

# PROTECTION OF PERSONAL DATA IN SECURITY ALERT SHARING PLATFORMS

Friday 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2017

**Martin Husák**

Václav Stupka

Martin Horák



**CSIRT-MU**

# Introduction

## Collaborative Security

- Emerging trend in cyber security,
- popular among CSIRT/CERT teams,
- hot topic of current security research.

## Cyber Security Alert Sharing Platforms

- Technical means of automated information exchange,
- provide timely information about current security events,
- examples – MISP, STIX, SABU, etc.



# Motivation

## Privacy Issues

- Cyber security data are sensitive and security alerts contain personal information (IP addresses are also personal data!).
- Cyber crime knows no borders, but it is complicated to transfer sensitive data to other country.
- Privacy issues may interfere with cyber security practice.

## Novel Legal Frameworks

- Legal compliance of sharing platforms is not assured by design.
- Focus on European law and legal framework, namely GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation).



# Sharing Platforms

## Purpose of Information Sharing

- Increased capability of intrusion detection systems (IDS),
- early warning and preemptive security measures,
- global situational awareness and cyber threat intelligence.

## What is Being Shared

- Almost everything may be a IoC (Indicator of Compromise),
- raw data – PCAP files, malware binaries, ...
- security alerts – formatted reports of security events,
- potentially private pieces of information – IP addresses, e-mail addresses, URLs, domain names, ...



# Legal Framework

## Evolution of Data Protection in the EU

- *“Data privacy laws are spreading globally, and their number and geographical diversity accelerating since 2000.”* [Graham2003]
- EU law focuses on protection of individual’s fundamental right to privacy in general.
- 1998 Data protection directive (no. 95/46/EC) did not anticipate rapid development of information technology.

## GDPR

- General Data Protection Regulation (2016/679),
- not a directive, thus directly applicable to all EU member states,
- main intent – to give individuals more control over their personal data.



# Legal Framework

## Personal Data and It's Use

- GDPR defines personal data very broadly as *“any information about identified or identifiable person”*.
- Online identifiers can be provided by devices, applications, tools and protocols, such as IP addresses, cookie identifiers or others.
- Alert sharing platforms process personal data
  - when and for what purpose can we use them?
- GDPR provides six legal grounds for personal data processing:
  - consent of the data subject,
  - performance of contract with data subject,
  - legal obligation of the controller,
  - protection of vital interest of the data subject,
  - public interest,
  - **legitimate interest of the controller.**



# Legal Framework

## Legal obligation

- NIS directive (No. (EU) 2016/1148) is partially applicable,
- operators of essential services are required to notify authorities of any significant security incident.

## Legitimate Interest

- The most fitting legal ground, but also the most complicated.
- Common misunderstanding by security professionals – security operations does not give instant right to process personal data.
- Legitimate interest must be balanced against the rights of the data subject – **proportionality test**.



# Legal Framework

## Proportionality Test

- There must be a legitimate aim for a measure.
- The measure must be suitable to achieve the aim (potentially with a requirement of evidence to show it will have that effect).
- The measure must be necessary to achieve the aim, that there cannot be any less onerous way of doing it.
- The measure must be reasonable, considering the competing interests of different groups at hand.





# Legal Framework

## Proportionality Test

- Statements of Article 29 working party and the GDPR:  
*cyber security is a field, in which it is likely that the personal data will be processed due to legitimate interest.*
- NIS directive:  
*"[Providers] . . . should be encouraged to pursue their own information cooperation mechanisms to ensure the security of network and information systems.*
- Additional notes:
  - sharing the data benefits all users of affected systems,
  - in case of IoCs, very little data is actually shared,
  - it is complicated to connect the data to the data subject.



# Legal Framework

## Other Issues to Consider

- Different position of involved entities – different legal obligations for operators, senders, and receivers.
- Data analysis may fall within the definition of profiling, e.g., using IP address reputation database to blacklist network traffic violates Art. 22 of the GDPR.
- Transfer of private data outside the EU is forbidden unless adequate level of data protection is provided.



# How to assure legal compliance

## Privacy by Design and Default

- Art. 25 of the GDPR:
- *“The controller shall [...] implement appropriate technical and organisational measures, such as pseudonymisation, which are designed to implement data-protection principles, such as data minimisation, in an effective manner and to integrate the necessary safeguards into the processing.”*
- *“The controller shall implement appropriate technical and organisational measures for ensuring that, by default, only personal data which are necessary for each specific purpose of the processing are processed.”*



# How to assure legal compliance

## Technical Measures

- Security of storage and data transfer.
- Discard the data after they are used.
- Adaptation of Traffic Light Protocol (TLP)
  - Information Exchange Policy (EIP).
- The law does not provide any complete list of possible measures, but refers useful tools and state of the art.



# How to assure legal compliance

## Organisational Measures

- Data minimisation – share and receive only relevant data,
- Limitation of storage period,
- Data protection and impact assessment
  - risk analysis is directly recommended by GDPR,
- Regular checks of safeguards.

## Legal Measures

- Platform – common rules of usage, service-level agreement.
- Individual nodes – internal directive, non-disclosure agreement.



# Conclusion

## Data protection in alert sharing platforms

- Information exchange interferes with privacy,
- legal issues are not taken into account while designing cyber security alert sharing platforms.

## Legal compliance of alert sharing platforms

- Compliance with the EU law and GDPR,
- technical, organisational, and legal measures proposed,
- open question – how to share the data with non-EU partners?



# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

 [csirt.muni.cz](https://csirt.muni.cz)

 [@csirtmu](https://twitter.com/csirtmu)

Martin Husák

[husakm@ics.muni.cz](mailto:husakm@ics.muni.cz)



CSIRT-MU