

Structural databases

Outline

- Structural databases
- Image: 3D data validation
- □ 3D protein modelling
- Models validation and databases

Outline

- Structural databases
 - Data formats (PDB, mmCIF, PDBML)
 - wwPDB
 - Other resources
- Image: 3D data validation
- □ 3D protein modelling
- Models validation and databases

Data formats

different formats are used to represent primary

macromolecular 3D structure data

- PDB
- mmClF
- PDBML
- ...

□ The spatial 3D coordinates for each atom are recorded

- □ designed in the early 1970s first entries of PDB database
- □ rigid structure of 80 characters per line, including spaces
- still the most widely supported format

structure		HEADER TITLE	S				N-CARBON) DEOXYRIB	ODIPYRIM	IDINE PH		UL-95 8	1DNP	
annotation		SOURCE 2 ORGANISM_SCIENTIFIC: ESCHERICHIA COLI KEYWDS DNA REPAIR, ELECTRON TRANSFER, EXCITATION ENERGY TRANSFER, KEYWDS 2 LYASE, CARBON-CARBON											
		ATOM	21	ND1	HIS	Δ	3	55.365	27.866	62.971	1.00	11.07	N
		ATOM	22		HIS		3	57.200	28.354	61.894		13.12	ĉ
		ATOM	23		HIS		3	56.124	26.783	62.981		13.03	c
		ATOM	24	NE2	HIS	А	3	57.243	27.052	62.334	1.00	8.19	N
		ATOM	25	N	LEU	А	4	55.580	32.694	59.656	1.00	12.61	N
	_	ATOM	26	CA	LEU	Α	4	54.799	33.803	59.113	1.00	11.56	C
amino acid		ATOM	27	С	LEU		4	53.552	33.269	58.374	1.00	7.76	C
field		ATOM	28		LEU		4	53.650	32.363	57.532	1.00	6.99	0
neid		ATOM	29		LEU		4	55.656	34.683	58.174	1.00	9.03	С
		ATOM	30		LEU		4	54.946	35.887	57.518	1.00	2.00	С
		ATOM	31	CD1	LEU	А	4	54.623	36.920	58.550	1.00	6.21	С
		HETATM	7641	AN7	FAD	ъ	472	27.855	78.556	29.073	1.00	4.55	N
cofactor			7642		FAD		472	28.524	78.026	27.955	1.00	2.00	c
filed	-1	HETATM				_	472	29.848	77.609	27.724	1.00	3.40	č
		HETATM			FAD			30.787	77.757	28.664	1.00	6.22	Ň
			/	/	/		1	_	~			\ \	
		ator numb		/	idue ame		residue number	Х,	y, z coordi	nates	occupan	cy temperature factor	atom type
			ator nam				eptide entifier						

- atomic coordinates
- chemical and biological features
- experimental details of the structure determination
- structural features
 - secondary structure assignments
 - hydrogen bonding
 - biological assemblies REMARK 350
 - active sites



- □ advantages
 - widely used → supported by majority of tools
 - easy to read and easy to use
- \rightarrow suitable for accessing individual entries

disadvantages

inconsistency between individual PDB entries as well as PDB records within one entry (e.g., different residue numbering in SEQRES and ATOM sections) → not suitable for computer extraction of information

SEQRES	1	39	6 MET	ASP	GLU	ASN	ILE	THR	ALA	ALA	PRO	ALA	ASP	PRO	ILE
SEQRES	2	39	6 LEU	GLY	LEU	ALA	ASP	LEU	PHE	ARG	ALA	ASP	GLU	ARG	PRO
ATOM	1	Ν	MET	5		41.	.402	11	.897	15	.262	1.(00 48	3.61	
ATOM	2	CA	MET	5		40	.919	13	.262	15	.600	1.(00 4'	7.70	
ATOM	9	Ν	PHE	6		39.	.627	14	.840	14	.228	1.(00 48	3.66	
ATOM	10	CA	PHE	6		39.	.199	15	.440	12	.964	1.(00 4	5.33	

- disadvantages
 - inconsistency between individual PDB entries as well as PDB records within one entry → not suitable for computer extraction of information
 - absolute limits on the size of certain items of data, e.g.: max.
 number of atom records limited to 99,999; max. number of chains
 limited to 26 → large systems such as the ribosomal subunit must be
 divided into multiple PDB files

 \rightarrow not suitable for analysis and comparison of experimental and structure data across the entire database

4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> Str. DBs -> PDB format

mmCIF format

- □ macromolecular Crystallographic Information File (mmCIF)
- developed to handle increasingly complicated structure data
- each field of information is explicitly assigned by a tag and

linked to other fields through a special syntax

PDB HEADER PLANT SEED PROTEIN 11-OCT-91 1CBN

mmCIF	_struct.entry_id '1CBN'
	_struct.title 'PLANT SEED PROTEIN'
	_struct_keywords.entry_id '1CBN'
	_struct_keywords.text 'plant seed protein'
	_database_2.database_id 'PDB'
	_database_2.database_code '1CBN'
	_database_PDB_rev.rev_num 1
	_database_PDB_rev.date_original '1991-10-11'

4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> Str. DBs -> mmCIF format

mmCIF format

- □ advantages
 - easily parsable by computer software
 - consistency of data across the database
- disadvantages
 - difficult to read
 - rarely supported by visualization and computational tools

 \rightarrow suitable for analysis and comparison of experimental and structure data across the entire database

 \rightarrow not suitable for accessing individual entries

Protein Data Bank Markup Language (PDBML)

XML version of PDB format

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" ?>
<PDBx:datablock datablockName="EXAMPLE"
  xmlns:PDBx="http://deposit.pdb.org/pdbML/pdbx-v1.000.xsd"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://deposit.pdb.org/pdbML/pdbx-v1.000.xsd
           pdbx-v1.000.xsd">
  <PDBx:entity polyCategory>
      <PDBx:entity poly entity id="1">
        <PDBx:type>polypeptide(L)</PDBx:type>
         <PDBx:nstd linkage>no</PDBx:nstd linkage>
         <PDBx:nstd monomer>no</PDBx:nstd monomer>
         <PDBx:pdbx seq one letter code>
         DIVLTOSPASLSASVGETVTITCRASGNIHNYLAWYOOKOGKSPOLLVYYTTTLADG
         VPSRFSGSGSGTQYSLKINSLQPEDFGSYYCQHFWSTPRTFGGGTKLEIK
         </PDBx:pdbx seq one letter code>
         <PDBx:pdbx seq one letter code can>
         DIVLTOSPASLSASVGETVTITCRASGNIHNYLAWYOOKOGKSPOLLVYYTTTLADG
         VPSRFSGSGSGTQYSLKINSLOPEDFGSYYCOHFWSTPRTFGGGTKLEIK
         </PDBx:pdbx seg one letter code can>
      </PDBx:entity poly>
  </PDBx:entity polyCategory>
</PDBx:datablock>
```

Structural databases



- wwPDB: 3D structure of biopolymers
 - BMRB: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance specific
 - EMDB: Electron-Microscopy specific
- NDB: 3D structure of nucleic acids: <u>http://ndbserver.rutgers.edu/</u>
- CSD: 3D structure of small molecules (commercial)

http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/csd/

- Other sources
 - PDBsum, SCOP, Protopedia, Structural Biology KnowledgeBase

wwPDB

- □ joint initiative of four organizations
 - Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB PDB)
 - Protein Data Bank in Europe (PDBe)
 - Protein Data Bank Japan (PDBj)
 - Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank (BMRB)



4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> Str. DBs -> wwPDB

wwPDB

□ database growth



4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> Str. DBs -> wwPDB



- worldwide Protein Data Bank (wwPDB)
 - http://www.wwpdb.org/
 - central repository of experimental macromolecular structures
 - more than 225,000 structures (October 2024), updated every week
 - mostly protein structures (87 %), structures of protein/nucleic acids or oligosaccharides complexes (11 %) and nucleic acid structures (2 %)
 - majority of structures from X-ray crystallography (84 %), NMR (6 %), or EM (10%)
 - deposition of the structure into wwPDB is a requirement for its

publication



wwPDB – data deposition

- □ All data can be deposited at RCSBPDB, PDBe or PDBj site
 - Same requirements content and format of the final files:
 - structures of biopolymers
 - structures determined by experimental techniques
 - structures containing required information
 - Same validation methods
 - → uniformity of the final archive
- D PDB-ID
 - assigned to each deposition
 - unique identifier of each structure
 - four-character code

wwPDB – data validation

- assessment of the quality of deposited atomic models (structure validation) and how well these models fit experimental data (experimental validation)
- validation using accepted community standards
 - covalent bond distances and angles
 - stereochemical validation
 - atom and ligand nomenclature
 - geometry
 - NMR data specific checks

• • • •

wwPDB – data access

- the access to the PDB archive is free and publicly available
 from the RCSB PDB site, PDBe site or PDBj site
- □ FTP
 - RCSB PDB, PDBe and PDBj sites distribute the same PDB archive
 - updated weekly
- web sites
 - each wwPDB site provides its own services and resources →
 different views and analyses of the structural data
 - sequence-based and text-based queries

RCSB PDB

http://pdb.rcsb.org





4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> Str. DBs -> wwPDB

PDBsum

- http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbsum/
- provides summaries and pre-computed analyses for structures

deposited in the wwPDB



- **Structural Classification of Proteins (SCOP)**
 - http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/scop/
 - provides classifications of proteins with known 3D structure according to their evolutionary and structural relationships

Protein: Haloalkane dehalogenase from Sphingomonas paucimobilis, UT26, LinB [TaxId: 13689]

Lineage:

- 1. Root: <u>scop</u>
- Class: <u>Alpha and beta proteins (a/b)</u> [51349] Mainly parallel beta sheets (beta-alpha-beta units)
- Fold: <u>alpha/beta-Hydrolases</u> [53473] core: 3 layers, a/b/a; mixed beta-sheet of 8 strands, order 12435678, strand 2 is antiparallel to the rest
- 4. Superfamily: <u>alpha/beta-Hydrolases</u> [53474] many members have left-handed crossover connection between strand 8 and additional strand 9
- 5. Family: Haloalkane dehalogenase [53513]
- 6. Protein: Haloalkane dehalogenase [53514]
- 7. Species: Sphingomonas paucimobilis, UT26, LinB [TaxId: 13689] [53517]

Proteopedia

- http://www.proteopedia.org/wiki/index.php/
- free, collaborative 3D-encyclopedia of proteins and other molecules

Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors



Human PPARy bound to RXR and PPRE DNA strand, 3dzy The Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors (PPAR) α , γ , and δ are members of the nuclear receptor family. Since their discovery in the early 90s, it has become clear that the PPARs are essential modulators of external stimuli, acting as transcription factors to regulate mammalian metabolism, cellular differentiation, and tumorigenesis. The PPARs are the targets of numerous pharmaceutical drugs aimed at treating hypolipidemia and diabetes among other diseases.^[1] For details on PPARy see PPAR-gamma.





Biological Role

Structural Biology Knowledgebase

- http://sbkb.org/
- provides up-to-date information about advances in structural

biology and structural genomics



4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> Str. DBs -> Other resources



Structural quality assurance

4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> 3D data validation

Outline

- Revision of concepts
- Important truths about structures
- Errors in deposited structures
 - systematic errors
 - random errors
- Selecting reliable structure
 - rules of thumbs
 - quality checks
 - programs and databases

Concepts

- □ Resolution
 - measure of the level of detail present in the diffraction pattern





Concepts

□ R-factor (R-value)

measure of a model quality - i.e. how well it can reproduce

experimental data



Concepts

- □ Thermal factors (B-factors)
 - measure of how much an atom oscillates or vibrates around the position specified in the model



Important truths about structures

- □ all structures are just models devised to satisfy experimental
 data → random and systematic errors
- individual structures differ in the quality
- most structures are reasonably accurate, containing "only"
 random errors, but some structures are seriously incorrect
- □ structures should be carefully selected and critically assessed
 before being used for a specific purpose → quality checks of
 structures

Errors in deposited structures

- □ systematic errors
- □ random errors

- relate to the accuracy of the model—how well it corresponds to the "true" structure of the molecule in question
- often include errors of interpretation
 - low quality of electron density map → difficult to find the correct tracing of the molecule(s) through it → misstracing and "frame-shift" errors
 - spectral interpretations (assignment of individual NMR signals to individual atoms)
- may lead to completely wrong final structure

Examples of systematic errors

- completely wrong structures
 - trace of the protein chain following the wrong path through the electron density → completely incorrect fold



Examples of systematic errors

- wrong connectivity between secondary structure elements
 - incorrect order of secondary structure elements → many protein's residues in the wrong place in the 3D structure



Examples of systematic errors

- □ frame-shift errors
 - occur where a residue is fitted into the electron density that belongs to the next residue and persists until compensating error is made (two residues are fitted into the density of a single residue)
 - occur almost exclusively at very low resolution (> 3.0 Å), often in loop regions
- fitting of incorrect main chain or side chain conformations
 into the density
 - usually the least serious, however still can have effects on biological interpretations
Random errors

- □ depend on how precisely a given measurement can be made
- □ all measurements contain errors at some degree of precision
- \rightarrow uncertainties in atomic positions
- less serious than systematic errors
- if a structure is essentially correct, the sizes of the random errors determine how precise the structure is

Examples of random errors

- uncertainties in atomic positions
- □ typically in range of 0.01 1.27 Å, median 0.28 Å



Examples of random errors



- □ side chain flips
 - His/Asn/Gln symmetrical in terms of shape → fit electron density equally well when rotated by 180°



difficult to distinguish N and O atoms of the side-chain amide from X-ray data

Selecting reliable structure

- rules of thumb for selecting structures
 - X-ray structures
 - NMR structures
- quality checks of structures
 - validation of protein structures
 - programs for quality checks
 - quality information on the web

Rules of thumb for selecting structures

- □ X-ray structures
 - reasonably accurate structure: resolution ≤ 2.0 Å and *R*-factor ≤ 0.2
 - selection criteria always depend on the type of analysis required (e.g., comparison of folds – 3.0 Å resolution is sufficient vs. analysis of side chain torsional conformers – resolution ≤ 1.2 Å is required)
 - *R*-factor can easily be fooled \rightarrow a better indicator of model reliability is $R_{\text{free}} - c$ alculated in the same way as *R*-factor but using only a small fraction of the experimental data; R_{free} should be ≤ 0.4
 - local errors indicated by residue B-factors > 50 but quality checks should always be performed to assess possible local problems in a structure

Rules of thumb for selecting structures

- □ NMR structures
 - no simple rule of thumb as in the case of X-ray structures
 - information on structure quality can be found in the original paper or obtained by quality checks
 - ResProx (<u>http://www.resprox.ca/</u>) predicts the atomic resolution of NMR protein structures using machine learning
 - DRESS (<u>http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/dress/</u>) and RECOORD
 (<u>http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe-apps/nmr/recoord/main.html</u>)web
 servers provide improved versions of old NMR models (obtained
 by re-refinement of the original experimental data using more up to-date force fields and refinement protocols)

Quality checks of structures

- checks of structure geometry, stereochemistry and other structural properties
- □ tests of normality
 - comparison of a given protein or nucleic acid structure against what is already known about these molecules
 - knowledge comes from high-resolution structures of small molecules and systematic analyses of existing protein and nucleic acid structures
 - not all outliers from the norm are errors (e.g., an unusual torsion angle of a single residue), however, a structure exhibiting a large number of outliers and oddities is probably problematic

- □ Ramachandran plot
 - check of stereochemical quality of protein structures
 - plot of the Ψ versus the Φ main chain torsion angles for every amino acid residue in the protein (except the two terminal residues)
 - favorable and "disallowed" regions of the plot determined from analyses of existing structures
 - typical protein structures residues tightly clustered in the most favored regions, only few or none residues in the "disallowed" regions
 - poorly defined protein structures
 – residues more dispersed and many
 of them lie in the "disallowed" regions of the Ramachandran plot

Ramachandran plot



typical protein structure

poorly defined protein structure

ന

0

45

90

135

180

-90

-135

-45



Ramachandran plot



Figure 4-8 Principles of Biochemistry, 4/e © 2006 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.

- □ side chain torsion angles
 - preferred conformations of side chain torsion angles obtained by analyses of existing structures
 - χ_1 torsion angle about N-C^{α}-C^{β}-A^{γ}
 - χ_2 torsion angle about C^{α}-C^{β}-A^{γ}-A^{δ}, ...





4-Str. DBs & 3D Modelling -> 3D data validation -> Str. Sel. -> Quality checks

- bad and unfavorable atom-atom contacts
 - "simple" count of bad contacts, e.g., two nonbonded atoms with a center-to-center distance < sum of their van der Waals radii
 - evaluation of the environment of individual atoms or residue fragments with respect to the environments found in the high resolution crystal structures

- □ secondary structure
 - ~ 50-60% of residues usually in regions of regular secondary structure
 - poorly defined structures main chain O and N atoms can lie beyond normal hydrogen bonding distances → some of the α-helices and βstrands not detected by the secondary structure assignment programs





poorly defined protein structure

- □ other parameters
 - counts of unsatisfied hydrogen bond donors
 - hydrogen bonding energies
 - knowledge-based potentials assessing how "happy" each residue is in its local environment – many unhappy residues → "sad" overall structure
 - real space *R*-factor expressing how well each residue fits its electron density; can also be expressed as a Real-space correlation coefficient

- Proteins
 - PROCHECK
 - WHAT_CHECK
 - Verify 3D
 - MolProbity
 - ANOLEA

PROCHECK

- http://www.ebi.ac.uk/thornton-srv/software/PROCHECK/
- variety of plots for protein structures: Ramachandran plot, χ₁-χ₂
 plot for each amino acid type, main chain bond lengths and bond angles, secondary structure plot, ...
- parameters that deviate from norm are highlighted
- NMR-PROCHECK version specific for NMR

- WHAT_CHECK (subset of WHAT IF package)
 - http://swift.cmbi.ru.nl/gv/whatcheck/
 - space group and symmetry
 - bond lengths and angles
 - bad contacts
 - hydrogen bonds
 - •
 - detailed output of discrepancies of the given protein structure from the norms

□ Verify3D

- https://genesilico.pl/toolkit/unimod?method=Verify3D
- evaluates residue's environment in terms of secondary structure, buried surface area, and fraction of side chain covered by polar atoms
- Image: MolProbity
 - http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/
 - detailed all-atom contact analysis within a given protein structure
- ANOLEA
 - <u>http://melolab.org/anolea/index.html</u>
 - knowledge based evaluation of atom-atom contacts

- several databases provide pre-computed quality criteria for
 - all wwPDB structures
 - EDS
 - PDBsum
 - PDBREPORT
 - RCSB PDB

- Electron Density Server (EDS)
 - <u>http://eds.bmc.uu.se/eds/</u>, also available via the PDBe site
 - information about local quality of the structure for all structures from wwPDB with deposited experimental data
 - plot of real-space *R*-factor (RSR) how well each residue fits its electron density
 - plot of Z-score large positive spike → residue has considerably worse RSR than the average residue of the same type in structures determined at similar resolution.
 - Ramachandran plot
 - •

Electron Density Server (EDS)



PDBsum

- http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbsum/
- provides numerous structural analyses of all wwPDB structures, including full PROCHECK output (for all protein-containing entries)



PDBsum



No	Plot description	Plot files	Description
1	Main Ramachandran plot	PostScript	a de
2	All-residue Ramachandran plots	PostScript'	
3	All-residue chi1-chi2 plots	PostScript'	
4	Main-chain parameters	PostScript	
5	Side-chain parameters	PostScript'	
6	Residue properties plot	PostScript	
7	Main-chain bond lengths	PostScript'	
8	Main-chain bond angles	PostScript	
9	RMS distances from planarity	PostScript'	
10	Distorted geometry	PostScript	

DDBREPORT

- http://swift.cmbi.ru.nl/gv/pdbreport/
- provides a pre-computed WHAT_CHECK report for any structure in

the wwPDB



Database

This is the index to the PDBREPORT database. Here you can find reports describing structural problems in PDB entries that have been determined using X-ray diffraction or NMR techniques. There are many more than twenty million diagnostics. This database is a collection of the output of the WHAT_CHECK program. If you are new to WHAT_CHECK, please have a look at the WHAT_CHECK

../whatcheck documentation and explanation.

To obtain a report type the PDB identifier (and "Return") in this box:
 1iz7

DBREPORT

Warning: Unusual bond angles

The bond angles listed in the table below were found to deviate more than 4 sigma from standard bond angles (both standard values and sigma for protein residues have been taken from Engh and Huber [REF], for DNA/RNA from Parkinson et al [REF]). In the table below for each strange angle the bond angle and the number of standard deviations it differs from the standard values is given. Please note that disulphide bridges are neglected. Atoms starting with "-" belong to the previous residue in the sequence.

17	ARG	(19-)	А	N	CA	С	127.61	5.9
17	ARG	(19-)	А	С	CA	CB	101.78	-4.4
30	ILE	(32-)	А	N	CA	С	97.87	-4.8
132	ILE	(134-)	А	N	CA	С	99.73	-4.1

Error: Nomenclature error(s)

Checking for a hand-check. WHAT IF has over the course of this session already corrected the handedness of atoms in several residues. These were administrative corrections. These residues are listed here.

231 GLU (233-) A

Error: Tau angle problems

The side chains of the residues listed in the table below contain a tau angle (N-Calpha-C) that was found to deviate

□ RCSB PDB

- http://pdb.rcsb.org/
- provides geometrical analyses for each entry, including information

about bond lengths, angles and dihedral angles

hydro from s 1.6 A	finement of the structur lytic haloalkane dehalo sphingomonas paucimo resolution Structure Variance Analysis Results	genase linb bilis UT26 AT	1IZ7	 Display Files • Download Files • Share this Page •
Geometry				
RCSB Gra				
		Omega	FDS Summary	

MolProbity Ramachandran Plot

Click here to download the MolProbity Ramachandran Plot.

References

- Gu, J. & Bourne, P. E. (2009). Structural Bioinformatics, 2nd Edition,
 Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, p. 1067.
- Xiong, J. (2006). Essential Bioinformatics. Cambridge University Press, New York, p. 352.
- Schwede, T. & Peitsch, M. C. (2008). Computational Structural Biology: Methods and Applications, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, p. 700.
- Shapiro, B. A. *et al.* (2007). Bridging the gap in RNA structure prediction.
 Current opinion in structural biology 17: 157-165.