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An Issue Based Approach to Rural-Urban Partnerships

- Urban development can have negative social and environmental consequences in many EU peri-urban rural areas: « Urban Sprawl » (Pluriel Project)
- Strong Rural/Agricultural Land pressure in both developing and developed countries – *housing issue* - (FAO, OECD, European Commission)
- Small and medium sized cities can be quite dynamic! (OECD and Canada)
- Difficulties in accessing social services in remote rural areas (5th Cohesion Report, EDORA-ESPO)
Strong and bidirectional relationships between urban and rural areas can generate **positive externalities** and **improve economic competitiveness**

- Several functional linkages: Demographic; Environmental-natural resources management; Economic and innovative transactions, Delivery of public services

- Three Main Spatial categories (OECD):
  - **Metropolitan regions** and **peri-urban rural areas**
  - **Networks of small and medium-sized cities**
  - **Sparsely populated areas with market towns**

Rural-Urban Partnerships as a possible “tool” with **multiple** and **flexible** governance solutions
Three Spatial Dimensions

- **Metropolitan regions:** Rural Areas as service providers for the urban regions and urban areas supporting and providing services to rural surroundings.

- **Networks of small and medium-sized cities:** Rural Areas act as semi-autonomous growth poles but depend on urban centres for specialised services and for accessing larger markets.

- **Sparsely populated areas with market towns:** Rural Areas are the engine of growth. The regional economy depends on resources located in rural areas with small towns acting as market points.
Partnership Fundamental Ingredients

- Common strategy and vision
- Cooperation (Spontaneous!)
- Mutual benefits (Recognising what rural areas can offer)
- Governance solutions (More or less formal)
- Long term (They need time!)
- Project based (Local shared)
- Participation

What role for policy intervention?
Existing Good Practices

- *Le Pays du Man*, 48 Municipalities organised around a common territory « Un Bassin de Vie » - Leader
- Amsterdam, Hamburg, Montreal, Nuremberg and Rennes Metropolitan Regions
- Integrated Territorial Projects in Italy
- Creating Medium size cities network at Luxembourg and French Border “Projet Alzette-Belval”
- The New Bridges Project – 7 rural-urban co-operations in the Baltic region

*Connections to shaping rural-urban interactions not always explicit!*
What the existing policy obstacles?

- Not enough territorial attention and **territorial analysis** capacities (especially at functional regional level)
- Rural policies versus Urban policies …
- Not appropriate **policy integration** between Regional policy, Rural development policy and other policies
- Absence of “higher level” **incentives** … especially for intra-municipal cooperation
- Not appropriate capacity to **delegate** policy building at local level
- Not strongly enough developed « **Rural Voice** » and rural (not sectoral) partnership/governance (small and not coordinated municipalities)
RURBAN Parliament/ EC Preparatory Action

General Objective:

- to identify and assess formal and informal partnership practices for towns/cities and rural areas and
- the role that these partnerships can play in **regional “sustainable” development** and in bridging the coordination gap in policies form urban and rural dwellers
- To analyse the form and the functions of these partnerships to promote territorial multilevel governance

*TO CREATE A BRIDGE BETWEEN REGIONAL POLICY AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT POLICY*
Two main actions: study & results diffusion

1. A preparatory study building up on existing bibliography (ESPON; OECD; DG Regio Seminars; TA)
2. A comprehensive study covering cases of urban-rural partnerships in the 4 main EU macro geographical areas (EC/OECD)
3. Analytical framework for defining functional regions (EC/OECD)
4. A final Conference + 2 regional workshops Metz 15-16 November!
5. One VIDEO showing main Rurban results

Continuous dialogue with stakeholders (COTER, Eurocities, Metrex, Red, Purple)
A Preparatory Study - Partnership for Sustainable rural-urban development: existing evidences

- Urban-rural partnerships (or forms thereof) across Europe *Moro; Surf; Purple; Hinterland*
- Basic Ingredients for Partnership (a project based approach, time and governance!)
- “Research oriented” versus “Action oriented”
- Several already existing cases: what role for cohesion policy?
- Starting from existing “policy” failures, *facilitating* and *spreading* good governance

*The study is available on InfoRegio Web Site - Rurban*
Many good reasons against partnerships between unequal places

- different demands and goals
- different economic power (GDP/empl.: PR = 74 % of PU)
- different management capacities
- prejudices (parasitism, free raiders)
- administrative additional burden
- no statistically proven surplus value
- municipal legal power
- additional concept for space
- no altruism
OECD: Partnerships and Rural-Urban Relationships

- A methodological framework to assess rural-urban linkages in functional areas;
- Administrative regions versus Functional regions
- Measuring rural-urban linkages – Status and Results Measures (e.g. for services organisation and implementation)
- Different categories of rural-urban functional regions
- Main impact of partnership is to improve local governance by stimulating the uptake of public programmes in a manner consistent with locally shared priority
Many good reasons for partnerships between different places

- complementary potentials
- partly similar/same potentials
- problems to be solved
- management of linkages and flows
- bringing together regions, actors, funds
- via projects and a strategy
- mutual benefit, no free riders, synergies
- additional output for redistribution
- (economic, quality of life)
- no “beggar thy neighbour”
- win-win and conflict management
8 EU Case Studies + 1 Extra EU

- **Large Metropolitan Regions**: Rennes, Nuremberg and Prague

- **Network of Small and Mediums sized cities**: Brabant (Netherlands); Cesena-Forli Emilia Romagna Region (Italy); Geelong Region, Victoria (Australia)

- **Sparsely Populated Areas with market Towns**: District of Castel Branco (Portugal)/Extremadura (Spain); Saarijärvi-Viitasaari Region (Finland) and WestPomeranian region (Poland)

*Formal/Informal Partnerships*
In order for partnerships to contribute to the policy development process, they need to:

• have a strong influence on multi-level and cross-sector collaboration.
• have a receptive attitude on the part of policy makers in regional, national and supranational government institutions
• work on the basis of sound local knowledge and expertise,
• use local data and indicators;
• adopt a flexible strategic approach that goes beyond the delivery of projects and programmes
• be able to enhance policy outcomes through appropriate monitoring and evaluation
• Interact with/linked to the national level
Future Opportunities

- A stronger territorial approach for Cohesion Policy: Territorial Cohesion Objective

- **Common Strategic Framework** and **One Partnership Contract** for all Structural Funds and major attention to Funds Coordination

- How to translate Territorial Cohesion Objective within National and regional programmes, *taking into consideration urban-rural linkages*

- Reinforcing local development in regional policy and opening to pluri-funds local projects
Territorial Cooperation

- Separate Regulation
- Increase of financial resources (+30%)
- 75% co-financing rate
- Concentration of programmes on up to 4 thematic objectives
- Interregional cooperation has to promote exchange of experience in the field of sustainable urban and rural development
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