

## Tight-binding method in a nutshell

Consider first, for the sake of simplicity, a solid built up from atoms of the same type. A tight binding variational wavefunction is given by

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{inlm} a_{inlm} \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i), \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r})$  are the atomic orbitals, the index  $i$  refers to atoms of the lattice,  $\mathbf{R}_i$  is the position vector of the  $i$ -th nucleus. The values of the coefficients  $a_{inlm}$  are to be found by using the variational method. In the following, we consider a simple crystal lattice with one atom per unit cell. We may thus restrict our attention to functions satisfying the Bloch theorem,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}_q) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_q} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad \forall \mathbf{R}_q$$

of the crystal lattice. By inserting the expression on the r. h. s. of Eq. (1) we obtain

$$\sum_{inlm} a_{inlm} \phi_{nlm}[\mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_q)] = e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_q} \sum_{inlm} a_{inlm} \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i). \quad (2)$$

By comparing the expressions on the left and the right hand side of the above equation (the coefficients associated with the wave function  $\phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r})$  "at the origin") we find that

$$a_{qnlm} = a_{0nlm} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_q}. \quad (3)$$

The expansion of Eq. (1) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{nlm} a_{0nlm} \sum_q e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_q} \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_q) = \\ &= \sum_{nlm} a_{nlm} \varphi_{k,nlm}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $a_{nlm} = a_{0nlm} \sqrt{N}$ ,

$$\varphi_{k,nlm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_q e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_q} \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_q), \quad (5)$$

and  $N$  is the number of unit cells in the Born Kármán region. The factor  $1/\sqrt{N}$  is introduced because of normalization: if the set of the atomic orbitals is orthonormal then the wavefunctions defined by Eq. (5) are normalized. For any  $\mathbf{k}$ , the number of basis wavefunctions  $\varphi_{k,nlm}$ , and also the corresponding number of equations to be solved, is the same as the number of atomic orbitals considered!

It remains to find the equations determining the coefficients  $a_{nlm}(\mathbf{k})$  (the argument has been added, the coefficients are  $\mathbf{k}$ -dependent) of Eq. (4). The strategy is the same as in the studies of the electronic structure of simple molecules; the variational condition leads to the following set of equations:

$$\sum_{nlm} a_{nlm}(\mathbf{k}) [\langle \varphi_{k,n'l'm'} | h | \varphi_{k,nlm} \rangle - \epsilon(k) \langle \varphi_{k,n'l'm'} | \varphi_{k,nlm} \rangle] = 0. \quad (6)$$

The matrix elements in the above equation are then expressed in terms of those between the atomic orbitals:  $\langle \phi_{n'l'm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) | h | \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j) \rangle$  and  $\langle \phi_{n'l'm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) | \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j) \rangle$ .

Frequently it is assumed that the only relevant matrix elements of  $h$  are

(a) the “on-site matrix elements”,  $\langle \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) | h | \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) \rangle = \epsilon_{nlm}$ , and

(b) the “hopping matrix elements” corresponding to “nearest neighbours”

$$\langle \phi_{n'l'm'}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) | h | \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j) \rangle = t_{ij,n'l'm',nlm} \quad (i, j \text{ nearest neighbours}).$$

The values of the on-site matrix elements and the hopping-matrix elements may be estimated according to various semiempirical rules. The overlap matrix  $\langle \phi_{n'l'm'}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) | \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j) \rangle$  is frequently approximated by the unit matrix  $\delta_{ij} \delta_{n'l'm';nlm}$ .

For crystals with several atoms per unit cell, we obtain an analogue of Eq. (6), where the sum runs, in addition to  $n, l, m$ , over the atoms.