OUR ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT **Reducing Human** Impact on the Earth



MATHIS WACKERNAGEL & WILLIAM REES Illustrated by Phil Testemale

We Depend on Nature



- We exchange energy and matter with our environment as we
 - Eat
 - Drink
 - Breathe
- We use
 - Energy for heat and mobility
 - Wood for housing and paper
 - Food and water for living

We Depend on Nature



Nature

- Absorbs our wastes
- Provides climate stability
- Protects us from ultraviolet radiation
- In cities we tend to think of nature as a collection of commodities we obtain from around the world
- But nature is the very source of our lives and well being



- The amount of ecologically productive land used by individuals, cities, countries, etc.
- Production and use of goods and services involve land use: have ecological footprints



- Energy Land
 - Fossil energy consumption requires Co2 sink



Consumed Land – Built environment



Farm Land – Food production



Forest Land – forest products

Transportation Footprints



- If one person travels 5 kilometers twice each workday:
 - Bicycle: 122 sq meters
 - Buses : 301 sq meters
 - Cars: 1,442 sq meters

Agricultural Footprints



- Open Field production of tomatoes takes up more land than greenhouse production
- But Greenhouse production has a much larger ecological footprint (10-20x)
 - Energy
 - Fertilizer
 - Other inputs

Urban Footprints



http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap970408.html

- Imagine New York City covered by a bubble like Biosphere II in Arizona
- Most people would die within a few days
- Cities depend on much greater amount of land, environment for vitality

Urban Footprints



- Now imagine how big that bubble would have to be for the city to be *self-sustaining*
- This is the ecological footprint of the city
- Actually 347,000 square miles
 - to support 20 million in U.S. lifestyle
 - size of Texas and Oklahoma combined.

National Footprints



- Holland population 15 million
- **Density = 4.4 People per Hectare**
- Consumption is less than in U.S.
- Still, Dutch people require 15x more land than is within their country for
 - Food
 - Forest Products
 - Energy Use
 - Therefore, the ecosystems that support Holland lie far beyond their national borders

National Footprints

- In U.S. each person uses about 4.5 hectares/person
- Worldwide average = 1.5 hectares/person
- Therefore if everybody were to adopt the U.S. consumptive style, we would need 3 planets







Iowa Footprint



- Iowa Population is 2,776,000
- U.S. average footprint is
 4.5 hectares/person
- Iowans need 12.5 million hectares of average land to support themselves
- Iowa area is 14.5 million hectares
- Therefore we can support at least another 444,000 Americans

Inequity



http://www.thesavvytraveller.com/agraphics/insights/geography/lgeneral/photoessays/dalusio_menzel/material_world.jpg

- We all compete for ecologically overloaded world
- Excess consumption by affluent countries takes up ecological footprint that would be used by poorer nations

Resource Distribution



- Wealthiest 25% of the world uses 75% of the world's resources
- If four people landed on an island, could divide the land up into 4 equal sections, trade goods.
- Is it fair if one of those people claims ³/₄ of the land, forcing the other 3 to live off of ¹/₄ of the land?

Can everyone live like we do?



- No. There is not enough earth to support it
- Thus all poor countries cannot follow the miracle of developed countries
- Someone must bear the ecological burden of consumption by the affluent
- Our continued overconsumption hits the poor hardest

Science Objection



- Footprint Analysis is a crude simplification
- Interactions with nature are complex
- Can't reduce such complexity to a mater of hectares

Answer to Science



- Footprint analysis may not tell whole story
- Is good enough to show us what must be done
- Newtonian physics good enough to get us to moon
- Avoid paralysis by analysis
- Footprints may actually underestimate impact of humans on environment

Marketplace Objection



- Global income is rising faster than human population
- Agricultural production is responding to growing demand
- Environmental problems are due to poorly defined property rights or prices
- If prices right, market will solve problems

Answer to Marketplace



- Yes, when nature is undervalued, it gets used and abused
- Pollution charges and depletion charges can be useful to reduce environmental damage
 - Require Government Intervention
- Footprint analysis may help determine true costs

Answer to Marketplace



- Not everything in nature should be privatized or priced
 - Stable Climate?
 - Safe Ozone Level?
- Much of our income today derived from liquidation of our natural "capital"

Natural Capital: Forests



http://www.iisd.org/wcfsd/worldmap.jpg

Natural Capital: Soils



http://www.povertymap.net/mapsgraphics/index.cfm?data_id=23360&theme=

Free Trade Objection



- Trade is beneficial, has improved standard of living
 - Let people in different parts of the world do what they do best: Comparative Advantage
 - Coffee and Bananas from Developing Countries
 - Computers from Developed Countries
 - Is also more economically efficient to do what is more ecologically efficient
 - Makes sense for tomatoes to be grown in Mexico rather than in greenhouses in Canada



- Economics looks at money flow
- Footprint analysis looks at Ecological flow
- Hong Kong, Switzerland, Japan provide little ecological productivity to the world, draw a lot.
- Not everybody can be a net importer



- Expanding economy stimulates depletion of planet's natural resources
- People who are using Footprint resources far from home have no incentive to conserve them



- Intensive production methods accelerate depletion and pollution
- Economic benefits of intensive production are not equitably distributed
- Those who need income displaced from land
- Profits from intensive Ag go to those already well off.



- Global economy is pressing ecological limits
 - Poverty still affects 1 billion people
 - We don't need "Free Trade"
 - Need terms of trade that
 - Encourage rehabilitation of natural capital
 - Direct benefits of export activities to those who need them

Uncertain Future Objection



- Prediction about the future are always way off
- Can be sure the future will be different from what we expect

Answer to Uncertain Future



- Footprint Analysis is not a predictive tool
- Is an "ecological camera" that takes a snapshot of our current demands on nature
- Extrapolation into future really measures sustainability gap"



South America

Europe





East Asia

Answer to Uncertain Future



- Footprints also show material inequity
- Footprints show us how much we must
 - reduce our consumption
 - improve technology
 - change behavior to be sustainable

Technology Fix Objection



- For hundreds of years people have worried that we would run out of resources
 - Technological revolution
 has increased abundance
 and lowered prices of goods
 and services
- Thus one farmer produces
 more than 200 farmers did
 200 years ago

Technology Fix Objection



 $http://www.thesavvytraveller.com/agraphics/insights/geography/lgeneral/photoessays/dalusio_menzel/material_world.jpg$

Millions in N.
America better off than kings and queens in past due to technology:

- Live more comfortably
- Are healthier
- Feel more secure
- Eat better

Technology Fix Objection



- Computer revolution could not be predicted
- We can't anticipate future benefits of genetic engineering
- When people faced with a problem they come up with a solution
 - Medicine
 - Transportation
 - Communication
- We can fix any problem in the future

Answer to Technology Fix



- Technology will play a role in making society more sustainable
- If global economy to be 10x the size of today, we need technology that makes us 10x more resource efficient
- Solar water heaters, insulation reduce our footprints and maintain standard of living

Answer to Technology Fix



- Some technologies substitute natural capital for labor:
 - Intensive Agriculture
- Gains in technology can encourage consumption
 - Efficient cars just used more frequently!
 - Despite efficiency gains, energy consumption has increased

Optimism Objection



- Footprints are depressing
- Apocalyptic visions never come true
- Look on the bright side!

Answer to Optimism



- Acknowledging finite capacity of Nature is not pessimistic: is realistic
- It allows wise decisions
- Footprint assumption: we must live with global carrying capacity
 - Number of people the earth can sustain
- If we choose wisely, may increase quality of life
- Concerned that our life now is destructive
- Sooner we start moving toward sustainability, easier it will be for humanity

Energy Production Objection



- Energy is driving force of human enterprise
- With enough energy we can do anything
 - Clean up environment
 - Irrigate Deserts
 - Build fast transportation networks
 - Power highly productive greenhouses
- Soon we will have unlimited energy sources
 - Fusion, Fission
 - Tidal, Solar

Answer to Energy Production



- Sun = 175,000 terawatts shine on earth
- Fossil fuels use =10 terawatts
- Imagine impact of unlimited energy supply
- We've run down planet with just 10 terawatts
- extended human activities may produce new limiting factor: Waste Assimilation
- Still, moving toward solar energy would be good, would reduce our footprint

What Should We Do?



- I asked the author of this
 book what kind of
 technology research he
 thought the universities
 should be doing
- Answer: Research to help us reduce our ecological footprint without reducing our standard of living: – sustainability