Routledge Research in Human Rights Law

Human Rights, Digital Society and the Law A Research Companion Edited by Mart Susi

Criminal Theory and International Human Rights Law Steven Malby

Women's Health and the Limits of Law Domestic and International Perspectives Edited by Irebobbude O. Ivioha

Women and International Human Rights Law Universal Periodic Review in Practice Gayatri H Patel

International Law and Violence Against Women Europe and the Istanbul Convention Edited by Johanna Niemi, Lourdes Peroni, and Vladislava Stoyanova

The Human Rights Council
The Impact of the Universal Periodic Review in Africa
Damian Etone

Domestic Judicial Treatment of European Court of Human Rights Case Law Beyond Compliance David Kosař, Jan Petrov, Katarina Šipulová, Hubert Smekal, Ladislav Vybnánek, and Jozef Janovský

For more information about this series, please visit: www.routledge.com/Routledge-Research-in-Human-Rights-Law/book-series/HUMRIGHTSLAW

Domestic Judicial Treatment of European Court of Human Rights Case Law Beyond Compliance

David Kosař, Jan Petrov, Katarína Šipulová, Hubert Smekal, Ladislav Vyhnánek, and Jozef Janovský

Routledge
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

First published 2020 by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge 52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2020 David Kosař, Jan Petrov, Katarína Šipulová, Hubert Smekal, Ladislav Vyhnánek, and Jozef Janovský

The right of David Kosař, Jan Petrov, Katarína Šipulová, Hubert Smekal, Ladislav Vyhnánek, and Jozef Janovský to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data A catalog record has been requested for this book

ISBN: 978-0-367-36116-7 (hbk) ISBN: 978-0-429-34393-3 (ebk)

Typeset in Galliard by Swales & Willis, Exeter, Devon, UK

The research leading to this book has received funding from the Czech Science Foundation under Grant Agreement No. 16-09415S, Panel P408 ("Beyond Compliance - Domestic Implementation of International Human Rights Case Law").





Printed and bound in Great Britain by TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

Contents

	List of illustrations			
	Preface			
	Acknowledgements			
	About the authors List of abbreviations			
	Lis	t of judicial cases	xviii	
In	troc	duction	1	
	1	The problem: Why is it important to understand how		
		domestic courts treat the Strasbourg case law? 1		
	2	The approach: How to study judicial treatment		
of Strasbourg case law 3				
	3	Why Czechia? Case study on a country that mixes		
		transitioning and non-transitioning domestic courts 5		
		The main argument 8		
		Roadmap II		
A	RT I			
m	ple	mentation of the Strasbourg rulings: Theoretical		
ra	me	work	17	
1	Arc	chitecture of the Strasbourg system of human rights	19	
	1.1	The domestic effects of the Strasbourg case law 20		
		1.1.1 Inter partes binding force of the ECtHR's judgments 21		
		1.1.2 Res interpretata effect 22		
	1.2	Domestic-level matters: "Diffusing" and "filtering"		
		role of the domestic authorities 23		
	1.3	Implementation processes of the Strasbourg case law:		
		Particular actors within the domestic arena matter 26		

i	Contents		
2	The role of domestic courts in the Strasbourg system 2.1 Domestic judiciaries: The driving force of implementation? 36 2.2 Apex courts and the Strasbourg Court: A far more complicated relationship than it seems 38 2.3 Conclusion 45	36	
2 National Call Control			
J	Navigating the field of judicial compliance, effectiveness, implementation, and judicial treatment of international law 3.1 Mapping interactions between international law and domestic practice 57 3.1.1 Concept of compliance and its alternatives 58 3.1.2 Findings of compliance research 59	56	
	3.2 Judicialization and specifics of judicial interactions 60 3.3 Going beyond compliance: A three-level approach to		
	judicial treatment 65		
	3.3.1 Judicial treatment of ECtHR case law 65		
	3.3.2 Judicial treatment, compliance, effectiveness, and		
	implementation 68 3.3.3 Promises of judicial treatment 71		
	3.3.3 Fromises of Judicial treatment /1		
Al	RTII		
10	licial treatment of Strasbourg case law: A case study on		
Z	echia	81	
4	Research design: How to study judicial implementation:		
	A prologue to the case study on Czechia	83	
	4.1 Research scope: A three-level approach to the study of		
	judicial treatment of the ECtHR's case law 85 4.2 Data collection and coding 87		
	4.3 In search of the data 90		
	4.3.1 Macro-level analysis: Gotta catch them all 91		
	4.3.2 Meso-level analysis: Gotta catch the		
	representative sample 94		
	4.3.3 Micro-level analysis: Gotta catch the important ones 95 4.4 Research challenges and potential inaccuracies 97		
5	The Supreme Court: The story of a (post)communist Cinderella 5.1 Looking from above: The macro-level analysis 104 5.1.1 The overview of general trends 104 5.1.2 Going beyond compliance 110	103	

		ship to the Convention 113	
	514	Instead of a conclusion: Building new hypotheses 117	
. 2	Mesa-1	evel analysis: Learning to understand the Supreme Court	118
.2	meso to micro level: From compliance to judicial war on		
interpretation 125			
		Article 5: Courts in a war over how direct the application	n
	3.3.1	should be 126	
	522	Article 4 of the Protocol No. 7: Playing hide-and-seek v	vith
	3.3.2	the ECtHR 129	, , , , ,
= 1	Concl	usion 131	
).4	Conti	usion 151	
Γhe	Suprei	me Administrative Court: A new kid on the block	139
		o-level analysis 140	
		level analysis 145	
		-level analysis 150	
		"Article 6 mindset": Extending procedural rights	
		in administrative law 151	
	6.3.2	ECtHR case law as a guideline: Article 11 ECHR 156	
6.4	Concl	usions 159	
		Constitutional Court	169
7.1	A heli	copter view: General trends concerning the ECtHR case la	w
		nces 170	
7.2 A closer look at the nature of the CC's engagement with ECtHR ca			case
	law 1		
		The CC as a loyal ally? 182	
	7.2.2	Substantive impact of the ECtHR case law 183	
7.3		numbers to case law: Case studies of the impact of ECtHR	
		aw on the CC's jurisprudence 187	
		Freedom of expression cases 187	
	7.3.2	Positive obligations under Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	
		(effective investigation) 190	
7.4	Concl	lusion 191	
Ind	icial tre	eatment patterns: More complicated than they seem	197
		o-level analysis 198	
0.1		How often do apex courts refer? 199	
		Drivers of citation patterns 203	
	0.1.2	Dilitio of chillion paccond add	

5.1.3 References as an indicator of the Supreme Court's relation-

viii Contents

	8.1.3 In which rulings and parts of rulings do apex courts refer? 206	
	8.1.4 To which ECtHR rulings do apex courts refer?	207
8.2	Meso- and micro-level analysis 210	
	8.2.1 Meso-level analysis 212	
	8.2.2 Micro-level analysis 215	
8.3	Synthesizing conclusion 216	
	Beyond conclusion: D.H. and Others v. the Czech	
	Republic - Lonely troublemaker 219	
ART II	II	

PA Br

274

L	outi	i repe	1000010115	255
9	Beyond judicial compliance: Domestic courts in the ECHR regime			235
	9.1 The bright side 237			
		9.1.1	The Strasbourg Court makes a difference 238	
		9.1.2		
			constitutionalism 242	
	9.2 The dark side 245		ark side 245	
		9.2.1	Backlash, pushback, and withdrawal 245	
		9.2.2	The judicial abuse of the Strasbourg case law 249	
	9.3 Conclusion: The future is in the eye of the beholder		usion: The future is in the eye of the beholder 251	
	4			
	Appendix			258
	Ind	ex		274

Illustrations

Figures

1.1	Domestic authorities as "diffusers" in the Convention system	24
1.2	Domestic authorities as "filters" in the Convention system	25
3.1	Judicial compliance, effectiveness, implementation, and treatment	69
4.1	Visualization of filtering/reduction of the unit of analysis	89
4.2	Process of automated recognition of references	92
4.3	Clipping from the stratification of samples	96
5.1	The use of the ECtHR's references over time (any placement)	105
5.2	The use of the ECtHR's references over time compared to all case	
	law of the SC	106
5.3	The use of ECtHR references over time: Narrative part v.	
	reasoning	108
5.4	References in reasonings of SC rulings according to how the SC	
	categorizes the importance of rulings	109
5.5	References to the Convention v. references to ECtHR case law	110
5.6	References to cases against Czechia v. other states	111
5.7	References to case law where the ECtHR found or did not find a	
	violation	114
5.8	Articles of the Convention related to SC references	114
5.9	Convention articles related to references - development over time	116
5.10	Areas of SC decision-making with most frequent references to the	
	ECtHR	117
5.11	ECtHR cases most frequently referred to in a reasoning	117
5.12	SC following the reasoning of the ECtHR's rulings	119
5.13	Influence of the ECtHR reference on the result of the case	121
5.14	Legal techniques employed when using ECtHR case law	
	substantively	123
6.1	Use of ECtHR references over time by the SAC	140
6.2	Use of ECtHR references over time compared to all case law of the	
	SAC	141
62	References to the ECtHR in different parts of domestic rulings	141

x Illustrations References to the ECtHR's rulings against Czechia and other states 142 Reference to the ECtHR's case law declaring violation v. no violation of the ECHR 143 Articles of the Convention related to the SAC's references 144 Most frequently cited ECtHR rulings in the SAC's reasonings 145 Substantive and supporting influence according to ECHR 148 7.1 Development in the use of references to ECtHR case law (any placement) 171 7.2 Development in the proportion of rulings with references to ECtHR case law 172 Development in the placement of references to ECtHR case law 172 Development in the number of rulings containing a reference to ECtHR according to the type of proceedings 176 Use of references (in reasonings) by individual justices according to the number of issued rulings 177 Development in the number of references to ECtHR rulings against Czechia v. other CoE states 178 Number of references to ECtHR rulings that found a (non-) 179 7.8 Development in the number of CC rulings referring to ECtHR rulings according to the Convention articles used 180 ECtHR rulings most frequently referred to in the reasoning 181 Substantive effect by year 185 Technique of application 185 Ratio of substantive and supporting impact according to the ECHR article 186 Number of apex courts' rulings referring to ECtHR case law 200 How often do apex courts refer to ECtHR case law? 202 References to ECtHR rulings against Czechia v. against third states 209 Substantive v. supporting references 212 Substantive v. supporting references: development over time 213 Tables Three-level approach to judicial treatment: How do domestic courts treat Strasbourg Court case law? 88

Constituents of a reference

Petitioners in the CC's proceedings

Populations for our macro- and meso-level analyses

Meso-level reference coding - clipping from the codebook

The bright side v. the dark side of the use of ECtHR case law

4.2

Preface

This book marks the ending of a three-year project which started out as a seemingly easy puzzle. We asked what is hidden in domestic courts' decision-making practice and to what extent the lengthy discussion over interpretation of various European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) rulings matches domestic judges' views of the issue. Very soon, we realized that the question hides many twists and nuances and calls for a systematic analysis of national case law. We discovered that the underlying issue, how domestic courts use Strasbourg case law, has occupied the minds of scholars both in Europe and worldwide for a while.

We also soon found out that we needed an insider's knowledge of the functioning of each apex court we wanted to cover as well as in-depth specialists in quantitative methods for the automated coding in the macro level of our inquiry. Such knowledge is impossible to accumulate in one or two people. That is why we decided to write a monograph with six co-authors, which is still rare in the field of law. In our endeavor, we divided our tasks as follows (in alphabetical order):

Jozef Janovský – Chapter 4 (together with Katarína Šipulová and Hubert Smekal)

David Kosař - Chapters 1, 2, and 9 (together with Jan Petrov).

Jan Petrov - Chapter 6, and Chapters 1, 2, and 9 with David Kosař.

Hubert Smekal - Chapters 3 and 8, and Chapter 4 with Katarína Šipulová and Jozef Janovský.

Katarína Šipulová – Introduction and Chapter 5, and Chapter 4 with Jozef Janovský and Hubert Smekal.

Ladislav Vyhnánek - Chapter 7.

92

95

176

236

Nevertheless, although each substantive chapter has a different author (or authors), the chapters individually and collectively pursue the book's central argument. They are intended to stand together, not like the chapters of an edited collection united by a set of related themes, but like the chapters of a single-authored monograph. For this reason, while each chapter listed above specifies an author, the book as a whole is jointly authored.

Acknowledgements

The research leading to this book benefited greatly from external feedback and presentations of our endeavor at various venues. First of all, conferences at the European Consortium for Political Research and the Association of Human Rights Institutes, and various workshops and research stays at fellow research centers at iCourts in Denmark and PluriCourts in Norway provided us with great methodological advice and tools. Parts of the book and various methodological issues were presented at several workshops and conferences. Particularly useful for bringing this inquiry to its current state of completion have been events held in (listed alphabetically) Brno (especially a workshop co-organized with Alice Donald and Anne-Katrin Speck at the MUNI Law Faculty), Copenhagen (Marlene Wind, Mikael Rask Madsen), Oslo (Andreas Føllesdal and Geir Ulfstein), and Prague (CEELI Institute). We also learned a lot from the participants of our "Beyond Compliance" conference, which included, among others, Jiří Malenovský, the judge at the Court of Justice of the European Union, and both Czech and Slovak Government Agents for the European Court of Human Rights, Vít A. Schorm and Marica Pirošíková.

Chapter 1 and Chapter 2 elaborate on an article previously published as David Kosař and Jan Petrov, 2017, "The Architecture of the Strasbourg System of Human Rights: The Crucial Role of the Domestic Level and the Constitutional Courts in Particular", Heidelberg Journal of International Law 77: 585–621. Chapter 4 draws on a shorter methodology article published as Katarfina Šipulová, Hubert Smekal, and Jozef Janovský, 2018, "Searching for a reference: Using automated text analysis to study judicial compliance", Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology 12 (2): 131–60. The review process in both above-mentioned journals and the critical evaluations we received helped us clarify our arguments and methods and motivated us to continue developing our research.

We are also extremely grateful to the highest Czech judicial institutions (the Constitutional Court, the Supreme Court, and the Supreme Administrative Court) and their staffs. Four of the authors (have) closely cooperated with these courts in past, which enabled us to study from inside the practical application of ECtHR case law in their everyday activities. Particular acknowledgements are due to (listed alphabetically) Martina Baráková, Jan Drábek, Martina Grochová,

Radim Jílek, Alžbeta Králová, Lukáš Lehotský, Alžbeta Nemeškalová Rosinová, and Tereza Papoušková for their invaluable help in coding the collected case

Lukáš Hamřík made a tremendous effort in editing the book and Marni Kristin was incredibly cooperative when proofreading our texts under severe time constraints.

Finally, we would like to express our gratitude to the Czech Science Foundation, whose research grant "Beyond Compliance – Domestic Implementation of International Human Rights Case Law" made publication of this book possible.