1. PŘEDNÁŠKA 2012-13 Nucleic acids Historical view

## Emil Paleček

Institute of Biophysics, Acad. Sci. CR v.v.i., 612 65 Brno Czech Republic

The Road to DNA started in Brno

G.J. Mendel 1866



F. Miescher Tübingen 1871



# NUCLEIC ACIDS

Chemical nature and spatial organization STRUCTURE **Biological function** 

## F. MIESCHER, TÜBINGEN 1871

G. J. MENDEL, BRNO 1866



Interweaving of the historical tradition of biochemistry, cell biology, and genetics. These three disciplines, which originally were considered to be quite separate, have become intertwined to yield a true molecular biology, the subject matter of present-day biochemistry.

#### Timeline of DNA

1865: Gregor Mendel discovers through breeding experiments with peas that traits are inherited based on specific laws (later to be termed "Mendel's laws"). By mentioning Elements of Heredity he predicts DNA and genes (published 1866)
1866: Ernst Haeckel proposes that the nucleus contains the factors responsible for the transmission of hereditary traits.
1869: Friedrich Miescher isolates DNA/NUCLEIN for the first time.

1871: The first publications describing DNA (nuclein) by F Miescher, Felix Hoppe-Seyler, and P. Plosz are printed.

1882: Walther Flemming describes chromosomes and examines their behavior during cell division.

1884–1885: Oscar Hertwig, Albrecht von Kölliker, Eduard Strasburger, and August Weismann independently provide evidence that the cell's **nucleus contains the basis for inheritance**.

1889: Richard Altmann renames nuclein to nucleic acid.

1900: Carl Correns, Hugo de Vries, and Erich von Tschermak rediscover Mendel's Laws.

1902: T Boveri and W Sutton postulate that the **heredity units** (called genes as of 1909) are located **on chromosomes**. 1902–1909: A Garrod proposes that **genetic defects** result in the loss of enzymes and hereditary metabolic diseases. 1909: Wilhelm Johannsen uses the word **gene** to describe units of heredity.

**1910**: T H **Morgan** uses fruit flies (**Drosophila**) as a model to study heredity and finds the **first mutant** with white eyes. 1913: Alfred **Sturtevant** and Thomas Hunt **Morga**n produce the first **genetic linkage map** (for the fruit fly Drosophila).

1928: Frederick **Griffith** postulates that a **transforming principle** permits properties from one type of bacteria (heatinactivated virulent Streptococcus pneumoniae) to be transferred to another (live nonvirulent Streptococcus pneumoniae). 1929: P Levene identifies the **building blocks of DNA**, incl. four bases adenine (A), cytosine (C), guanine (G), thymine (T). 1941: George Beadle and Edward Tatum demonstrate that every gene is responsible for the production of an enzyme. 1944: Oswald T. Avery, Colin MacLeod, and Maclyn McCarty demonstrate that Griffith's transforming principle is not a protein, but rather DNA, suggesting that DNA may function as the genetic material **1949**: Colette and Roger **Vendrely** and A **Boivin** discover that the nuclei of **germ cells contain half the amount of DNA** that is found in somatic cells. This parallels the reduction in the number of chromosomes during gametogenesis and provides further evidence for the fact that DNA is the genetic material.

1949-1950: Erwin Chargaff finds that the DNA base composition varies between species but determines that the bases in DNA are always present in fixed ratios: the same number of A's as T's and the same number of C's as G's. 1952: Alfred Hershey and Martha Chase use viruses (bacteriophage T2) to confirm DNA as the genetic material by demonstrating that during infection viral DNA enters the bacteria while the viral proteins do not and that this DNA can be found in progeny virus particles.

1953: Rosalind Franklin and Maurice Wilkins use X-ray analyses to demonstrate that DNA has a regularly repeating helical structure.

1953: James Watson and Francis Crick discover the molecular structure of DNA: a double helix in which A always pairs with T, and C always with G.

1956: Arthur Kornberg discovers DNA polymerase, an enzyme that replicates DNA.

**1957**: Francis Crick proposes the central dogma (information in the DNA is translated into proteins through RNA) **1958**: Matthew Meselson and Franklin Stahl describe how DNA replicates (semiconservative replication).

1960-63: Julius Marmur and Paul Doty show separation of DNA strands and reformation of DNA double-helical structure - DNA renaturation/hybridization

1961–1966: Robert W. Holley, Har Gobind Khorana, Heinrich Matthaei, Marshall W. Nirenberg, and colleagues crack the genetic code.

**1968–1970**: Werner **Arber**, Hamilton **Smith**, and Daniel **Nathans** use **restriction enzymes** to cut DNA in specific places for the first time.

1972: Paul Berg uses restriction enzymes to create the first piece of recombinant DNA.

1977: Frederick Sanger, Allan Maxam, and Walter Gilbert develop methods to sequence DNA.

1982: The first drug (human insulin), based on recombinant DNA, on the market. 1983: Kary **Mullis** invents **PCR** as a method for amplifying DNA in vitro.

1990: Sequencing of the human genome begins.

1995: First complete sequence of the genome of a free-living organism (the bacterium Haemophilus influenzae) is published.

1996: The complete genome sequence of the first eukaryotic organism—the yeast S. cerevisiae—is published.

1998: Complete genome sequence of the first multicellular organism—the nematode worm Caenorhabditis elegans—is published.

1999: Sequence of the first human chromosome (22) is published.

2000: The complete sequences of the genomes of the **fruit fly Drosophila** and the **first plant—Arabidopsis**—are published.

2001: The complete sequence of the human genome is published.

2002: The complete genome sequence of the first mammalian model organism—the mouse—is published.

## Darwin C. 1859: Book - On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection Mendel G. 1866 Miescher F. 1871 papers

Charles Darwin - Important claims:

A. Universal Common Descent - Tree of Life - the first one-celled organism, representing the root or trunk of the Tree, gradually developed and changed over many generations into new and more complex forms, representing the branches

B. Natural Selection as a mechanism responsible for the branching pattern Variations in living forms arise at random Nature selects the adaptive ones Adaptive organism survive and reproduce Inherited adaptations may cause population changes

Darwin understand neither how genetic traits were passed to the progeny nor how the variations arose. He is a founder of Evolution Biology

At present: - Natural Selection as a mechanism for relatively simple processes is fully confirmed but also cooperation played a significant role - Universal Common Descent - Tree of Life and the role of natural selection in the origin of species are questioned

For decades biologists have fretted over cooperation, scrambling to make sense of it in light of the dominant view of evolution as "red in tooth and claw," as Alfred, Lord Tennyson so vividly described it. Charles Darwin, in making his case for evolution by natural selection—wherein individuals with desirable traits reproduce more often than their peers and thus contribute more to the next generation—called this competition the "struggle for life most severe." Taken to its logical extreme, the argument quickly leads to the conclusion that one should never ever help a rival and that an individual might in fact do well to lie and cheat to get ahead. Winning the game of life—by hook or by crook—is all that matters.

## The Evolution of Cooperation Competition is not the only

force that shaped life on earth

Far from being a nagging exception to the rule of evolution, cooperation has been one of its primary architects

- - 7

July 2012

**People tend to think** of evolution as a strictly dogeat-dog struggle for survival. In fact, cooperation has been a driving force in evolution.

There are five mechanisms by which cooperation may arise in organisms ranging from bacteria to human beings. Humans are especially helpful because of the mechanism of indirect reciprocity, which is based on reputation and leads us to help those who help others.

#### BASICS

Martin A. Nowak is a professor of biology and mathematics at Harvard University and director of the Program for Evolutionary Dynamics. His research focuses on the mathematical underpinnings of evolution.

#### Math & Biology, Vienna Univ.

I FIRST BECAME INTERESTED in cooperation back in 1987, as a graduate student studying mathematics and biology at the University of Vienna. While on a retreat with some fellow students and professors in the Alps, I learned about a game theory paradox called the Prisoner's Dilemma that elegantly illustrates why cooperation has so flummoxed evolutionary biologists. The dilemma goes like this: Imagine that two people have been arrested and are facing jail sentences for having conspired to commit a crime. The prosecutor questions each one privately and lays out the terms of a deal. If one person rats on the other



## **Natural Defection**

A game theory paradox called the Prisoner's Dilemma illustrates why the existence of cooperation in nature is unexpected. Two people face jail sentences for conspiring to commit a crime. Their sentences depend on whether they elect to cooperate and remain silent or defect and confess to the crime [see payoff table below]. Because neither knows what the other will do, the rational choice—the one that always offers the better payoff—is to defect.



The Prisoner's Dilemma seduced me immediately with its power to probe the relation between conflict and cooperation. Eventually my Ph.D. adviser, Karl Sigmund, and I developed techniques to run computer simulations of the dilemma using large communities rather than limiting ourselves to two prisoners. Taking these approaches, we could watch as the strategies of the individuals in these communities evolved from defection to cooperation and back to defection through cycles of growth and decline. Through the simulations, we identified a mechanism that could overcome natural selection's predilection for selfish behavior, leading would-be defectors to instead lend helping hands.

We started with a random distribution of defectors and cooperators, and after each round of the game the winners would go on to produce offspring who would participate in the next round. The offspring mostly followed their parents' strategy, although random mutations could shift their strategy. As the simulation ran, we found that within just a few generations all the individuals in the population were defecting in every round of the game. Then, after some time, a new strategy suddenly emerged: players would start by cooperating and then mirror their opponents' moves, tit for tat. The change quickly led to communities dominated by cooperators.

This mechanism for the evolution of cooperation among individuals who encounter one another repeatedly is known as direct reciprocity. Vampire bats offer a striking example. If a bat

In addition to direct reciprocity, I later identified four more mechanisms for the evolution of cooperation. In the several thousand papers scientists have published on how cooperators

A second means by which cooperation may find a foothold in a population is if cooperators and defectors are not uniformly distributed in a population-a mechanism termed spatial selection. Neighbors (or friends in a social network) tend to help one another, so in a population with patches of cooperators, these helpful individuals can form clusters that can then grow and thus prevail in competition with defectors. Spatial selection also operates among simpler organisms. Among yeast cells, cooperators make an enzyme used to digest sugar. They do this at a cost to themselves. Defector yeast, meanwhile, mooch off the cooperators' enzymes instead of making their own. Studies conducted by Jeff Gore of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and, independently, by Andrew Murray of Harvard University have found that among yeast grown in well-mixed populations; the defectors prevailed. In populations with clumps of cooperators and defectors, in contrast, the cooperators won out.

#### DIRECT RECIPROCITY Random distribution vs. non-uniform distribution of defectors and cooperators





**HELPING OUT:** Leaf-cutter ants work together to carry foliage back to their nest (1). Cells regulate their own division to avoid causing cancer (2). Lionesses cooperatively rear their young (3). Japanese macaques groom each other and thus burnish their reputations in their social group (4).



#### MORE TO EXPLORE

Five Rules for the Evolution of Cooperation. Martin A. Nowak in *Science*, Vol. 314, pages 1560–1563; December 8, 2006.

Super Cooperators: Altruism, Evolution, and Why We Need Each Other to Succeed. Martin A. Nowak, with Roger Highfield. Free Press, 2012.

#### SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN ONLINE

View a slide show of cooperative species at ScientificAmerican.com/jul2012/cooperation

#### Horizontal gene transfer - cell conglomerate instead of single cell ancestor

#### On the evolution of cells

#### Carl R. Woese'

Department of Microbiology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 601 South Goodwin Avenue, 8163 Chemical and Life Sciences Laboratory. Urbana. 8, 61801-3209

#### Contributed by Carl R. Woese, May 3, 2002

A theory for the evolution of cellular organization is presented. The model is based on the (data supported) conjecture that the dynamic of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is primarily determined by the organization of the recipient cell. Aboriginal cell designs are taken to be simple and loosely organized enough that all cellular componentry can be altered and/or-displaced through HGT, making HGT the principal driving force in early cellular evolution. Primitive cells did not carry a stable organismal genealogical trace. Primitive cellular evolution is basically communal. The high level of novelty required to evolve cell designs is a product of communal invention, of the universal HGT field, not intralineage variation. It is the community as a whole, the ecosystem, which evolves. The individual cell designs that evolved in this way are nevertheless fundamentally distinct, because the initial conditions in each case are somewhat different. As a cell design becomes more complex and interconnected a critical point is reached where a more integrated cellular organization emerges, and vertically generated novelty can and does assume greater importance. This critical point is called the "Darwinian Threshold" for the reasons given.

The evolution of modern cells is arguably the most challenging and important problem the field of Biology has ever faced (1, 2). In Darwin's day the problem could hardly be imagined. For much of the 20th century it was intractable. In any case, the problem lay buried in the catch-ail rubric "origin of life"—where, because it is a biological not a (bio/khemisal problem, it was effectively ignored. Scientific interest in cellular evolution started to pick up once the universal phylogenetic tree, the framework within which the problem had to be addressed, was determined (refs. 3 and 4; Fig. 1). But it was not until microbial genomics arrived on the scene that biologists could actually do much about the problem of cellular evolution.

Initial attempts to frame the issue have typically been in the classical Darwinian mode, and the focus to date has been almost exclusively on modeling the evolution of the eukaryotic cell. The reason, of course, is clear-the appeal of the endosymbiosis concept. Because endosymbiosis has given rise to the chloroplast and mitochondrion, what else could it have done in the more remote past? Biologists have long toyed with an endosymbiotic (or cellular fusion) origin for the eukaryotic nucleus, and even for the entire eukaryotic cell (4-10). These classical explanations have three characteristics: they (i) invoke cells that are basically fully evolved; (ii) evolve the essential eukaryotic cell well after its archaeal and bacterial counterparts (as has always been connoted by the term "prokaryote"); and (iii) focus attention on eukaryotic cellular evolution, which implies that the evolutions of the "prokaryotic" cell types, the archaeal and bacterial, are of a different character-simpler, and, it would seem, less interesting. We cannot expect to explain cellular evolution if we stay locked into the classical Darwinian mode of thinking.

The universal phylogenetic tree in one sense brought classical evolution to culmination. Darwin had said: "The time will come ... when we shall have very fairly true genealogical trees of each great kingdom of nature" (11). A century later the universal phylogenetic tree based on molecular (rRNA) sequence comparisons did precisely that and went the further. final step to unify all of the "great kingdoms" into one single "empire" (3). The central question posed by the universal tree is the nature of

the entity (or state) represented by its root, the fount of all extant life. Herein lies the door to the murky realm of cellular evolution. Experience teaches that the complex tends to arise from the simple, and biologists have assumed it so in the case of modern cells. But this assumption is susally accompanied by another nor so self-evident one: namely that the "organism" represented by the root of the universal tree was equivalent metabolically and in terms of its information processing to a modern cell, in effect war a modern cell. Such an assumption pushes the real evolution of modern cells back into an earlier era, which makes the problem not directly addressable through genomics. That is not a scientifically acceptable assumption. Unless or until facts dictate otherwise, the possibility must be entertained that some part of cellular evolution could have occurred during the period encompassed by the universal phylogenetic tree.

There is evidence, good evidence, to suggest that the basic organization of the cell had not yet completed its evolution at the stage represented by the root of the universal tree. The basi of this evidence comes from the three main cellular informationprocessing systems. Translation was highly developed by that stage: rRNAs, tRNAs, and the (large) elongation factors were by then all basically in near modern form; hence, their universal distributions. Almont all of the tRNA charging systems were in modern form as well (12). But, whereas the majority of ribosomal proteins are universal in distribution, a minority of them is not. A relatively small cadre is specific to the bacteria, a somewhat larger set common and confined to the archaea and cukaryotes, and a few others are uniquely eukaryotic.

Alenost all of the universal translational proteins (as well as those in transcription) show what is called the *canonical pattern*, i.e., the bacterial and archaeal versions of the protein are remarkably different from one another, so much so that their difference is disinguished as one of "genre" (12). Except for the animoscyl-tRNA symbetases the corresponding gukaryotic versions are virtually all of the archaeal genre (12). Why canonical pattern exists is a major unanswered question (3). In the overall it would seem that translation, although highly developed at the root of the universal tree, subsequently underwent idiosyncratic modifications in each of the three major cell types.

Transcription seems to have been rather less developed at the root of the universal tree. The two largest (the catalytic) subunits of the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase, \$\beta\$ and \$\beta'\$ in bacterial nomenclature, are universal in distribution. But the remaining bacterial subunit (a) is only partially so. Bacterial a exists in two copies in the bacterial polymerase. Its archaeal/eukaryotic counterpart comprises two distinct proteins, each present in single copy in the enzyme and (portions of) each showing homology to (somewhat different) portions of bacterial a and vice versa (13). A structural difference of this magnitude must represent at least some functional distinction. The archaeal transcription apparatus also contains additional (smaller) subunits, none of which are found in bacteria but all of which occur in eukaryotes (13). [As in the case of translation, the (three) eukarvotic mechanism(s) contain additional eukarvote-specific small subunits.] Bacterial transcription initiation does not re-

Abbreviations, HGT, herizontal gene transfer; SMA, supramolecular appreprint \*E-mail: careliphysis.life.ulux.edu.

8742-8747 PHAS | June 25, 2002 | vol. 99 no. 13

www.pras.org.cpi doi/10.1073/pras.132296999



The Author W. FORD DOOLITTLE, who holds degrees from Harvard and Stanford universi-

ties, is professor of biochemistry and molec-

ular biology at Dalhousie University in Hali-

fax, Nova Scotia, and director of the Program

in Evolutionary Biology of the Canadian In-

#### Further Information

W. FORD DOOLITTLE, who holds derees from Harvard and Stanford universi-Sciences, Vol. 95, No. 12, pages 6854–6859; June 9, 1998.

- YOU ARE WHAT YOU EAT: A GENE TRANSFER RACHET COULD ACCOUNT FOR BACTERIAL GENES IN EXCARPORT NUCLEAR GENOMES, W. Ford Doolittle in Trends in Genetics, Vol. 14, No. 8, pages 307–311; August 1998.
- PHYLOGENETIC CLASSIFICATION AND THE UNIVERSAL TREE. W. Ford Doolittle in Science, Vol. 284, pages 2124–2128; June 25, 1999.

Uprooting the Tree of Life

stitute for Advanced Research.

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN February 2000 77

## **Biology's next revolution**

The emerging picture of microbes as gene-swapping collectives demands a revision of such concepts as organism, species and evolution itself.

#### Nigel Goldenfeld and Carl Worse

One of the most fundamental patterns of actentific discovery is the revolution in thought that accompanies a new body of data. Satellite-based estronomy has, during the past decade, overthrown our most cherished ideas of cosmology, especially those relating to the size, dynamics and composition of the Universe.

Similarly, the convergence of firsh theoretical ideas in evolution and the coming avalanche of genomic data will profondly alter our understanding of the biosphere and is likely to lead to revision of concepts such as species, organism and evolution. Here we explain why we foresee such a daametic transformation, and why we believe the molecular reductionism that dominated twentleth-century biology will be superseded by an interdisciplinary approach that embraces collective phenomena.

The place to start is horizontal gene transfer (EUST), the non-genealogical transfer of genetic nuterial from one organism to another or from viruses to bacteria. Among microbes, HGT is pervasive and powerfal — for example, is accelerating the spread of antibiotic resistance. Owing to HGT, it is not a good approximation to regard microbes as organisms dominated by individual cheracteristics. In fact, their communications by genetic or sporum-analong channels indicate that microbial behaviour mast be understood as predominantly cooperative.

In the wild, microbes form communities, invade biochemical niches and partake in biogeochemical cycles. The available studies strongly indicate that microbes absorb and discard genes as needed, in response to their environment. Rather than discrete genomes, we see a continuom of genomic possibilities, which casts doubt on the validity of the concept of a 'species' when extended into the microbial realm. The uselearness of the species concept is inherent in the secent ferry into metagenomics --- the study of genomes secovered from astural samples as opposed to clonal cultures. For example, studies of the spatial distribution of rhodopsin genes in marine microbes anggest such genes are 'cosmopolitan' wandering among bacteria (or archaea) as environmental pressures dictate.

Equally enciting is the realization that viruses how a fundamental role in the biosphere, in both immediate and long-term



memory of a community's genetic information, contributing to the system's evolutionary dynamics and stability. This is bisted at, for example, by prophage induction, in which viruses latent in cells can become activated by environmental influences. The ensping destruction of the cell and viral replication is a potent mechanism for the clippensi of host and viral genes.

It is becoming clear that microorganisms have a remarkable ability to reconstruct their genomes in the face of dire environmental streams and that in some cases their collective interactions with viruses may be crucial to this. In such a situation, how wald is the very concept of an organism in isolation? It accurs that there is a continuity of energy flux and informational transfer from the genome up through cells, community, virosphere and environment. We would go so far as to anggest that a defining characteristic of life is the strong dependency on flux from the environment — be it of energy, chemicals, metabolites or genes.

Nowhere are the implications of collective phenomena, mediated by HiGT, so pervasive and important as in evolution. A computer scientist might term the cell's translational apparetus (used to convert genetic information to proteins) an operating system; by which all innovation is communicated and realized. The fundamental role of translation, represented in particular by the genetic code, is shown by the clearly documented optimization of the code. Its special role in any form of life leads to the striking prediction that more powerful early forms of HGT.

Refinement through the horizontal sharing of genetic innovations would have triggenetion explosion of genetic novelty, until the level of complexity required a transition to the current era of vertical evolution. Thus, we regard as regretable the conventional consideration of Darwink name with evolution, because other modulities must also be considered.

This is an extraordinary time for biology, because the perspective we have indicated pieces biology within a context that must necessarily engage other disciplines more strongly sware of the importance of collective phenomena. Questions suggested by the generic energy, information and gene flows to which we have alluded will probably require resolution in the spirit of statistical mechanics and dynamical systems theory. In time, the current approach of post-hoc modelling will be replaced by interplay between quantitative prediction and experimental test, nowadays more characteristic of the physical sciences.

Sometimes, longuage expresses ignorance rather than knowledge, as in the case of the word 'prokaryots' now superseded by the terms archaes and bacteria. We foresee that in biology, new concepts will sequire a new language, grounded in mathematics and the discoveries emerging from the data we have highlighted. During an earlier revolution, Antoine Lavoisier observed that scientific progress, like evolution, must overcome a challenge of communication: "We cannot. improve the language of any acience without at the same time improving the science itself neither can we, on the other hand, improve a science without improving the language or nomencieture which belongs to it." Biology is about to meet this challenge. Nigel Goldenfeld is in the Department of Physics and Institute for Genemic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, Minola 61801, USA. Carl Wiseee Is in the Department of Microbiology and Institute for Genomic Biology, 601 South Goodwin Avenue, Usbana, Illinois 61801, USA.

FURTHER HEADING Frigunes, H., Marcines, A., Alfacas, T. & DeLorg, E. Neiser 498, 847–800 (2006). Selbean, M.-et al. Flat NS, 177–90 (2006). Pedalas, N. et al. Calif NS, 177–90 (2008). Vanalgian, K., Wasse, C. & Soldanafel, H. Roc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 103, 10696–10701 (2006).

For other easings in this seales, see hits://

Thus we regard as regrettable the conventional concatenation of Darwin's name with evolution, because other modalities must also be considered

## THE MIND BY MARC HAUSER

The first step in figuring out how the human mind arose is determining what distinguishes our mental processes from those of other creatures EVOLUČNÍ BIOLOGIE - rychle se vyvíjející vědecká disciplina

vedle ní existuje IDEOLOGIE EVOLUCIONISMU

PODLE DARWINISTY M. RUSE NENÍ

BOJ EVOLUCIONISMU S KREACIONISMEM

BOJEM VĚDY S NÁBOŽENSTVÍM ALE

BOJEM NÁBOŽENSTVÍ S NÁBOŽENSTVÍM

M. Ruse, The Evolution-Creation Struggle HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS, 2005

## JOHANN GREGOR MENDEL

\* 1822 in Hynčice (Moravia, Austro-Hungarian Empire)
+ 1884 in Brno (buried at Central Cemetery in Brno)

discovered through breeding experiments with peas that traits are inherited based on specific laws (later to be termed "Mendel's laws"). By mentioning Elements of Heredity he predicted DNA and genes (published 1866, lecture in Brno 1965) In the 1950's Mendelism declared to be a reactionary teaching (LYSENKO, LEPESHINSKAYA)

Mendel statue removed and its destruction ordered Brno geneticist J. Kříženecký jailed His pupil V. Orel forced to work manually in industry

1964 attempts to rehabilitate Mendel

Academicians B. Němec (biologist) and F. ŠORM (biochemist, President of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences) backed by Soviet Academicians. Dealing between N. Khrushtchov, A. Novotný (President of Czechoslovakia), F. Šorm and biologist J. Pospíšil (later the Party Secretary) resulted in the decision to organize an international conference in 1968 (100 anniversary of publication of Mendel's paper) in Brno (F. Šorm warned by Novotný that his attempts may result in the end of his career if the action will get out of control). Beginning of Mendel's Museum in Brno

A milestone not only in the approach of Party and State to Mendel but also a beginning of rehabilitation of SCIENCE against the COMMUNIST IDEOLOGY



Brno Augustinians 1860-62

Abbot C. Napp





Mendel's Medal, Moravian Museum, Brno



Abbot G. Mendel



Teachers of Brno gymnasium (High School)

G J MENDEL, priest, teacher, scientist and abbot in BRNO

## THE STATUE STORY

In 1906 Dr. Hugo Iltis, the gymnasium professor in Brno organized an international collection to build the Mendel's Statue in Brno. Created by a French sculpturer T. Charlemont the Statue was errected at the Mendel Square in 1910

In 1956 Mendel's Statue was ordered by the Regional Authorities to be destroyed. The workers who were supposed to the job decided not to do it because they believed that the statue was nice. Moreover it would be difficult to destroy it.

After February 1948 Soviet "Lysenkism" (T. D. Lysenko 1896-1974) strongly affected biology in Czechoslovakia. After Stalin death (1953) attempts were made by soviet scientists (particularly by physists and chemists) to substitute Lysenko's



"materialistic biology" for normal science and by the end of 1950's plans were made to organize in Brno International Mendel Memorial Symposium. In 1962 Lysenko's work was criticized by the Soviet Academy but still in September 1964 N.S. Khrushtchov raised objections against the Mendel Symposium in 1965 in Brno. During his visit in Prague he dealt with the President A. Novotny who finally agreed with the meeting organization after the President of the Academy F. Sorm personally guaranteed that the Symposium will not be politically misused. (F. Sorm was well informed about the activities of the influential Soviet scientist to rehabilitate fully the genetics - Soon after his visit of this country N.S. Khrushtchov was removed from his position).

Before the Symposium the Director of the Institute of Biophysics prof. F. Hercik was entrusted by the Academy to help with the organization of the Mendel International Meeting in Brno. To fulfill his duties he turned to the City Authorities asking to move the Mendel's Statue to the Abbey garden. As his request was ignored he asked his graduate students J. Koudelka and B. Janík to move the Statue from the Abbey yard to the garden. Both fellows were quite strong young men but they found the marble Statue too heavy.

## 1844 - 1895 Friedrich MIESCHER

1. sdělení v r. 1871

Žák **Hoppe-Seylera** v **Tübingen** se zabýval izolací jaderných komponent (z hnisajících buněk, které získával z tamnější chirurgie). Buňky hydrolyzoval pepsinem-HCI a po třepání s eterem izoloval jádra jako separovanou vrstvu na dně nádoby. Z tohoto materiálu **"nuklein"** reagoval kysele, rychle se rozpouštěl ve zřeď. louhu a obsahoval velké množství P.

Vysoký obsah P byl považován za velmi pozoruhodný - jediná tehdy známá organická látka obsažená v tkáni - lecitin. Když F.M. předložil práci k publ. shledal ji H.S. tak překvapující, že ji odmítl uveřejnit, dokud ji sám neprověřil.

F.M. se pak vrátil do Baselu, kde nalezl vhodnější materiál k izolaci nukleinu v hlavičkách spermií lososa - z nich nuklein o vysoké m.v. a zásaditý materiál bílkovinné povahy, který nazval protamin; obsah P v nukleinu 9,59 %.

Purinové base (A,G) objevili Piccard a Kossel (1874-85) U 1885, Altman nazval nuklein poprvé nukleová kyselina, NK (nukleinsäure) (1889); koncem 19. století identifikován T a vzápětí C.

Kolem roku **1930** již známy **DNA** (thymus) a **RNA** (kvasnice) i jejich základní složení. Ve čtyřicátých letech - **DNA v jádře**, **RNA v** cytoplazmě a jádře.



F. Miescher

W. His

F. Hoppe-Seyler A. STRECKER

Fig. 1. Friedrich Miescher and his mentors. (A) Friedrich Miescher (1844-1895) as a young man. (B) Wilhelm His (1831-1904), Miescher's uncle. His still is famous for his work on the fate of cells and tissues during embryonic development and for his insights into neuroembryology. He, for example, discovered neuroblasts and coined the term bdendriteQ (Finger, 1994; Shepherd, 1991). (C) Felix Hoppe-Seyler (1825-1895), one of the pioneers of physiological chemistry (now biochemistry). Hoppe-Seyler performed seminal work on the properties of proteins, most notably hemoglobin (which he named), introduced the term bproteidQ (which later became bproteinQ), and worked extensively on fermentation and oxidation processes as well as lipid metabolism (Perutz, 1995). He was instrumental in founding Germany's first independent institute for physiological chemistry (in 1884) and in 1877 founded and edited the first journal of biochemistry, the Zeitschrift fu¬r Physiologische Chemie, which still exists today as Biological Chemistry. (D) Adolf Strecker (1822-1871), a leading figure in chemistry in the mid-19th century and professor at the University of Tubingen from 1860 to 1870. Among other achievements, he was the first to synthesize aamino acid (alanine from acetaldehyde via its condensation product with ammonia and hydrogen cyanide) in a reaction known today as Strecker synthesis (Strecker, 1850). (E) Carl Ludwig (1816-1895), a protagonist in the field of physiology in the second half of the 19th century. His focus was the physiology of the nervous system and its sensory organs. In 1869, he founded Leipzig's Physiological Institute.



Hoppe-Seyler's laboratory around 1879

Fig. 2. Photograph of Felix Heppe-Seyler's laboratory around 1879. Prior to becoming the chemical laboratory of Tilbingeo University in 1823, this recent was Tübingeo castle's hundry. Here, Heppe-Seyler had made ground-breaking discoveries regarding the properties of beneglebin. This achievement was a significant step for later investigations into the properties and functions of this and other proteins. Photography by Paul Sinner, Tübingen.



#### F. Miescher's laboratory

Fig. 4. The laboratory in the former kitches of the castle in Töbingen as it was in 1879. It was in this room that Microher had discovered DMA 10 years carlier. The equipment and fixtures available to Microher at the time would have been very similar, with a large distillation apparatus in the far corner of the room to produce distilled water and several smaller utensils, such as glass alembics and a glass distillation column on the side board. Photography by Paul Sinner, Tübingen.



# Tübingen castle

#### A, in Miescher's time

#### B, at present

#### FIRST PROTOCOL

Before attempting the isolation of cells from the pus on surgical bandages, Miescher took great care to ensure that his source material was fresh and not contaminated. He painstakingly examined it and discarded everything that showed signs of decomposition, either in terms of smell, appearance under the microscope, or by having turned acidic. A great deal of the material he could obtain did not meet these strict requirements (Miescher, 1871d). Those samples that did were subsequently used to isolate leucocytes.

In a first step, Miescher separated the leucocytes from the bandaging material and the serum (Miescher, 1869a, 1871d). This separation posed a problem for Miescher. Solutions of NaCl or a variety of alkaline or alkaline earth salt solutions used to wash the pus resulted in a "slimy swelling" of the cells, which was impossible to process further (His, 1897b). (This "slimy swelling" of the cells was presumably due to high-molecular-weight DNA, which had been extracted from cells that had been damaged.) Only when Miescher tried a dilute solution of sodium sulfate [a mixture of one part cold saturated Glauber's salt (Na2SO4d 10 H2O) solution and nine parts water] to wash the bandages did he manage to successfully isolate distinct leucocytes, which could be filtered out through a sheet to remove the cotton fibers of the bandaging. Miescher subsequently let the washing solution stand for 1–2 h to allow the cells to sediment and inspected the leucocytes microscopically to confirm that they did not show any signs of damage. Having isolated the cells, Miescher next had to separate the nuclei from the cytoplasm. This had never been achieved before and Miescher had to develop new protocols. He washed the cells by rinsing them several (6-10) times with fresh solutions of diluted (1:1000) hydrochloric acid over a period of several weeks at "wintry temperatures" (which were important to avoid degradation). This procedure removed most of the cells' bprotoplasm, Q leaving behind the nuclei. The residue from this treatment consisted in part of isolated nuclei and of nuclei with only little fragments of cytoplasm left attached. Miescher showed that these nuclei could no longer be stained yellow by iodine solutions, a method commonly used at the time for detecting cytoplasm (Arnold, 1898; Kiernan, 2001). He then vigorously shook the nuclei for an extended period of time with a mixture of water and ether. This caused

the lipids to dissolve in the ether while those nuclei, still attached to cytoplasm, collected at the water/ether interface. By contrast, the clean nuclei without contaminating cytoplasm were retained in the water phase. Miescher filtered these nuclei and examined them under a microscope. He noticed that in this way he could obtain completely pure nuclei with a smooth contour, homogeneous content, sharply defined nucleolus, somewhat smaller in comparison to their original volumes (Miescher, 1871d).

Miescher subsequently extracted the isolated nuclei with alkaline solutions. When adding highly diluted (1:100,000) sodium carbonate to the nuclei, he noticed that they would swell significantly and become translucent. Miescher then isolated a yellow solution of a substance from these nuclei. By adding acetic acid or hydrochloric acid in excess, he could obtain an insoluble, flocculent precipitate (DNA). Miescher noted that he could dissolve the precipitate again by adding alkaline solutions.

Although this protocol allowed Miescher for the first time to isolate nuclein in appreciable purity and quantities, it was still too little and not pure enough for his subsequent analyses. He consequently improved on this protocol until he established the protocol detailed in Box 2, which enabled him to purify sufficient amounts of nuclein for his first set of experiments on its elementary composition.

#### M. SECOND PROTOCOL TO ISOLATE DNA

A key concern of Miescher's was to get rid of contaminating proteins, which would have skewed his analyses of the novel substance. "I therefore turned to an agent that was already being used in chemistry with albumin molecules on account of its strong proteindissolving action, namely, pepsin solutions (Miescher, 1871d). Pepsin is a proteolytic enzyme present in the stomach for digesting proteins. Miescher used it to separate the DNA from the proteins of the cells' cytoplasm. He extracted the pepsin for his experiments from pig stomachs by washing the stomachs with a mixture of 10 cc of fuming hydrochloric acid and one liter of water and filtering the resulting solution until it was clear. In contrast to his earlier protocol, Miescher first washed the pus cells (leucocytes) three or four times with warm alcohol to remove lipids. He then let the residual material digest with the pepsin solution between 18 and 24 h at 37-45 C. After only a few hours, a fine gray powdery sediment of isolated nuclei separated from a yellow liquid. Miescher continued the digestion process, changing the pepsin solution twice. After this procedure, a precipitate of nuclei without any attached cytoplasm formed. He shook the sediment several times with ether in order to remove the remaining lipids. Afterwards, he filtered the nuclei and washed them with water until there was no longer any trace of proteins. He described the nuclei isolated in this way as naked. The contours were smooth in some cases or slightly eaten away in others (Miescher, 1871d). Miescher washed the nuclei again several times with warm alcohol and noted that the nuclear mass cleaned in this way exhibited the same chemical behavior as the nuclei isolated with hydrochloric acid. Miescher subsequently extracted the isolated nuclei using the same alkaline extraction protocol he had previously employed on the intact cells (see Box 1) and, when adding an excess of acetic acid or hydrochloric acid to the solution, again obtained a precipitate of nuclein



Fig. 5. Glass vial containing nuclein isolated from salmon sperm by Friedrich Miescher while working at the University of Basel. The faded label reads Nuclein aus Lachssperma, F. Miescher (Nuclein from salmon sperm, F. Miescher). Possession of the Interfakult-res Institut fqr Biochemie (Interfacultary Institute for Biochemistry), University of Tubingen, Germany; photography by Alfons Renz, University of Tubingen.



Fig. 6. This picture of Friedrich Miescher in his later years is the frontispiece on the inside cover of the two volume collection of Miescher's scientific publications, his letters, lecture manuscripts, and papers published posthumously by Wilhelm His and others (His et al., 1897a,b).



Figure 4.8

(a) 1944: Oswald T. Avery, Colin MacLeod, and Maclyn McCarty demonstrate that Griffith's transforming principle is not a protein, but rather DNA, suggesting that DNA may function as the genetic material

(b) 1952: Alfred Hershey and Martha Chase use viruses (bacteriophage T2) to confirm DNA as the genetic material by demonstrating that during infection viral DNA enters the bacteria while the viral proteins do not and that this DNA can be found in progeny virus particles.



A, B and left-handed Z-DNA as we know them now How did we arrive to them?





Double helical conformations of DNA: (left) A-DNA, (center) B-DNA, (right) Z-DNA.

FIGURE 6.9 d Z forms of DNA Part (a) shows side views of all

(b)

B



21st Anniversary: The DNA Double Helix Comes of Age

#### NO. 4356 April 25, 1953 NATURE MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF NUCLEIC ACIDS

#### A Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid

WE wish to suggest a structure for the salt of deoxyribose nucleic acid (D.N.A.). This structure has novel features which are of considerable biological interest.

A structure for nucleic acid has already been proposed by Pauling and Corey<sup>1</sup>. They kindly made their manuscript available to us in advance of publication. Their model consists of three intertwined chains, with the phosphates near the fibre axis, and the bases on the outside. In our opinion, his structure is unsatisfactory for two reasons : (1) We believe that the material which gives the X-ray diagrams is the salt, not the free acid. Without the acidic hydrogen atoms it is not clear what forces would hold the structure together, especially as the negatively charged phosphates near the axis will repel each other. (2) Some of the van der Waals distances appear to be too small.

Another three-chain structure has also been suggested by Fraser (in the press). In his model the phosphates are on the outside and the bases on the inside, linked together by hydrogen bonds. This structure as described is rather ill-defined, and for this reason we shall not comment

on it. We wish to put forward lly lifterent structure for it of deoxyribese hucleic This structure has two radical a chains each coiled round he same axis (see diagram). We have made the usual chemical assumptions, namely, that each chain consists of phosphate diester groups joining β-D-deoxyribofuranose residues with 3'.5' linkages. The two chains (but not their bases) are related by a dyad perpendicular to the fibre axis. Both chains follow righthanded" helices, but owing to the dyad the sequences of the atoms in the two chains run in opposite directions. Each chain loosely resembles Furberg's<sup>2</sup> model No. 1; that is, the bases are on the inside of the helix and the phosphates on This figure is purely diagrammatic. The two the outside. The configuration of the sugar and the atoms ibbons symbolize the wo phosphate-sugar near it is close to Furberg's chains, and the hori-contal rods the pairs of 'standard configuration', the ases holding the chains ogether. The vertical sugar being roughly perpendicular to the attached base. There ne marks the fibre axis is a residue on each chain every 3.4 A. in the z-direction. We have assumed an angle of 36° between adjacent residues in the same chain, so that the structure repeats after 10 residues on each chain, that is, after 34 A. The distance of a phosphorus atom from the fibre axis is 10 A. As the phosphates are on the outside, cations have easy access to them.

The structure is an open one, and its water content is rather high. At lower water contents we would expect the bases to tilt so that the structure could become more compact. The novel feature of the structure is the manner in which the two chains are held together by the purine and pyrimidine bases. The planes of the bases are perpendicular to the fibre axis. They are joined together in pairs, a single base from one chain being hydrogen-bonded to a single base from the other ohain, so that the two lie side by side with identical z-co-ordinates. One of the pair must be a purine and the other a pyrimidine for bonding to occur. The hydrogen bonds are made as follows : purine position 1 to pyrimidine position 1; purine position 6 to pyrimidine position 6.

If it is assumed that the bases only occur in the structure in the most plausible tautomeria for as (that is, with the keto rather than the one configurations) it is found that only specific plans of bases can bond together. These rate are : adenine (purine) with thymine (pyrim dire), and guanine (purine) with cytosine (pyrim dire).

(purine) with thymine (pyrindine), and guanine (purine) with cytosine (nyimidine). In other words, if an adamine forms one member of a pair, on either that, then on these assumptions the other member nust be thymine; similarly for guanine and cytosine. The sequence of bases on a single chinidoes not appear to be restricted in any way. However, in only specific pairs of bases can be formed, it follows that if the sequence on the other chain is suiten, then the sequence on the other chain is suiten, then the sequence.

It has been found experimentally<sup>3,4</sup> that the ratio of the amounts of adenine to thymine, and the ratio of guanine to cytosine, are always very close to unity for deoxyribose nucleic acid.

It is probably impossible to build this structure with a ribose sugar in place of the deoxyribose, as the extra oxygen atom would make too close a van der Waals contact.

The previously published X-ray data<sup>5,4</sup> on deoxyribose nucleic acid are insufficient for a rigorous test of our structure. So far as we can tell, it is roughly compatible with the experimental data, but it must be regarded as unproved until it has been checked against more exact results. Some of these are given in the following communications. We were not aware of the details of the results presented there when we devised our structure, which rests mainly though not entirely on published experimental data and stereochemical arguments.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

Full details of the structure, including the conditions assumed in building it, together with a set of co-ordinates for the atoms, will be published elsewhere.

We are much indebted to Dr. Jerry Donohue for constant advice and criticism, especially on interatomic distances. We have also been stimulated by a knowledge of the general nature of the unpublished experimental results and ideas of Dr. M. H. F. Wilkins, Dr. R. E. Franklin and their co-workers at King's College, London. One of us  $(J, D, W_{-})$  has been aided by a fellowship from the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis.

> J. D. WATSON F. H. C. CRICK

Medical Research Council Unit for the Study of the Molecular Structure of Biological Systems, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge. April 2.

#### X-RAY FIBER ANALYSIS OF DNA

represented the main evidence for the Watson-Crick double helix model This method enabled analysis of high-molecular DNA, but provided only few basic parameters of the helix. such as

Exo

(d)

+c. + + 1 30"

(c)

An: 41 - - 30

124 - + 30"

distance between base pairs

number of base residues per turn

Further data were derived from model building considering the laws of structural chemistry

Base pairing from physical-chemical measurements

Sugar configuration (PUCKER)

Angles of the glycosidic bonds were fixed within certain limits

Handedness of the helix The direction of rotation was guessed and then subjected to testing





DNA is a polyanionic biomacromolecule with bases in its interior and sugar-phosphate backbone on the surface. At neutral pH it carries one negative charge per nucleotide. Below pH 5 and and above pH 9 ionization of bases become important

#### Parameters of DNA structures

TABLE 1

Comparison of A-, B-, and Z-DNA

Helix sense	A-DNA* right-handed	B-DNA* right-handed	B'-DNA⁵ right-handed	Z-DNA <sup>c</sup> left-hande
Base pairs per turn	11	10	10	12 (6 dime
Helix twist (°)	32.7	36.0	34.1, 36.8	-10, -50
Rise per base pair (Å)	2.9	3.4	3.5, 3.3	3.7
Helix pitch (Å)	32	34	34	45
Base pair tilt (°)	13	0	0	-7
P distance from helix axis (Å)	9.5	9.3	9.1	6.9, 8.0
Glycosidic orientation	anti	anti	anti	anti, syn
Sugar conformation	C3'-endo	Wide range	C2'-endo	C2'-endo, C3 endoª

- Numerical values for each form were obtained by averaging the global parameters of corresponding double-helix fragments.
- B'-DNA values are for a double helix backbone conformation alternating between conformational states I and II.
- The two values given correspond to CpG and GpC steps for the twist and P distance valu to cytosine and guanosine for the others.
- <sup>3</sup> Two values correspond to the two conformational states. From Kennard, O. and Hunter, W. *Q. Rev. Biophys.*, 22, 3427, 1989. With permission.



Double helical conformations of DNA: (left) A-DNA, (center) B-DNA, (right) Z-DNA.

#### DNA structures from X-ray crystal analysis

# DNA double helix is polymorphic depending on the nucleotide sequence

#### TABLE 2 Average Helical Parameters for Selected Right-Handed Structures

	Helix twist (°)	Rise per base pair (Å)	Base pair tilt (°)	Propeller twist (°)	Groove width (Å)		Displacement
					Minor	Major	Da (Å)
A-form							
d(GGTATACC)	32	2.9	13	10	10.2	6.3	4.0
d(GGGCGCCC)	32	3.3	7	12	9.5	10.1	3.7
d(CTCTAGAG)	32	3.1	10	11	8.7	8.0	3.6
r(GCG)d(TATACGC)	33	2.5	19	12	10.2	3.2	4.5
r(UUAUAUAUAUAUAA)	33	2.8	17	19	10.2	3.7	3.6
Fiber A-DNA	33	2.6	22	6	11.0	2.4	4.4
B-form							
d(CGCGAATTCGCG)	36	3.3	2	13	5.3	11.7	-0.2
d(CGCGAATTBrCGCG)	36	3.4	-2	18	4.6	12.2	-0.2
Fiber B-DNA	36	3.4	2	13	6.0	11.4	- 0.6

BrC = 5-bronecytosimo. Adapted from Kennard, O. and Hunter, W. N., *Q. Rev. Biophys.*, 22, 327, 1989. With permission.

## 1953

A paragraph dealing with nucleic acids from a text book of Organic Chemistry (in Czech) is shown. Briefly, it says nucleic acids (NA's) form complexes with proteins which are the building blocks of plant and animal viruses and of cell nucleus. Total hydrolysis of NA's proceeds according to the following scheme:



alkaline hydrolysis enzym. digestion **Polynucleotide** → mononucleotide → uracil or purine bases

Considering that uracil and adenine were discovered in 1885 and G in 1844 while C in 1894 and T in 1900, our lectures on NA's were up-todate in 1885 but not in 1894

In courses of Marxism-Leninism (obligatory to all students) we were tought that **G. Mendel was a burgeois reactionary pseudoscientist**. Interestingly there was **not a single chemist** among us **who believed it**. To my surprise there were some biologists who took this nonsense seriously

#### itation Report: 311

om Web of Science Core Collection)

u searched for: AUTHOR: (Paleček E) ... More

Pael antrophic shine sight of antitith back trasport take

is report reflects citations to source items indexed within Web of Science Core Collection. Perform a Cited Reference Search to include citations to items not indexed within to of Science Core Collection.

# **WEB OF SCIENCE**



311 Počet publikací
11 840 počet citací
38.07 citace/publikace
62 h-index

Když si budete vybírat **téma** své dizertace snažte se zjistit nejen zda vám téma vyhovuje ale také, jak je váš budoucí školitel ve vědě úspěšný

#### **Společné** a vlastní a publikace ÚLOHA JEDNOTLIVCE
## Hlavní sál, Valdštejnský palác



Předseda Senátu Parlamentu České republiky Milan Štěch

si Vás dovoluje pozvat na

SLAVNOSTNÍ PŘEDÁNÍ STŘÍBRNÝCH PAMĚTNÍCH MEDAILÍ SENÁTU PARLAMENTU ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY U PŘÍLEŽITOSTI DNE ČESKÉ STÁTNOSTI

Slavnostní předání se uskuteční

v pátek 26. září 2014 v 15.00 hod.

v Hlavním sále a přilehlých historických prostorách Valdštejnského paláce.

### Program:

od 14.15 hod. příchod hostů do Hlavního sálu Valdštejnského paláce

15.00 hod. zahájení slavnostního předání stříbrných pamětních medailí Senátu Parlamentu České republiky u příležitosti Dne české státnosti

státní hymna

vystoupení předsedy Senátu Parlamentu České republiky pana Milana Štěcha

Václav Hudeček – housle, Petr Adamec – kla Antonín Dvořák - Mazurek

předávání stříbrných pamětních medailí Senátu Parlamentu České republiky (představení oceněných)

Václav Hudeček – housle, Petr Adamec – kla Pablo de Sarasate - Cikánské melodie

vystoupení zástupce oceněných

Svatováclavský chorál

ukončení slavnostního předání stříbrných pamětních medailí Senátu Parlamentu České republiky



Záznam České televize bude vysílán v neděli 28. září 2014 v 17.00 hod. na ČT24.

## Vědecká rada navrhla na cenu Česká hlava biochemika Emila Palečka

16. září 2014 v 18:18

Biochemik Emil Paleček (na snímku z 12. února 2014) Foto: ČTK

Prestižní Národní cenu vlády Česká hlava 2014 dostane biochemik Emil Paleček z Biofyzikálního ústavu Akademie věd ČR. Rozhodla o tom Rada pro výzkum, vývoj a

"Musím říct, že mě to velmi překvapilo, ba přímo šokovalo. Já jsem to nečekal. Samozřejmě mám z toho radost," reagoval Emil Paleček na zprávu o svém ocenění.

Členové Rady pro výzkum, vývoj a inovace jednomyslně vybrali profesora Palečka z celkem devíti kandidátů, které nominovaly instituce na základě výzvy projektu Česká hlava.

## 90 years of polarography and ~55 years of nucleic acid electrochemistry

This year we commemorate the 90th Anniversary of the invention of polarography by J. Heyrovsky. In 1941 he invented oscillographic polarography with controlled a.c. (cyclic a.c. chronopotentiometry). By the end of the 1950's oscillographic polarography was the method of choice for the DNA electrochemical analysis:

1958: Nucleic acid bases, DNA and RNA are electroactive

1960: Relations between the DNA structure and electrochemical responses



# Electrochemical analysis of proteins and peptides at Hg electrodes in the presence of large excess of thiols was difficult or impossible.

Recently we have found that peak H is produced by proteins adsorbed at mercury and solid amalgam electrodes modified by different kinds of thiol self-assembled monolayers (SAMs). For practical reasons we were primarily interested in DTT SAMs.



Temperature, at which the electrode process is taking place, greatly influences the electrochemical behavior of the surface-immobilized proteins.

## Tumor suppressor protein p53

declared "The Molecule of the Year" by Science magazine in 1993 perhaps the most important protein in the development of cancer. This protein p53 plays a critical role in the cellular response to DNA damage by regulating the expression of genes involved in controlling cell proliferation, DNA repair, and apoptosis. P53 protein is inactivated by mutation in about 50 % of human malignancies. Most mutations are located in the DNA-binding core domain of the protein. p53 protein is biologically active in its reduced state and is usually stored with mM concentrations of reducing agent dithiothreitol (DTT).

## EU 6th FP: Mutant p53 as target for improved cancer therapy





50

51

52

pubs.acs.org/JACS

## Electrocatalytic Monitoring of Metal Binding and Mutation-Induced Conformational Changes in p53 at Picomole Level

- Emil Paleček,\*\* Veronika Ostatná,\* Hana Černocká,\* Andreas C. Joerger,\* and Alan R. Fersht\*
- Institute of Biophysics, Academy of Sciences of the Creck Republic, v.v.i., Královopolská 135, 612 65 Bruo, Crech Republic MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 0QH, U.K.

#### 3 Supporting Information

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

25

28

31

32

35

36

ABSTRACT: We developed an innovative electrochemical method for monitoring conformational transitions in proteins using constant current chronopotentiometric stripping (CPS) with dithiothreitol-modified mercury electrodes. The method was applied to study the effect of oncogenic mutations on the DNAbinding domain of the tumor suppressor p53. The CPS responses of wild-type and mutant p53 showed excellent correlation with structural and stability data and provided additional insights into the differential dynamic behavior of the proteins. Further, we were able to monitor the loss of an essential zinc ion After 2n review by COTA resulting from mutation (R175H) or metal chelation. We envisage that our CPS



method can be applied to the analysis of virtually any protein as a sensor for conformational transitions or ligand binding to complement conventional techniques, but with the added benefit that only relatively small amounts of protein are needed and instant results are obtained. This work may lay the foundation for the wide application of electrochemistry in protein science, including proteomics and biomedicine.

#### INTRODUCTION

The tumor suppressor protein p53 plays a critical role in the 22 cellular response to DNA damage by regulating the expression of genes involved in controlling the cell cycle, DNA repair, and apoptosis.<sup>1,2</sup> It is directly inactivated by mutation in about 50% of 26 buman cancers, with most encogenic unstations being located in the DNA-binding core domain of the protein,<sup>34</sup> It is essential to understand the molecular basis of p53 inactivation in cancer in order to develop novel anticancer strategies.<sup>6</sup> The structural 29 effects of many oncogenic p53 mutants have been intensively studied by X-ray crystallography and complementary techniques (reviewed in ref 6). Yet, the most frequent cancer-associated mutant, R175H, which is highly destabilized, has eluded a detailed structural characterisation so far, highlighting the need for complementary techniques to study conformationally unstable matants.

In recent decades, electrochemistry of proteins was limited to relatively small conjugated proteins containing nonprotein redox centers yielding reversible electrochemistry,<sup>7-10</sup> and a majority of proteins were neglected. We have proposed a new electrochemical method for analysis of practically all proteins, which is sensitive to changes in protein structure.^ $^{11-20}$  This method is based on the 42 ability of proteins to catalyze hydrogen evolution at mercary electrodes<sup>19,21-23</sup> and relies on constant current chronopotentiometric stripping (CPS) involving very fast potential changes) and mercany-containing electrodes. <sup>524</sup> With this method, a number of proteios in their native and departured and/or reduced and oxidized forms were analyzed displaying protein structure-sensi-tive responses (denominated as peaks H). <sup>11,33</sup> We used CPS to

study aggregation of G-symuclein (important in Parkinson's disease), and we detected changes in the interfacial behavior of this protein preceding fibril formation.15

To our knowledge, the only paper using electrochemical 53 analysis to study the p53 protein was limited to determination 54 of traces of ghstathione-S-transferase in the C-terminal domain of 55 p53.25 Studies of the full-length p53 protein or its core domain were difficult because of DTT (dithiothreitol, usually present in 56 57 these p53 samples), which interfered with the electroanalysis at mercury electrodes.<sup>10</sup> Replacement of DTT by other reducing 58 59 agents, such as tris(2-carboxyl-ethyl)phosphine bydrochloride, 60 was laborious, risking damaging the labile proteins. Recently, we 61 have proposed thiol-modified mercury electrodes.<sup>19</sup> Thiol self-62 assembled monolayers (SAM) at the Hg surface do not interfere 63 with the electrocatalytic reaction responsible for peak H and 64 make analysis of reduced proteins (naually stored with mM concentrations of DTT) easier.

Here, we applied CPS to combination with DTT-modified HMDB (DTT-HMDE) to study the DNA-binding domain of 68 buman p53 and cancer-associated mutanta. We observed 69 stelling differences between the CPS responses of the wild-type 70 like protein T-p53C and its R175H mutant, which has a perturbed sinc-binding region. Removal of the zinc ion from T-pS3C resulted in a CPS response resembling that of the 72 73 R175H mutant. Studies of other T-p53C mutants showed some 74

Received: February 9, 2011







## The Protein Group

Hana Cernocka Mojmir Trefulka Petra Mittnerova Emil Palecek Lida Rimankova Martin Bartosik Veronika Ostatna Veronika Vargová



Physical methods such as NMR and X-ray analysis indispensable in the research of linear DNA structures are of limited use in studies of local structures stabilized by supercoiling

# Problems of life origin

What was first - DNA, RNA or protein?

Well-known Oxford zoologist Professor Richard Dawkins (who declares himself to be passionate fighter for the truth) writes in his book River out of Eden:

"At the beginning of Life Explosion there was no mind, no creativity, no intent, there was only chemistry"

Let us try to summarize what chemistry it was

## New York Times

June 13, 2000, Tuesday SCIENCE DESK

## Life's Origins Get Murkier and Messier; Genetic Analysis Yields Intimations of a Primordial Commune By By NICHOLAS WADE (NYT) 2179 words

The surface of the earth is molten rock. The oceans are steam or superheated water. Every so often a wandering asteroid slams in with such energy that any incipient crust of hardened rock is melted again and the oceans are reboiled to an incandescent mist. Welcome to Hades, or at least to what geologists call the <u>Hadean interval of earth's history</u>. It is reckoned to have lasted from the <u>planet's formation 4.6 billion years</u> ago until **3.8 billion years ago**, when the rain of ocean-boiling asteroids ended. The Isua greenstone belt of western Greenland, one of the oldest known rocks, was formed as the Hadean interval ended. And amazingly, to judge by chemical traces in the Isuan rocks, life on earth was already old.

# Everything about the origin of life on earth is a mystery, and it seems the more that is known, the more acute the puzzles get.

The dates have become increasingly awkward. Instead of there being a billion or so years for the first cells to emerge from a warm broth of chemicals, <u>life seems to</u> <u>pop up almost instantly after the last of the titanic</u> <u>asteroid impacts that routinely sterilized the infant</u> planet. Last week, researchers reported discovering microbes that lived near volcanic vents formed 3.2 billion years ago, confirming that heat-loving organisms were among earth's earliest inhabitants.

## The chemistry of the first life is a nightmare to

**explain**. No one has yet devised a plausible explanation to show how the earliest chemicals of life -- thought to be RNA, or ribonucleic acid, a close relative of DNA -- might have constructed themselves from the inorganic chemicals likely to have been around on the early earth. The **spontaneous assembly of small RNA molecules on the primitive earth "would have been a near miracle,"** two experts in the subject helpfully declared last year.

A third line of inquiry into the beginnings of life has now also hit an unexpected roadblock. This is <u>phylogeny</u>, or the drawing of family trees of the various genes found in present-day forms of life. The idea is to run each gene tree backward to the ancestral gene at the root of the tree. <u>The</u> collection of all these ancestral genes should define the nature of the assumed universal ancestor, the living cell from which all the planet's life is descended. The universal ancestor would lie some distance away from life's origin from chemicals, but might at least give clues to how that process started.

"It is not so preposterous anymore to think of the common ancestor as a sort of Noah's ark, where pretty much every protein domain has been represented," Dr. Koonin said. The proteins of living organisms are composed of mix-and-match functional units known as domains.

Still, this idea is a disturbing concept. Evolutionists are accustomed to portraying the evolutionary process in terms of neatly branching trees, not Noah's arks.....

## Problémy vzniku života na Zemi

#### EMIL PALEČEK

Biofyzikální ústav Akademie věd České republiky, Královopolská 135, 61265 Brno

Přijato do tisku

1.	Úvod	3
2.	Původ prebiotických molekul (biomonomerů)	5
	2.1. Abiotická syntéza v redukční atmosféře	
	2.2. Import biomonomerů z vesmíru	
	2.3. Podmořská syntéza na površích minerálů	
3.		
	3.1. Svět RNA	
	3.2. "Světy" před světem RNA?	8
	3.3. Metabolismus před přenosem genetické informace?	
4.	Problém společného předka a konstrukce genealogického stromu	1
	4.1. Nový strom života bez společného předka?	1
	4.2. Horizontální přenos genů a organizace primitivních buněk	
5.	Závěr	1

#### 1. Úvod

V úterý 13. června 2000 vyšel v *New York Times* článek "Life's Origins Get Murkier and Messier; Genetic Analysis Yields Intimations of a Primordial Commune" ("Původ života se stává mlhavější a zmatenější; genetická analýza naznačuje prvotní (buněčnou) komunu", překlad EP) (Wade 2000). Vzhledem k tomu, že nemám vždy úplnou důvěru k novinovým článkům zabývajícím se vědeckými problémy, rozhodl jsem se trochu podívat, co se o otázce vzniku života na Zemi píše ve vědecké literatuře. Nakonec jsem článku v *New York Times* musel dát za pravdu.

Mám v živé paměti přednášku, kterou přednesl před mnoha lety v Liblicích Harold Urey o vzniku aminokyselin v laboratorních podmínkách, napodobujících podmínky předpokládané na Zemi v době, kdy pravděpodobně vznikl život. Přednáška byla jednoduchá a elegantní a dávala tušit, že během několika málo desetiletí budou problémy vzniku života vědecky zcela objasněny. Experimenty Ureyho studenta Stanley Millera vycházely z předpokladu, že v době vzniku života existovala na Zemi silně redukční atmosféra (Miller 1953, Ring *et al.* 1972, Wolman *et al.* 1972). Literatura z pozdější doby však nasvědčuje tomu, že prebiotická atmosféra nebyla silně redukční, jak vyžadují experimenty zaměřené na prebiotickou syntézu stavebních kamenů bílkovin a nukleových kyselin, a že obsahovala kyslík (Florkin 1975, Lumsden a Hall 1975, Towe 1978, 1996, Carver 1981,

#### E. PALEČEK

Woese, C.R. 2002. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99**: 8742. Wolman, Y., Haverland, W.J., Miller, S.L. 1972. - Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 69: 809.

**E. Paleček** (Institute of Biophysics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brno, Czech Republic) **Problems of life origin on the Earth** 

There are three popular hypotheses attempting to explain the origin of prebiotic nucleic acid building blocks, i.e. (a) synthesis in a reducing atmosphere, (b) input in meteorites and (c) synthesis on surfaces of metal sulfides in deep sea vents. At present it is hard to say whether any of these hypotheses is correct. It is particularly difficult to imagine the prebiotic synthesis of cytosine based on the known chemistry; similarly the prebiotic synthesis of pyrimidine nucleosides and nucleotides represent unsolved problems. The progress in RNA chemistry and elucidation of their catalytic functions offer an interesting system that might play an important role in the origin of life but it appears highly impro-bable that such a complicated molecule as RNA could have appeared de novo on the primitive Earth. Unfortunately, it is unclear whether the RNA world was preceded by some simpler world. Darwin's idea that all living species have a single cell common ancestor is questionable. Recently Woese has suggested that the universal ancestor was probably not a single-celled organism but a commune - a loosely built conglomerate of diverse cells in which the horizontal transfer of genes played a critical role. New important discoveries are necessary for better understanding of the origin of life on Earth.



## Abiotic synthesis of small organic molecules.

Miller, a graduate student who was working with Harold Urey, began the modern era in the study of the origin of life at a time when most people believed that the atmosphere of the early earth was strongly reducing. Miller<sup>6</sup> subjected a mixture of methane, ammonia and hydrogen to an electric discharge and led the products into liquid water. He showed that a substantial percentage of the carbon in the gas mixture was incorporated into a relatively small group of simple organic molecules and that several of the naturally occurring amino acids were prominent among these products. This was a surprising result; organic chemists would have expected a muchless-tractable product mixture. The Urey-Miller experiments were widely accepted as a model of prebiotic synthesis of amino acids by the action of lightning.

## PROBLEMS OF LIFE ORIGINS

5. Miller and H. Urey subjected mixture of methane, ammonia and hydrogen to an electric discharge and led the product into water ...

The Miller-Urey experiment attempted to recreate the chemical conditions of the

primitive Earth in the laboratory, and synthesized some of the building blocks of life



but geologists showed that prebiotic atmosphere was not strongly reducing and not oxygen-free, differring from that expected by Miller and Urey Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 96, pp. 4396-4401, April 1999 Biochemistry

## Prebiotic cytosine synthesis: A critical analysis and implications for the origin of life

#### **ROBERT SHAPIRO\***

Department of Chemistry, New York University, 100 Washington Square East, New York, NY 10003

Communicated by Leslie Orgel, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, San Diego, CA, January 25, 1999 (received for review November 19, 1998)

A number of theories propose that RNA, or ABSTRACT an RNA-like substance, played a role in the origin of life. Usually, such hypotheses presume that the Watson-Crick bases were readily available on prebiotic Earth, for spontaneous incorporation into a replicator. Cytosine, however, has not been reported in analyses of meteorites nor is it among the products of electric spark discharge experiments. The reported prebiotic syntheses of cytosine involve the reaction of cyanoacetylene (or its hydrolysis product, cyanoacetaldehyde), with cyanate, cyanogen, or urea. These substances undergo side reactions with common nucleophiles that appear to proceed more rapidly than cytosine formation. To favor cytosine formation, reactant concentrations are required that are implausible in a natural setting. Furthermore, cytosine is consumed by deamination (the half-life for deamination at 25°C is ~340 yr) and other reactions. No reactions have been described thus far that would produce cytosine, even in a specialized local setting, at a rate sufficient to compensate for its decomposition. On the basis of this evidence, it appears quite unlikely that cytosine played a role in the origin of life. Theories that involve replicators that function without the Watson-Crick pairs, or no replicator at all, remain as viable alternatives.

Cytosine synthesis would not be possible even strongly in reducing prebiotic atmosphere.

Similar problems arise with the abiotic \_ synthesis of nucleotides

Abiotic synthesis of a complicated molecule such as RNA is highly improbable



## BY ROBERT SHAPIRO

The sudden appearance of a large self-copying molecule such as RNA was exceedingly improbable. Energy-driven networks of small molecules afford better odds as the initiators of life

NOBEL laureate Christian de Duve has called for "a rejection of improbablities so incomensurably high that they only can be called miracles, phenomena that fall outside the scope of scientific inquiry". DNA, RNA and PROTEINS must then be set aside as participants in the origin of life.

# Dverview/Origin of Life

- Theories of how life first originated from nonliving matter fall into two broad classes—replicator first, in which a large molecule capable of replicating (such as RNA) formed by chance, and metabolism first, in which small molecules formed an evolving network of reactions driven by an energy source.
- Replicator-first theorists must explain how such a complicated molecule could have formed before the process of evolution was under way.
- Metabolism-first proponents must show that reaction networks capable of growing and evolving could have formed when the earth was young.



## Peptide Nucleic Acid (PNA)

**RNA** First

Metabolism first (2007)

PNA First (2008)

RNA First (again/2009)

Panspermia again and again

Panspermia

# Or did life come from another world?

The hypothesis of F. Crick is discussed in November issue of Scientific American 2005.

It is concluded that microorganism could have survived a journey from Mars to Earth

Recent finding of glycine in the comet tail might be considered as support for this alternative The actual nature of the first organism and the exact circumstances of the origin of life may be forever lost for science.

But research can at least help to understand what is possible

Sci. Amer., September 2009

## Genetics first or metabolism first? The formamide clue†

Raffaele Saladino,\*<sup>*a*</sup> Giorgia Botta,<sup>*a*</sup> Samanta Pino,<sup>*b*</sup> Giovanna Costanzo<sup>*c*</sup> and Ernesto Di Mauro\*<sup>*d*</sup>

*Received 6th March 2012* DOI: 10.1039/c2cs35066a

Life is made of the intimate interaction of metabolism and genetics, both built around the chemistry of the most common elements of the Universe (hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon). The transmissible interaction of metabolic and genetic cycles results in the hypercycles of organization and de-organization of chemical information, of living and non-living. The origin-of-life quest has long been split into several attitudes exemplified by the aphorisms "genetics-first" or "metabolism-first". Recently, the opposition between these approaches has been solved by more unitary theoretical and experimental frames taking into account energetic, evolutionary, proto-metabolic and environmental aspects. Nevertheless, a unitary and simple chemical frame is still needed that could afford both the precursors of the synthetic pathways eventually leading to RNA and to the key components of the central metabolic cycles, possibly connected with the synthesis of fatty acids. In order to approach the problem of the origin of life it is therefore reasonable to start from the assumption that both metabolism and genetics had a common origin, shared a common chemical frame, and were embedded under physical-chemical conditions favourable for the onset of both. The singleness of such a prebiotically productive chemical process would partake of Darwinian advantages over more complex fragmentary chemical systems. The prebiotic chemistry of formamide affords in a single and simple physical-chemical frame nucleic bases, acyclonucleosides, nucleotides, biogenic carboxylic acids, sugars, amino sugars, amino acids and condensing agents. Thus, we suggest the possibility that formamide could have jointly provided the main components for the onset of both (pre)genetic and (pre)metabolic processes. As a note of caution, we discuss the fact that these observations only indicate possible solutions at the level of organic substrates, not at the systemic chemical level.



Ernesto Di Mauro was born in Valmontone, Italy, in 1945. In 1967 he obtained his Degree in Biological Sciences from "Sapienza" University of Rome, Italy. In 1969 he joined the Department of Genetics (Seattle), as a postdoctoral fellow. Appointed in 1978 as an associate professor of Enzymology at the University of Rome, he has been a professor of Molecular Biology since 1987. His research interests were centered on

gene regulation, DNA and chromatin structure and topology and, at present, on the various aspects of the origin of life.



Fig. 1 Syntheses from formamide.

## 11.1. The limits of the formamide scenario

The contribution that HCN/formamide chemistry provides to the general picture of the origins is limited to the proof-ofprinciple that a unifying chemistry is at least conceivable. The scenario is far from being fully and satisfactorily sketched. Riddles remain.

The first riddle is the concentration problem. We have mentioned in Section 2 that the steady state concentration of HCN in the primitive ocean was evaluated to be  $4 \times 10^{-12}$  M at 100 °C, that similar values were reported for NH<sub>2</sub>CHO and that even at lower temperatures concentrations were too low to foster biomolecular syntheses in solution. Concentration processes of formamide by eutectics, by absorption onto or into appropriate minerals such as clays, and by evaporation (the boiling point of NH<sub>2</sub>CHO being 204 °C), have been studied (see Sections 2 and 3.2.1). Noteworthily, the stability of NH<sub>2</sub>CHO towards hydrolysis increases proportionally to its concentration,399 and efficient prebiotic syntheses from NH2CHO are operative also in 30% water (v/v).<sup>320</sup> Another possible concentration means as thermophoresis have not yet been sufficiently explored experimentally to indicate novel possible solutions.

## DNA DENATURATION and RENATURATION/HYBRIDIZATION

J. Marmur and P. Doty



means could be found of separating and reforming the two complementary strands. In this and the succeeding paper<sup>1</sup> some success along these lines is reported. This paper will deal with the evidence provided by employing the transforming activity of DNA from *Diplococcus pneumoniae* while the succeeding paper<sup>1</sup> will summarize physical chemical evidence for strand separation and reunion.

158 re	sults found (Set #1) Go to Page	1 of 16 GO
Records	5 1 10 Show 10 per page : < < < [1   2   3   4	5 6 Z 8 9 10] >>>>
Use the	checkboxes to select records for output. See the sideb	ar for options.
1.	MARMUR J PROCEDURE FOR ISOLATION OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID FROM MICRO-ORGANISMS JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY 3 (2): 208& 1961 Times Cited: <u>9234</u>	Innes cited .
2.	DETERMINATION OF BASE COMPOSITION OF	View rankings of the authors, journals, etc. for these records.
	DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID FROM ITS THERMAL	Citation Report:

JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY 5 (1): 109& 1962 Times Cited: <u>3210</u>

- 3. SCHILDKRAUT CL, DOTY P, MARMUR J DETERMINATION OF BASE COMPOSITION OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID FROM ITS BUOYANT DENSITY IN CSCL JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY 4 (5): 430& 1962 Times Cited: 1619
- 4. MARMUR J, DOTY P HETEROGENEITY IN DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS .1. DEPENDENCE ON COMPOSITION OF THE CONFIGURATIONAL STABILITY OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS NATURE 183 (4673): 1427-1429 1959 Times Cited: <u>427</u>

VIEW FULL TEXT

- 9. MARMUR J, LANE D STRAND SEPARATION AND SPECIFIC RECOMBINATION IN DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACIDS - BIOLOGICAL STUDIES PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 46 (4): 453-461 1960 Times Cited: 246
- **LILI** CITATION REPORT View detailed citation counts and the h-index value for the results. Output Records: Selected records on page All records on page Records to **Bibliographic Fields** \$ PRINT SAVE E-MAIL EXPORT TO REFERENCE SOFTWARE SAVE TO MY EndNote Web i) Sign in to access EndNote Web Or add them to the Marked List for later output and more options. ADD TO MARKED LIST D. [0 articles marked]

Microbiologist, biochemist and molecular biologist

Julius Marmur - dicovered renaturation of DNA

22 March, 1926 Bialystok (Poland) - 20 May, 1996 New York, NY

Oswald Avery 1944 - DNA is a genetic material (Rockefeller Institute, New York, NY) Rollin D. Hotchkiss Julius Marmur 1944 - DNA is a genetic material (Rockefeller Institute, New York, NY)

## The double helix: a personal view

#### Francis Crick

Medical Research Council Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

Nature Vol. 248 April 26 197.

#### crystalline A structure, but only briefly except for the day

#### Nature Vol. 248 April 26 1974 Molecular Riology

### The double helix: a personal view

Francis Crick

fedical Research Council Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

ancis Crick reviews the papers published 21 years o on the structure of DNA and the reaction to them.

ers in Nature

The functions of DNA

Francis Crick 21 years after invention of the DNA double helix structure about the discovery of DNA renaturation

# Nothing was said about the possibility that the two chains

might be melted apart and then annealed together again, correctly lined up. The discovery of this by Marmur and Doty has provided one of the essential tools of molecular biology. I can still remember the excitement I felt when Paul Doty told me about it at breakfast one day in New York in a hotel overlooking Central Park. Nature 248(1974) 766

## KEY CONCEPTS

- Scientists long assumed that any DNA mutation that does not change the final protein encoded by a gene is effectively "silent".
- Mysterious exceptions to the rule, in which silent changes seemed to be exerting a powerful effect on proteins, have revealed that such mutations can affect health through a variety of mechanisms.
- Understanding the subtler dynamics of how genes work and evolve may reveal further insights into causes and cures for disease.

## SILENCE IN THE CODE

The genetic code, which governs how a cell translates DNA instructions, via RNA, into functional proteins, is unusual in that it is redundant. Genes "written" in RNA nucleotides spell out the sequence of amino acids in an encoded protein using three-letter words called codons that correspond to

#### TRANSCRIPTION AND EDITING

Inside the cell nucleus, the DNA double helix unwinds to allow an RNA copy of a gene to be made. The resulting transcript is then edited to remove segments that do not encode amino acids, producing a shorter messenger RNA (mRNA) version. Pairing of the bases in the RNA nucleotides causes the mRNA molecule to adopt a folded structure.



one of 20 amino acids (*table*). With an alphabet of four nucleotide bases, 64 codon triplets are possible—resulting in several codons that specify the same amino acid. A DNA mutation that changes one of these codons to its synonym should therefore be "silent" in protein terms.

#### THE CODON-AMINO ACID CODE

Because the four RNA bases (A, C, G, U) yield 64 possible triplet combinations, more than one codon can specify a particular amino acid. Often such synonymous codons differ only in their third nucleotide positions.

	v	Second nucleo	tide position	6
U	UUU menjasalne	UCU Serine	UAU Tyrosine	UGU Cysteine
	UUC menjasalne	UCC Serine	UAC Tyrosine	UGC Cysteine
	UUA Leucine	UCA Serine	UAA STOP	UGA STOP
	UUG Leucine	UCG Serine	UAG STOP	UGG Tryptophan
mucleotide position	CUU Leucine	CCU Proline	CAU Histidine	CGU Arginine
	CUC Leucine	CCC Proline	CAC Histidine	CGC Arginine
	CUA Leucine	CCA Proline	CAA Glutamine	CGA Arginine
	CUG Leucine	CCG Proline	CAG Glutamine	CGG Arginine
First nucleat	AUU Soleucine	ACU Threenine	AAU Asparagine	AGU Serine
	AUC Soleucine	ACC Threenine	AAC Asparagine	AGC Serine
	AUA Isoleucine	ACA Threenine	AAA Lysine	AGA Arginine
	AUG Methionine	ACG Threenine	AAG Lysine	AGG Arginine
6	GUU Valine	GCU Alanine	GAU Aspartate	GGU Glycine
	GUC Valine	GCC Alanine	GAC Aspartate	GGC Glycine
	GUA Valine	GCA Alanine	GAA Glutamate	GGA Glycine
	GUG Valine	GCG Alanine	GAG Glutamate	GGG Glycine

#### TRANSLATION TO PROTEIN

In the cellular cytoplasm, ribosomes unfold and read the mRNA and produce the encoded amino acid chain with the help of transfer RNA (IRNA) molecules. Each tRNA delivers a single amino acid to the ribosome, binding to the corresponding mRNA codon to confirm that the correct amino acid is being added. The growing amino acid chain begins folding into its three-dimensional protein shape even as it is still forming.



## MUFFLED MESSAGE

A synonymous mutation was found to affect pain sensitivity by changing the amount of an important enzyme that cells produced. The difference results from alteration in the shape of mRNA that can influence how easily ribosomes are able to unpackage and read the strand. The folded shape is caused by base-pairing of the mRNA's nucleotides; therefore, a synonymous mutation can alter the way nucleotides match up.