAROGRAPHY OF HIGHLY POLYMERIZED DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID Surreo: NATURE Volume: 188 Issue: 4751 Pages: 656-657 Published: 1960	6	3	9	10	17	109	2.22
									es	sults:	248 Id a Page 1 of 25 Go				Sort b	y: Tir	mes Cite	ed :



NUCLEIC ACIDS

Chemical nature and spatial organization STRUCTURE

Biological function

F. MIESCHER, TÜBINGEN 1871

G. J. MENDEL, BRNO 1866

Timeline of DNA

1865: Gregor Mendel discovers through breeding experiments with peas that traits are inherited based on specific laws (later to be termed "Mendel's laws"). By mentioning Elements of Heredity he predicts DNA and genes (published 1866) 1866: Ernst Haeckel proposes that the nucleus contains the factors responsible for the transmission of hereditary traits. 1869: Friedrich Miescher isolates DNA/NUCLEIN for the first time.

1871: The first publications describing DNA (nuclein) by F Miescher, Felix Hoppe-Seyler, and P. Plosz are printed.

1882: Walther Flemming describes chromosomes and examines their behavior during cell division.

1884-1885: Oscar Hertwig, Albrecht von Kölliker, Eduard Strasburger, and August Weismann independently provide evidence that the cell's **nucleus contains the basis for inheritance**.

1889: Richard Altmann renames nuclein to nucleic acid.

1900: Carl Correns, Hugo de Vries, and Erich von Tschermak rediscover Mendel's Laws.

1902: T Boveri and W Sutton postulate that the **heredity units** (called genes as of 1909) are located **on chromosomes**. 1902-1909: A Garrod proposes that **genetic defects** result in the loss of enzymes and hereditary metabolic diseases. 1909: Wilhelm Johannsen uses the word **gene** to describe units of heredity.

1910: T H **Morgan** uses fruit flies (**Drosophila**) as a model to study heredity and finds the **first mutan**t with white eyes. 1913: Alfred **Sturtevant** and Thomas Hunt **Morga**n produce the first **genetic linkage map** (for the fruit fly Drosophila).

1928: Frederick **Griffith** postulates that a **transforming principle** permits properties from one type of bacteria (heatinactivated virulent Streptococcus pneumoniae) to be transferred to another (live nonvirulent Streptococcus pneumoniae). **1929**: P **Levene** identifies the **building blocks of DNA**, incl. four bases adenine (A), cytosine (C), guanine (G), thymine (T). **1941**: George **Beadle** and Edward **Tatum** demonstrate that **every gene is responsible for the production of an enzyme**. **1944**: Oswald T. Avery, Colin **MacLeod**, and Maclyn **McCarty** demonstrate that Griffith's transforming principle is not a **protein**, but rather DNA, suggesting that DNA may function as the genetic material **1949**: Colette and Roger **Vendrely** and A **Boivin** discover that the nuclei of **germ cells contain half the amount of DNA** that is found in somatic cells. This parallels the reduction in the number of chromosomes during gametogenesis and provides further evidence for the fact that DNA is the genetic material.

1949-1950: Erwin Chargaff finds that the DNA base composition varies between species but determines that the bases in DNA are always present in fixed ratios: the same number of A's as T's and the same number of C's as G's. 1952: Alfred Hershey and Martha Chase use viruses (bacteriophage T2) to confirm DNA as the genetic material by demonstrating that during infection viral DNA enters the bacteria while the viral proteins do not and that this DNA can be found in progeny virus particles.

1953: Rosalind Franklin and Maurice Wilkins use X-ray analyses to demonstrate that DNA has a regularly repeating helical structure.

1953: James Watson and Francis Crick discover the molecular structure of DNA: a **double helix** in which A always pairs with T, and C always with G.

1956: Arthur Kornberg discovers DNA polymerase, an enzyme that replicates DNA.

1957: Francis Crick proposes the central dogma (information in the DNA is translated into proteins through RNA) 1958: Matthew Meselson and Franklin Stahl describe how DNA replicates (semiconservative replication).

1960-63: Julius Marmur and Paul Doty show separation of DNA strands and reformation of DNA double-helical structure - DNA renaturation/hybridization

1961–1966: Robert W. Holley, Har Gobind Khorana, Heinrich Matthaei, Marshall W. Nirenberg, and colleagues crack the genetic code.

1968–1970: Werner **Arber**, Hamilton **Smith**, and Daniel **Nathans** use **restriction enzymes** to cut DNA in specific places for the first time.

1972: Paul Berg uses restriction enzymes to create the first piece of recombinant DNA.

1977: Frederick Sanger, Allan Maxam, and Walter Gilbert develop methods to sequence DNA.

1982: The first drug (human insulin), based on recombinant DNA, on the market. 1983: Kary **Mullis** invents **PCR** as a method for amplifying DNA in vitro.

1990: Sequencing of the human genome begins.

1995: First complete sequence of the genome of a free-living organism (the bacterium Haemophilus influenzae) is published.

1996: The complete genome sequence of the first eukaryotic organism—the yeast S. cerevisiae—is published.

1998: Complete genome sequence of the first multicellular organism—the nematode worm Caenorhabditis elegans—is published.

1999: Sequence of the first human chromosome (22) is published.

2000: The complete sequences of the genomes of the **fruit fly Drosophila** and the **first plant—Arabidopsis**—are published.

2001: The complete sequence of the human genome is published.

2002: The complete genome sequence of the first mammalian model organism—the **mouse**—is published.



Interweaving of the historical tradition of biochemistry, cell biology, and genetics. These three disciplines, which originally were considered to be quite separate, have become intertwined to yield a true molecular biology, the subject matter of present-day biochemistry. Darwin C. 1858: Book - On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection Mendel G. 1866 Miescher F. 1871 papers

Charles Darwin - Important claims:

A. Universal Common Descent - Tree of Life - the first one-celled organism, representing the root or trunk of the Tree, gradually developed and changed over many generations into new and more complex forms, representing the branches

B. Natural Selection as a mechanism responsible for the branching pattern Variations in living forms arise at random Nature selects the adaptive ones Adaptive organism survive and reproduce Inherited adaptations may cause population changes

Darwin understand neither how genetic traits were passed to the progeny nor how the variations arose. He is a founder of Evolution Biology

At present: - Natural Selection as a mechanism for relatively simple processes is fully confirmed

- Universal Common Descent - Tree of Life and the role of natural selection in the origin of species are questioned

Horizontal gene transfer - cell conglomerate instead of single cell ancestor

On the evolution of cells

Carl R. Woese*

Department of Microbiology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 601 South Goodwin Avenue, 8103 Chemical and Life Sciences Laboratory, Urbana, 8, 61801-3709

Contributed by Carl R. Woese, May 3, 2002

A theory for the evolution of cellular organization is presented. The model is based on the (data supported) conjecture that the dynamic of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is primarily determined by the organization of the recipient cell. Aboriginal cell designs are taken to be simple and loosely organized enough that all cellular componentry can be altered and/or-displaced through HGT, making HGT the principal driving force in early cellular evolution. Primitive cells did not carry a stable organismal genealogical trace. Primitive cellular evolution is basically communal. The high level of novelty required to evolve cell designs is a product of communal invention, of the universal HGT field, not intralineage variation. It is the community as a whole, the ecosystem, which evolves. The individual cell designs that evolved in this way are nevertheless fundamentally distinct, because the initial conditions in each case are somewhat different. As a cell design becomes more complex and interconnected a critical point is reached where a more integrated cellular organization emerges, and vertically generated novelty can and does assume greater importance. This critical point is called the "Darwinian Threshold" for the reasons given.

The evolution of modern cells is arguably the most challenging and important problem the field of Biology has ever faced (1, 2). In Darwin's day the problem could hardly be imagined. For much of the 20th century it was intractable. In any case, the problem lay buried in the catch-all rubric "origin of life"-where, because it is a biological not a (bio)chemical problem, it was effectively ignored. Scientific interest in cellular evolution started to pick up once the universal phylogenetic tree, the framework within which the problem had to be addressed, was determined (refs. 3 and 4; Fig. 1). But it was not until microbial genomics arrived on the scene that biologists could actually do much about the problem of cellular evolution.

Initial attempts to frame the issue have typically been in the classical Darwinian mode, and the focus to date has been almost exclusively on modeling the evolution of the eukaryotic cell. The reason, of course, is clear-the appeal of the endosymbiosis concept. Because endosymbiosis has given rise to the chloroplast and mitochondrion, what else could it have done in the more remote past? Biologists have long toyed with an endosymbiotic (or cellular fusion) origin for the eukaryotic nucleus, and even for the entire eukaryotic cell (4-10). These classical explanations have three characteristics: they (i) invoke cells that are basically fully evolved; (ii) evolve the essential eukaryotic cell well after its archaeal and bacterial counterparts (as has always been connoted by the term "prokaryote"); and (iii) focus attention on eukaryotic cellular evolution, which implies that the evolutions of the "prokaryotic" cell types, the archaeal and bacterial, are of a different character-simpler, and, it would seem, less interesting. We cannot expect to explain cellular evolution if we stay locked into the classical Darwinian mode of thinking.

The universal phylogenetic tree in one sense brought classical evolution to culmination. Darwin had said: "The time will come ... when we shall have very fairly true genealogical trees of each great kingdom of nature" (11). A century later the universal phylogenetic tree based on molecular (rRNA) sequence comparisons did precisely that and went the further, final step to unify all of the "great kingdoms" into one single "empire" (3). The central question posed by the universal tree is the nature of the entity (or state) represented by its root, the fount of all extant life. Herein lies the door to the murky realm of cellular evolution. Experience teaches that the complex tends to arise from the simple, and biologists have assumed it so in the case of modern cells. But this assumption is usually accompanied by another not so self-evident one: namely that the "organism" represented by the root of the universal tree was equivalent metabolically and in terms of its information processing to a modern cell, in effect way a modern cell. Such an assumption pushes the real evolution of modern cells back into an earlier era, which makes the problem not directly addressable through genomics. That is not a scientifically acceptable assumption. Unless or until facts dictate otherwise, the possibility must be entertained that some part of cellular evolution could have occurred during the period compassed by the universal phylogenetic tree.

There is evidence, good evidence, to suggest that the basic organization of the cell had not yet completed its evolution at the stage represented by the root of the universal tree. The best of this evidence comes from the three main cellular informationprocessing systems. Translation was highly developed by that stage: rRNAs, tRNAs, and the (large) elongation factors were by then all basically in near modern form; hence, their universal distributions. Almost all of the tRNA charging systems were in modern form as well (12). But, whereas the majority of ribosomal proteins are universal in distribution, a minority of them is not. A relatively small cadre is specific to the bacteria, a somewhat larger set common and confined to the archaea and eukaryotes. and a few others are uniquely eukaryotic.

Almost all of the universal translational proteins (as well as those in transcription) show what is called the canonical pattern. i.e., the bacterial and archaeal versions of the protein are remarkably different from one another, so much so that their difference is distinguished as one of "genre" (12). Except for the aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases the corresponding eukaryotic ver-sions are virtually all of the archaeal genre (12). Why canonical pattern exists is a major unanswered question (3). In the overall t would seem that translation, although highly developed at the root of the universal tree, subsequently underwent idiosyncratic modifications in each of the three major cell types.

Transcription seems to have been rather less developed at the root of the universal tree. The two largest (the catalytic) subunits of the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase, β and β' in bacterial nomenclature, are universal in distribution. But the remaining bacterial subunit (a) is only partially so. Bacterial a exists in two copies in the bacterial polymerase. Its archaeal/eukaryotic counterpart comprises two distinct proteins, each present in single copy in the enzyme and (portions of) each showing homology to (somewhat different) portions of bacterial a and vice versa (13). A structural difference of this magnitude must represent at least some functional distinction. The archaeal transcription apparatus also contains additional (smaller) sub-units, none of which are found in bacteria but all of which occur in eukaryotes (13). [As in the case of translation, the (three) eukarvotic mechanism(s) contain additional eukarvote-specific small subunits.] Bacterial transcription initiation does not re-

Abbreviations, HGT, horizontal gena transfer; SMA, supramorecular apprepate. *E-mail: cari@phylo.He uluc edu

REVISED "TREE" OF LIFE retains a treelike structure at the top of the eukaryotic domain and acknowledges that eukaryotes obtained mitochondria and chloroplasts from bacteria. But it also includes an extensive network of untreelike links between branch-Animals Fungi Plants es. Those links have been inserted somewhat randomly to symbolize the rampant lateral gene transfer of single or multiple genes that has always occurred between unicellu-lar organisms. This "tree" also lacks a single cell at the root; the three major domains of life probably arose from a population of primitive cells that differed in their genes. BACTERIA ARCHAEA Other bacteria Cyanobacteria Crenarchaeota Eurvarchaeota Proteobacteria Cillates Other singlecell eukaryotes rise to mitochondria

The Author grees from Harvard and Stanford universi-

ties, is professor of biochemistry and molec-

ular biology at Dalhousie University in Hali-

fax, Nova Scotia, and director of the Program

in Evolutionary Biology of the Canadian In-

Further Information

Sciences, Vol. 95, No. 12, pages 6854–6859; June 9, 1998. YOU ARE WHAT YOU EAT: A GENE TRANSFER RACHET COULD ACCOUNT FOR BACTERIAL

GENES IN EUKARYOTIC NUCLEAR GENOMES. W. Ford Doolittle in Trends in Genetics, Vol. 14, No. 8, pages 307-311; August 1998.

· PHYLOGENETIC CLASSIFICATION AND THE UNIVERSAL TREE. W. Ford Doolittle in Science, Vol. 284, pages 2124-2128; June 25, 1999.

Uprooting the Tree of Life

stitute for Advanced Research.

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN February 2000 77

EUKARYOTES

8742-8747 PHUS | June 25, 2002 | vol. 95 no. 13

www.pnas.org.cpi.doi/10.1073/pnas.132266999

Biology's next revolution

The emerging picture of microbes as gene-swapping collectives demands a revision of such concepts as ergenism, species and evolution itself.

Nigal Goldenfeld and Carl Weape

Over of the mass fundamental patents of selectific discovery is the revolution in thought that eccargonates a new body of situs, baid Re-based coversony into the tag the past downlos overstates on our most distribution blass of constallagy, separately theore soluting to the data dynamics and as repeating on the data dynamics and

Reniming the convergence of fract theoreturnal lefe as in overlations must the communrevolution of generatin data will predictionly infer over a identical data will be interplated and its likely to least to revision of conservations on angletic why we foreast out of the relative transformation, and we believe the restored for relativistic star instead (we attend to reducting the starrought by as interplation of plates and the information collection optimizers and the terms collection optimizers.

"The planets start is harmonical gene to enthe (19617), the man-general region to consider of generics unitered there are organized to an other or their form over bacterias to an other or fram, or new to bacteria. Around, millionbes, 19817 is provide a said portal data of four provident in the region of the provided as four provident in the region of a start of the organized oppositement. Overlap, to 19817, it is not a good oppositement on the region of a start opposite oppositement of the anternative the oppositement in the region of the thermological induction is previous organized advantage induction is previous organized advantage traducts that a many other incoming of composite inducts in the start of the start of the traduction of the traduction is previous and by composition

In the weld, some has form comparation. travale blockers and edden and particle to biograchemical codes. The available stadias denergy inducto that estavolus shorth and discard gapes as needed, to requester to their province and Rather User of areas SALE-PERS, WEIGHT & CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT, possibilities, which casts doubl on the ve lightly of the consense of a 'spaces' where entertial is to the prioritical probability of the prioritical σ to ensue of the spruce concept is intervent to the mean forces into managements a - the stab of generate recovered from restand samples to general to signal solution. For energie, states et des gotte dus featers of readenate scenes in enuine advectors nggani mula generary kompanyahing yan-daring arang hataris (remakan) asaripersonal pressure distant.

Reputity continues to the realization that version-bases information and in the regiment, in least transmitten and least-terms



mannery of a community's general information, contributing to the system's evolutionary slymento-s and stability. This is learned at, for example, by prophage endestance, in which virus-s takent as calls our become nethysteal by controversential information. The sample, destruction of the solution while argituation is a potent memberias for the descarded effects and such means.

It is benering show that not consignmentinner a remarkable disting to reconstruct matching generation in the face of direct content of collective international with viewers are their collective international with viewers range becaused to this. In such a chariton, how wild, a the vary concept of encorponent in bothtion? It seems that there is a contrastity of energy durated informational interaction from the generator up through only, continuously, viewegener and informational interaction from the generator up through only, continuously, viewegener and interaction of interaction from the generator of the store of the content of a rempy, show how, and show a respectively.

Notehorn sum the trapilentieux of onlectors phonomena, modileted by 10020, so parvasive and important to its evolution. A computer establish adjet term the uniftense information to portained to movem integ system, by when hall arrow the moconstruction of translation. represented in particular by the genetic work, a shown a by the cloudy the genetic work, a shown a by the cloudy the genetic work, a shown a by the cloudy the genetic work, a shown a by the cloudy the genetic work, as form of the mode of the statistics of the state of the state. more powerful endy forms of HEIT. Hallmanent in rough the boriesetal sharang of genetic incombines would have trippared as anyiorkers of parentic reveals, and it the level of energianity roughted in tenasttheric the meren is one of vertical constitution. Thus, we again as reportable the conventional monotonic in reportable the conventional monotonic of Derivide mean with qualitation, because other monifolding recaltal sharp a manifered.

The constant of the probability to the biology, because the perspective we leave to biology with the constant that meant measured by suggestation development that meant measured ways of the trapportance of collastive pleneoremus. Questions suggested by the generate successful and constant with gravitative pleneorem, the subsection and gravitative require mechanism of the splett of statistical mechanisms, and the splett of statistical mechanisms, and the splett of statistical mechanisms, and the splett of statistical mechanisms, the converse approach of post-trac, in these, the converse approach of post-trac, in the splett development approach of post-trac, in the splett development approach of post-trace and the present and some change to other the present and many

Investigan, large-provinces honoran and not these interviewing, as in the same of the would previously the research and the time Correspondence and Sensor to We focuse that un biologis, new concepts will resulter conve Languages provided in productions and they discours in an enging from the data we have highlighted. During an order produces. Anothe Involute shortwelling scientific programs, like workston, such processes a Challenge of conservation lives "We cannot unpose the bases of any science without at the serve true in where its data the science shells incidences we as the other hand, buseowe a street without impossing the language or nonnen latze which belongs to it." helenyis deat, to must this dedicates. Nigal Calebrahid is in the Department of Physics and Institute for Canonic Beings, University of Filesia & Urbana-Campaign, 1110 West Breen Struck, Urkans, Throis 61001 USA Carl Women is in the Department of Microbiology and I withday for Care-ris Beises, 601 Josh Carelola Avenue, Urbane, Elizada \$100%, USA.

*16. • 4 400 A 100 Å have et & Alexandra A Marson, i & Helener A Advandage Marson (1990) *1 have Marson (1990) *20 at 20 at 20

For sting many or this paint, on 1705

Thus we regard as regrettable the conventional concatenation of Darwin's name with evolution, because other modalities must also be considered

JOHANN GREGOR MENDEL

* 1822 in Hynčice (Moravia, Austro-Hungarian Empire)
+ 1884 in Brno (buried at Central Cemetery in Brno)

discovered through breeding experiments with peas that traits are inherited based on specific laws (later to be termed "Mendel's laws"). By mentioning Elements of Heredity he predicted DNA and genes (published 1866, lecture in Brno 1965) In the 1950's Mendelism declared to be a reactionary teaching (LYSENKO, LEPESHINSKAYA)

Mendel statue removed and its destruction ordered Brno geneticist J. Kříženecký jailed His pupil V. Orel forced to work manually in industry

1964 attempts to rehabilitate Mendel

Academicians B. Němec (biologist) and F. ŠORM (biochemist, President of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences) backed by Soviet Academicians. Dealing between N. Khrushtchov, A. Novotný (President of Czechoslovakia), F. Šorm and biologist J. Pospíšil (later the Party Secretary) resulted in the decision to organize an international conference in 1968 (100 anniversary of publication of Mendel's paper) in Brno (F. Šorm warned by Novotný that his attempts may result in the end of his career if the action will get out of control). Beginning of Mendel's Museum in Brno

A milestone not only in the approach of Party and State to Mendel but also a beginning of rehabilitation of SCIENCE against the COMMUNIST IDEOLOGY



Brno Augustinians 1860-62

Abbot C. Napp





Mendel's Medal, Moravian Museum, Brno



Abbot G. Mendel



Teachers of Brno gymnasium (High School)

G J MENDEL, priest, teacher, scientist and abbot in BRNO

THE STATUE STORY

In 1906 Dr. Hugo Iltis, the gymnasium professor in Brno organized an international collection to build the Mendel's Statue in Brno. Created by a French sculpturer T. Charlemont the Statue was errected at the Mendel Square in 1910

In 1956 Mendel's Statue was ordered by the Regional Authorities to be destroyed. The workers who were supposed to the job decided not to do it because they believed that the statue was nice. Moreover it would be difficult to destroy it.



After February 1948 Soviet "Lysenkism" (T. D. Lysenko 1896-1974) strongly affected biology in Czechoslovakia. After Stalin death (1953) attempts were made by soviet scientists (particularly by physists and chemists) to substitute Lysenko's

"materialistic biology" for normal science and by the end of 1950's <u>plans were made to organize in Brno International Mendel</u> Memorial Symposium. In 1962 Lysenko's work was criticized by the Soviet Academy but

still in September 1964 N.S. Khrushtchov raised objections against the Mendel Symposium in 1965 in Brno. During his visit in Prague he dealt with the President A. Novotny who finally agreed with the meeting organization after the President of the Academy F. Sorm personally guaranteed that the Symposium will not be politically misused. (F. Sorm was well informed about the activities of the influential Soviet scientist to rehabilitate fully the genetics - Soon after his visit of this country N.S. Khrushtchov was removed from his position).

Before the Smposium the Director of the Institute of Biophysics prof. F. Hercik was entrusted by the Academy to help with the organization of the Mendel International Meeting in Brno. To fulfill his duties he turned to the City Authorities asking to move the Mendel's Statue to the Abbey garden. As his request was ignored he asked his graduate students J. Koudelka and B. Janík to move the Statue from the Abbey yard to the garden. Both fellows were quite strong young men but they found the marble Statue too heavy.

1844 - 1895 Friedrich MIESCHER

1. sdělení v r. 1871

Žák **Hoppe-Seylera** v **Tübingen** se zabýval izolací jaderných komponent (z hnisajících buněk, které získával z tamnější chirurgie). Buňky hydrolyzoval pepsinem-HCI a po třepání s eterem izoloval jádra jako separovanou vrstvu na dně nádoby. Z tohoto materiálu "**nuklein"** reagoval kysele, rychle se rozpouštěl ve zřeď. louhu a obsahoval velké množství P.

Vysoký obsah P byl považován za velmi pozoruhodný - jediná tehdy známá organická látka obsažená v tkáni - lecitin. Když F.M. předložil práci k publ. shledal ji H.S. tak překvapující, že ji odmítl uveřejnit, dokud ji sám neprověřil.

F.M. se pak vrátil do Baselu, kde nalezl vhodnější materiál k izolaci nukleinu v hlavičkách spermií lososa - z nich nuklein o vysoké m.v. a zásaditý materiál bílkovinné povahy, který nazval protamin; obsah P v nukleinu 9,59 %.

Purinové base (A,G) objevili Piccard a Kossel (1874-85) U 1885, Altman nazval nuklein poprvé nukleová kyselina, NK (nukleinsäure) (1889); koncem 19. století identifikován T a vzápětí C.

Kolem roku **1930** již známy **DNA** (thymus) a **RNA** (kvasnice) i jejich základní složení. Ve čtyřicátých letech - **DNA v jádře**, **RNA v** cytoplazmě a jádře.



F. Miescher

W. His

F. Hoppe-Seyler A. STRECKER

Fig. 1. Friedrich Miescher and his mentors. (A) Friedrich Miescher (1844-1895) as a young man. (B) Wilhelm His (1831-1904), Miescher's uncle. His still is famous for his work on the fate of cells and tissues during embryonic development and for his insights into neuroembryology. He, for example, discovered neuroblasts and coined the term bdendriteQ (Finger, 1994; Shepherd, 1991). (C) Felix Hoppe-Seyler (1825-1895), one of the pioneers of physiological chemistry (now biochemistry). Hoppe-Seyler performed seminal work on the properties of proteins, most notably hemoglobin (which he named), introduced the term bproteidQ (which later became bproteinQ), and worked extensively on fermentation and oxidation processes as well as lipid metabolism (Perutz, 1995). He was instrumental in founding Germany's first independent institute for physiological chemistry (in 1884) and in 1877 founded and edited the first journal of biochemistry, the Zeitschrift fu-r Physiologische Chemie, which still exists today as Biological Chemistry. (D) Adolf Strecker (1822-1871), a leading figure in chemistry in the mid-19th century and professor at the University of Tubingen from 1860 to 1870. Among other achievements, he was the first to synthesize aamino acid (alanine from acetaldehyde via its condensation product with ammonia and hydrogen cyanide) in a reaction known today as Strecker synthesis (Strecker, 1850). (E) Carl Ludwig (1816-1895), a protagonist in the field of physiology in the second half of the 19th century. His focus was the physiology of the nervous system and its sensory organs. In 1869, he founded Leipzig's Physiological Institute.



Hoppe-Seyler's laboratory around 1879

Fig. 2. Photograph of Felix Hoppe-Scyler's laboratory around 1879. Prior to becoming the chemical laboratory of Tübingen University in 1823, this room was Tübinger castle's launtry. Here, Hoppe-Scyler had made ground-breaking discoveries regarding the properties of hemoglobiri. This achievement was a significent step for later investigations into the properties and functions of this and other proteins. Photography by Paul Sinner, Tübingen.



F. Miescher's laboratory

Fig. 4. The laboratory in the former kitchen of the castle in Tibingon as it was in 1879. It was in this room that Miescher had discovered DNA 10 years earlier. The equipment and features available to Miescher at the time would have been very sirriba, with a large distillation appendum in the far corner of the room to produce distilled water and several smaller utenzils, such as glass alembics and a glass distillation column on the side board. Photography by Paul Sinner, Tübingen.



Tübingen castle

A, in Miescher's time

B, at present

FIRST PROTOCOL

Before attempting the isolation of cells from the pus on surgical bandages, Miescher took great care to ensure that his source material was fresh and not contaminated. He painstakingly examined it and discarded everything that showed signs of decomposition, either in terms of smell, appearance under the microscope, or by having turned acidic. A great deal of the material he could obtain did not meet these strict requirements (Miescher, 1871d). Those samples that did were subsequently used to isolate leucocytes.

In a first step, Miescher separated the leucocytes from the bandaging material and the serum (Miescher, 1869a, 1871d). This separation posed a problem for Miescher. Solutions of NaCl or a variety of alkaline or alkaline earth salt solutions used to wash the pus resulted in a "slimy swelling" of the cells, which was impossible to process further (His, 1897b). (This "slimy swelling" of the cells was presumably due to high-molecular-weight DNA, which had been extracted from cells that had been damaged.) Only when Miescher tried a dilute solution of sodium sulfate [a mixture of one part cold saturated Glauber's salt (Na2SO4d 10 H2O) solution and nine parts water] to wash the bandages did he manage to successfully isolate distinct leucocytes, which could be filtered out through a sheet to remove the cotton fibers of the bandaging. Miescher subsequently let the washing solution stand for 1-2 h to allow the cells to sediment and inspected the leucocytes microscopically to confirm that they did not show any signs of damage. Having isolated the cells, Miescher next had to separate the nuclei from the cytoplasm. This had never been achieved before and Miescher had to develop new protocols. He washed the cells by rinsing them several (6-10) times with fresh solutions of diluted (1:1000) hydrochloric acid over a period of several weeks at "wintry temperatures" (which were important to avoid degradation). This procedure removed most of the cells' bprotoplasm, Q leaving behind the nuclei. The residue from this treatment consisted in part of isolated nuclei and of nuclei with only little fragments of cvtoplasm left attached. Miescher showed that these nuclei could no longer be stained yellow by iodine solutions, a method commonly used at the time for detecting cytoplasm (Arnold, 1898; Kiernan, 2001). He then vigorously shook the nuclei for an extended period of time with a mixture of water and ether. This caused the lipids to dissolve in the ether while those nuclei, still attached to cytoplasm, collected at the water/ether interface.

By contrast, the clean nuclei without contaminating cytoplasm were retained in the water phase. Miescher filtered these nuclei and examined them under a microscope. He noticed that in this way he could obtain completely pure nuclei with a smooth contour, homogeneous content, sharply defined nucleolus, somewhat smaller in comparison to their original volumes (Miescher, 1871d).

Miescher subsequently extracted the isolated nuclei with alkaline solutions. When adding highly diluted (1:100,000) sodium carbonate to the nuclei, he noticed that they would swell significantly and become translucent. Miescher then isolated a yellow solution of a substance from these nuclei. By adding acetic acid or hydrochloric acid in excess, he could obtain an insoluble, flocculent precipitate (DNA). Miescher noted that he could dissolve the precipitate again by adding alkaline solutions.

Although this protocol allowed Miescher for the first time to isolate nuclein in appreciable purity and quantities, it was still too little and not pure enough for his subsequent analyses. He consequently improved on this protocol until he established the protocol detailed in Box 2, which enabled him to purify sufficient amounts of nuclein for his first set of experiments on its elementary composition.

M. SECOND PROTOCOL TO ISOLATE DNA

A key concern of Miescher's was to get rid of contaminating proteins, which would have skewed his analyses of the novel substance. "I therefore turned to an agent that was already being used in chemistry with albumin molecules on account of its strong protein-dissolving action, namely, pepsin solutions (Miescher, 1871d). Pepsin is a proteolytic enzyme present in the stomach for digesting proteins. Miescher used it to separate the DNA from the proteins of the cells' cytoplasm. He extracted the pepsin for his experiments from pig stomachs by washing the stomachs with a mixture of 10 cc of fuming hydrochloric acid and one liter of water and filtering the resulting solution until it was clear. In contrast to his earlier protocol, Miescher first washed the pus cells (leucocytes) three or four times with warm alcohol to remove lipids. He then let the residual material digest with the pepsin solution between 18 and 24 h at 37-458C. After only a few hours, a fine gray powdery sediment of isolated nuclei separated from a yellow liquid. Miescher continued the digestion process, changing the pepsin solution twice. After this procedure, a precipitate of nuclei without any attached cytoplasm formed. He shook the sediment several times with ether in order to remove the remaining lipids. Afterwards, he filtered the nuclei and washed them with water until there was no longer any trace of proteins. He described the nuclei isolated in this way as naked (...). The contours were smooth in some cases or slightly eaten away in others (Miescher, 1871d). Miescher washed the nuclei again several times with warm alcohol and noted that the bnuclear mass cleaned in this way exhibited the same chemical behavior as the nuclei isolated with hydrochloric acid.

Miescher subsequently extracted the isolated nuclei using the same alkaline extraction protocol he had previously employed on the intact cells (see Box 1) and, when adding an excess of acetic acid or hydrochloric acid to the solution, again obtained a precipitate of nuclein.



Fig. 5. Glass vial containing nuclein isolated from salmon sperm by Friedrich Miescher while working at the University of Basel. The faded label reads Nuclein aus Lachssperma, F. Miescher (Nuclein from salmon sperm, F. Miescher). Possession of the Interfakult-res Institut fqr Biochemie (Interfacultary Institute for Biochemistry), University of Tubingen, Germany: photography by Alfons Renz, University of Tubingen, Germany.



Fig. 6. This picture of Friedrich Miescher in his later years is the frontispiece on the inside cover of the two volume collection of Miescher's scientific publications, his letters, lecture manuscripts, and papers published posthumously by Wilhelm His and others (His et al., 1897a,b).



Figure 4.8

(a) 1944: Oswald T. Avery, Colin MacLeod, and Maclyn McCarty demonstrate that Griffith's transforming principle is not a protein, but rather DNA, suggesting that DNA may function as the genetic material

(b) 1952: Alfred Hershey and Martha Chase use viruses (bacteriophage T2) to confirm DNA as the genetic material by demonstrating that during infection viral DNA enters the bacteria while the viral proteins do not and that this DNA can be found in progeny virus particles.



FIGURE 6.9 A comparison of the A, B, and Z forms of DNA. Part (a) shows side views of all A, B and left-handed Z-DNA as we know them now How did we arrive to them ?





Double helical conformations of DNA: (left) A-DNA, (center) B-DNA, (right) Z-DNA.



21st Anniversary: The DNA Double Helix Comes of Age

NO. 4356 April 25, 1953 NATURE MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF NUCLEIC ACIDS

A Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid

W^E wish to suggest a structure for the salt of deoxyribose nucleic acid (D.N.A.). This structure has novel features which are of considerable biological interest.

A structure for nucleic acid has already been proposed by Pauling and Corey¹. They kindly made their manuscript available to us in advance of publication. Their model consists of three interwined chains, with the phosphates near the fibre axis, and the bases on the outside. In our opinion, this structure is unsatisfactory for two reasons : (1) We believe that the material which gives the X-ray diagrams is the salt, not the free acid. Without the acidic hydrogen atoms it is not clear what forces would hold the structure together, especially as the negatively charged phosphates near the axis will repel each other. (2) Some of the van der Waals distances appear to be too small.

Another three-chain structure has also been suggested by Fraser (in the press). In his model the phosphates are on the outside and the bases on the inside, linked together by hydrogen bonds. This structure as described is rather ill-defined, and for this reason we shell not comment

on it. We wish to put forward lly different structure for it o deoxyribese hucleic this structure has two radical chains each coiled round he same axis (see diagram). We have made the usual chemical assumptions, namely, that each chain consists of phosphate diester groups joining B-D-deoxyribofuranose residues with 3',5' linkages. The two chains (but not their bases) are related by a dyad perpendicular to the fibre axis. Both chains follow righthanded" helices, but owing to the dyad the sequences of the atoms in the two chains run in opposite directions. Each chain loosely resembles Furberg's' model No. 1; that is, the bases are on the inside of the helix and the phosphates on This figure is purely diagrammatic. The two the outside. The configuration tibbons symbolize the two phosphate—sugar chains, and the hori-zontal rods the pairs of of the sugar and the atoms near it is close to Furberg's 'standard configuration', the bases holding the chains together. The vertical sugar being roughly perpendicular to the attached base. There ne marks the fibre axis is a residue on each chain every 3.4 A. in the z-direction. We have assumed an angle of 36° between adjacent residues in the same chain, so that the structure repeats after 10 residues on each chain, that is, after 34 A. The distance of a phosphorus atom from the fibre axis is 10 A. As the phosphates are on the outside, cations have easy access to them.

The structure is an open one, and its water content is rather high. At lower water contents we would expect the bases to tilt so that the structure could become more compact. The novel feature of the structure is the manner in which the two chains are held together by the purine and pyrimidine bases. The planes of the bases are perpendicular to the fibre axis. They are joined together in pairs, a single base from one chain being hydrogen-bonded to a single base from the other chain, so that the two lie side by side with identical z-co-ordinates. One of the pair must be a purine and the other a pyrimidine for bonding to occur. The hydrogen bonds are made as follows : purine position 1 to pyrimidine position 1; purine position 6 to pyrimidine position 6.

If it is assumed that the bases only occur in the structure in the most plausible tautomeric forms (that is, with the keto rather than the end yonfigurations) it is found that only specific plans of bases can bond together. These parts are : adenine (purine) with thymine (pyrimdire), and guanine (purine) with cytosine (pyrimdire).

(purine) with thymine (pyrimdine), and guanine (purine) with thymine (pyrimdine). In other words, if encignine forms one member of a pair, on either that, then on these assumptions the other member hust be thymine; similarly for guanine and cylosine. The sequence of bases on a single chain does not appear to be restricted in any weak. However, it oby specific pairs of bases can be formed, it follows that if the sequence of bases on the onain is given, then the sequence on the other data is subomatically determined.

It has been found experimentally^{3,4} that the ratio of the amounts of adenine to thymine, and the ratio of guanine to cytosine, are always very close to unity for deoxyribose nucleic acid.

It is probably impossible to build this structure with a ribose sugar in place of the deoxyribose, as the extra oxygen atom would make too close a van der Waals contact.

The previously published X-ray data^{5,4} on deoxyribose nucleic acid are insufficient for a rigorous test of our structure. So far as we can tell, it is roughly compatible with the experimental data, but it must be regarded as unproved until it has been checked against more exact results. Some of these are given in the following communications. We were not aware of the details of the results presented there when we devised our structure, which rests mainly though not entirely on published experimental data and stereochemical arguments.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

Full details of the structure, including the conditions assumed in building it, together with a set of co-ordinates for the atoms, will be published elsewhere.

We are much indebted to Dr. Jerry Donohue for constant advice and criticism, especially on interatomic distances. We have also been stimulated by a knowledge of the general nature of the unpublished experimental results and ideas of Dr. M. H. F. Wilkins, Dr. R. E. Franklin and their co-workers at King's College, London. One of us (J, D, W_{+}) has been aided by a fellowship from the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis.

> J. D. WATSON F. H. C. CRICK

Medical Research Council Unit for the Study of the Molecular Structure of Biological Systems, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge. April 2.

1953

A paragraph dealing with nucleic acids from a text book of <u>Organic Chemistry</u> (in Czech) is shown. Briefly, it says nucleic acids (NA's) form complexes with proteins which are the building blocks of plant and animal viruses and of cell nucleus. Total hydrolysis of NA's proceeds according to the following scheme:



alkaline hydrolysis enzym. digestion **Polynucleotide** → mononucleotide → uracil or purine bases

Considering that uracil and adenine were discovered in 1885 and G in 1844 while C in 1894 and T in 1900, our lectures on NA's were up-todate in 1885 but not in 1894

In courses of **Marxism-Leninism** (obligatory to all students) we were tought that **G. Mendel was a burgeous reactionary pseudoscientist**. Interestingly there was **not a single chemist** among us **who believed it**. To my surprise there were some biologists who took this nonsenses seriously

Chargaff's Rules

Tetranucleotide hypothesis originated in 1906: DNA is a "statistical tetranucleotide". During the 1950's E. Chargaff showed a number of DNAs, which differ in their base content. Chargaff's rules: 1. 6-amino residues = 6-keto-residues; in another expression A+C = G+T; 2. py = pu; C+T = G+A 3. A/T = G/C = 1 (consequence of combining equations 1 and 2)

Watson and Crick (1953) proposed their famous double-helical structure of B-form of DNA on the ground of Chargaff's rules

- X-ray diffraction of DNA fibers obtained by Maurice Wilkins and Rosalind Franklin
- Construction of molecular models

This structure consists of two antiparallel helical strands. One turn contains 10 residues in every strand, the distance between bases is 3.4 A, the bases are almost perpendicular to the axis, the phosphate group is 9 A from the axis. Bases are specifically paired through hydrogen bonds - AT and GC. The strands are complementary - hydrogen bonds between two strands, the bases are inside the structure. Difference from α -helix in polypeptides. Further forms A and C (besides B): dependence on humidity. The differences are principally in the tilt of bases and in the number of residues per turn, strands are commonly antiparallel, bases are stacked and base pairs located in one plane. It seems that the B-form is the prevalent one in solution as well as in cells and viral particles.

Crick, Watson and Wilkins: Nobel Prize 1962

"The structure is produced like a rabbit out of a hat, with no indication as to how we arrived at it"

F. Crick, NATURE 248(1974) 766- on the occasion of the 21st anniversary of the discovery (commenting their first paper in NATURE). What experimental evidence was available to W+C in 1953?

X-RAY FIBER ANALYSIS OF DNA

represented the main evidence for the Watson-Crick double helix model This method enabled analysis of high-molecular DNA, but provided only few basic parameters of the helix. such as

Cx0

(d)

Syn #c. + +130"

(c)

Les den - 25

81. - - 35"

distance between base pairs

number of base residues per turn

Further data were derived from model building considering the laws of structural chemistry

Base pairing from physical-chemical measurements Text

Sugar configuration (PUCKER)

Angles of the glycosidic bonds were fixed within certain limits

Handedness of the helix The direction of rotation was guessed and then subjected to testing





DNA is a polyanionic biomacromolecule with bases in its interior and sugar-phosphate backbone on the surface. At neutral pH it carries one negative charge per nucleotide. Below pH 5 and and above pH 9 ionization of bases become important

Parameters of DNA structures

TABLE 1

Comparison of A-, B-, and Z-DNA

Helix sense	A-DNA* right-handed	B-DNA* right-handed	B'-DNA⁵ right-handed	Z-DNA [®] left-hande
Base pairs per turn	11	10	10	12 (6 dime
Helix twist (°)	32.7	36.0	34.1, 36.8	-10, -50
Rise per base pair (Å)	2.9	3.4	3.5, 3.3	3.7
Helix pitch (Å)	32	34	34	45
Base pair tilt (°)	13	0	0	- 7
P distance from helix axis (Å)	9.5	9.3	9.1	6.9, 8.0
Glycosidic orientation	anti	anti	anti	anti, syn
Sugar conformation	C3'-endo	Wide range	C2'-endo	C2'-endo, C3 endoª

- Numerical values for each form were obtained by averaging the global parameters of corresponding double-helix fragments.
- B'-DNA values are for a double helix backbone conformation alternating between conforr tional states I and II.
- The two values given correspond to CpG and GpC steps for the twist and P distance valu to cytosine and guanosine for the others.
- ³ Two values correspond to the two conformational states. From Kennard, O. and Hunter, W. *Q. Rev. Biophys.*, 22, 3427, 1989. With permission.



Double helical conformations of DNA: (left) A-DNA, (center) B-DNA, (right) Z-DNA.

DNA structures from X-ray crystal analysis

DNA double helix is polymorphic depending on the nucleotide sequence

TABLE 2 Average Helical Parameters for Selected Right-Handed Structures

	Helix	Rise per base pair	Base pair	Propeller		e width Å)	Displacement		
	twist (°)	(Å)	tilt (°)	twist (°)	Minor	Major	Da (Å)		
A-form									
d(GGTATACC)	32	2.9	13	10	10.2	6.3	4.0		
d(GGGCGCCC)	32	3.3	7	12	9.5	10.1	3.7		
d(CTCTAGAG)	32	3.1	10	11	8.7	8.0	3.6		
r(GCG)d(TATACGC)	33	2.5	19	12	10.2	3.2	4.5		
r(UUAUAUAUAUAUAA)	33	2.8	17	19	10.2	3.7	3.6		
Fiber A-DNA	33	2.6	22	6	11.0	2.4	4.4		
B-form									
d(CGCGAATTCGCG)	36	3.3	2	13	5.3	11.7	-0.2		
d(CGCGAATTBrCGCG)	36	3.4	-2	18	4.6	12.2	-0.2		
Fiber B-DNA	36	3.4	2	13	6.0	11.4	-0.6		

BrC = 5-bronecytosimo. Adapted from Kennard, O. and Hunter, W. N., *Q. Rev. Biophys.*, 22, 327, 1989. With permission.



Physical methods such as NMR and X-ray analysis indispensable in the research of linear DNA structures are of limited use in studies of local structures stabilized by supercoiling

Problems of life origin

What was first - DNA, RNA or protein?

Well-known Oxford zoologist Professor Richard Dawkins (who declares himself to be passionate fighter for the truth) writes in his book River out of Eden:

"At the beginning of Life Explosion there was no mind, no creativity, no intent, there was only chemistry"

Let us try to summarize what chemistry it was

New York Times

June 13, 2000, Tuesday SCIENCE DESK

Life's Origins Get Murkier and Messier; Genetic Analysis Yields Intimations of a Primordial Commune By By NICHOLAS WADE (NYT) 2179 words

The surface of the earth is molten rock. The oceans are steam or superheated water. Every so often a wandering asteroid slams in with such energy that any incipient crust of hardened rock is melted again and the oceans are reboiled to an incandescent mist. Welcome to Hades, or at least to what geologists call the <u>Hadean interval of earth's history</u>. It is reckoned to have lasted from the <u>planet's formation 4.6 billion years</u> ago until **3.8 billion years ago**, when the rain of ocean-boiling asteroids ended. The isua greenstone belt of western Green and, one of the oldest known rocks, was formed as the Hadean interval ended. And amazingly, to judge by chemical traces in the Isuan rocks. life on earth was already old.

Everything about the origin of life on earth is a mystery, and it seems the more that is known, the more acute the puzzles get.

The dates have become increasingly awkward. Instead of there being a billion or so years for the first cells to emerge from a warm broth of chemicals, <u>life seems to</u> <u>pop up almost instantly after the last of the titanic</u> <u>asteroid impacts</u> that routinely sterilized the infant planet. Last week, researchers reported discovering microbes that lived near volcanic vents formed 3.2 billion years ago, confirming that heat-loving organisms were among earth's earliest inhabitants.

The chemistry of the first life is a nightmare to

explain. No one has yet devised a plausible explanation to show how the earliest chemicals of life -- thought to be RNA, or ribonucleic acid, a close relative of DNA -- might have constructed themselves from the inorganic chemicals likely to have been around on the early earth. The **spontaneous assembly of small RNA molecules on the primitive** earth "would have been a near miracle," two experts in the subject helpfully declared last year.

A third line of inquiry into the beginnings of life has now also hit an unexpected roadblock. This is <u>phylogeny</u>, or the drawing of family trees of the various genes found in present-day forms of life. The idea is to run each gene tree backward to the ancestral gene at the root of the tree. <u>The</u> collection of all these ancestral genes should define the nature of the assumed universal ancestor, the living cell from which all the planet's life is descended. The universal ancestor would lie some distance away from life's origin from chemicals, but might at least give clues to how that process started.

"It is not so preposterous anymore to think of the common ancestor as a sort of Noah's ark, where pretty much every protein domain has been represented," Dr. Koonin said. The proteins of living organisms are composed of mix-and-match functional units known as domains.

Still, this idea is a disturbing concept. **Evolutionists are** accustomed to portraying the evolutionary process in terms of neatly branching trees, not Noah's arks.....
Problémy vzniku života na Zemi

EMIL PALEČEK

Biofyzikální ústav Akademie věd České republiky, Královopolská 135, 61265 Brno

Přijato do tisku

1.	Úvod	3
2.	Původ prebiotických molekul (biomonomerů)	5
	2.1. Abiotická syntéza v redukční atmosféře	5
	2.2. Import biomonomerů z vesmíru	6
	2.3. Podmořská syntéza na površích minerálů	
3.	Organizované systémy schopné replikace	
	3.1. Svět RNA	8
	3.2. "Světy" před světem RNA?	
	3.3. Metabolismus před přenosem genetické informace?	
4.	Problém společného předka a konstrukce genealogického stromu	
	4.1. Nový strom života bez společného předka?	
	4.2. Horizontální přenos genů a organizace primitivních buněk	
5.	Závěr	

1. Úvod

V úterý 13. června 2000 vyšel v *New York Times* článek "Life's Origins Get Murkier and Messier; Genetic Analysis Yields Intimations of a Primordial Commune" ("Původ života se stává mlhavější a zmatenější; genetická analýza naznačuje prvotní (buněčnou) komunu", překlad EP) (Wade 2000). Vzhledem k tomu, že nemám vždy úplnou důvěru k novinovým článkům zabývajícím se vědeckými problémy, rozhodl jsem se trochu podívat, co se o otázce vzniku života na Zemi píše ve vědecké literatuře. Nakonec jsem článku v *New York Times* musel dát za pravdu.

Mám v živé paměti přednášku, kterou přednesl před mnoha lety v Liblicích Harold Urey o vzniku aminokyselin v laboratorních podmínkách, napodobujících podmínky předpokládané na Zemi v době, kdy pravděpodobně vznikl život. Přednáška byla jednoduchá a elegantní a dávala tušit, že během několika málo desetiletí budou problémy vzniku života vědecky zcela objasněny. Experimenty Ureyho studenta Stanley Millera vycházely z předpokladu, že v době vzniku života existovala na Zemi silně redukční atmosféra (Miller 1953, Ring *et al.* 1972, Wolman *et al.* 1972). Literatura z pozdější doby však nasvědčuje tomu, že prebiotická atmosféra nebyla silně redukční, jak vyžadují experimenty zaměřené na prebiotickou syntézu stavebních kamenů bílkovin a nukleových kyselin, a že obsahovala kyslík (Florkin 1975, Lumsden a Hall 1975, Towe 1978, 1996, Carver 1981, E. PALEČEK

Woese, C.R. 2002. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99**: 8742. Wolman, Y., Haverland, W.J., Miller, S.L. 1972. - Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 69: 809.

E. Paleček (Institute of Biophysics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brno, Czech Republic) **Problems of life origin on the Earth**

There are three popular hypotheses attempting to explain the origin of prebiotic nucleic acid building blocks, i.e. (a) synthesis in a reducing atmosphere, (b) input in meteorites and (c) synthesis on surfaces of metal sulfides in deep sea vents. At present it is hard to say whether any of these hypotheses is correct. It is particularly difficult to imagine the prebiotic synthesis of cytosine based on the known chemistry; similarly the prebiotic synthesis of pyrimidine nucleosides and nucleotides represent unsolved problems. The progress in RNA chemistry and elucidation of their catalytic functions offer an interesting system that might play an important role in the origin of life but it appears highly impro-bable that such a complicated molecule as RNA could have appeared de novo on the primitive Earth. Unfortunately, it is unclear whether the RNA world was preceded by some simpler world. Darwin's idea that all living species have a single cell common ancestor is questionable. Recently Woese has suggested that the universal ancestor was probably not a single-celled organism but a commune - a loosely built conglomerate of diverse cells in which the horizontal transfer of genes played a critical role. New important discoveries are necessary for better understanding of the origin of life on Earth.



Abiotic synthesis of small organic molecules.

Miller, a graduate student who was working with Harold Urey, began the modern era in the study of the origin of life at a time when most people believed that the atmosphere of the early earth was strongly reducing. Miller⁶ subjected a mixture of methane, ammonia and hydrogen to an electric discharge and led the products into liquid water. He showed that a substantial percentage of the carbon in the gas mixture was incorporated into a relatively small group of simple organic molecules and that several of the naturally occurring amino acids were prominent among these products. This was a surprising result; organic chemists would have expected a muchless-tractable product mixture. The Urey-Miller experiments were widely accepted as a model of prebiotic synthesis of amino acids by the action of lightning.

PROBLEMS OF LIFE ORIGINS

S. Miller and H. Urey subjected mixture of methane, ammonia and hydrogen to an electric discharge and led the product into water ...

The Miller-Urey experiment attempted to recreate the chemical conditions of the primitive Earth in the laboratory, and synthesized some of the building blocks of life



but geologists showed that prebiotic atmosphere was not strongly reducing and not oxygen-free, differring from that expected by Miller and Urey Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 96, pp. 4396-4401, April 1999 Biochemistry

Prebiotic cytosine synthesis: A critical analysis and implications for the origin of life

ROBERT SHAPIRO*

Department of Chemistry, New York University, 100 Washington Square East, New York, NY 10003

Communicated by Leslie Orgel, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, San Diego, CA, January 25, 1999 (received for review November 19, 1998)

A number of theories propose that RNA, or ABSTRACT an RNA-like substance, played a role in the origin of life. Usually, such hypotheses presume that the Watson-Crick bases were readily available on prebiotic Earth, for spontaneous incorporation into a replicator. Cytosine, however, has not been reported in analyses of meteorites nor is it among the products of electric spark discharge experiments. The reported prebiotic syntheses of cytosine involve the reaction of cyanoacetylene (or its hydrolysis product, cyanoacetaldehyde), with cyanate, cyanogen, or urea. These substances undergo side reactions with common nucleophiles that appear to proceed more rapidly than cytosine formation. To favor cytosine formation, reactant concentrations are required that are implausible in a natural setting. Furthermore, cytosine is consumed by deamination (the half-life for deamination at 25°C is ~340 yr) and other reactions. No reactions have been described thus far that would produce cytosine, even in a specialized local setting, at a rate sufficient to compensate for its decomposition. On the basis of this evidence, it appears quite unlikely that cytosine played a role in the origin of life. Theories that involve replicators that function without the Watson-Crick pairs, or no replicator at all, remain as viable alternatives.

Cytosine synthesis would not be possible even strongly in reducing prebiotic atmosphere.

Similar problems arise with the abiotic synthesis of nucleotides

Abiotic synthesis of a complicated molecule such as RNA is highly improbable



BY ROBERT SHAPIRO

The sudden appearance of a large self-copying molecule such as RNA was exceedingly improbable. Energy-driven networks of small molecules afford better odds as the initiators of life

NOBEL lareate Christian de Duve has called for "a rejection of improbablities so incomensurably high that they only can be called miracles, phenomena that fall outside the scope of scientific inquiry". DNA, RNA and PROTEINS must then be set aside as participants in the origin of life.

Dverview/Origin of Life

- Theories of how life first originated from nonliving matter fall into two broad classes—replicator first, in which a large molecule capable of replicating (such as RNA) formed by chance, and metabolism first, in which small molecules formed an evolving network of reactions driven by an energy source.
- Replicator-first theorists must explain how such a complicated molecule could have formed before the process of evolution was under way.
- Metabolism-first proponents must show that reaction networks capable of growing and evolving could have formed when the earth was young.

REPLICATOR VS. METABOLISM

Scientific theories of the origin of life largely fall into two rival camps: replicator first and metabolism first. Both models must start from molecules formed by nonbiological chemical processes, represented here by balls labeled with symbols (1).

In the replicator-first model, some of these compounds join together in a chain, by chance forming a molecule—perhaps some kind of RNA—capable of reproducing itself [2]. The molecule makes many copies of itself [3], sometimes forming mutant versions that are also capable of replicating (4). Mutant replicators that are better adapted to the conditions supplant earlier versions (5). Eventually this evolutionary process must lead to the development of compartments (like cells) and metabolism, in which smaller molecules use energy to perform useful processes (6).

Metabolism first starts off with the spontaneous formation of compartments (7). Some compartments contain mixtures of the starting compounds that undergo cycles of reactions (8), which over time become more complicated (9). Finally, the system must make the leap to storing information in polymers (10).



FIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR METABOLISM FIRST

At least five processes must occur for small molecules to achieve a kind of life—here defined as the creation of greater order in localized regions by chemical cycles driven by an energy flow. First, something must create a boundary to separate the living region from the nonliving environment (1). A source of energy must be available, here depicted as a mineral (*blue*) undergoing a heat-producing reaction (2). The released energy must drive a chemical reaction (3). A network of chemical reactions must form and increase in complexity to permit adaptation and evolution (4). Finally, the network of reactions must draw material into itself faster than it loses material, and the compartments must reproduce (5). No information-storing molecule (such as RNA or DNA) is required; heredity is stored in the identity and concentration of the compounds in the network.



What Readers Want to Know

In Scientific American's blog, Robert Shapiro answered questions raised by readers of the Web version of this article. An edited selection follows.

Does the metabolism-first hypothesis point to a single origin or multiple independent origins of life? $-{\sf JR}$

A: Multiple origins seem more viable with the metabolism-first scenario. Gerald Feinberg and I discussed the possibility of alien life (life not based on RNA, DNA and other biochemistry familiar to us) in our 1980 book, *Life beyond Earth*. Researchers at a conference hosted by Paul Davies at Arizona State University in December 2006 concluded that alien life may even exist, undetected, on this planet. The great majority of microorganisms that can be observed under a microscope cannot be grown in conventional culture media and remain uncharacterized. Alien microbes may also exist in habitats on the earth that are too extreme for even the hardiest forms of our familiar life.

Why do we have to demonstrate metabolism first in a reaction vessel? Can't we simulate it in software? —Dave Evanoff

A: Stuart Kauffman, Doron Lancet and others have used computer simulations to illustrate the feasibility of self-sustaining reaction cycles. Such simulations have not specified the exact chemical mixtures and reaction conditions needed to establish self-sustaining chemical networks. We do not yet know all the reaction pathways open to mixtures of simple organic compounds, let alone their thermodynamic constants. Even if such data were available, most chemists would not be convinced by a computer simulation but would demand an experimental demonstration.

The fact that all biological molecules are of one handedness needs some explanation. —John Holt

A: If the mineral transformation that powered the reaction cycle I discuss in my article were selective for only one mirror-image form of chemical A, then the product B and other members of the cycle might also occur in only one mirror-image form. Control of handedness, or chirality, becomes crucial when small chiral molecules are linked together to form larger ones. A modern enzyme may contain 100 linked amino acids, all of the same handedness (so-called L-amino acids). If a D-amino acid were substituted for its mirror-image L-form at a sensitive site within the enzyme, then the enzyme's shape would change and its function might be lost.

An RNA-First Researcher Replies

Steven A. Benner of the Westheimer Institute for Science and Technology in Gainesville, Fla., argues that RNA-first models are alive and well.

Even as some declare that the RNA-first model of life's origin is dead because RNA arising spontaneously is fantastically improbable, research is lending support to the model.

Let me first acknowledge that most organic molecules when hit with energy (such as lightning or heat from volcanoes) become something resembling asphalt, more suitable for paving roads than sparking life. But metabolism-first models, to the extent that they have been supported with *any* real chemicals, must also deal with this paradox: molecules reactive enough to participate in metabolism are also reactive enough to decompose. There are no easy solutions.

Like many others, my research group has returned to the scientific imperative: actually do laboratory research to learn about how RNA might have arisen on the earth.

The sugar ribose, the "R" in RNA, provides an object lesson in how a problem declared "unsolvable" may instead merely be "not yet solved." Ribose long remained "impossible" to make by prebiotic synthesis (reactions among mixtures of molecules that could plausibly have existed on a prebiotic earth) because it contains a carbonyl group—a carbon atom twice bonded to an oxygen atom. The carbonyl group confers both good reactivity (the ability to participate in metabolism) and bad reactivity (the ability to form asphalt). A decade ago Stanley L. Miller concluded that the instability of ribose stemming from its carbonyl group "preclude[s] the use of ribose and other sugars as prebiotic reagents.... It follows that ribose and other sugars were not components of the first genetic material."

But prebiotic soups need soup bowls made of appropriate minerals, not Pyrex beakers. One attractive "bowl" is found today in Death Valley. In a primordial Death Valley, the environment was alternately wet and dry, rich in organic molecules from planetary accretion and (most important) full of minerals containing boron. Why care about boron? Because boron stabilizes carbohydrates such as ribose. Further, if borate (an oxide of boron) and organic compounds abundant in meteorites are mixed and hit with lightning, good quantities of ribose are formed from formaldehyde and the ribose does not decompose.

The fact that such a simple solution can be found for a problem declared "unsolvable" does not mean that the first form of life definitely used RNA to do genetics. But it should give us pause when advised to discard avenues of research simply because some of their problematic pieces have not yet been solved.

EVOLUTION OF CHEMICAL NETWORKS

The metabolism-first hypothesis requires the formation of a network of chemical reactions that increases in complexity and adapts to changes in the environment.

CYCLE FORMATION: An energy source (here the so-called redox reaction converting mineral X to mineral Y) couples to a reaction that converts the organic molecule A to molecule B. Further reactions (B to C, C to D....) form a cycle back to A. Reactions involving molecular species outside the cycle (E) will tend to draw more material into the cycle.

INCREASING COMPLEXITY: If a change in conditions inhibits a reaction in the cycle (for example, C to D), then other paths can be explored. Here a bypass has been found by which C is converted to D through intermediates F, G and H. Another solution would be the incorporation into the reaction network of a catalyst (I) whose action (*dotted line*) unblocks the C to D transformation. To survive, the evolving network must draw in carbon-containing materials from the environment more rapidly than it loses them by diffusion and side reactions, such as the formation of tars that settle out of the solution.



in the preceding example) that are cou- crease in quantity. The reactor would w

Or did life come from another world?

p

C

F

le

c F

S

d

C

P

C

a

S

e

t

R

n

e b tl h o a

W

ra

S

S

d ti The hypothesis of F. Crick is discussed in November issue of Scientific American 2005.

It is concluded that microorganism could have survived a journey from Mars to Earth

DNA DENATURATION and RENATURATION/HYBRIDIZATION





J. Marmur and P. Doty

STRAND SEPARATION AND SPECIFIC RECOMBINATION IN DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS: BIOLOGICAL STUDIES

By J. MARMUR AND D. LANE

CONANT LABORATORY, DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Communicated by Paul Doty, February 25, 1960

It is clear that the correlation between the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and its function as a genetic determinant could be greatly increased if a means could be found of separating and reforming the two complementary strands. In this and the succeeding paper¹ some success along these lines is reported. This paper will deal with the evidence provided by employing the transforming activity of DNA from *Diplococcus pneumoniae* while the succeeding paper¹ will summarize physical chemical evidence for strand separation and reunion.

	sults found (Set #1) Go to Page: 1 s 1 10 Show 10 per page Image: 1	and the second s	
	checkboxes to select records for output. See the sidebar f		
1.	MARMUR J PROCEDURE FOR ISOLATION OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID FROM MICRO-ORGANISMS	Sort by: Times Cited SORT	
	JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY 3 (2): 208& 1961 Times Cited: <u>9234</u>	Analyze Results:	
2.	DETERMINATION OF BASE COMPOSITION OF	View rankings of the authors, journals, etc. for these records.	
	DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID FROM ITS THERMAL DENATURATION TEMPERATURE	Citation Report:	
	JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY 5 (1): 109& 1962 Times Cited: 3210	View detailed citation counts and the h-index value for the results.	
3.	SCHILDKRAUT CL, DOTY P, MARMUR J DETERMINATION OF BASE COMPOSITION OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID FROM ITS BUOYANT DENSITY IN CSCL JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY 4 (5): 430& 1962 Times Cited: <u>1619</u>	Output Records: • Selected records on page • All records on page • Records to • Bibliographic Fields	
4.	MARMUR J, DOTY P	PRINT E-MAIL SAVE	
	HETEROGENEITY IN DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS .1. DEPENDENCE ON COMPOSITION OF THE CONFIGURATIONAL STABILITY OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS NATURE 183 (4673): 1427-1429 1959 Times Cited: <u>427</u> VIEW FULL TEXT	EXPORT TO REFERENCE SOFTWARE	
		SAVE TO MY EndNote Web	
		[Sign in to access EndNote Web]	
		Or add them to the Marked List for later output and more options.	
9.		ADD TO MARKED LIST	
_ <u></u> .	STRAND SEPARATION AND SPECIFIC RECOMBINATION	[0 articles marked]	
	IN DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS - BIOLOGICAL STUDIES PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 46 (4): 453-461 1960		

Microbiologist, biochemist and molecular biologist

Julius Marmur - discovered renaturation of DNA 22 March, 1926 Bialystok (Poland) - 20 May, 1996 New York, NY

Oswald Avery 1944 - DNA is a genetic material (Rockefeller Institute, New York, NY) Rollin D. Hotchkiss Julius Marmur 1993

The double helix: a personal view

Francis Crick

Medical Research Council Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

THE DOUBLE HELDE A FERSONAL VIEW (F. CHEN) MOLECULAR BALLS OF BIOLOGICAL SPECIFICITY (L. Proving) MULECULAR BIOLOGY IN A LIVING CELL (J. B. Gurden) BUILDING THE TOWER OF BABBLE (E. Churgan)

Nature Vol. 218 April 26 1971

crystalline A structure, but only briefly except for the al

Nature Vol. 248 April 26 1974 Melecular Biologu

The double helix: a personal view

Francis Crick

Medical Research Council Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

Maleralar Biology

vancis Crick reviews the papers published & years to on the structure of DNA and the reaction to them.

of DNA to see how they appear today in

pers in Nature

wimental papers of April 25 overlap to a con-

The functions of DNA

Reactions to the structure It is really for the historian of science to decide how ex-

Francis Crick 21 years after invention of the DNA double helix structure about the discovery of DNA renaturation

Nothing was said about the possibility that the two chains might be melted apart and then annealed together again, correctly lined up. The discovery of this by Marmur and Doty has provided one of the essential tools of molecular biology. I can still remember the excitement I felt when Paul Doty told me about it at breakfast one day in New York in a hotel overlooking Central Park. Nature 248(1974) 766

DNA electrochemistry

DNA and RNA are Electroactive Species

producing faradaic and other signals on interaction with electrodes

Cytosine (C) Adenine (A) A, C, G are reduced at MERCURY electrodes Guanine (G) reduction product of guanine is oxidized back to G

All bases (A, C, G, T, U) yield sparingly soluble compounds with the mercury and can be determined at concentration down to 10^{-11} M.

Solid amalgam electrodes can be used instead of the mercury drop electrodes.

A and G as well as C and T are oxidized at CARBON electrodes

PEPTIDE NUCLEIC ACID (PNA) BEHAVES SIMILARLY TO DNA AND RNA

Microliter volumes of the analyte are sufficient for analysis

Electroactive Labels can be Introduced in DNA

Progress in genomics affects electroanalysis

Many areas of science are influenced by the **fast** development of the genomics and by the success of the Human Genome Project.

Classical sequencing of individual human genomes with 3×10^9 base pairs is too difficult.

Sequencing by DNA hybridization is gaining importance

Relatively expensive DNA hybridization ARRAYS with optical detection are currently applied in research labs It is believed that electrochemistry can complement the optical detection providing new LESS EXPENSIVE hybridization detection for decentralized DNA analysis in many areas of practical life











E. Palecek, Fifty years of nucleic acid electrochemistry, Electroanalysis 2009, in press

The results of the DNA electrochemistry studies and development of the electrochemical DNA hybridization sensors in the last decade suggest that these sensors can complement DNA sensors with optical detection

How and when the DNA electrochemistry begun?

OSCILLOGRAPHIC POLAROGRAPHY

At controlled alternating current (constant current chronopotentiometry)

dE/dt



LITERATURE in 1958: Adenine is polarographically reducible at strongly acid pH while other NA bases as well as DNA are inactive

J.N.Davidson and E.Chargraff: The Nucleic Acids, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York 1955

Palecek E.: Oszillographiche *Polarographie der Nucleinsauren und ihrer Bestandteile*; **Naturwiss**. 45 (**1958**), 186 Palecek E.: Oscillographic *polarography of highly polymerized deoxyribonucleic acid*; **Nature** 188 (**1960**), 656

Firsts in Electrochemistry of Nucleic Acids during the initial three decades

1958 DNA and RNA and all free bases are electrotractive

1960-61 assignment of DNA electrochemical signals to bases, relation between the **DNA structure** and electrochemical responses

1961 adsorption (ac impedance) studies of DNA (IR Miller, Rehovot)

1962-66 DNA premelting, denaturation, renaturation/hybridization detected electrochemically, traces of single stranded DNA determined in native dsDNA. Nucleotide sequence affects dsDNA responses

1965 Association of bases at the electrode surface (V. Vetterl)

1966 application of pulse polarography to DNA studies

1967 detection of DNA damage

1967-68 Weak interactions of low m.w. compounds with DNA (P.J. Hilsson, M.J. Simons, Harrow, UK and H. Berg, Jena)

1974 DNA is unwound at the electrode surface under certain conditions (EP and H.W. Nürnberg, Jülich, independently)

1976 Evidence for polymorphy of the DNA double-helical structure

For two decades only mercury electrodes were used in NA electrochemistry

1978 Solid (carbon) electrodes introduced in nucleic acid research (V. Brabec and G. Dryhurst, Norman)
1980 Determination of bases at nanomolar concentrations by cathodic stripping

1981-83 Electroactive markers covalently bound to DNA

1986-88 DNA-modified electrodes

Results obtained at: IBP, Brno or elsewhere (author's name is given); the results which have been utilized in the DNA sensor development are in **blue**

Electrochemical sensors/detectors for DNA hybridization Single-Surface Technologies:



In the last decade nucleic acid electrochemistry was oriented predominantly to DNA sensors for (a) DNA hybridization and (b) DNA damage.

This trend has been accompanied not only by interesting discoveries but also by a number of poor papers lacking the necessary control experiments, claiming sequence detection without PCR amplification but using synthetic oligos as target DNA, etc.

Electrochemical sensors for DNA hybridization

At present both single- and double-surface techniques can be used for DNA sequencing of longer oligonucleotides and PCR products.

Electrochemical detection of **point mutations** is also possible.

Optimization of the procedures are now necessary to develop commercially successful devices.

Challenges:

1) Sequencing eukaryotic DNA without amplification (by PCR). Great sensitivity and specificity of the analysis is required

2) Development of electrochemical sensors for DNA-protein protein-protein interactions for proteomics and biomedicine

Science in Czechoslovakia after the IInd World War

After February 1948 life in Czechoslovakia was increasingly affected by the stalinist ideology and heavily controlled by the Party and Government.

Many scientists and scholars were fired from Universities but some of them got employment in the Institutes of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences established in 1952. This was possible particularly at the Institutes whose Directors were influential Party members but serious scientists.



PRAHA/PRAGUE

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry/ Director: F. Šorm

Chemistry and Biochemistry of Proteins and Nucleic Acids

B. Keil, B. Meloun, O. Mikes, J. Doskocil, D. Grunberger, A. Holy, I. Rychlík, J. Ríman, J. Sponar, V. Paces, Z. Sormová, S. Zadrazil

For many years Czech scientists were efficiently isolated from the West In this respect the situation in Brno was much worse than in Prague



Institute of Biophysics, Brno

Director: F. Hercík

Founded in 1955 for radiobiological research it gradually turned into an institute devoted mainly to DNA

For a long time we received **50 - 100 US \$** for materials/chemicals **per year** and Department. The **orders** of materials from the West had to be **planned 1-2 years ahead**

Taking part in meetings in western countries was difficult not only because of currency problems

OSCILLOGRAPHIC POLAROGRAPHY

At controlled alternating current (constant current chronopotentiometry)

dE/dt



LITERATURE in 1958: Adenine is polarographically reducible at strongly acid pH while other NA bases as well as DNA are inactive

J.N.Davidson and E.Chargraff: The Nucleic Acids, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York 1955

Palecek E.: Oszillographiche *Polarographie der Nucleinsauren und ihrer Bestandteile*; **Naturwiss**. 45 (**1958**), 186 Palecek E.: Oscillographic *polarography of highly polymerized deoxyribonucleic acid*; **Nature** 188 (**1960**), 656

J. Heyrovsky invented POLAROGRAPHY in 1922. After 37 years he was awarded a Nobel Prize

In difference to most of the electrochemists I met in the 1960's and 1970's, J Heyrovsky was interested in nucleic acids and he greatly stimulated my polarographic studies of DNA

J Heyrovsky S Ochoa A Kornberg



Nobel Prizes 1959



J. Heyporsky









Fig. 1. dc polarograms of native and denatured calf thymus DNA: (a) native DNA at a concentration of 500 μ g/ml in 0.5M ammonium formate with 0.1M sodium phosphate (pH 7.0); (b) denatured DNA at a concentration of 500 μ g/ml in 0.5M ammonium formate with 0.1M sodium phosphate (pH 7.0). DNA was denatured by heat at the concentration of 666 μ g/ml in 0.007M NaCl with 0.7 mM citrate. Both curves start at 0.0 V, 100 mV/scale unit, capillary I, saturated calomel electrode.

DNA molecules

			 D		
	PLASMID C	and the second states of the			
IIIOI	rel	sc	sequence kn	own lin	TRACHEN
ds	0	output	0		usually 3-4 kb mw ca 2x10 ⁶
ds ss	0	4444	() PCF	PRO	
	0 0	and a second	() PCF	PRO	mw ca 2x10 6
	0 0	or the second se	() PCF	PRO	mw ca 2x10 6
SS		ETIC POLYN			mw ca 2x10 6
ss C.			NUCLEOTIDE	S	mw ca 2x10 6
ss C. poly	disperse,	simple repea	NUCLEOTIDE ted sequence	S e motifs (mw ca 2x10 ⁶
ss C. poly ds ^A T	disperse, a	simple repea TATATATATAT ATATATATATA	NUCLEOTIDE ted sequence AAAAA TITTTTT	S e motifs	mw ca 2x10 6 DUCTS or homopolymers
ss C. poly	disperse, a	simple repea	NUCLEOTIDE ted sequence AAAAA TITTTTT	S e motifs	mw ca 2x10 ⁶
SS C. poly ds T SS D. S	disperse, a	simple repea	NUCLEOTIDE ted sequence AAAAA TITTTTT	S motifs AAAAAAAAA TTTTTTTT average	mw ca 2x10 6 DUCTS or homopolymers AAAAAAA TTTTTTTT mw 10 ⁵ 10 ⁶

e 11

In 1960 when I published my NATURE paper on electrochemistry of DNA I obtained invitations from 3 emminent US scientists: J. Marmur - Harvard Univ. L. Grossman - Brandeis Univ. J. Fresco - Princeton Univ. To work in their laboratories as a postdoc

In 1960 new techniques were sought to study DNA Denaturation and Renaturation. To those working with DNA Oscillographic Polarography (OP) appeared as a very attractive tool. Invented by J. Heyrovsky, it was fast and simple, showing large differences between the signals of native and denatured DNA. The instrument for OP was produced only in Czechoslovakia.

I accepted the invitation by Julius Marmur but for more than two years I was not allowed to leave Czechoslovakia. In the meantime JM moved from Harvard to Brandeis Univ. By the end of November 1962 I finally got my exit visa and with Heyrovsky Letter of Reccommendation in my pocket I went to the plane just 24 hours before expiration of my US visa. Before my departure I sent my OP instrument by air to Boston. It arrived after 9 months completely broken. I nstead of OP I had to use ultracentrifuges and microbiological methods. Julius Marmur discovered DNA Renaturation/Hybridization and proposed (in JMB) a new method of DNA isolation which was widely applied. His paper was quoted > 9000x.



J M at the 40th Anniversary of the Discovery of the DNA Double Helix

At the end of my stay at Brandeis I did some OP experiments which I finished in Brno nd published in J. Mol. Biol. in 1965 and 1966.

Reprinted from Cold MPRING HARBOR SYMPONIA ON QUANTITATIVE BIOLOGY Volume XXVIII, 1963 Printed in U.S.A. Specificity of the Complementary RNA Formed by Bacillus subtilis Infected with Bacteriophage SP8

J. MARMUR*, C. M. GREENSPAN, E. PALECKK, F. M. KAHAN†, J. LEVINE, and M. MANDEL^{*} Graduate Department of Biochemistry, Brandeis University, Walthatm, Massichurette



DNA Premelting and Polymorphy of the DNA Double Helix

Before my departure to the US I observed Changes in the polarographic behavior of DNA far below the denaturation temperature. These changes were later called DNA Premelting

E. PALEČEK

Temperature (*C)

tion of DNA's with varying guanine plus of

graphy. The rate of cooling was 1 to 2°C per min. Universal oscil DNA GC content taken from Marmur & Doty (1962) and

B. alvel 33% C+⊂

DNA at a

J. Mol. Biol.

20 (1966) 263-281

G+C content and different nucleotide sequence **B.** subtilis 1-2 **B.** brevis Temperature (°C) F10, 12. Thermal transition of DNA's isolated from bacteria of the genus Bacillus. DNA at a concentration of 100 μ g/ml. in 0.25 M-ammonium formate plus 0.025 M-sodium phosphate (pH . B. subtilis 168; - × - - × -, B. natto; - O--O-, B. subtilis var. nicer B. Robins 105; — ∧ — ∧ — , D. Robins 105; — ∧ — ∧ ..., D. Robins 105; — ∧ ..., D. Robins 105; ..., D. andeis University, Waltham, Mass., U.S.A.

B. sublilis and **B**. brevis DNAs have the same



POLAROGRAPHIC BEHAVIOR OF dsDNA At roomand premeltig temperaturse depended on **DNA nucleotide SEQUENCE**

poly(dA)poly(dT)



What the people said

Before 1980

No doubt that this electrochemistry must produce artifacts because we know well that the DNA double helix has a unique structure INDEPENDENT of the nucleotide SEQUENCE

After 1980

Is not it strange that such an obscure technique can recognize POLYMORPHY **OF THE DNA DOUBLE HELIX?**



PROGRESS IN NUCLEIC ACID RESEARCH AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, VOL. 1 ACADEMIC PRESS, INC New York San Francisco

Premelting Changes in DNA Conformation

E. PALEČEK

6. POLYMORPHY OF DNA SECONDARY STRUCTURE

On the basis of the preceding discussion, a schematic picture of the structure of natural linear DNA in solution under physiological conditions (e.g., at 36°C, moderate ionic strength, and pH 7) can be drawn. We can assume that the double-helical structure of the very long (A+T)-rich regions differs from the structure of the major part of the molecule and that some of the (A + T)-rich segments are open (Fig. 20). An open ds-structure can be assumed in the region of chain termini and/or in the vicinity of ss-breaks and other anomalies in the DNA primary structure. The exact changes in the open ds-regions will depend on the nucleotide

sequence as well as on the chemical nature of the anomaly. Most of the molecule will exhibit an average Watson-Crick B-structure with local deviations given by the nucleotide sequence. Elevating the temperature in the premelting region (Fig. 20) is likely to lead to the opening of other regions and, eventually, to expansion of the existing distorted dsregions and to further structural changes. Thus the course of the conformational changes as a function of temperature (premelting) will be determined by the distribution of the nucleotide sequences and anomalies in the primary structure, and may have an almost continuous character.

Consequently, even if we do not consider "breathing," not only the architecture of a DNA double-helical molecule, but also its mechanics or dynamics can be taken into account.

To determine whether, e.g., only the (A + T)-rich molecule ends will be open at a certain temperature or also long A + T regions in the center of the molecule, further experimental research with better-defined samples of viral and synthetic nucleic acids will be necessary. Further work will undoubtedly provide new information on the details of the local arrangement of nucleotide residues in the double helix, as well as on DNA conformational motility. Thus a more accurate picture of DNA structure will emerge, whose characteristic feature will be polymorphy of the double helix, in contrast to the classical, highly regular DNA structure models.

December 3, 1976

Professor Emil Palecek Institute of Biophysics Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences Brno 12, Kralovopolska 135 Czechoslovakia

Dear Professor Palecek,

I do apologise for taking so long to reply to your letter of September 29 and the very interesting review you sent with it. Unfortunately I myself will not be able to attend the Symposium you plan for September, 1977 and my Cambridge colleague Aaron Klug tells me that he too is unable to be present. Had you considered the possibility of asking Dr. Hank Sobell? He has just pub-lished in PIAS an account of the other (base-paired) kink and has ideas about preselting conformations. I have no and has ideas about premelting conformations. I have no idea whether he would be able to come but should you wish to invite him his address is: Department of Chemistry, The University of Rochester, River Station, Rochester, New York 14627.

Yours sincerely,

F. H. C. Crick Ferkauf Foundation Visiting Professor

FHCC:1t

ADSORPTIVE STRIPPING

ADSORPTIVE TRANSFER STRIPPING





NA is in the electrolytic cell and accumulates at the electrode surface during waiting

NA is attached to the electrode from a small drop of solution $(3-10 \ 1)$

NA is at the electrode but the electrolytic cell contains only blank electrolyte

In 1986 we proposed **Adsorptive Transfer Stripping Voltammetry (AdTSV)** based on easy preparation of DNA-modified electrodes

AdTSV has many advatages over conventional voltammetry of NAs:

1) Volumes of the analyte can be reduced to few microliters

2) NAs can be immobilized at the electrode surface from media not suitable for the voltammetric analysis3) Low m.w. compounds (interfering with conventional electrochemical analysis of NAs) can be washed away

4) Interactions of NAs immobilized at the surface with proteins and other substances in solution and influence of the surface charge on NA properties and interactions can be studied, etc.





RENATURATION OF RNA AS DETECTED BY DPP Time dependence

Fig. 10. Time-course of renaturation of phage f2 dsRNA. (A) Thermally denatured ssRNA was incubated (•—•) at 85°C in 2.5 × sodium saline citrate (SSC) or (o—o) at 85°C in SSC, and (x—x) at 55°C. Samples were withdrawn in time intervals given in the graph and quickly cooled. DPP measurements were performed at room temperature at a RNA concentration of $3.2 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 0.3 M ammonium formate with 0.2 M sodium acetate, pH 5.6; PAR 174. (B) (o—o) peak IIR. (•—•) peak IIIR. ssRNA (108 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) in 0.01 × SSC was heated for 6 min at 100°C. Then it was placed into a thermostated polarographic vessel with the same volume of 0.6 M ammonium formate with 0.2 M sodium phosphate, pH 7, preheated to 58°C. The pulse polarograms were measured at 58°C in times given in the graph. Southern–Harwell A 3100, amplifier sensitivity 1/8. Adapted from Palecek and Doskocil (1974). Copyright 1974, with permission from Academic Press.

IFFY stories

On this day 50 years ago, Watson and Crick published their double-helix theory. But, what if... By Steve Mirsky (2003)

"I am now astonished that I began work on the triple helix structure, rather than on the double helix," wrote Linus Pauling in the April 26, 1974 issue of Nature.

In February 1953, Pauling proposed a triple helix structure for DNA in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS). He had been working with only a few blurry X-ray crystallographic images from the 1930s and one from 1947.

If history's helix had turned slightly differently, however, perhaps the following timeline might be more than mere musing...

August 15, 1952: Linus Pauling (finally allowed to travel to England by a US State Department that thinks the words "chemist" and "communist" are too close for comfort) visits King's College London and sees Rosalind Franklin's X-ray crystallographs. He immediately rules out a triple helical structure for DNA and concentrates on determining the nature of what is undoubtedly a double helix.

February 1953: Pauling and Corey describes the DNA double helix structure in PNAS

A PROPOSED STRECTURE FOR THE NUCLEIC ACIDS

BY LINUS PACLING AND ROUGET B. CORCY.

CATES AND CRELLIS LABORATORIUS OF COLONISTRY,* CALIFORNIA LISTITUTE CY-Technology

Communicated December 31, 1952

02 CHEMISTRY: PAULING AND COREY PROC. N. A. S.

which are involved in ester linkages. This distortion of the phosphate group from the regular tetrahedral configuration is not supported by direct experimental evidence; unfortunately no precise structure determinations have been made of any phosphate di-esters. The distortion, which corresponds to a larger amount of double bond character for the inner oxygen atoms than for the oxygen atoms involved in the ester linkages, is a reason-

FIGURE 0

Plan of the oneicie acid structure, showing several oneicotide residues.

Triple helix

with bases on the outside and sugar-phosphate backbone in the interior of the molecule

My IFFY story: If L. PAULING had in his lab an oscillopolarograph in 1952 he would never proposed this structure. Polarography clearly showed that bases must be hidden in the interior of native DNA molecule and become accessible when DNA is denatured



Probing of DNA structure with osmium tetroxide complexes



We developed methods of chemical probing of the DNA structure based on osmium tetroxide complexes (Os,L). Some of the Os,L complexes react with single-stranded DNA but not with the double-stranded B-DNA.



In the beginning of the 1980's Os,L complexes were the first electroactive labels covalently bound to DNA. These complexes produced catalytic signals at Hg electrodes allowing determination of DNA at subnanomolar concentrations

Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 26(2):151-226 (1991)

Local Supercoil-Stabilized DNA Structures

E. Paleček Max-Planck Institut für Biophysikalische Chemie, Göttingen, BRD and Institute of Biophysics. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 61265 Brno, CSFR

[17] Probing of DNA Structure in Cells with Osmium Tetroxide-2,2'-Bipyridine

By EMIL PALEČEK

METHODS IN ENZYMOLOGY, VOL. 212

Copyright @ 1992 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. These methods yielded information about the distorted and single-stranded regions in the DNA double helix at single-nucleotide resolution. DNA probed both in vitro and directly in cells.

ADSORPTIVE STRIPPING

ADSORPTIVE TRANSFER STRIPPING





NA is in the electrolytic cell and accumulates at the electrode surface during waiting

NA is attached to the electrode from a small drop of solution $(3-10 \ 1)$

NA is at the electrode but the electrolytic cell contains only blank electrolyte

In 1986 we proposed **Adsorptive Transfer Stripping Voltammetry (AdTSV)** based on easy preparation of DNA-modified electrodes

AdTSV has many advatages over conventional voltammetry of NAs:

1) Volumes of the analyte can be reduced to few microliters

2) NAs can be immobilized at the electrode surface from media not suitable for the voltammetric analysis3) Low m.w. compounds (interfering with conventional electrochemical analysis of NAs) can be washed away

4) Interactions of NAs immobilized at the surface with proteins and other substances in solution and influence of the surface charge on NA properties and interactions can be studied, etc.

Foundations of nucleic acid electrochemistry

were laid down in 1960-1980's using mercury and carbon electrodes

After the discovery of the DNA electroactivity i t was shown that: Signals of ds and ss DNA and RNA greatly differ . This made it possible to follow the course of : DNA denaturation/melting, renaturation/hybridization to detect: traces of ssDNA in dsDNA samples, DNA damage, single-strand breaks, chem. modification, depurination...

Important findings : DNA premelting : beginning of the 1960's DNA unwinding at the electrode surface : middle of 1970's Polymorphy of the DNA double helix : middle of 1970's

New approaches later utilized in DNA sensors :

First covalently bound electroactive DNA labels : beginning of the 1980's First DNA-modified electrodes : middle of the 1980's

SUMMARY

Electroactivity of nucleic acids was discovered about 50 years ago Reduction of bases at Hg electrodes is particularly sensitive to changes in DNA structure. The course of DNA and RNA denaturation and renaturation can easily traced by electrochemical methods

At present electrochemistry of nucleic acids is a booming field, particularly because it is expected that **sensors for DNA hybridization** and for **DNA damage** will become important tools in biomedicine and other regions of practical life in the 21st century

DNA-modified electrodes can be easily prepared; microL volumes of DNA are sufficient of its analysis but miniaturization of electrodes decreases these volumes to nL. Sensitivity of the analysis has greatly increased in recent years.