Fakulta sociálních studií

542241 Timotej Hricko
B0314A250002 B-SOC Sociologie
Sociologie

ZÁZNAM O PRŮBĚHU OBHAJOBY

Téma bakalářské práce:

Sociology of Machine Deception: Categorizing Structural and Strategic Deceit in AI

Vedoucí bakalářské práce:
Dr. Werner Binder

Oponent bakalářské práce:
Mgr. Bc. Alina Morozova (KSoc FSS MU), Katedra sociologie

Zápis o průběhu obhajoby – **řádný termín**:

The board chair introduced the committee and the proceedings that followed. Timotej Hricko introduced his thesis, Sociology of Machine Deception: Categorizing Structural and Strategic Deceit in AI, in which the author develops his own typology of AI deceit. The primary outcome is a structured typology of deceptive AI behavior, accompanied by a brief list of notable additional dimensions and commentary on social impact. The board chair read the supervisor's report. Overall, the working relationship with Mr. Hricko was very good, despite his inability to address all concerns and comments to his satisfaction. The literature review is a bit short, quite superficial, and could be better structured. It reads a bit like a compilation of AI reviews, but this could also be due to the author's acknowledged use of AI for editing. The thesis is in many ways remarkable for a bachelor's student, an innovative and independent work that clearly deserves a "pass". The reader states that the thesis is logically structured and, in general, well-written. Despite several shortcomings, related mostly to a lack of conceptual clarity, the thesis presents innovative research of contemporary relevance. Its originality, particularly in the theoretical synthesis and construction of the typology, exceeds what is expected at the bachelor's level. She recommends a thesis for a "pass", but an excellent defense may warrant the commission considering a higher grade. The discussion revolved around the concept of opacity. The author elaborates on the concept and discusses the "uncanny valley" notion. Further discussion centers on clarifying the methods used. Hricko explains them to satisfaction. Professor Kreidl raised a question on a moral judgment and the distinction between the Simmelian notion and real-life public discourse. The committee decided on the evaluation "passed with excellent results".

Hodnocení: **prospěl výborně**

Datum: 16. 6. 2026

Jméno a příjmení předsedy komise	*datum a čas potvrzení v IS MU*
doc. Mgr. Pavel Pospěch, Ph.D.	16. 6. 2026 15:56
Jméno a příjmení člena komise	
1. Mgr. Kateřina Čanigová, Ph.D.	16. 6. 2026 15:38
2. prof. Martin Kreidl, Ph.D.	16. 6. 2026 15:34

Jméno a příjmení asistenta komise
Mgr. Miroslava Smolková

Posudky vedoucího a oponenta jsou zveřejněny v archivu v IS MU.