A systems view of spliceosomal assembly and branchpoints with iCLIP

Michael Briese^{1,2,16}, Nejc Haberman^{3,4,16}, Christopher R. Sibley^{1,4,5,6,16}, Rupert Faraway^{3,4}, Andrea S. Elser^{3,4}, Anob M. Chakrabarti^{3,7}, Zhen Wang¹, Julian König^{1,8}, David Perera⁹, Vihandha O. Wickramasinghe^{9,10}, Ashok R. Venkitaraman⁹, Nicholas M. Luscombe^{0,3,7,11}, Luciano Saieva^{12,13}, Livio Pellizzoni^{10,12}, Christopher W. J. Smith^{10,14}, Tomaž Curk¹⁵ and Jernej Ule^{1,3,4*}

Studies of spliceosomal interactions are challenging due to their dynamic nature. Here we used spliceosome iCLIP, which immunoprecipitates SmB along with small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles and auxiliary RNA binding proteins, to map spliceosome engagement with pre-messenger RNAs in human cell lines. This revealed seven peaks of spliceosomal crosslinking around branchpoints (BPs) and splice sites. We identified RNA binding proteins that crosslink to each peak, including known and candidate splicing factors. Moreover, we detected the use of over 40,000 BPs with strong sequence consensus and structural accessibility, which align well to nearby crosslinking peaks. We show how the position and strength of BPs affect the crosslinking patterns of spliceosomal factors, which bind more efficiently upstream of strong or proximally located BPs and downstream of weak or distally located BPs. These insights exemplify spliceosome iCLIP as a broadly applicable method for transcriptomic studies of splicing mechanisms.

plicing is a multi-step process in which small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles (snRNPs) and associated splicing factors bind at specific positions around intron boundaries to assemble an active spliceosome through a series of remodeling steps. The splicing reactions are coordinated by dynamic pairings between different snRNAs, between snRNAs and pre-mRNA and by protein-RNA contacts¹. Spliceosome assembly begins with ATPindependent binding of U1 snRNP at the 5' splice site (SS) and of U2 small nuclear RNA auxiliary factors 1 and 2 (U2AF1 and U2AF2, also known as U2AF35 and U2AF65) to the 3'SS. ATP-dependent remodeling then leads to the formation of complex A in which U2 snRNP contacts the BP, stabilized through interactions with the U2AF and U2 snRNP splicing factor 3 (SF3a and SF3b) complex. Next, U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs are recruited to form complex B. The actions of many RNA helicases and pre-mRNA processing factor 8 (PRPF8) then facilitate rearrangements of snRNP interactions and establishment of the catalytically competent B^{act} and C complexes. These catalyze the two trans-esterification reactions leading to lariat formation, intron removal and exon ligation².

Transcriptome-wide studies of splicing reactions are valuable to unravel the multi-component and dynamic assembly of the spliceosome on the pre-mRNA substrate^{3–5}. Accordingly, 'spliceosome profiling' has been developed through affinity purification of the tagged U2·U5·U6·NTC complex from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* to monitor its interactions using an RNA footprinting-based strategy^{3,4}. However, it is unclear if this method can be applied to mammalian cells that might be more sensitive to the introduction of affinity tags into splicing factors. Furthermore, no method has simultaneously monitored the full complexity of the interactions of diverse RNA binding proteins (RBPs) on pre-mRNAs from the earliest to the latest stages of spliceosomal assembly.

Here, we have adapted the individual nucleotide resolution UV crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) method⁶ to develop spliceosome iCLIP. This approach identifies crosslinks of endogenous, untagged spliceosomal factors on pre-mRNAs at nucleotide resolution. In a previous study, we demonstrated the validity of this approach by showing how PRPF8 remodels spliceosomal contacts at 5'SS5. Here, we comprehensively characterize spliceosome iCLIP and show that it simultaneously maps the crosslink profiles of core and accessory spliceosomal factors that are known to participate across the diverse stages of the splicing cycle. Due to iCLIP's nucleotide precision, we distinguished seven binding peaks corresponding to distinct RBPs that differ in their requirement for ATP or the factor PRPF8. Spliceosome iCLIP also purifies intron lariats and identified 132,287 candidate BP positions. Compared to BPs identified in previous RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) studies⁷⁻⁹, those identified by spliceosome iCLIP contain more canonical sequence and structural features. We further examined the binding profiles of spliceosomal RBPs around the BPs. This demonstrates that assembly of SF3 and associated spliceosomal complexes tends to be determined by a primary BP in most introns, even though alternative BPs are detected by lariat-derived reads in RNA-seq. Moreover, we identify complementary roles of U2AF and SF3 complexes in BP definition. Taken together, these findings demonstrate the value of spliceosome

¹MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, UK. ²Institute of Clinical Neurobiology, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany. ³The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK. ⁴Department of Neuromuscular Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK. ⁵Division of Brain Sciences, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK. ⁶Institute of Quantitative Biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Edinburgh University, Edinburgh, UK. ⁷Department of Genetics, Environment and Evolution, UCL Genetics Institute, London, UK. ⁸Institute of Molecular Biology GmbH, Mainz, Germany. ⁹MRC Cancer Unit at the University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁰RNA Biology and Cancer Laboratory, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia. ¹¹Okinawa Institute of Science & Technology Graduate University, Okinawa, Japan. ¹²Center for Motor Neuron Biology and Disease, Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA. ¹³Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ¹⁴Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁵Faculty of Computer and Information Science, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia. ¹⁶These authors contributed equally: Michael Briese, Nejc Haberman, Christopher R. Sibley. *e-mail: jernej.ule@crick.ac.uk iCLIP for transcriptome-wide studies of BP definition and spliceosomal interactions with pre-mRNAs.

Results

Spliceosome iCLIP identifies interactions between splicing factors, snRNAs and pre-mRNAs. SmB/B' proteins are part of the highly stable Sm core common to all spliceosomal snRNPs except U6 (ref. 1). To adapt iCLIP for the study of a multi-component machine like the spliceosome, we immunopurified endogenous SmB/B' proteins¹⁰ using a range of conditions with differing stringency of detergents and salt concentrations in the lysis and washing steps (Supplementary Table 1, Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1a,b). First, to enable denaturing purification, we generated HEK293 cells stably expressing Flag-tagged SmB and used 6M urea during cell lysis to minimize co-purification of additional proteins¹¹ ('stringent' purification, Supplementary Table 1), followed by dilution of the lysis buffer (see Methods) to facilitate immunopurification of SmB via the Flag tag. We observed a 25 kDa band corresponding to the molecular weight of SmB-RNA complexes, which was absent when UV light or anti-Flag antibody were omitted, or when cells not expressing Flag-SmB were used (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Next, we used standard nondenaturing iCLIP conditions, which uses a high concentration of detergents in the lysis buffer, and wash buffer with 1 M NaCl ('medium' purification, Supplementary Table 1). This disrupts most protein-protein interactions but can preserve stable complexes such as snRNPs, as evident by the multiple radioactive bands in addition to the 25 kDa SmB-RNA complex on treatment with low RNase (Fig. 1b). Of note, similar profiles of protein-RNA complexes were obtained when using different monoclonal SmB/B' antibodies (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Last, we further decreased the concentration of detergents in the lysis buffer, used 0.1 M NaCl in the washing buffer ('mild' purification, Supplementary Table 1), and used a low RNase treatment that leaves snRNAs generally intact so that they could serve as a scaffold for purifying the multi-protein spliceosomal complexes (Fig. 1a).

To produce complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries with spliceosome iCLIP, we immunoprecipitated SmB/B' under three different stringency conditions from lysates of UV-crosslinked cells, and isolated a broad size distribution of protein-RNA complexes to recover the greatest possible diversity of spliceosomal protein-RNA interactions (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 1c,d). An antibody against endogenous SmB/B' was used for medium and mild purification from HEK293, K562 and HepG2 cells, and an anti-Flag antibody for stringent purification from HEK293 cells expressing Flag-SmB (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). As in previous iCLIP studies⁶, the nucleotide preceding each cDNA was used for all analyses. When stringent conditions were used, >75% of iCLIP cDNAs mapped to snRNAs, probably corresponding to the direct binding of Flag-SmB (Fig. 1c). However, the proportion of snRNA crosslinking reduced to ~40–60% under mild and medium conditions, with a corresponding increase of crosslinking to introns and exons that probably reflects binding of snRNP-associated proteins to pre-mRNAs (Fig. 1a,c).

Spliceosome iCLIP identifies seven crosslinking peaks on premRNAs. Assembly of the spliceosome on pre-mRNA is guided by three main landmarks: the 5'SS, 3'SS and BP. Therefore, we evaluated if spliceosomal crosslinks are located at specific positions relative to splice sites and computationally predicted BPs¹². For this purpose, we performed spliceosome iCLIP from human Cal51 cells that have been used previously as a model system to study the roles of spliceosomal factors in the cell cycle⁵. RNA maps of summarized spliceosomal crosslinking revealed seven peaks around these landmarks (Fig. 2a). Importantly, similar positional patterns were also seen in HEK293, K562 and HepG2 cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 2a). The centers of the peaks were 15 nucleotides upstream of the 5'SS (peak 1), 10 nucleotides downstream of the 5'SS (peak 2), 31 nucleotides downstream of the 5'SS (peak 3), 26 nucleotides upstream of the BP (peak 4), 20 nucleotides upstream of the BP (peak 5), 11 nucleotides upstream of the 3'SS (peak 6) and 3 nucleotides upstream of the 3'SS (peak 7). We also observed an alignment of cDNAs at the start of introns and at the BPs, which we refer to as positions A and B, respectively (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a). The crosslinking enrichment at most peaks was generally stronger under mild conditions, especially at the 3'SS (Supplementary Fig. 2a). This indicates that spliceosome iCLIP performed under mild conditions is the most suitable for investigating spliceosomal assembly on pre-mRNAs.

Spliceosome iCLIP monitors multiple stages of spliceosomal remodeling. Next, we investigated whether spliceosome iCLIP is able to monitor spliceosome assembly at different stages during the splicing cycle. For this purpose, we knocked down (KD) PRPF8 in Cal51 cells (Supplementary Fig. 2b) and performed spliceosome iCLIP under mild conditions. As an integral component of the U4/ U6.U5 tri-snRNP, PRPF8 is essential for both catalytic reactions¹. We previously showed that PRPF8 is required for efficient spliceosomal assembly at 5'SS⁵. Here, we additionally find that PRPF8 is essential for efficient spliceosomal assembly at peaks 4 and 5 (Fig. 2a). Moreover, we also observed a major decrease of reads truncating at positions A and B, whereas crosslinking at peaks 2 and 6 is increased with PRPF8 KD.

To further investigate whether spliceosome iCLIP can monitor distinct stages of the splicing reaction, we performed an in vitro splicing assay in which an exogenous pre-mRNA splicing substrate was incubated with HeLa nuclear extract in the presence or absence of ATP. ATP is required for the progression of early, ATP-independent, spliceosomal complexes to later assembly stages mediating the catalytic splicing reactions. The RNA substrate was produced by in vitro transcription of a minigene construct containing a short intron and flanking exons from the human C6orf10 gene. Gel electrophoresis analysis confirmed that the minigene RNA was efficiently spliced in vitro in an ATP-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 2c). We performed spliceosome iCLIP from the splicing reactions using mild purification conditions (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Following sequencing, the reads mapping to the exogenous splicing substrate or spliced product represented ~1%, whereas the remaining reads were derived from endogenous RNAs present in the nuclear extract (Supplementary Table 4). The spliced product was detected with exon-exon junction reads primarily in the presence of ATP (364 reads in +ATP versus 5 reads in -ATP condition) (Supplementary Fig. 2e and Supplementary Table 4). As expected, given that the spliceosome rapidly disassembles on completion of the splicing reaction, very few reads mapped to the spliced (364 reads) compared to unspliced substrate (48,584 reads) (Supplementary Table 4) in the +ATP condition. It should be considered, however, that some reads from the exogenous minigene could represent RNA that did not enter the splicing pathway.

We visualized crosslinking on the substrate RNA, and marked positions that correspond to peaks on the transcriptome-wide RNA maps (Fig. 2b). While crosslinking peaks on a metagene plot might not necessarily be representative of individual splicing substrates, we nevertheless observed crosslinking in corresponding regions of the *C6orf10* substrate (comparing Fig. 2a,b). When comparing crosslinking in the presence or absence of ATP, an unchanged crosslinking profile was seen in regions of peaks 1, 2, 6 and 7, indicating these are ATP-independent contacts of early spliceosomal factors. In contrast, the presence of ATP led to a ~11-fold increase of crosslinking in the region upstream of the BP where the PRPF8dependent peaks 4 and 5 are located on endogenous transcripts (Fig. 2b). This indicates that spliceosome iCLIP detects pre-mRNA binding of factors contributing to early, ATP-independent and late, ATP-dependent stages of spliceosomal assembly.



Fig. 1 Spliceosome iCLIP identifies protein interactions with snRNAs and splicing substrates. a, Schematic representation of the spliceosome iCLIP method performed under conditions of varying purification stringency. **b**, Autoradiogram of crosslinked RNPs immunopurified from HeLa cells under medium conditions by a SmB/B' antibody following digestion with high (++) or low (+) amounts of RNase I. The dotted line depicts the region typically excised from the nitrocellulose membrane for spliceosome iCLIP. As a control, the antibody (Ab) was omitted during immunopurification. **c**, Genomic distribution of spliceosome iCLIP cDNAs produced under stringent, medium and mild conditions from HEK293 cells. Data were mapped first to snRNAs, allowing multiple mapping reads, and then to the genome, allowing only uniquely mapped reads. Proportions of cDNAs mapping to snRNAs, introns, coding sequence of mRNAs (CDS), untranslated regions of mRNAs (UTR) and long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) are shown (but not the intergenic reads and other types of RNAs). Data are shown as mean ± s.e.m. from three independent experiments for the medium and mild purification condition and two independent experiments for the stringent purification condition. Source data for panel **c** are available online.

Following crosslinking, the peptide that remains bound to the RNA after RBP digestion will normally terminate reverse transcription to produce so-called 'truncated cDNAs'13-15. Accordingly, analysis of data from iCLIP and derived methods, such as eCLIP¹⁶, generally refer to the nucleotide preceding the iCLIP read on the reference genome as the 'crosslink site'. However, in spliceosome iCLIP we additionally expect cDNAs that truncate at the three-way junction formed by intron lariats, where the 5' end of the intron is linked via a 2'-5' phosphodiester bond to the BP (Fig. 2c). Following RNase digestion, such lariat three-way-junction RNAs present two available 3' ends for ligation of adapters, such that cDNAs can truncate at the BP (position B) or at the start of the intron (position A). Interestingly, the medium purification condition was optimal to produce cDNAs truncating at positions A and B (Supplementary Fig. 2a), possibly because spliceosomal C complexes containing lariat intermediates are known to be stable under high-salt conditions¹⁷. Note that peaks A and B are higher in HEK293 compared to HepG2 and K562 cells under medium purification conditions, and probably reflect differences in lariat co-purification. Meanwhile, the number of cDNAs truncating at positions A and B is dramatically decreased under conditions that inhibit splicing progression and lariat formation; PRPF8 KD in vivo (twofold, Fig. 2a) or absence of ATP in vitro (≥18-fold, Fig. 2b). This further confirms that spliceosome iCLIP can monitor spliceosome assembly at distinct stages of the splicing cycle.

Specific RBPs are enriched at each peak of spliceosomal crosslinking. Next, to identify RBPs that crosslink at peaks identified by spliceosome iCLIP, we examined the eCLIP data for 110 RBPs (from 157 eCLIP samples of 68 RBPs in the HepG2 and 89 RBPs in the K562 cell line) provided by the ENCODE consortium¹⁶. Of note, comparisons between iCLIP and eCLIP are justified due to their use of identical lysis and wash buffers (analogous to medium stringency in the present study), use of truncated cDNAs to identify crosslink sites and similar RNase digestion conditions, and comparable crosslinking profiles for RBPs such as PTBP1 and U2AF2 (ref. 15). Accordingly, we analyzed the eCLIP data to identify RBPs with enriched normalized crosslinking at each spliceosomal iCLIP peak. This identified a specific set of RBPs at each peak, with good overlap between RBPs identified in K562 and HepG2 cells (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Dataset 1). As expected, SF3 components SF3B4, SF3A3 and SF3B1 bind to peaks 4 or 5 (ref. 18). U2AF2 binds the polypyrimidine (polyY) tract (peak 6), and U2AF1 close to the intron-exon junction (peak 7) (ref. 19).

Spliceosome iCLIP identifies BPs with canonical sequence and structural features. To determine whether spliceosome iCLIP could experimentally identify human BPs, we used spliceosome iCLIP data produced under medium purification from Cal51 cells. Most cDNA starts in spliceosome iCLIP overlap with a uridine-rich



Fig. 2 | Analysis of spliceosomal interactions with pre-mRNAs in vitro and in vivo. a, Metagene plots of spliceosome iCLIP from Cal51 cells. Plots are depicted as RNA maps of summarized crosslinking at all exon-intron and intron-exon boundaries, and around BPs to identify major binding peaks, and to monitor changes between control and PRPF8 KD cells. Crosslinking is regionally normalized to its average crosslinking across the –100..50 nucleotide (nt) region relative to splice sites or BPs depending on the RNA map in order to focus the comparison on the relative positions of peaks. b, Normalized spliceosome iCLIP cDNA counts on the *C6orf10* in vitro splicing substrate. Exons are marked by gray boxes, introns by a line and the BPs by a green dot. The positions of crosslinking peaks are marked by numbers and letters corresponding to the peaks in Fig. 2a. **c**, Schematic description of the three-way junctions of intron lariats. The three-way junction is produced after limited RNase I digestion of intron lariats. This can lead to cDNAs that do not truncate at sites of protein–RNA crosslinking, but rather at the three-way junction of intron lariats. These cDNAs initiate from the end of the intron and truncate at the BP (position B), or initiate downstream of the 5'SS and truncate at the first nucleotide of the intron (position A).

motif (Fig. 4a), in agreement with an increased propensity of protein-RNA crosslinking at uridine-rich sites¹⁴. In contrast, cDNAs ending at the last nucleotide of introns, which are thus probably derived from intron lariats, have starts overlapping the YUNAY motif matching the consensus BP sequence (Fig. 4b). Further, these cDNAs have higher enrichment of mismatches of adenosines at their first nucleotide (Supplementary Fig. 3a), which is consistent with mismatch, insertion and deletion errors during reverse transcription across the three-way junction of the BP9. For comparison, reads that start in regions where BPs are typically located, but which do not align with intron ends, have less enrichment of the BP consensus motif at their starts (Supplementary Fig. 3b,c). To identify a confident set of putative BPs in a transcriptome-wide manner, we therefore used the spliceosome iCLIP cDNAs that aligned with the end of introns (Fig. 4b). These cDNAs started at adenines in 132,287 intronic positions, which we considered as BP candidates. The 41 read-length limited our analysis to the region where most BPs are located, but more distal BPs cannot be identified by this approach. For further study, we selected BPs with the highest number of truncated cDNAs per intron. This identified candidate BPs in 43,637 introns of 9,565 genes.

To examine the BPs identified by spliceosome iCLIP, 'iCLIP BPs', we compared them with the 'computational BPs' recently identified with a sequence-based deep-learning predictor, LaBranchoR, which predicted BPs for over 90% of 3'SS12. We also compared with 'RNAseq BPs', including the 138,314 BPs from 43,637 introns that were identified by analysis of lariat-spanning reads from 17,164 RNAseq datasets8. Initially, 65% of iCLIP BPs overlapped with the topscoring computational BPs (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Interestingly, in cases where iCLIP and computational BPs were located less than five nucleotides apart, they frequently occurred within A-rich sequences (Supplementary Fig. 3e). This mismatch could be of a technical nature, as truncation of iCLIP cDNAs may not always be precisely aligned to BPs in the case of A-rich sequences. Alternatively, more than one A might be capable of serving as the BP. When allowing a one nucleotide shift for comparison between methods, as has been done previously¹², 70% of iCLIP BPs overlapped with the top-scoring computational BPs, while 26% overlapped with the RNA-seq BPs (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Dataset 2). If the computational BPs overlapped either with an iCLIP BP and/or RNA-seq BP, it generally had a strong BP consensus motif (o-BP, Fig. 4d).

ARTICI

ARTICLES



Fig. 3 | Identification of RBPs overlapping with spliceosomal peaks at BPs and 3'SS. Enrichment of eCLIP crosslinking within each of the spliceosome iCLIP peaks, which are defined by the positions marked in the figure. We first regionally normalized the crosslinking of each RBP to its average crosslinking over –100..50 nt region relative to 3'SS, which generates the RNA maps as shown in Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6. We then ranked the RBPs according to the average normalized crosslinking across the nucleotides within each peak. We analyzed peaks 4-7 and positions A and B, as marked on the top of each plot. The top-ranking RBPs in each peak are shown on the left plot and the full distribution of RBP enrichments is shown on the right plot.

To gain insight into the differences between the methods, we focused on BPs that were identified by a single method and located more than five nucleotides away from BPs identified by other methods. Notably, the computational- or iCLIP-specific BPs have a strong enrichment of the consensus YUNAY motif (C-BP, i-BP, Fig. 4e,f,h,i). In contrast, RNA-seq-specific BPs contain a larger proportion of noncanonical BP motifs, which agrees with previous observations7,9,12 (Fig. 4g,j). To evaluate further, we compared iCLIP BPs with two studies that identified 59,359 BPs by exoribonuclease digestion and targeted RNA-seq⁹, and 36,078 BPs by lariat-spanning reads refined by U2 snRNP/pre-mRNA base-pairing models7. Considering the introns that contained BPs defined both by RNA-seq and iCLIP, we found 57% and 47% overlapping BPs (Supplementary Fig. 3f-i). Again, the iCLIP-specific BPs were more strongly enriched in the consensus YUNAY motif compared to BPs specifically identified by either RNA-seq method (Supplementary Fig. 3j-o). We also examined the local RNA structure around each category of BPs. Overlapping, iCLIP-specific and computational-specific BPs had a decreased pairing probability at the position of the BP, which was not seen for the RNA-seqspecific BPs (Fig. 4k,l). The difference in RNA-seq BPs derives from the presence of noncanonical non-A branched BPs, which have a generally increased pairing probability (Supplementary Fig. 3p,q). This indicates that the non-A BPs might be structurally less accessible for pairing with U2 snRNP.

Alignment of RBP binding profiles signifies the functionality of BPs. Peaks 4, 5 and position B align to BP position, and therefore we could evaluate how the crosslinking profiles of RBPs binding at these peaks align to the different classes of BPs. First, we examined the crosslinking of SF3B4, which binds in the region of peak 4 as part of the U2 snRNP complex that recognizes the BP¹. Analysis of the o-BPs defines the peak of SF3B4 crosslinking at the 25th nucleotide upstream of BPs (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). However, the peak of SF3B4 crosslinking is shifted from this 25th position for the nonoverlapping method-specific BPs; it is generally closer than 25 nucleotides to the BPs located upstream of another BP (up BP), and further than 25 nucleotides away from BPs located downstream of another BP (down BP) (Fig. 5). The shift from the expected position is greatest for RNA-seq-specific BPs (R-BP), and smallest for computationally predicted BPs, as evident by eCLIP data from two cell lines (Fig. 5a,b). Moreover, the same result is seen

ARTICLES



Fig. 4 | Comparison of BPs identified by spliceosome iCLIP, RNA-seq lariat reads or computational prediction. a, Weblogo around the nucleotide preceding all spliceosome iCLIP reads. **b**, Weblogo around the nucleotide preceding only those spliceosome iCLIP reads that align with ends of introns. **c**, Introns that contain at least one BP identified either by published RNA-seq[®] or by spliceosome iCLIP are used to examine the overlap between the top BPs identified by RNA-seq (that is, the BP with most lariat-spanning reads in each intron), iCLIP (BP with most cDNA starts) or computational predictions (highest scoring BP)¹². BPs that are 0 or 1 nt apart are considered as overlapping. **d**, Weblogo of o-BP category of BPs. **e**, Weblogo of C-BPup category of BPs. **f**, Weblogo of i-BPup category of BPs. **g**, Weblogo of R-BPup category of BPs. **h**, Weblogo of C-BPdown category of BPs. **i**, Weblogo of R-BPdown category of BPs. **k**, The 100 nt RNA region centered on the BP was used to calculate pairing probability with the RNAfold program using default parameters²⁵, and the average pairing probability of each nucleotide around BPs is shown for the 40 nt region around method-specific BPs located upstream (**k**) or downstream (**1**). C-BP, C-BPs that are >1 nt away from BPs defined by other methods in the same intron; R-BP: R-BPs that are >1 nt away from BPs defined by other methods in the same intron; so-BP: o-BPs with up to 1nt shift. If a BP defined by one method is >5 nt upstream of a BP defined by another method, then 'up' is added to its acronym, and if it is >5 nt downstream, 'down' is added.

ARTICLES



Fig. 5 | Spliceosome assembly at BPs identified by spliceosome iCLIP, RNA-seq lariat reads or computational prediction. a,b, Violin plots depicting the positioning of SF3B4 cDNA starts relative to the indicated BP categories. SF3B4 eCLIP data were from, K562 (**a**) and HepG2 (**b**) cells. Box-plot elements are defined by center line, median; box limits, upper and lower quartiles; and whiskers, 1.5× interquartile range. Each data point corresponds to an eCLIP crosslink event, and the total number of eCLIP crosslinks that map in the area analyzed around each set of BPs (sample size) is shown under the plot.

with U2AF2, where the strongest shift away from expected positions is seen for RNA-seq BPs and weakest for computational BPs (Supplementary Fig. 4c,d). The cDNA starts from PRPF8 eCLIP are highly enriched at position B, corresponding to the lariat-derived cDNAs that truncate at BPs (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the PRPF8 cDNA starts had the strongest peak at the overlapping BPs but also peaked at all the remaining classes of BPs (Supplementary Fig. 4e,f). This indicates that all classes of BPs contribute to lariat formation and that the nonoverlapping BPs most probably act as alternative BPs within the introns.

Effects of BP position on spliceosomal assembly. To assess how BP positioning determines spliceosome assembly, we evaluated binding profiles of the RBPs that are enriched at peaks 4-7 and at positions A and B (Fig. 3). We divided BPs based on their distance from 3'SS, and normalized RBP binding profiles within each subclass of BP. This showed that crosslinking of U2AF1 and U2AF2 aligns to the region between the BPs and 3'SS, which is covered by the polyY tract (Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6). While SF3B4 is the primary RBP crosslinking at peak 4, and SF3A3 at peak 5, binding of SMNDC1, SF3B1, EFTUD2, BUD13, GPKOW and XRN2 to peaks 4 and 5 was also evident (Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6 and Fig. 3). PRPF8, RBM22 and SUPV3L1 have their cDNA starts truncating at positions A and B (Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6), corresponding to the three-way junction formed by intron lariats (Fig. 2c). This is in agreement with the association of PRPF8 and RBM22 with intron lariats as part of the human catalytic step I spliceosome¹. The positions of SF3B4 and SF3A3 crosslinking peaks also agree with CryoEM studies of the human spliceosome that show closer pre-mRNA binding of SF3A3 (also referred to as SF3a60) to the BP compared to SF3B4 (also referred to as SF3b49)²⁰.

To quantify how BP positioning affects the intensity of RBP binding, we divided BPs into ten equally sized groups based on the distance from 3'SS. We then normalized the relative binding intensity of each RBP at each position on the RNA maps across the ten groups and revealed strong relationships between BP position and binding intensity of certain RBPs (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 7a). For example, if a BP is located distally from the 3'SS, then U2AF components bind stronger to peaks 6 and 7. In contrast, if a BP is located proximally to the 3'SS, then EFTUD2, SF3 components and several other RBPs bind stronger to peaks 4 or 5 (Fig. 6b). Notably, increased BP distance causes increased binding of BUD13 and GPKOW at peaks 6 or 7 and decreased binding at peaks 4 and 5. The more efficient recruitment of U2AF and associated factors to peaks 6 and 7 could be explained by the long polyY-tracts at distal BPs (Supplementary Fig. 5), while their decreased binding at proximal BPs appears to be compensated by increased binding of SF3 and other U2 snRNP-associated factors at peaks 4 and 5.

In contrast to effects on individual splicing factors, we did not observe any effect of BP distance on the relative intensity of spliceosome iCLIP crosslinking in peaks 4 and 5 compared to 6 and 7 (Fig. 6c). This indicates that the effects may be masked during later stages of spliceosome assembly. To ask if this is the case, we turned to PRPF8, a protein that is essential for later stages of spliceosomal assembly, a role it plays together with EFTUD2 and BRR2 as part of U5 snRNP¹. PRPF8 KD leads to decreased spliceosomal binding at peaks 4 and 5, and this effect is stronger at distal compared to proximal BPs (Fig. 6c). In conclusion, our results reveal differences in the binding profiles of splicing factors in relation to BP distance, but these differences are neutralized at full spliceosome assembly in a manner that requires the presence of PRPF8.

ARTICLES



Fig. 6 | BP position defines the binding patterns of splicing factors at 3'SS. a, Heatmaps depicting the normalized crosslinking of RBPs in peak regions around ten groups of BPs that were categorized according to the distance of the BP from 3'SS. Crosslinks were derived as cDNA starts from eCLIP of HepG2 cells. b, RNA maps showing normalized crosslinking profiles of selected RBPs relative to BPs and 3'SS for the two deciles of BPs that are located most proximal (interrupted light lines) or most distal (solid dark lines) from 3'SS. **c**, RNA maps showing crosslinking profile of spliceosome iCLIP from control and PRPF8 KD Cal51 cells in the same format as panel **b**.

Effects of BP strength on spliceosomal assembly. To examine how BP strength affects spliceosomal assembly we focused on BPs that have been identified both by spliceosome iCLIP and computational

modeling and are located at 23–28 nucleotides upstream of the 3'SS. Of note, this is the most common position of BPs (Supplementary Dataset 3). As an estimate of BP strength, we used the BP score,

NATURE STRUCTURAL & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY



Fig. 7 | BP strength correlates with the binding of splicing factors. a, Heatmaps depicting the normalized crosslinking of RBPs in peak regions around ten groups of BPs that were categorized according to the computational scores that define BP strength. Crosslinks were derived as cDNA starts from eCLIP of HepG2 cells. b, RNA maps showing normalized crosslinking profiles of selected RBPs relative to 3'SS for the two deciles of BPs that are lowest scoring (interrupted light lines) or highest scoring (solid dark lines). c, RNA maps showing crosslinking profile of spliceosome iCLIP from control and PRPF8 KD Cal51 cells in the same format as panel b. d, Schematic representation of the effects that BP position and score have on the assembly of SF3 and U2AF complexes around BPs.

which was determined with a deep-learning model¹². This showed strong correlation between BP strength and RBP binding intensities, such that most RBPs have increased crosslinking at peaks 4

and 5 at BPs with very high scores, and, conversely, increased crosslinking at peaks 6 and 7 at BPs with very low scores (Fig. 7a,b and Supplementary Fig. 7b). Since SF3 components primarily bind at peaks 4 and 5, and U2AF components at peaks 6 and 7, an over fourfold change is seen in the ratio of crosslinking when comparing the extreme deciles of BP strength (Supplementary Fig. 7c). We did not observe any correlation between the polyY tract coverage and BP score (Supplementary Fig. 7d), which indicates that BP strength directly affects the RBP binding profiles.

Similar to the effects on individual splicing factors, the relative intensity of spliceosome iCLIP crosslinking in peaks 4 and 5 correlated with BP strength (Fig. 7c). PRPF8 KD decreased spliceosomal binding at peaks 4 and 5 of both classes of BPs, and this led to stronger crosslinking at peaks 6 and 7 relative to peaks 4 and 5 at weak BPs, even though the peaks 4 and 5 are usually stronger. The signal at position B of weak BPs is almost completely lost with PRPF8 KD, which probably reflects the absence of intron lariats due to perturbed splicing of introns with weak BPs (Fig. 7c). In conclusion, our results suggest that pre-mRNA binding of spliceosomal factors at peaks 4 and 5 closely correlates with BP strength, which indicates that recognition of weak BPs might be more sensitive to perturbed spliceosome function.

Discussion

Here we established spliceosome iCLIP to study the interactions of endogenous snRNPs and accessory splicing factors on premRNAs. We identified peaks of spliceosomal protein-pre-mRNA interactions, which precisely overlap with crosslinking profiles of 15 splicing factors. Interestingly, the contacts of RBPs in peaks 4 and 5 do not overlap with any sequence motif, and thus the constrained conformation of the larger spliceosomal complex appears to act as a molecular ruler that positions each associated RBP on pre-mRNAs at a specific distance from BPs. Moreover, the presence of lariat-derived reads in spliceosome iCLIP identified >40,000 BPs that have canonical sequence and structural features. Due to the precise alignment of splicing factors relative to the positions of BPs, we could use their binding profiles to show that the assembly of U2 snRNP is primarily coordinated by the computationally predicted BPs, while alternative BPs, identified only by iCLIP or RNAseq, are more rarely used. Finally, we reveal the major effect of the position and strength of BPs on spliceosomal assembly, which can explain why distally located or weak BPs are particularly sensitive to perturbed spliceosome function with PRPF8 KD. These findings demonstrate the broad utility of spliceosome iCLIP for transcriptome-wide analysis of spliceosomal assembly on nascent RNAs, as well as for monitoring the use of BPs.

The value of spliceosome iCLIP for identifying BPs. Both RNAseq and iCLIP identify BPs by analyzing cDNAs derived from intron lariats. Thus, the efficiency of these methods depends on the abundance of intron lariats, which depends on the kinetics of lariat debranching. Several studies demonstrated that lariats formed at noncanonical BPs are less efficiently debranched²¹⁻²³, and therefore these noncanonical BPs are expected to be more efficiently detected. This is especially true for RNA-seq-based methods because they monitor steady-state RNA levels. In contrast, iCLIP only captures lariats in complex with spliceosomes, thus minimizing bias for lariats that are stable after their release from the spliceosome. This could explain why the BPs identified by iCLIP contain a stronger consensus sequence than BPs identified from lariat-spanning reads in RNA-seq. The further value of spliceosome iCLIP is that, in addition to experiments under the medium condition that permit BP identification through lariat-derived cDNAs, experiments under the mild condition identify the SF3 complex and other U2 snRNPassociated RBPs that crosslink at peaks 4 and 5. These can crucially be used to independently validate the functional role of BPs in the assembly of U2 snRNP. Thus, the use of spliceosome iCLIP under both conditions, combined with computational modeling of BPs¹², is well suited to studying the functionality of BPs.

The role of BP position and strength in spliceosomal assembly. We show that BP position and the computationally defined strength of BPs correlate with the relative binding of splicing factors around BPs. This is exemplified by strong binding of SF3 components at strong BPs, or BPs located close to 3'SS, while U2AF components bind stronger to weak BPs, or BPs located further from 3'SS (Fig. 7d). In the cases of SF3B1, BUD13 and GPKOW, we observed enriched binding at peaks 4 and 5 as well as 6 and 7, with reciprocal changes between the two peak regions dependent on BP features (Figs. 6 and 7). These RBPs are not known to bind at peaks 6 or 7, and it is plausible that the signal at some peaks represents binding of U2AF or other spliceosomal factors that are co-purified during eCLIP. It is presently not possible to fully distinguish between direct and indirect binding from eCLIP data, because purified protein-RNA complexes have not been visualized after their separation on SDS-PAGE gels in eCLIP¹³. Nevertheless, it is clear that BP characteristics determine the balance between binding of SF3 and associated factors at peaks 4 and 5 and of U2AF and associated factors at peaks 6 and 7. This suggests further study of RBP binding profiles around BPs could unravel a BP 'code' that facilitates specific stages of BP recognition and function.

In conclusion, spliceosome iCLIP monitors concerted premRNA binding of many types of spliceosomal complexes with nucleotide resolution, allowing their simultaneous study due to the distinct position-dependent binding pattern of components acting at multiple stages of the splicing cycle. The method can now be used to study the endogenous spliceosome and BPs across tissues, species and stages of development without the need for the protein tagging used in yeast^{3,4}. Further, several spliceosomal components, including U2AF1, SF3B1 and PRPF8, are targets for mutations in myeloid neoplasms, retinitis pigmentosa and other diseases²⁴. Spliceosome iCLIP could now be used to monitor global impacts of these mutations on spliceosome assembly in human cells. More generally, our study demonstrates the value of iCLIP for monitoring the positiondependent assembly and dynamics of multi-protein complexes on endogenous transcripts.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, statements of code and data availability and associated accession codes are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41594-019-0300-4.

Received: 6 December 2018; Accepted: 14 August 2019; Published online: 30 September 2019

References

- Fica, S. M. & Nagai, K. Cryo-electron microscopy snapshots of the spliceosome: structural insights into a dynamic ribonucleoprotein machine. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 24, 791–799 (2017).
- Wahl, M. C., Will, C. L. & Lührmann, R. The spliceosome: design principles of a dynamic RNP machine. *Cell* 136, 701–718 (2009).
- Chen, W. et al. Transcriptome-wide interrogation of the functional intronome by spliceosome profiling. *Cell* 173, 1031–1044 e13 (2018).
- Burke, J. E. et al. Spliceosome profiling visualizes operations of a dynamic RNP at nucleotide resolution. *Cell* 173, 1014–1030 e17 (2018).
- Wickramasinghe, V. O. et al. Regulation of constitutive and alternative mRNA splicing across the human transcriptome by PRPF8 is determined by 5' splice site strength. *Genome Biol.* 16, 201 (2015).
- König, J. et al. iCLIP reveals the function of hnRNP particles in splicing at individual nucleotide resolution. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17, 909–915 (2010).
- Taggart, A. J. et al. Large-scale analysis of branchpoint usage across species and cell lines. *Genome Res.* 27, 639–649 (2017).
- Pineda, J. M. B. & Bradley, R. K. Most human introns are recognized via multiple and tissue-specific branchpoints. *Genes Dev.* 32, 577–591 (2018).
- 9. Mercer, T. R. et al. Genome-wide discovery of human splicing branchpoints. *Genome Res.* **25**, 290–303 (2015).
- Carissimi, C., Saieva, L., Gabanella, F. & Pellizzoni, L. Gemin8 is required for the architecture and function of the survival motor neuron complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 37009–37016 (2006).

NATURE STRUCTURAL & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY

- Huppertz, I. et al. iCLIP: protein-RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. *Methods* 65, 274–287 (2014).
- Paggi, J. M. & Bejerano, G. A sequence-based, deep learning model accurately predicts RNA splicing branchpoints. RNA 24, 1647–1658 (2018).
- Lee, F. C. Y. & Ule, J. Advances in CLIP technologies for studies of protein-RNA interactions. *Mol. Cell* 69, 354–369 (2018).
- Sugimoto, Y. et al. Analysis of CLIP and iCLIP methods for nucleotideresolution studies of protein-RNA interactions. *Genome Biol.* 13, R67 (2012).
- 15. Haberman, N. et al. Insights into the design and interpretation of iCLIP experiments. *Genome Biol.* **18**, 7 (2017).
- Van Nostrand, E. L. et al. A large-scale binding and functional map of human RNA binding proteins. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/179648 (2017).
- Bessonov, S., Anokhina, M., Will, C. L., Urlaub, H. & Luhrmann, R. Isolation of an active step I spliceosome and composition of its RNP core. *Nature* 452, 846–850 (2008).
- Gozani, O., Feld, R. & Reed, R. Evidence that sequence-independent binding of highly conserved U2 snRNP proteins upstream of the branch site is required for assembly of spliceosomal complex A. *Genes Dev.* **10**, 233–243 (1996).
- Zarnack, K. et al. Direct Competition between hnRNP C and U2AF65 Protects the Transcriptome from the Exonization of Alu Elements. *Cell* 152, 453–466 (2013).
- 20. Zhang, X. et al. Structure of the human activated spliceosome in three conformational states. *Cell Res.* 28, 307-322 (2018).
- Jacquier, A. & Rosbash, M. RNA splicing and intron turnover are greatly diminished by a mutant yeast branch point. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 83, 5835–5839 (1986).
- Hesselberth, J. R. Lives that introns lead after splicing. Wiley Inter. Rev. RNA 4, 677-691 (2013).
- 23. Talhouarne, G. J. S. & Gall, J. G. Lariat intronic RNAs in the cytoplasm of vertebrate cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E7970–E7977 (2018).
- 24. Scotti, M. M. & Swanson, M. S. RNA mis-splicing in disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 19–32 (2016).
- 25. Lorenz, R. et al. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms Mol. Biol. 6, 26 (2011).

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Llorian for help with the in vitro splicing reactions, K. Zarnack and G. Rot for help with the data analyses and L. Strittmatter and members of the Ule lab for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported primarily by the European Research Council (grant nos. 206726-CLIP and 617837-Translate) and the Slovenian Research Agency (grant nos. P2-0209, Z7-3665 and J7-5460). C.R.S. was supported by an Edmond Lily Safra Fellowship and a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (grant no. 215454/Z/19/Z). A.S.E. is supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (grant no. BB/M009513/1). A.M.C. is supported by a Wellcome Trust PhD Training Fellowship for Clinicians (no. 110292/Z/15/Z). D.P. and V.O.W. were supported by Medical Research Council grants (nos. MC_UU_12022/1 and MC_UU_12022/8 to A.R.V). L.P. was supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke of the National Institutes of Health (NIH-NINDS) (grant no. R01 NS102451). The Francis Crick Institute receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (grant no. FC001002), the UK Medical Research Council (grant no. FC001002) and the Wellcome Trust (grant no. FC001002).

Author contributions

M.B., C.R.S. and J.U. conceived the project, designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript with the assistance of all co-authors. M.B., C.R.S., Z.W., R.F. and A.S.E. performed experiments with assistance from J.U., J.K. and C.W.S. N.H. performed most of the computational analyses with assistance from C.R.S., T.C., R.F., A.M.C. and N.M.L. V.O.W., D.P. and A.R.V. provided crosslinked pellets from wild-type and PRPF8-depleted Cal51 cells. L.S. and L.P. developed and characterized the monoclonal antibody 18F6.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41594-019-0300-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.U.

Peer review information Anke Sparmann was the primary editor on this article and managed its editorial process and peer review in collaboration with the rest of the editorial team.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2019

Methods

Cell culture. Flp-In HEK293 T-REx cells were from ThermoFisher (R78007), K562, HepG2 and standard HEK293 cells were obtained from the Francis Crick Cell Services Science Technology Platform, and Cal51 breast adenocarcinoma cells were obtained from the line originators³⁶. All cell lines tested negative for Mycoplasma contamination. HEK293 and HepG2 were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS (ThermoFisher) and 1× penicillin-streptomycin (ThermoFisher). K562 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (IMDM, ATCC) with 10% FBS and 1× penicillin-streptomycin. Cal51 cells were cultured in DMEM (ThermoFisher) with 10% FBS and 1× penicillin-streptomycin.

To generate a plasmid encoding $3 \times$ Flag epitope-tagged SmB, the SmB cDNA was amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) with primers carrying the KpnI and NotI restriction enzymes sites and cloned using a Rapid DNA Ligation Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) into a pcDNA5/FRT/TO vector modified to encode $3 \times$ Flag peptide upstream of the multiple cloning site. To produce stable cell lines expressing this construct, the pcDNA5/FRT/TO plasmid with $3 \times$ Flag epitope-tagged SmB was co-transfected with pOG44 plasmid into Flp-In HEK293 T-REx cells (ThermoFisher, R78007). Cells stably expressing these proteins were selected by culturing in DMEM containing 10% FBS, $3 \mu g ml^{-1}$ Blasticidin S HCl, $200 \mu g ml^{-1}$ Hygromycine (InvivoGen). Flp-In 293 T-REx cells (Life Technologies), $50 \mu g ml^{-1}$ Zoccin (Life Technologies). Doxycycline was added to media 24 h before sample preparation to induce construct expression.

Cal51 breast adenocarcinoma cells were prepared as described previously⁵. For siRNA-mediated depletion of PRPF8, Cal51 cells were transfected using DharmaFECT1 (Dharmafect) with 25 nM siRNA targeting human *PRPF8*. Transfected cells were harvested 54 h later, exposed to UV-C light and used for iCLIP as described below. For the collection of samples from different stages of the cell cycle, Cal51 cells were synchronized in G1/S by standard double thymidine block. Briefly, cells were treated with 1.5 mM thymidine for 8 h, washed and released for 8 h, then treated again with thymidine for a further 8 h. Cells were also collected 3 h (S-phase) and 7 h (G2) after release from the thymidine block.

Antibody production. For production of the anti-SmB/B' monoclonal antibody 18F6, Balb/c females were primed with Immuneasy adjuvant (Qiagen) and 25 mg of 6× His-SmB purified recombinant proteins. Following two boosts at 2-week intervals, SP2 myeloma cells were fused with mouse splenocytes and hybridoma supernatants were analyzed onto antigen-coated aminosilane modified slides using an LS400 Scanner (Tecan) and the GenePix Pro v.4.1 software as described previously¹⁰. Hybridoma cells were subcloned by limiting dilution and further screened by ELISA, Western blot and immunofluorescence analysis of HeLa cells.

In vitro splicing. For in vitro splicing reactions, a *C6orf10* minigene construct containing exon 8 and 9 and 150 nucleotides of the intron around both splice sites was produced (Fig. 2b). The minigene plasmid was linearized and transcribed in vitro using T7 polymerase with ³²P-UTP. The transcribed RNA was then subjected to in vitro splicing reactions using HeLa nuclear extract. HeLa nuclear extract was depleted of endogenous ATP by pre-incubation and, for each reaction, 10 ng of RNA was incubated with 60% HeLa nuclear extract at 30 °C with or without additional 0.5 mM ATP for 1 h in a 20 µl reaction. Afterward, the reaction mixture was UV-crosslinked at 100 mJ cm⁻² and stored at -80 °C until further use. To visualize the splicing reaction products, proteinase K was added to the reaction and subjected to gel electrophoresis on a 5% polyacrylamide-urea gel.

Spliceosome iCLIP protocol. For each experiment, three biological replicate samples of cDNA libraries were prepared (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). The iCLIP method was done as previously described¹¹, with the following modifications. Crosslinked cells or tissue were dissociated in the lysis buffer according to the stringency conditions (stringent, medium, mild; Supplementary Table 1) followed by sonication, low RNase I (AM2295, 100 Uµl⁻¹, ThermoFisher) digestion and centrifugation. RNase at low concentration ensured that cDNAs are of optimal size for comprehensive crosslink determination¹⁵. For denaturing, high-stringency experiment¹¹, M2 anti-Flag antibody (Sigma) was used against the 3× Flag-SmB protein that had been stably integrated into HEK293 Flp-In cells (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Urea buffer (6M) was first used to lyse cell pellets, before being diluted down 1:9 with a Tween-20-containing IP buffer to allow for immunopurification without denaturing of the M2 anti-Flag antibody, and then proceeded as described previously¹⁵.

Standard iCLIP protocol¹¹ was used for Cal51 cells under mild and medium stringency conditions, and for the in vitro splicing reactions under mild conditions, while an updated protocol was used for HEK293, HepG2 and K562 cells²⁷. For SmB/B' immunopurification anti-SmB/B' antibodies 12F5 (sc-130670, Santa Cruz Biotechnology for Cal51 cells, and S0698, Sigma-Aldrich for HEK293, HepG2 and K562 cells) or 18F6 (as hybridoma supernatant, generated as described previously¹⁰) were used, which are different clones from the same immunization. These antibodies behave identically under immunopurification conditions (Supplementary Fig. 1d). For spliceosome iCLIP from in vitro splicing reactions (Supplementary Fig. 2c,d), lysates

were incubated with 50 µl monoclonal anti-SmB/B' antibody 18F6, and for immunoprecipitations from cell lysates, 12F5 anti-SmB/B' antibody was used. The antibody was bound to 100 µl protein G Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) under rotation at 4°C followed by washing. As described previously, following immunopurification, RNA 3' end dephosphorylation, ligation of the adapter 5'-rAppAGATCGGAAGAGGGGTTCAG/ddC/-3' to the 3' end and 5' end radiolabeling, protein–RNA complexes were size-separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. The regions corresponding to 28–180 kDa were excised from the membrane to isolate the bound RNA by proteinase K treatment. RNAs were reverse-transcribed in all experiments using SuperScript III or IV reverse transcriptase (ThermoFisher) and custom indexed primers (Supplementary Table 2). Resulting cDNAs were subjected to electrophoresis on a 6% TBE-urea gel (ThermoFisher) for size selection. Purified cDNAs were circularized, linearized and amplified for high-throughput sequencing.

Identification of protein crosslink sites around splice sites, in particular at the peaks 4 and 5, was most efficient under the mild purification condition (Supplementary Fig. 2a). This condition was therefore used for the analysis of spliceosomal assembly on PRPF8 KD in Cal51 cells (Fig. 2a), and in the in vitro splicing reactions in HeLa nuclear extract (Fig. 2b). For the identification of BPs, we additionally used the medium condition, since it increases the frequency of cDNAs truncating at peak B (Supplementary Fig. 2a). For this purpose, we performed spliceosome iCLIP under medium purification conditions from Cal51 cells synchronized in G1, S and G2 phase. To maximize cDNA coverage, data from all synchronized cells were merged with the control Cal51 cells under the mild condition for BP identification.

Mapping of Sm iCLIP reads. We mapped iCLIP data to the GRCh38 primary assembly and GENCODE v.27 gene annotations using STAR (v.2.2.1). Experimental and random barcode sequences of iCLIP sequenced reads were removed before mapping (Supplementary Table 2). Following mapping, we used random barcodes to quantify the number of unique cDNAs at each genomic position by collapsing cDNAs with the same random barcode that mapped to the same starting position to a single cDNA. For analysis of crosslinking to snRNAs, we first mapped to a transcriptome of all annotated snRNA sequences in GENCODE v.27 using Bowtie2 (v.2.3.4.3) and kept the primary alignment. Unmapped reads were then mapped with STAR as previously described and intersected with GENCODE v.27 for subtype analysis, with reads from Bowtie2 being added to the total snRNA count. For spliceosome iCLIP with the C6orf10 in vitro splicing substrate, sequence reads were first mapped to the unspliced substrate and the remaining reads were mapped to the spliced substrate allowing no mismatches. The nucleotide preceding the iCLIP cDNAs was used to define the crosslink sites in all analyses.

Mapping of eCLIP reads. For eCLIP sequencing data for all RBPs, we used GENCODE (GRCh38.p7) genome assembly and the STAR alignment (v.2.4.2a) using the following parameters from ENCODE pipeline: STAR --runThreadN 8 --runMode alignReads --genomeDir GRCh38 Gencode v25 --genomeLoad LoadAndKeep --readFilesIn read1, read2, --readFilesCommand zcat --outSAMunmapped Within -outFilterMultimapNmax 1 --outFilterMultimapScoreRange 1 --outSAMattributes All --outSAMtype BAM Unsorted --outFilterType BySJout --outFilterScoreMin 10 --alignEndsType EndT0End --outFileNamePrefix outfile.

For the PCR duplicates removal, we used a python script 'barcode collapse pe.py' available on GitHub (https://github.com/YeoLab/gscripts/releases/tag/1.0), which is part of the ENCODE eCLIP pipeline (https://www.encodeproject.org/ pipelines/ENCPL357ADL/).

Normalization of crosslink positions for their visualization in the form of RNA maps. RNA maps and heatmaps were produced by summarizing the cDNA counts at each nucleotide using the previously developed RNA maps pipeline^{15,28} relative to exon-intron and intron-exon boundaries and BPs on pre-mRNAs. The definition of intronic start and end positions was based on Ensembl v.75. Only introns longer than 300 nucleotides were used to draw RNA maps to avoid detection of any RBPs that recognize 5'SS of introns.

In cases where we compared the relative positions of crosslinking peaks between RBPs, we regionally normalized the summarized crosslinking of each RBP relative to the average crosslinking of the same RBP across the region 100 nucleotides upstream and 50 nucleotides downstream of the evaluated splice sites or BPs. Normalized values were then used to visualize the crosslinking in the form of RNA maps (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6). The same normalized RNA maps for each peak in the following regions: peak 4, -29.-23 nucleotides and peak 5, -21..-17 nucleotides relative to BP, peak 6, -11..-5 nucleotides and peak 7, -3..-1 nucleotides relative to 3'SS. Every RBP was then normalized by the mean across all the peaks to visualize crosslinking enrichment between the groups on the same scale across all RBPs (Figs. 6 and 7 and Supplementary Fig. 7).

To assess the role of BP characteristics on spliceosomal RBP assembly (Figs. 4, 6 and 7), we only examined the introns containing the 31,167 BPs that were

NATURE STRUCTURAL & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY

identified both computationally and by iCLIP, which are probably the most reliable. We divided BPs into ten categories based on BP position or score, and then normalized the summarized crosslinking of each RBP in each of the ten BP categories relative to the average crosslinking of the same RBP across the region 100 nucleotides upstream and 50 nucleotides downstream of all the 31,167 evaluated BPs.

For visualization of spliceosome iCLIP crosslinks along the *C6orf10* in vitro splicing substrate and product (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2e) we first summed the cDNA starts at each nucleotide position and then normalized the counts by the average number of cDNA starts in the intronic region 101..150 nucleotides relative to the 5'SS of the unspliced substrate. For the unspliced substrate normalized cDNA counts were logarithmized (log₂) and data with log₂(normalized number of cDNA starts) ≥ 1 were plotted. For the spliced product normalized cDNA counts were plotted.

Identification and comparison of BPs. It has been shown that the spliceosomal C complexes harbor a salt-resistant RNP core containing U2, U5 and U6 snRNAs as well as the splicing intermediates including lariats that withstand treatment with 1 M NaCl, whereas the spliceosomal B complexes probably dissociated under high-salt conditions¹⁷. This could explain why the medium purification condition is more suited than the mild condition to enrich for lariat cDNAs truncating at position B (Supplementary Fig. 2a). It is conceivable that the medium spliceosome iCLIP condition strongly enriches spliceosomal C complexes, which are most effective for lariat detection. In contrast, the mild condition is expected to enrich additional B complexes that contain large amounts of SF3 components and have low proportion of lariats, in agreement with the strong enrichment of peaks 4 and 5 (Supplementary Fig. 2a). To identify the maximal diversity of BPs, we therefore pooled spliceosome iCLIP data produced under mild and medium purification conditions from Cal51 cells.

To identify BPs we used the spliceosome iCLIP reads that ended precisely at the ends of introns (we considered only introns that end in the AG dinucleotide) after removal of the 3' adapter. We noticed that these reads had a 3.5× increased frequency of mismatches on the A as the first nucleotide compared to remaining iCLIP reads (Supplementary Fig. 3a), indicating that these mismatches may have resulted from truncation at the three-way-junction formed at the BP (Fig. 2c). We therefore trimmed the first nucleotide from the read if it contained a mismatch at the first position that corresponded to a genomic adenosine. We then used spliceosome iCLIP from Cal51 cells to identify all reads that ended precisely at the ends of introns and defined the position where these reads started and assessed the random barcode nucleotides that are present at the beginning of each iCLIP read to count the number of unique cDNAs at each position. The nucleotide preceding the read start corresponds to the position where cDNAs truncated during the reverse transcription, and we selected the genomic A that had the highest number of truncated cDNAs as the candidate BP. If two positions with equal number of cDNAs were found, we selected the one closer to the 3'SS. Together, this identified 43.637 BPs.

We also attempted to use truncated cDNAs from PRPF8 eCLIP for the discovery of BPs but found that the number of cDNAs overlapping with intron ends was much smaller than in spliceosome iCLIP, and was insufficient for BP discovery. This is probably because of the high amount of nonspecific background signal in PRPF8 eCLIP, which leads to a lower proportion of cDNAs that align to the BPs.

The Bedtools Intersect command using option –u was used to compare BP coordinates from spliceosome iCLIP to the BPs identified in previous studies. We restricted this comparison to introns where BPs were detected by all three datasets (iCLIP, RNA-seq and computational prediction).

To define a single 'computational BP' per intron, the BP positions computationally predicted for each intron in hg19 were obtained from http:// bejerano.stanford.edu/labranchor/, and the top-scoring BP in each intron was used. To define a single 'RNA-seq BP' per intron, we used the BP with most lariatspanning reads in each intron.

Analysis of pairing probability. Computational predictions of the secondary structure were performed by RNAfold function from Vienna Package (https://www.tbi.univie.ac.at/RNA/) with default parameters³⁵. The RNAfold results are provided in a customized format, where brackets are representing the double-stranded region on the RNA and dots are used for unpaired nucleotides. We measured the density of pairing probability by summing the paired positions into a single vector.

Identification of RBPs overlapping with spliceosomal peaks. For RBP enrichment in Fig. 3, we used the eCLIP data from the ENCODE consortium¹⁶, together with available iCLIP experiments from our lab (all listed in Supplementary Dataset 4), to see if any of the proteins are enriched in the region of spliceosomal peaks. In total, this included 157 eCLIP samples of 68 RBPs in the HepG2 cell line, and 89 RBPs in the K562 cell line, and iCLIP samples of 18 RBPs from different cell lines (Supplementary Dataset 4). Next, we intersected cDNA starts from each sample to the -100 to +50 nucleotide region relative to the 3'SS and used it as control for each of the following peaks: Peak 4 (-23,-29 nucleotides relative to BP), Peak 5 (-21.-17 nucleotide relative to BP), Peak B (-1.1. nucleotides relative to BP), Peak A (-1..1 nucleotide relative to 5'SS), Peak 6 (-11..-10 nucleotides relative to 3'SS). The positions of these peaks were determined based on crosslink enrichments in spliceosome iCLIP.

Statistics. All statistical analyses were performed in the R software environment (v.3.1.3 and v.3.3.2, https://www.r-project.org).

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The spliceosome iCLIP data generated and analyzed during the current study are available on EBI ArrayExpress under the accession number E-MTAB-8182 and are also available in raw and processed format on https://imaps.genialis.com/iclip. Additional datasets used in this study are listed in Supplementary Dataset 4. Source data for Fig. 1c are available online. Other data are available upon request.

Code availability

The code to identify BPs from spliceosome iCLIP reads is publicly available at the GitHub repository (https://github.com/nebo56/branch-point-detection-2).

References

- Gioanni, J. et al. Establishment and characterisation of a new tumorigenic cell line with a normal karyotype derived from a human breast adenocarcinoma. *Br. J. Cancer* 62, 8–13 (1990).
- 27. Blazquez, L. et al. Exon junction complex shapes the transcriptome by repressing recursive splicing. *Mol. Cell* **72**, 496–509 e9 (2018).
- Chakrabarti, A., Haberman, N., Praznik, A., Luscombe, N. M. & Ule, J. Data science issues in studying protein–RNA interactions with CLIP technologies. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Data Sci.* 1, 235–261 (2018).

natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Jernej Ule

Last updated by author(s): Jul 25, 2019

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
\boxtimes		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
\boxtimes		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
\boxtimes		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code						
Data collection	n/a					
Data analysis	The computer code is available on Github, as explained in the Code availability statement of the paper.					

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The spliceosome iCLIP data have been deposited on EBI ArrayExpress under the accession number E-MTAB-8182.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

🔀 Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

Sample size	All experiments were performed in triplicates, a standard for high-throughput sequencing study design.
Data exclusions	No data were excluded from the analysis.
Replication	The reproducibility of findings was assessed by evaluating the triplicate experiments separately, where appropriate, and by assessing the ENCODE eCLIP results for same RBPs from two separate cell lines.
Randomization	n/a
Blinding	All replicates for each experimental condition were processed simultaneously such that blinding was not necessary. The same applies for the bioinformatic analysis.

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Involved in the study

ChIP-seq

Flow cytometry

MRI-based neuroimaging

Materials & experimental systems

Methods

n/a

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

n/a	Involved in the study
	X Antibodies
	Eukaryotic cell lines
\boxtimes	Palaeontology
\boxtimes	Animals and other organisms
\boxtimes	Human research participants
\boxtimes	Clinical data

Antibodies

Antibodies used	anti-SmB/B', 18F6 anti-SmB/B', 12F5 anti-Flag M2 antibody anti-Sm Y12 antibody
Validation	anti-SmB/B', 18F6 (kindly provided by Livio Pellizzoni; validated in Supplementary Fig. 1 by immunofluorescence, Western blot and immunoprecipitation) anti-SmB/B', 12F5 (sc-130670, Santa Cruz Biotechnology or S0698, Sigma; validated in Supplementary Fig. 1 by immunoprecipitation; for reference see Carissimi et al. J Biol Chem 281:37009-16) anti-Flag M2 antibody (Sigma; widely used and characterized antibody) anti-Sm Y12 antibody (ab3138, Abcam; widely used and characterized)

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>					
Cell line source(s)	ATCC				
Authentication	None of the cell lines used were authenticated.				
Mycoplasma contamination	All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.				
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.				