# ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

# The explanation of educational disparities in adiposity by lifestyle, socioeconomic and mental health mediators: a multiple mediation model

Anna Bartoskova Polcrova 1018, Albert J. Ksinan 101, Juan P. González-Rivas<sup>2,3</sup>, Martin Bobak<sup>1,4</sup> and Hynek Pikhart<sup>1,4</sup>

© The Author(s) 2024

**BACKGROUND:** The inverse association between education and obesity was previously found in numerous studies. This study aims to assess several possible mediators in the educational disparities in adiposity. We hypothesize the potential mediating role of lifestyle, socioeconomic, and mental health factors in the association between education and adiposity. **METHODS:** Cross-sectional population-based sample from Czechia included 2,154 25-64 years old subjects (54.6% women). Education was classified as high, middle, and low. Adiposity was assessed as a latent variable based on body fat percentage, BMI, waist circumference, and visceral fat. The mediation potential of unhealthy dietary behavior, alcohol intake, smoking, sedentary behaviors, income, stress, depression, and quality of life was assessed in age-adjusted sex-specific multiple mediation models.

**RESULTS:** The negative direct effect of education on adiposity was statistically significant at 5% level of significance in both sexes. For men, the indirect effect was statistically significant via sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.041$ ; 95% CI [0.025–0.062]) with a mediation ratio of 23.7%. In women, the indirect effect was statistically significant via dietary risk ( $\beta = -0.023$ , 95% CI [-0.037, -0.013]), alcohol intake ( $\beta = -0.006$ ; 95% CI [-0.014, -0.001]), sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.012$ , 95% CI [0.004, 0.023]), income ( $\beta = -0.022$ ; 95% CI [-0.041, -0.004]), and mental health ( $\beta = -0.007$ ; 95% CI [-0.019, -0.001]). The total mediation ratio in women was 30.5%. **CONCLUSIONS:** Sedentary behaviors had mediating role in the association between education and adiposity in both sexes, with more important role in men. In addition, unhealthy diet and lower income partially mediated the educational gradient in adiposity in women.

European Journal of Clinical Nutrition; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-024-01403-1

#### INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases persist as the leading cause of death in Czechia despite the reduction of cardiovascular mortality in the last 30 vears [1]. On the contrary, the prevalence of cardiometabolic drivers such as obesity, prediabetes, and diabetes has neither increased nor decreased in the past [1]. Poor cardiometabolic health is associated with a lower level of education [2-4], which is also considered to be the strongest determinant of cardiovascular mortality in the Czech population [5]. Previous study [2] including 7081 Czech participants aged 45-69 years, described the strong association between a low level of education and obesity in both sexes. The negative association between socioeconomic position and prevalence of obesity was found in several high-income countries [6]. Education level per se is not likely to be directly related to the risk of obesity. For this reason, it is necessary to focus on factors on potential causal pathway linking educational disadvantage with increased risk of obesity. Lower educational levels have been shown to be associated with poor lifestyle [7, 8], socioeconomic disadvantage [9], and mental health problems [10], which were in turn all shown to be risk factors for increased adiposity [8, 11-13]. Moreover, there are previously described sex differences that must be considered. Women have a physiologically higher percentage of body fat than men and tend to have lower education and income. On the contrary, men are more likely to be obese than women [14]. Women and men also differ in their diets, cravings, and adherence to dietary recommendations [15]. Based on previous evidence, we aim to investigate the educational disparities in adiposity and the role of potential mediators in these educational disparities in large community sample of middle-aged men and women from Brno region in the Czech Republic. Furthermore, we want to investigate whether the role of identified mediators may differ between men and women. We hypothesize the potential mediating role of lifestyle factors, socioeconomic characteristics, and mental health factors in the association between education and increased adiposity. We further hypothesize that women may have wider variety of mediators influencing the association between education and adiposity when compared to men.

## METHODS

#### Design and population

Data from the Kardiovize study [16] were used. The Kardiovize study is an epidemiological study including a random sample of adult residents of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>RECETOX, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlarska 2, Brno, Czech Republic. <sup>2</sup>International Clinical Research Centre (ICRC), St Anne's University Hospital Brno (FNUSA), Brno, Czech Republic. <sup>3</sup>Department of Global Health and Population. Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Research Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK. <sup>See</sup>email: anna.bartoskova@recetox.muni.cz

city of Brno, the second-largest city in Czechia, with 373,327 residents. Survey sampling was done in January 2013 with technical assistance from the health insurance companies. A random age and sex-stratified sample of 2154 men and women has been enrolled in the study. No information on non-respondents was available due to confidentiality restrictions.

#### Data collection

In-person health interviews were performed by trained nurses and physicians at the International Clinical Research Center of the St Anne's University Hospital in Brno. The questionnaire included demographics, socioeconomic characteristics, cardiovascular risk behaviors, smoking status, medical history, and mental health [16]. The anthropometric assessment included height and weight measurements using a medical digital scale with a meterstick (SECA 799<sup>®</sup>; SECA, GmbH and Co. KG, Germany) and manual tape measurement of the waist circumference. Weight and body composition analyses were performed using a scale with bioelectrical impedance analysis capabilities (InBody 370; BIOSPACE Co., Ltd., Korea).

#### Measures

#### Predictor and outcome

Education: Educational attainment was classified into three groups: "high", including subjects with higher professional or university education; "middle", defined as high school education; and "low", defined as elementary or vocational education without a final graduation exam.

Adiposity: The latent variable adiposity was constructed based on four main available adiposity biomarkers - body fat percentage, body mass index, waist circumference, and visceral fat. All adiposity biomarkers were assessed as continuous variables. The adequacy of the unidimensional latent factor was confirmed by CFA analysis.

#### Potential mediators

Dietary risk: Dietary risk patterns were assessed using a dietary risk score derived from the 43-item Food Frequency Questionnaire. Participants were asked to indicate the frequency of consumption of specific food groups in the past week on a scale including 10 options from "almost never" to "six or more times a day". In total, six specific risky dietary patterns were identified based on the Global Burden of Disease [17] methodology (Table 1), and their presence was assessed. Then, risky dietary patterns were summed, and the total dietary risk score ranged from 0 to 6 points.

Alcohol intake: Alcohol intake was evaluated as the total amount of ethanol in grams consumed during the week before data collection. The data were obtained from a 7-day alcohol consumption recall, where participant reported alcohol beverages consumed in 7 days before the visit [18].

Smoking: Smoking status was assessed by series of questions in the questionnaire, and categorized as current smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers. We defined current smoker as smoking either daily or less than daily,

ex-smoker as having stopped smoking at least a year prior the interview, and non-smoker as having smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes in a lifetime.

Sedentary behaviors: Sedentary behaviors were assessed using an item asking participants to report their total sedentary time in minutes per week, obtained from the long version of the International Questionnaire of Physical Activity [19].

Income: The equivalized household income was calculated as a ratio of total household income and equivalent size. Household income was collected using categories defined by income ranges, and appropriate mid-value was then used in this calculation. The equivalent size is calculated by attributing a weight to all members of household in following way:1.0 for the first person and 0.5 for each subsequent person in the household. The equivalent size is the sum of the weights of all the members of a given household [20].

Stress: The stress was assessed using The Cohen Perceived Stress Questionnaire [21] (PSS). Participants rated 10 questions about the previous 4 weeks (e.g., "How often have you felt that you are unable to control important things in your life?") on a five-point Likert-type scale ranging from never (0) to very often [4]. Thus, the overall PSS score ranged between 0 (low stress) and 40 (high stress).

Depression: Depressive symptoms were measured by the Patient Health Questionnaire [22] (PHQ-9). Participants rated 9 items (e.g., "I feel down, depressed, hopeless.") on a four-point Likert-type scale ranging from never (0) to most of the time [3]. PHQ-9 thus ranged between 0 (no depressive symptoms) to 27 (high score of depressive symptoms).

Quality of life: Self-assessed quality of life was evaluated based on the question "In general, how would you rate your quality of life as a whole?" Participants answered on a scale ranging from 0 (very bad) to 100 (excellent).

#### Data analysis

Data analyses were performed using STATA [23] software (version 16.0, StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) and MPlus 8.6 [24]. All analyses were performed separately for both sexes to capture sex-specific relationships between variables. Continuous variables were described using means, and categorical variables were described using frequencies. Differences in levels of adiposity biomarkers and potential mediators by education levels were assessed by One-way ANOVA or Chi-Square test. Bivariate correlations between mediators were estimated to evaluate potential collinearity (Supplementary Table 1). As the mental health mediators were strongly intercorrelated, the latent variable of mental health was constructed. The outcome latent variable of adiposity was constructed using continuous variables of body fat percentage, body mass index, waist circumference, and visceral fat as indices (Supplementary Fig. 1). Then, a simple mediation analysis was performed, where each potential mediator was tested separately in a model with education as a predictor and adiposity as the outcome. Total, direct, and indirect effects were calculated. Next, a multiple

isky score items.	
Mean daily consumption of fruits (fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried fruits, excluding fruit juices and salted or pickled fruits)	Less than 250 g per day
Mean daily consumption of vegetables (fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried vegetables, excluding legumes and salted or pickled vegetables, juices, nuts, seeds, and starchy vegetables such as potatoes or corn)	Less than 360 g per day
Mean daily consumption of red meat (beef, pork, lamb, and goat, but excluding poultry, fish, eggs, and all processed meats)	More than 23 g per day
Mean daily consumption of meat preserved by smoking, curing, salting, or addition of chemical preservatives.	More than 2 g per day
Mean daily consumption of nut and seed foods.	Less than 21 g per day
Mean daily consumption of legumes (fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried legumes)	Less than 60 g per day
	<ul> <li>isky score items.</li> <li>Mean daily consumption of fruits (fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried fruits, excluding fruit juices and salted or pickled fruits)</li> <li>Mean daily consumption of vegetables (fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried vegetables, excluding legumes and salted or pickled vegetables, juices, nuts, seeds, and starchy vegetables such as potatoes or corn)</li> <li>Mean daily consumption of red meat (beef, pork, lamb, and goat, but excluding poultry, fish, eggs, and all processed meats)</li> <li>Mean daily consumption of meat preserved by smoking, curing, salting, or addition ofchemical preservatives.</li> <li>Mean daily consumption of nut and seed foods.</li> <li>Mean daily consumption of legumes (fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried legumes)</li> </ul>

2

Table 2. Subjects characteristics and potential mediators in categories of education by sex.

	Men					Women				
	Total	High	Middle	Low	р	Total	High	Middle	Low	р
n (%)	977 (45.36)	440 (45.04)	333 (34.08)	204 (20.88)		1177 (54.64)	456 (38.74)	498 (42.31)	223 (18.95)	
Age (years)	46.74	45.18	46.27	50.80	<0.001	47.77	44.23	49.10	51.95	<0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.86	25.96	27.11	28.39	<0.001	25.51	23.97	26.10	27.39	<0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	96.75	94.05	97.44	101.36	<0.001	84.53	80.58	85.86	89.68	<0.001
Body fat (%)	21.61	20.09	21.81	24.60	<0.001	30.75	27.96	31.54	34.66	<0.001
VFA (cm <sup>2</sup> )	86.44	78.37	87.91	101.61	<0.001	92.01	81.54	96.16	104.24	<0.001
Potential mediators										
Nutrition										
Diet low in fruit (%)	78.12	75.45	76.58	86.27	0.006	67.23	68.20	65.26	70.85	0.304
Diet low in vegetables (%)	95.30	95.00	95.50	95.59	0.926	90.09	87.72	90.36	95.52	0.005
Diet low in legumes (%)	99.49	99.32	99.70	99.51	0.762	99.32	99.78	99.80	98.65	0.064
Diet low in nuts and seeds (%)	53.78	48.18	52.85	67.16	<0.001	43.78	38.16	41.57	60.54	<0.001
Diet high in processed meat (%)	93.15	93.86	91.29	94.61	0.243	88.48	86.40	89.56	91.48	0.106
Diet high in red meat (%)	76.58	76.14	75.38	79.41	0.541	56.73	55.26	56.02	61.88	0.233
Dietary risk score	4.96	4.88	4.91	5.23	<0.001	4.46	4.36	4.43	4.79	<0.001
Smoking										
Smokers (%)	25.28	22.73	34.83	36.27	<0.001	21.94	20.44	22.29	24.22	<0.001
Ex-smokers (%)	29.68	60.91	38.14	22.06		21.94	65.49	52.61	44.84	
Non-smokers (%)	45.04	16.36	27.03	41.67		56.12	14.07	25.10	30.94	
Alcohol consumption (g) <sup>a</sup>	115.83	116.90	112.33	118.79	0.799	46.72	53.66	47.82	30.87	<0.001
Sedentary behaviors (min) <sup>b</sup>	3026.40	3396.81	2793.21	2622.94	<0.001	2772.17	2851.01	2827.02	2538.20	0.002
Equalized household income (CZK)	22918.56	26717.39	21565.92	17039.42	<0.001	19014.73	22764.13	18129.19	13369.45	<0.001
Stress score	11.38	11.55	11.03	11.59	0.398	12.83	12.76	12.75	13.14	0.700
Depression score	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.24	0.041	3 66	2 5 5	2 / 1	1 12	0.001
	2.90	2.99	2.59	5.24	0.041	5.00	5.55	5.41	4.45	0.001

Differences using One-Way ANOVA or Chi-Square test. Estimates statistically significant at p < 0.05 in bold.

<sup>a</sup>Grams of ethanol consumed in the last 7 days.

<sup>b</sup>Reported in total sitting time in minutes per week.

mediation model including all potential mediators in one set was developed. In both sexes, the indirect paths of the association between education and adiposity via potential mediators were modeled. The direct and indirect effects were computed for the overall model as well as for mediating pathways separately. When computing the estimates and significance of the indirect effects, a bootstrapping procedure with 5000 resamples was performed.

#### RESULTS

### Subjects' characteristics and adiposity outcome selection

In total, 2,154 (54.6% women) subjects were included, with a mean age of 46.7 years in men and 47.8 years in women. In men, the most prevalent level of education was high (45.0%); in women, it was middle (42.3%). Men had a higher BMI and waist circumference than women, while women had a higher body fat percentage and visceral fat area than men (p < 0.001). In both sexes, the mean values of adiposity biomarkers were significantly higher at lower educational levels (Table 2).

#### The association between education and potential mediators

In both sexes, a lower level of education was associated with a higher prevalence of a diet low in nuts and seeds. In men, a lower level of education was also associated with a higher prevalence of a diet low in fruit, while in women, a lower level of education was associated with a higher prevalence of a diet low in vegetables (Table 2). In both sexes, a lower level of education was associated with a higher total dietary risk score, higher tobacco use, and lower sedentary behavior (p < 0.001). The alcohol intake was higher in those with a high level of education in women (p < 0.001), while there were no differences in alcohol intake across educational levels in men (Table 2). Lower education was also associated with lower income in both sexes (p < 0.001). The prevalence of depressive symptoms was higher in subjects with lower education of both sexes, but there were no differences in the prevalence of stress across educational levels. In both sexes, subjects with a higher level of education also reported a higher quality of life (p < 0.001; Table 2).

# A simple mediation of the association between education and adiposity

The direct effect of education on adiposity was significant after adjustment for age in both sexes (p < 0.001). In men, there was a statistically significant indirect effect via sedentary behavior in men ( $\beta = 0.042$ , 95% CI [0.026–0.062]), and it mediated 24.3% of the total effect. In women, the indirect effect significantly operated via dietary risk ( $\beta = -0.026$ , 95% CI [-0.040 to -0.015]), alcohol consumption ( $\beta = -0.005$ , 95% CI [-0.012 to -0.001]), sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.012$ , 95% CI [0.005-0.023]), and mental health ( $\beta = -0.011$ , 95% CI [-0.025 to -0.003]) (Table 3).

3

	א כוכלוחווח וול								
	Total effec	t	Path a <sup>a</sup>		Path b <sup>b</sup>		Indirect ef	ffect	Mediation ratio (%)
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	
Men	-0.173	-0.237 to -0.112							
Dietary risk			-0.143	-0.201 to -0.084	0.040	-0.022 to 0.110	-0.006	-0.018 to 0.003	3.5
Alcohol consumption			-0.012	-0.076 to 0.052	0.012	-0.050 to 0.078	0.000	-0.004 to 0.001	0.0
Smoking			0.078	0.011 to 0.145	0.054	-0.008 to 0.116	0.004	0.000 to 0.013	2.3
Sedentary behavior			0.243	0.183 to 0.304	0.172	0.109 to 0.235	0.042	0.026 to 0.062	24.3
Equalized income			0.299	0.244 to 0.348	0.010	-0.054 to 0.068	0.003	-0.016 to 0.020	1.7
Mental health			-0.069	-0.145 to 0.010	0.051	-0.026 to 0.134	-0.003	-0.016 to 0.001	1.7
Women	-0.143	-0.196 to -0.090							
Dietary risk			-0.157	-0.213 to -0.098	0.164	0.113 to 0.214	-0.026	-0.040 to -0.015	18.2
Alcohol consumption			0.104	0.043 to 0.162	-0.051	-0.097 to -0.003	-0.005	-0.012 to -0.001	3.5
Smoking			0.080	0.022 to 0.141	0.020	-0.035 to 0.073	0.002	-0.002 to 0.008	1.4
Sedentary behavior			0.092	0.033 to 0.151	0.135	0.079 to 0.185	0.012	0.005 to 0.023	8.4
Equalized income			0.335	0.283 to 0.383	-0.060	-0.113 to -0.001	-0.020	-0.038 to 0.000	14.0
Mental health			-0.122	-0.192 to -0.050	0.091	0.030 to 0.153	-0.011	-0.025 to -0.003	7.7
Mediation analysis perforn <sup>a</sup> Path a represents the ass <sup>b</sup> Path b represents the ass	ied with each sciation betw sciation betw	h mediator separately. Res een education as a predic /een mediators as predicto	ults adjusted tor and media ors and adipos	for age. Estimates statistiator ator as the outcome. sitv as the outcome.	cally significa	nt at <i>p</i> < 0.05 in bold.			

#### Multiple mediation model

Multiple mediation models are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Observed indirect effects are summarized in Table 4. For both sexes, an adequate model fit was achieved with  $\chi^2$ [62] = 319.5, p < 0.001, CFI = 0.959, RMSEA = 0.065, 90% CI RMSEA [0.058, 0.072] in men and  $\chi^2$ [62] = 395.1, p < 0.001, CFI = 0.959, RMSEA = 0.067, 90% CI RMSEA [0.061, 0.074] in women.

In men, a higher level of education significantly predicted decreased dietary risk ( $\beta = -0.14$ ; p < 0.001), while it predicted increased smoking behaviors ( $\beta = 0.08$ ; p < 0.05), sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.24$ ; p < 0.001), and income ( $\beta = 0.30$ ; p < 0.001; Fig. 1). In women, a higher level of education significantly predicted decreased dietary risk ( $\beta = -0.16$ ; p < 0.001), and smoking ( $\beta = -0.17$ ; p < 0.001), while it was associated with higher alcohol intake ( $\beta = 0.10$ ; p < 0.05), sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.08$ ; p < 0.05), income ( $\beta = 0.34$ ; p < 0.05), and mental health ( $\beta = 0.10$ ; p < 0.05; Fig. 2).

The direct effect of education on adiposity was found in both sexes,  $\beta=-0.21, 95\%$  CI [-0.277, -0.149] in men, and  $\beta=-0.10, 95\%$  CI [0.158, -0.044] in women. The total indirect effect was also statistically significant for both sexes but showed varying directions:  $\beta=0.038, 95\%$  CI [0.010, 0.068] in men, and  $\beta=-0.044, 95\%$  CI [-0.070, -0.019] in women (Table 4).

In men, the effect of education on adiposity was significantly mediated by sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.041$ ; 95% CI [0.025–0.062]), with a mediation ratio of 23.7%. Other mediators did not show statistically significant indirect effects (Table 4). In women, the effect was mediated by dietary risk ( $\beta = -0.023$ , 95% CI [-0.037, -0.013]), alcohol intake ( $\beta = -0.006$ ; 95% CI [-0.014, -0.001]), sedentary behavior ( $\beta = 0.012$ , 95% CI [-0.041, -0.001]), income ( $\beta = -0.022$ ; 95% CI [-0.041, -0.004]), and mental health ( $\beta = -0.007$ ; 95% CI [-0.019, -0.001]). The total mediation ratio was 30.5%.

#### DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate mediators of educational disparities in adiposity in the Czech middle-aged population. The mediating pathways were assessed separately for men and women, which allowed for evaluating possible sex differences. Men presented worse quality of lifestyle but reported higher income and lower burden of mental health difficulties, as compared to women. In both sexes, education was associated with almost all potential mediators except for alcohol consumption and mental health in men; however, not all these mediators were significantly associated with adiposity; thus, the observed indirect effects considerably differed between sexes.

In women, increased adiposity in those with lower educational levels seems to be the consequence of a mixture of inappropriate diet and suffering from economic disadvantage. Among the identified mediators, dietary risk and income had the largest mediation ratio of 16% and 15%, respectively. On the contrary, in men, none of the assessed mediators could partially explain the reversed gradient between education and adiposity. Only pathway via sedentary behavior had a significant indirect effect but showed the association in the opposite direction than for the direct effect. In other words, sedentary behavior in men did not partially explain why men with lower education presented increased adiposity despite the considerable strength of the mediation ratio (24%). The opposite mediation effect of sedentary behavior was also observed in women, but with a lower magnitude (8%).

The opposite indirect effect found in sedentary behaviors suggests that in both sexes, the protective potential of higher education in adiposity risk is decreased by the influence of a sedentary lifestyle, which is more prevalent in higher educational groups. Based on the previous studies, increased sedentary



Fig. 1 Standardized coefficients ( $\beta$ ) of the multiple mediation structural equation model for men, adjusted for age. Model fit:  $\chi^2$ [62] = 319.5, p < 0.001, CFI = 0.959, RMSEA = 0.065, 90% CI RMSEA (0.058, 0.072). \*\*p < 0.05. \*\*\*p < 0.001.



Fig. 2 Standardized coefficients ( $\beta$ ) of the full structural equation model for women, adjusted for age. Model fit:  $\chi^2$ [62] = 395.1, p < 0.001, CFI = 0.959, RMSEA = 0.067, 90% CI RMSEA (0.061, 0.074). \*\*p < 0.05. \*\*\*p < 0.001.

behaviors are generally more prevalent in men [25] than women [25] and in higher socioeconomic positions, which may be explained by higher demands for sitting-based tasks in higherstatus occupations [26]. Sedentary behavior is an important risk factor in modern society. An increasing trend in sedentary behaviors observed in the last 20 years in European countries [25] could extend the burden of increased adiposity into higher socioeconomic strata and thereby suppress the protective potential of higher education. Lifestyle interventions should therefore emphasize strategies for reducing sitting time in the population, with a special emphasis on higher socioeconomic groups.

It is noteworthy that mediators investigated in the current study explained only one-third of the total association between education and adiposity in women and one-fifth in men. It seems that there are other factors not included in our analysis whose mediating potential exceeds the investigated variables. Lower education may be reflected in reduced knowledge about health and limited health literacy [27], which is the individual ability to obtain, understand, evaluate, and apply health information [28]. One previous study, including 88,384 participants of Lifelines Cohort Study [29] assessed the mediation potential of health literacy in the educational disparities in metabolic syndrome. The study found that health literacy mediated 7.1% of the association in men and 5.9% in women. Besides the mediating role of health literacy, the study also found that self-management, defined as the individual ability to realize and sustain well-being, contributed to educational differences in metabolic syndrome in both sexes [29].

Moreover, although our study investigated a wide spectrum of health behavior factors, we did not consider all individual aspects. For example, our study investigated dietary risk as the 5

Table 4.	Standardized total	, direct, and indirect	effects of multi	ple mediation	model by	/ sex
----------	--------------------	------------------------	------------------	---------------	----------	-------

	Total effect		Indirect effect	Mediation ratio (%)	
	β	95%CI	β	95%CI	
Men	- <b>0.173</b>	-0.237 to -0.112	0.038	0.010 to 0.068	22.0
Dietary risk			-0.006	-0.018 to 0.003	3.5
Alcohol consumption			0.000	-0.005 to 0.001	0.0
Smoking			0.004	0.000 to 0.014	2.3
Sedentary behavior			0.041	0.025 to 0.062	23.7
Equalized income			0.001	-0.018 to 0.018	0.6
Mental health			-0.002	-0.013 to 0.002	1.2
Women	-0.144	-0.198 to -0.019	- <b>0.044</b>	-0.070 to -0.019	30.6
Dietary risk			- <b>0.023</b>	-0.037 to -0.013	16.0
Alcohol consumption			-0.006	-0.014 to -0.001	4.2
Smoking			0.003	0.000 to 0.010	2.1
Sedentary behavior			0.012	0.004 to 0.023	8.3
Equalized income			- <b>0.022</b>	-0.041 to -0.004	15.3
Mental health			- <b>0.007</b>	-0.019 to -0.001	4.9

\*Results adjusted for age. Estimates statistically significant at p < 0.05 in bold.

frequency of consumption of specific food groups, which as a mediator explained 16% of the total effect in women but did not emerge as a significant mediator in men. This does not mean that an individual diet does not contribute to obesity development, but individual diet results from a variety of factors, including daily eating behavior, cooking habits, or portion size. One case-control study from France [30] including 318 obese and 371 non-obese participants, assessed the mediation potential of eating attitudes, circumstances, and behaviors in the association between socioeconomic status and obesity. Among 10 factors tested, the results showed a significant mediation effect of eating off a large plate, eating at night, and uncontrolled eating [30].

Educational disparities are not reflected only in individual habits and abilities but are also closely related to the disadvantaged life environment. The availability of healthy options is often higher in affluent neighborhoods compared to socially disadvantaged areas [31]. Besides that, the external environment influences adiposity both at the level of the social environment as well as the physical environment. The social environment can work as an obesogenic environment that pushes persons to make choices and decisions culturally perceived as normal and accepted even though they might not be healthy [32]. On the contrary, the physical environment does not modify individual habits but can directly affect adiposity risk as a biological response to exposure to chronic stress [33], endocrine-disrupting chemicals [34], or air pollution [35].

The findings of our study suggest that future research should focus on an even broader investigation of external as well as internal factors, which may have the potential to complement the mediators investigated in the current study to better explain why increased adiposity is more prevalent in groups with lower education. The identification of various pathways will help focus prevention activities on specific behaviors or characteristics and improve cardiometabolic health in the disadvantaged groups of the population.

The major strength of the present study is the complex approach to adiposity assessment, including several anthropometric and bioimpedance measures, and the investigation of a wide spectrum of potential individual mediators. Moreover, by analyzing and reporting results separately for men and women, the study acknowledges and accounts for potential gender variations in the observed relationships. However, there are some limitations of this study that should be mentioned. First, the study's cross-sectional design does not allow causality evaluation; thus, the direction of the associations set in the multiple mediation model was constructed based on previous research evidence, and a reverse causation bias might occur. Second, the study sample only included a city-based population; thus, the study findings should not be generalized beyond the urban population. Third, investigated mediators were mostly selfreported; therefore, reporting bias, including underestimation, might occur. However, objectively measured data on these factors were not available, and questionnaire based for behaviors such as smoking, or alcohol consumption are widely accepted. Additionally, the version of FFQ used in the current study has not been previously validated in different study; however, the content has been developed in accordance with previous literature recommendations for FFQ development and utilization [16, 36].

Based on our findings, we conclude that educational disparities in adiposity and related mediators might differ by sex. The increased adiposity in women with lower educational levels is likely driven by dietary risky behaviors and lower income, but we did not observe a mediator which contributes to the inverse gradient between education and adiposity in men. However, our study identified sedentary behaviors as a risk factor possibly reducing the protective potential of higher educational levels in both sexes, with a higher magnitude in men. This finding suggests that reducing sedentary time should be sufficiently targeted in public health strategies to reduce the burden of increased adiposity in the population. Future studies should investigate a broader spectrum of potential mediators, including knowledgerelated individual factors as well as components of the external social and physical environment.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from ICRC - FNUSA but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are available upon reasonable request and with permission of ICRC-FNUSA.

#### REFERENCES

 Cifková R, Bruthans J, Wohlfahrt P, Krajčoviechová A, Šulc P, Jozifová M, et al. 30year trends in major cardiovascular risk factors in the Czech population, Czech MONICA and Czech post-MONICA, 1985 - 2016/17. PLoS One. 2020;15:e0232845.

- Pikhart H, Bobak M, Malyutina S, Pajak A, Kubínová R, Marmot M. Obesity and Education in Three Countries of the Central and Eastern Europe: The HAPIEE Study. Cent Eur J Public Health. 2007;15:140–2.
- Gonzalez-Rivas JP, Mechanick JI, Hernandez JP, Infante-Garcia MM, Pavlovska I, Medina-Inojosa JR, et al. Prevalence of adiposity-based chronic disease in middleaged adults from Czech Republic: The Kardiovize study. Obes Sci Pr. 2021;7:535–44.
- 4. Brož J, Malinovská J, Nunes MA, Kučera K, Rožeková K, Žejglicová K, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes and its risk factors in adults aged 25–64 in the Czech Republic: A cross-sectional study. Diabetes Res Clin Pr. 2020;170:108470.
- Lustigova M, Dzurova D, Pikhart H, Kubinova R, Bobak M. Cardiovascular health among the Czech population at the beginning of the 21st century: a 12-year follow-up study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2018;72:442–8.
- Marmot M, Bell R. Social determinants and non-communicable diseases: time for integrated action. BMJ. 2019;28:l251.
- Maugeri A, Barchitta M, Kunzova S, Bauerova H, Agodi A, Vinciguerra M. The association of social and behavioral factors with dietary risks in adults: Evidence from the Kardiovize Brno 2030 study. Nutr, Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2020;30:896–906.
- 8. OECD and European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. Czech Republic: Country Health Profile 2021. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2021.
- 9. Marmot M, Allen JJ. Social Determinants of Health Equity. Am J Public Health. 2014;104:S517–9.
- Kuklová M, Kagstrom A, Kučera M, Mladá K, Winkler P, Cermakova P. Educational inequalities in mental disorders in the Czech Republic: data from CZEch Mental health Study (CZEMS). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2021;56:867–77.
- Mechanick JI, Farkouh ME, Newman JD, Garvey WT. Cardiometabolic-Based Chronic Disease, Adiposity and Dysglycemia Drivers. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75:525–38.
- 12. Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx BWJH, et al. Overweight, Obesity, and Depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67:220.
- 13. OECD and European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. Czech Republic: Country Health Profile 2019. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2019.
- Gerdts E, Regitz-Zagrosek V. Sex differences in cardiometabolic disorders. Nat Med. 2019;25:1657–66.
- Thomas YT, Newman CB, Faynshtayn NG, McGregor AJ. Exploring Obesity as a Gendered Contagion: Impact on Lifestyle Interventions to Improve Cardiovascular Health. Clin Ther. 2022;44:23–32.
- Movsisyan NK, Vinciguerra M, Lopez-Jimenez F, Kunzová Š, Homolka M, Jaresova J, et al. Kardiovize Brno 2030, a prospective cardiovascular health study in Central Europe: Methods, baseline findings and future directions. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2018;25:54–64.
- Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA, Cornaby L, Ferrara G, Salama JS, et al. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2019;393:1958–72.
- Fiala J, Sochor O. Methodology for Measurement of Alcohol Consumption in Epidemiological Studies of Risk Factors of Non-Communicable Diseases and the Kardiovize 2030 Project. Hygiena. 2014;59:167–78.
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12-Country Reliability and Validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003;35:1381–95.
- 20. Eurostat. Glossary: equivalised disposable income. Eurostat; 2021.
- Cohen S. Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United States. In: The social psychology of health. Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage Publications, Inc; 1988. p. 31–67. (The Claremont Symposium on Applied Social Psychology).
- 22. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16:606–13.
- 23. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2019.
- 24. Muthén LK, Muthén BO. Statistical Analysis With Latent Variables User's Guide [Internet]. 2017. Available from: www.StatModel.com.
- López-Valenciano A, Mayo X, Liguori G, Copeland RJ, Lamb M, Jimenez A. Changes in sedentary behaviour in European Union adults between 2002 and 2017. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:1206.
- 26. Stamatakis E, Grunseit AC, Coombs N, Ding D, Chau JY, Phongsavan P, et al. Associations between socio-economic position and sedentary behaviour in a large population sample of Australian middle and older-aged adults: The Social, Economic, and Environmental Factor (SEEF) Study. Prev Med (Balt). 2014;63:72–80.
- Svendsen MT, Bak CK, Sørensen K, Pelikan J, Riddersholm SJ, Skals RK, et al. Associations of health literacy with socioeconomic position, health risk behavior, and health status: A large national population-based survey among Danish adults. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:565.
- Sørensen K, van den Broucke S, Pelikan JM, Fullam J, Doyle G, Slonska Z, et al. Measuring health literacy in populations: Illuminating the design and development process of the European Health Literacy Survey Questionnaire (HLS-EU-Q). BMC Public Health. 2013;13:948.

- Krijnen HK, Hoveling LA, Liefbroer AC, Bültmann U, Smidt N. Socioeconomic differences in metabolic syndrome development among males and females, and the mediating role of health literacy and self-management skills. Prev Med (Balt). 2022;161:107140.
- Pigeyre M, Rousseaux J, Trouiller P, Dumont J, Goumidi L, Bonte D, et al. How obesity relates to socio-economic status: Identification of eating behavior mediators. Int J Obes. 2016;40:1794–801.
- Psaltopoulou T, Hatzis G, Papageorgiou N, Androulakis E, Briasoulis A, Tousoulis D. Socioeconomic status and risk factors for cardiovascular disease: Impact of dietary mediators. Hellenic J Cardiol. 2017;58:32–42.
- Mechanick JI, Farkouh ME, Newman JD, Garvey WT. Cardiometabolic-Based Chronic Disease, Adiposity and Dysglycemia Drivers: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardio. 2020;75:525–38.
- Tremblay A, Chaput JP. Obesity: The allostatic load of weight loss dieting. Physiol Behav. 2012;106:16–21.
- Ribeiro CM, Beserra BTS, Silva NG, Lima CL, Rocha PRS, Coelho MS, et al. Exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals and anthropometric measures of obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10:1–30.
- Huang S, Zhang X, Huang J, Lu X, Liu F, Gu D. Ambient air pollution and body weight status in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Pollut. 2020;265:114999.
- Cade J, Thompson R, Burley V, Warm D. Development, validation and utilisation of food-frequency questionnaires – a review. Public Health Nutr. 2002;5:567–87.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AB, AK, and HP conceived and designed the study. AB and AK analyzed the data. AB wrote the first draft of the manuscript with a support of AK and HP. HP, MB and JG provided critical revisions. All authors read and approved the submitted manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 857487 (R-Exposome Chair) and No 857560 (CETOCOEN Excellence). This publication reflects only the author's view, and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. Authors thank the RECETOX Research Infrastructure (No LM2018121) financed by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, and the Operational Programme Research, Development and Education (the CETOCOEN EXCELLENCE project No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/17\_043/0009632) for supportive background. This output was supported by the National Institute for Research of Metabolic and Cardiovascular Diseases (Programme EXCELES, ID Project No. LX22NPO5104) - Funded by the European Union – Next Generation EU. Open access publishing supported by the National Technical Library in Prague.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### ETHICAL STATEMENTS

The study protocol complied with the Helsinki declaration and all participants signed the informed consent. The Kardiovize study was approved by the ethical committee of St Anne's University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic on 13 June 2012 (reference number 2G/2012).

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-024-01403-1.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Anna Bartoskova Polcrova.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024