Comparison of Psychometric Properties of Foreign Language Learner Strategy Inventories: SILL, LSUS, and LASSI in their Czech Adaptation

Katerina Vlckova, Iva Hudeckova, and Katerina Svejdikova (Institute for Research in School Education, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic)

vlckova@ped.muni.cz

Foreign language learning strategies (FLLS)

- Important concept in theory, research of SLA, language learning and teaching since 1960s:
 - · Capture a wide range of linguistic behaviours.
 - · Operations to acquire, retain, retrieve information or perform (Rigney, 1978).
 - · Ways in which the learner selects, acquires, or integrates new knowledge (Weinstein, Mayer, 1986).
 - · Sets of conscious thoughts and actions that a learner takes to achieve a learning goal (Chamot, 2004).
- Connected concepts:
 - · Self-regulation, metacognition, learning styles, cognitive style.
- Strategy classification:
 - · Most often classified according to psychological functions cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective (O'Malley, Chamot 1990),
 - or 4 language skills (Cohen, Weaver 2006).
- Strategy choice and use is influenced by different variables:
 - e.g. gender, experience, motivation, language proficiency.

Taxonomy of language learning strategies (R. L. Oxford 1990)

Direct strategies		Indirect strategies			
Memory	Cognitive	Compensation	Metacognit.	Affective	Social
Creating mental	Practising	Guessing intelligently	Centering your learning	Lowering your anxiety	Asking questions
linkages	Receiving, sending	Overcoming	Arranging	Encouraging	Cooperating
Applying images,	messages	limitations in speaking and	and planning your learning	yourself	with others
sounds	Analysing, reasoning	writing	Evaluating	Taking your emotional	Empathising with others
Reviewing			your learning	temperature	
well	Creating structure for				
Employing action	input and output				

- "To understand unfamiliar words, I make guesses."
- "I first skim an English passage (read over the passage quickly) then go back and read
- "I find the meaning of an English word by dividing it into parts that I understand."

Research question

- · For measuring declared FLLS use, inventories based on previous inductive research from early stages of FLLS research were developed.
- It is important to know how precisely these instrument measure strategies, if and how the instruments are inter-correlated and which is better in predicting achievement.
- This study compares psychometric properties of 3 mainly used FLLS inventories:
 - 1) SILL Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (Oxford, 1990).
 - 2) LSUS Language Strategy Use Survey (Cohen, Oxford & Chi, 2002).
 - 3) LASSI Learning and Study Strategies Inventory (Weinstein, Schulte & Palmer, 2002).

Method

- Translation and adaptation of the 3 inventories for the Czech conditions (SILL: Vlčková, 2007; LASSI: Hudečková, 2012)
- Partial standardization (LSUS: Vlčková & Přikrylová , 2011).

Data collection

- All 3 inventories were completed in a random order one week after each other by the same 126 students.
- Non-random sampling.
- Students reported their strategies of their preferred FL.

Results

Declared FLLS use

	Average	SD	Scale (points)
SILL	3.02	.41	5
LSUS	2.66	.31	4
LASSI	2.77	.28	5

Reliability coefficient Cronbach's alpha

	α	α male	α female	No. items	Scale (points)
SILL	.91	.92	.89	72	5
LSUS	.91	.92	.91	89	4
LASSI	.82	.87	.79	80	5

Concurrent instruments' validity

	R	Scores of strategy
SILL/LSUS	.66	use of all 3 inventories inter-
LASSI/LSUS	.43	correlated at p < .05.
LASSI/SILL	.41	correlated at p +100.

Correlation of strategy use scores with achievement indicators

	R School mark	R Self-assessment of FL competence
SILL	20	.12
LSUS	20	01
LASSI	.22 (negative)	.34
		Significant at n < 05

Significant at p < .05.

Research sample

Year of sampling	2012
N	126
Schools level	upper secondary
Schools type	comprehensive
Students'age	17-18
Female	69 %
Preffered FL	English 73 %
Years of preffered FL learning	mostly 8-10

	Combination of administration order of the inventories		
ABC	SILL-LASSI-LSUS	20	
ACB	SILL-LSUS-LASSI	23	
BAC	LASSI-SILL-LSUS	15	
BCA	LASSI-LSUS-SILL	18	
CAB	LSUS-SILL-LASSI	19	
CBA	LSUS-LASSI-SILL	25	

Effect of administration order on reliability

The order of administration of inventories affected the reliability:

- · LSUS the lowest reliability when administered as first inventory.
- LASSI the lowest reliability when administered as the last one.
- SILL reliability was the highest when administered as the last one.

Discussion

- The FLLS use was highest at LSUS, than SILL and lowest by LASSI.
- Regarding concurrent validity, the scores of strategy use of all 3inventories were inter-correlated. The strongest correlation were between SILL and LSUS.
- Reliability coefficient Cronbach's alpha reached an acceptable level for all the inventories. In all inventories, the reliability for men was slightly higher.
- The order of administration of inventories affected the reliability of each instrument.
- Predictive power of the inventories for students' achievement was very low, though statistically significant in all cases. Self-assessment correlated best with LASSI scores and school mark correlated best with LASSI, but negatively – students with worse marks used strategies measured by LASSI more.

